

Brain-Machine Interfaces & Information Retrieval Challenges and Opportunities

Yashar Moshfeghi
yashar.moshfeghi@strath.ac.uk
NeuraSearch Laboratory
University of Strathclyde
Glasgow, UK

Niall McGuire
niall.mcguire@strath.ac.uk
NeuraSearch Laboratory
University of Strathclyde
Glasgow, UK




Figure 1: BMI Opportunities in Information Retrieval: (A) Understanding neural correlates of IR concepts through BMI, (B) Neurophysiological Enhancement of IR, and (C) Neurophysiological Enabled IR

ABSTRACT

The fundamental goal of Information Retrieval (IR) systems lies in their capacity to effectively satisfy human information needs - a challenge that encompasses not just the technical delivery of information, but the nuanced understanding of human cognition during information seeking. Contemporary IR platforms rely primarily on observable interaction signals, creating a fundamental gap between system capabilities and users' cognitive processes. Brain-Machine Interface (BMI) technologies now offer unprecedented potential to bridge this gap through direct measurement of previously inaccessible aspects of information-seeking behaviour. This perspective paper offers a broad examination of the IR landscape, providing a comprehensive analysis of how BMI technology could transform IR systems, drawing from advances at the intersection of both neuroscience and IR research. We present our analysis through three identified fundamental vertices: (1) understanding the neural correlates of core IR concepts to advance theoretical models of search behaviour, (2) enhancing existing IR systems through contextual integration of neurophysiological signals, and (3) developing proactive IR capabilities through direct neurophysiological measurement.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2025 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

For each vertex, we identify specific research opportunities and propose concrete directions for developing BMI-enhanced IR systems. We conclude by examining critical technical and ethical challenges in implementing these advances, providing a structured roadmap for future research at the intersection of neuroscience and IR.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Information systems** → *Search interfaces; Information retrieval; Users and interactive retrieval;*

KEYWORDS

Brain Machine Interfaces, NeuraSearch, Information Retrieval, Neuroscience

ACM Reference Format:

Yashar Moshfeghi and Niall McGuire. 2025. Brain-Machine Interfaces & Information Retrieval Challenges and Opportunities. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

What if IR systems could understand and satisfy our information needs before we even articulate them? Despite significant advances in search technology, including Conversational Search and Generative AI assistants [17, 59], IR systems remain fundamentally reactive, dependent on explicit user actions to infer intent and relevance, e.g. queries, clicks, dwell time, and browsing patterns [1, 95], creating a fundamental gap between system capabilities and users' cognitive processes. This gap is a result of the inherent complex cognitive states that shape information seeking, i.e. the moment

an information need (IN) crystallises in a user's mind, the instantaneous judgement of a document's relevance, or the subtle shifts in understanding as users process search results [6, 43]. This is further pronounced in scenarios with ill-defined information needs such as complex or exploratory search [52], where users may struggle to verbalise their needs effectively [6, 43]. As such, while these technological advancements have improved interactive and context-aware search methods [94], they still require expressed intent, failing to capture the rich cognitive dimensions of information seeking that occur before any observable behaviour. Moreover, traditional IR interfaces remain inaccessible to users with motor disabilities [72], cognitive impairments [45], or limited literacy, as they depend on explicit text or voice interactions, reinforcing usability barriers.

At the core of IR is the challenge of understanding and satisfying users' information needs [6, 30, 42]. To truly achieve this, IR systems need to go beyond processing explicit queries and implicit behavioural signals to interpreting the underlying cognitive states that drive information seeking. While IR systems have evolved substantially over decades [5, 94], they remain fundamentally limited by their inability to directly measure and respond to users' internal cognitive states [30, 64]. This underscores the need for cognition-aware IR systems that can directly interpret cognitive intent, making information access more equitable, efficient, and inclusive. We now stand at a transformative juncture: Brain-Machine Interface (BMI) technologies now offer unprecedented potential to directly measure and interpret these previously inaccessible aspects of information-seeking behaviour [60, 64–66].

Research in neuroscience and computational neuroscience has demonstrated that search behaviour is directly linked to measurable brain activity, including query formulation, relevance assessment, and cognitive load, as detected through EEG, fMRI, and MEG [22, 31, 54, 62, 64, 107, 108]. Studies confirm that relevance decisions can be predicted up to 500 milliseconds before users consciously express them [19], and cognitive load variations can be continuously tracked [22], offering real-time insights into user engagement and retrieval difficulty. These findings suggest that BMI-enhanced retrieval models offer opportunities to refine search results, predict frustration, and even anticipate emerging information needs before users explicitly formulate them [63].

Empirical research further validates the feasibility of BMI enhanced IR systems. Recent advances in non-invasive EEG-based neurophysiological sensing now enable real-time cognitive state monitoring [57], which can be integrated into retrieval models to detect frustration, predict relevance, and dynamically refine search queries [15, 18, 19, 104]. This development fundamentally transforms IR from passive document retrieval to cognition-aware search, where systems respond not just to explicit user actions but to real-time cognitive feedback. Instead of requiring users to struggle with query formulation, BMI-enhanced search engines could sense uncertainty, knowledge gaps, and engagement levels, dynamically adjusting retrieval strategies before users take action [16, 64, 109]. The convergence of BMI technology with IR represents more than just a technical advancement. It offers a fundamental re-conceptualisation of how we understand and support human information-seeking behaviour [65, 99].

In this paper, we examine opportunities and challenges for integrating BMI capabilities into IR research, organizing our analysis

around three key vertices: (1) understanding the neural correlates of information-seeking behaviour to advance theoretical models of search behaviour, (2) enhancing existing IR systems through contextual integration of brain signals, and (3) developing proactive capabilities that can detect and respond to information needs at their inception [88]. For each vertex, we identify specific research opportunities and propose concrete directions for developing BMI-enhanced IR systems.

Whilst BMI-enhanced IR has great potential, several significant challenges must be addressed. Brain signal processing remains a major hurdle, as real-world brain signal acquisition suffers from high noise levels and requires advanced filtering techniques [39, 51]. Additionally, BMI-enhanced IR must account for substantial variability in brain responses across individuals [49, 85], making it difficult to generalise models without personalised adaptations. Ethical and privacy concerns also present serious obstacles, as brain data is highly personal, raising issues about consent, security, and responsible application of neurotechnologies in IR systems [24, 88].

Advancing BMI-enhanced IR requires collaboration across multiple disciplines, including IR, neuroscience, machine learning, and human-computer interaction, to ensure that cognition-aware search is both effective and ethically responsible [23, 60]. The integration of BMI with search represents a significant shift in human-information interaction [53], where search engines evolve from passive tools into cognition-aware assistants capable of understanding and responding to intent at the level of thought itself.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces BMI principles for IR, followed by Section 3, which examines the theoretical foundations for brain measurement in IR contexts. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 present our identified opportunities for BMI integration in existing IR systems. Section 4 discusses technical challenges and ethical considerations, while Section 5 concludes with recommendations for advancing this research agenda.

2 INTRODUCTION TO NEUROTECHNOLOGY

This section provides an overview of key neuroimaging approaches and their applications in Brain-Machine Interfaces (BMIs), establishing the technical foundation for their integration with IR systems.

Neuroimaging Technologies. Neuroimaging technologies can be broadly categorised into invasive and non-invasive approaches, each offering distinct capabilities for measuring brain activity [20, 27, 37]. Invasive methods, which require surgical implantation of recording devices, provide the highest spatial and temporal resolution for brain signal acquisition. These approaches typically utilise microelectrode arrays implanted directly into the brain tissue or electrocorticography (ECoG) arrays placed on the cortical surface [27, 46]. While these methods achieve exceptional precision in measuring individual neuron activity, their clinical requirements present a substantial barrier of entry in comparison to their non-invasive counterparts, limiting their applicability primarily to medical/lab-based research contexts [28]. Non-invasive neuroimaging techniques, which measure brain activity without requiring surgical intervention, offer more practical approaches for studying cognitive processes in information-seeking contexts. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) [64, 89] is a non-invasive method and utilises powerful magnetic fields to detect changes

in blood oxygenation levels associated with brain activity [87]. This technique provides millimetre-scale spatial resolution throughout the entire brain, enabling precise mapping of brain activity patterns during complex cognitive tasks. However, fMRI's temporal resolution is limited by the inherent delay in blood flow changes, and its infrastructure requirements—including large, stationary scanning equipment—restrict its use primarily to controlled laboratory settings [54, 64]. Magnetoencephalography (MEG) offers an alternative approach, measuring the magnetic fields produced by brain activity using highly sensitive sensors positioned around the head [13]. MEG combines good spatial resolution with excellent temporal precision, capable of detecting brain signals at the millisecond scale. This temporal accuracy makes it particularly valuable for studying the rapid cognitive processes involved in information processing and decision making. However, like fMRI, MEG requires sophisticated infrastructure and carefully controlled environments, limiting its practical applications [98]. Among non-invasive technologies, Electroencephalography (EEG) [13] has emerged as a particularly promising approach for IR applications [54, 56, 111], offering a balance of practical utility and measurement capability. EEG systems measure electrical potentials generated by brain activity through electrodes placed on the scalp [57]. While their spatial resolution is limited compared to other methods, modern EEG systems offer millisecond-scale temporal resolution and have benefited from significant advances in dry electrode technology [50] and wireless recording capabilities [67]. These developments have made EEG increasingly practical for studying cognitive processes in more naturalistic settings.

Brain-Machine Interfaces (BMIs). BMIs enable direct communication between the human brain and external devices through the measurement and interpretation of brain activity [100]. These systems function by converting brain activity patterns into commands that can control computers, devices, or communication systems. BMIs operate through three main stages: signal acquisition using neuroimaging methods, signal processing to extract relevant features, and translation of these signals into specific commands or outputs [76]. Recent advances in machine learning have significantly enhanced BMI capabilities. Modern systems use sophisticated architectures, including transformer models for temporal patterns [41] and convolutional networks for spatial features [85]. Many of these approaches share similarities with methods already established in IR, such as sequence modelling for query understanding and representation learning for document encoding. BMI systems employ various learning strategies, including supervised learning for direct signal-to-command mapping, reinforcement learning for adaptive control, and self-supervised learning for robust feature extraction from unlabelled brain data [79]. These advances have enabled BMIs to detect and interpret complex cognitive states with increasing accuracy, moving beyond basic motor control to applications in emotion recognition and intent prediction. For IR systems, BMIs offer the potential to directly measure users' cognitive states during search tasks. Studies have shown that BMIs can detect relevance judgments [18], measure cognitive load [22], and identify emerging information needs [64]. While invasive BMIs provide the highest signal quality, non-invasive approaches, particularly EEG-based systems, present more practical solutions for IR applications [31]. However, significant challenges remain in translating these

capabilities to practical applications, including managing signal variability and achieving real-time processing speeds [51]. Despite the demonstrated success of BMI methods across various domains, their potential to enhance IR systems remains largely unexplored. In the following section, we examine opportunities that these brain measurement capabilities could bring to IR.

3 OPPORTUNITIES FOR BMI IN IR

The integration of BMIs with IR systems represents a transformative opportunity to bridge the gap between users' cognitive processes and information access systems. While traditional IR relies on explicit queries and observable behaviours, BMI integration offers unprecedented insight into the brain mechanisms underlying information seeking. We identify opportunities for integration oriented around three fundamental vertices: (1) understanding neural correlates of core IR concepts such as IN realisation, relevance assessment, and satisfaction judgment; (2) enhancing existing IR systems through real-time cognitive feedback in both search and recommender systems; and (3) developing neuro-proactive capabilities that can detect and respond to information needs at their inception. Throughout this section and the following sections, we propose specific Research Directions (RDs) that highlight promising avenues for future investigation. These Research Directions represent concrete paths forward for the IR community to explore the integration of BMI technologies into information retrieval systems. Our analysis emphasizes practical opportunities achievable with current BMI technology, supported by evidence from both laboratory studies and emerging applications.

3.1 Understanding Neural Correlates of IR

Traditional IR has relied on user studies, think-aloud protocols, and interaction logs to understand how users satisfy their information needs in an information-seeking process [36]. While foundational, these methods offer limited insight into real-time cognitive processes underlying such processes. Advances in neuroimaging techniques (e.g. fMRI, EEG, MEG) now enable direct brain activity measurement during search tasks [55, 62, 64, 73, 75]. This interdisciplinary approach bridges neuroscience and IR by capturing brain activity during information seeking, revealing how INs emerge, evolve, and drive search behaviour [60]. Integrating neurophysiological data with traditional methods allows researchers to refine IR models and develop cognition-aware search systems.

3.1.1 Information Needs. Understanding how information needs (INs) emerge and evolve is key to advancing cognition-aware IR. The Neuropsychological Model of IN Realisation [63] identifies three core processes: (1) memory retrieval, assessing knowledge availability; (2) information flow regulation, enabling cognitive transitions; and (3) high-level perception, detecting knowledge gaps. The posterior cingulate cortex orchestrates these functions, with heightened activity signalling IN awareness [54, 63, 64]. EEG studies confirm that IN realisation precedes conscious awareness, with specific brain activity patterns occurring at different time points: early electrical responses (known as the N1-P2 complex, observable 100-200 milliseconds after stimulus presentation) marking the initial awareness processes, while later brain activity patterns

(including the N400 and P600 components, occurring 400-600 milliseconds after stimulus) reflect memory control mechanisms that help distinguish between known and unknown information states [55]. Michalkova et al. (2022) found that EEG patterns also differentiate correct from incorrect recall, providing a foundation for cognition-aware IR models that anticipate user needs before explicit search behaviour begins [55]. The feasibility of real-time cognition-aware IR has been demonstrated by McGuire and Moshfeghi [54], who showed EEG-based models predict IN realisation with up to 90.1% accuracy. These findings, combined with fMRI evidence of pre-query brain activity linked to emerging IN states [64], support proactive IR systems that anticipate cognitive states before search initiation.

Neuroimaging research reveals distinct cognitive shifts across search stages. fMRI studies [62–64] show varying brain activation patterns from IN to Satisfaction Judgment, reflecting cognitive and emotional processing. Ji et al. [33] integrate EEG, electrodermal activity (EDA), and pupillary responses, confirming that IN is marked by cognitive load, Query Formulation requires attentional control, and Relevance Judgment elicits emotional responses. These findings suggest IR systems should dynamically adapt to users' evolving cognitive states. Neuroscientific insights mark a notable shift beyond query-based IR. Future systems could detect knowledge gaps, assess memory recall, and predict search initiation based on cognitive load and uncertainty, enabling intelligent, adaptive search experiences.

RD1: *Expand the theoretical framework of IN realisation by mapping its neural correlates across diverse cognitive states, incorporating multimodal neuroimaging (EEG, fMRI, MEG) to capture transitions between knowledge awareness, uncertainty, and search intent formation in real-world search scenarios.*

3.1.2 Relevance. Understanding how users perceive and assess relevance is fundamental to advancing cognition-aware IR. fMRI studies reveal that relevance processing engages the inferior parietal lobe, inferior temporal gyrus, and superior frontal gyrus—brain regions linked to attentional control, semantic integration, and decision-making [62, 64]. Research supporting Saracevic's multidimensional relevance framework demonstrates distinct pathways for topical and situational relevance [3, 61, 81]. EEG studies further validate this by identifying specific brain responses (known as event-related potentials or ERPs) that occur at different time points after viewing content. These include attention-related responses (around 300 milliseconds after stimulus), semantic processing responses (around 400 milliseconds), and contextual integration responses (around 600 milliseconds), all serving as neural markers of relevance perception, indicating that assessments occur before conscious articulation [75]. EEG research has also uncovered the temporal dynamics of relevance assessment, with brain responses peaking within 500–800ms post-stimulus [19]. Early visual processing (P100) plays a role in initial relevance detection [3], while Kim et al. [38] demonstrated that ERP components related to semantic congruency (N400) and memory integration (P600) distinguish between relevant and non-relevant documents.

These findings challenge traditional IR models that assume users consciously assess relevance only after explicit document review, instead suggesting that subconscious cognitive processing shapes relevance judgments before users verbalise them. However, challenges remain. Neurophysiological response variability across individuals necessitates more generalisable brain-driven relevance models. While EEG offers high temporal resolution, its spatial precision is limited, requiring multimodal neuroimaging approaches to fully capture relevance processing [62, 75]. While technical progress is promising, challenges remain in making these systems robust enough for practical applications. Neuroscientific insights into relevance processing open avenues toward cognition-aware IR, where systems anticipate relevance judgments, refine rankings, and dynamically present information based on users' cognitive states. These insights show promise to make search experiences more intuitive, adaptive, and personalised [3, 18, 74, 105].

RD2: *Develop cognition-aware relevance models that integrate real-time brain signals (EEG-based ERPs, fMRI activations) to uncover how relevance is perceived in dynamic, real-world search contexts, accounting for evolving task complexity, time pressure, and individual cognitive variability.*

3.1.3 Satisfaction and Search Termination. Satisfaction is a crucial factor in IR [86, 106], influencing search termination, user engagement, and perceived system effectiveness [93]. Neuroscientific research now offers direct insights into how users determine when they have fulfilled an IN [70]. fMRI studies reveal that satisfaction engages brain regions linked to decision-making and emotional evaluation, including the anterior cingulate, superior frontal gyrus, insula, and inferior frontal gyrus [70]. These regions differentiate satisfaction from ongoing search states and support the development of cognition-aware IR systems that adapt dynamically to users' evolving cognitive states [71]. Recent fMRI investigations have mapped the brain transitions from IN realisation to relevance judgment and satisfaction judgment in a search process, showing distinct cognitive pathways for each phase.

While IN activates attention, working memory, and planning regions, relevance judgment and satisfaction judgment engage semantic processing and evaluative networks [71]. These insights refine information foraging models, conceptualising search as an evidence accumulation process where users continue searching until a cognitive threshold is reached, at which point brain activity in decision-making regions declines, and satisfaction emerges [70]. This cognitive threshold model shares interesting parallels with Herbert Simon's concept of "satisficing," where decision-makers accept solutions that meet a threshold of adequacy rather than pursuing optimal solutions [?]. While the relationship between satisfaction judgment in search and satisficing behaviour remains under-explored, neurophysiological methods offer promising approaches to investigate whether the cognitive mechanisms underlying these processes share common neural substrates. Understanding this relationship could significantly advance our theoretical models of search termination behaviour and enhance the development of systems that better accommodate users' natural decision-making processes.

Distinguishing satisfaction from frustration is vital for enhancing IR experiences. Satisfaction correlates with reduced cognitive load

and heightened reward-related activity, while frustration manifests as increased amygdala and prefrontal cortex activation, signalling cognitive dissonance [71]. Recognising these distinctions may allow cognition-aware IR systems to anticipate user frustration and intervene pre-emptively by adjusting retrieval strategies based on inferred cognitive states.

RD3: *Advance the understanding of satisfaction realisation by examining its neurophysiological underpinnings across diverse task types, user expertise levels, and external influences, developing cognition-aware IR models that predict and adapt to user satisfaction in real-world, high-stakes search scenarios.*

3.1.4 Contextual Human Factors. Beyond satisfaction, neurophysiological research has revealed how cognitive and emotional states fundamentally shape information-seeking behaviour. Studies demonstrate that different search tasks create distinct cognitive load patterns [10, 21], with factors such as task complexity, time pressure, and user expertise significantly influencing brain responses. This cognitive variability manifests not only in task execution but also in how users process and interact with search interfaces [12], suggesting the need for systems that can dynamically adapt to users' cognitive states [94]. The emotional dimensions of search behaviour are equally critical. Neuroscientific research has identified distinct brain signatures for various emotional states during search, including frustration, engagement, and uncertainty [2, 61]. Importantly, these brain indicators often precede observable behaviours, offering opportunities for proactive system intervention [25]. For instance, detecting early signs of user frustration could enable systems to adjust result presentation or provide additional support before users abandon their search [96].

The integration of these cognitive and emotional insights into IR systems represents a significant advancement beyond traditional behavioural approaches [31]. While current research demonstrates the feasibility of measuring neural correlates during search tasks [19, 64], the greater potential lies in developing systems that actively respond to users' cognitive and emotional states [22]. This approach requires rethinking traditional evaluation methods [36] to incorporate metrics that capture cognitive load, emotional engagement, and search effectiveness [80]. Future research should prioritise understanding how different cognitive states influence search performance [18], developing more sophisticated models of user engagement, and creating adaptive systems that provide personalized support based on real-time neurophysiological feedback [94].

RD4: *Investigate how different cognitive and emotional states (including frustration, engagement, and uncertainty) influence search behavior and performance, developing models that can predict and respond to these states to create more personalized search experiences.*

3.2 Neurophysiological Enhancement of IR

While BMIs present transformative potential for better understanding physical manifestations of IR concepts, immediate opportunities exist for enhancing current IR systems through targeted integration of neurophysiological data. This section examines how brain signals can address specific limitations in two fundamental components of modern information access: *search* and *recommendation*. Search

system enhancements focus on bridging the gap between users' internal information needs and their external expressions, potentially transforming how users interact with search interfaces. Recommender system applications leverage neural signals to create more accurate and responsive personalization models, addressing critical challenges such as cold-start problems and real-time preference detection. We emphasize practical implementations within existing IR frameworks while acknowledging the technical challenges and research opportunities that emerge from integrating brain signals into operational systems.

3.2.1 Enhancement of Search. Search systems face fundamental limitations in their ability to effectively capture and respond to users' information needs [6, 30], despite significant advances in search technology [59, 94]. These limitations manifest primarily in three critical areas: query formulation and refinement [6, 90], search task adaptation [52, 97], and results presentation [1, 34]. Traditional search interfaces rely heavily on explicit textual input, creating a significant cognitive burden as users struggle to externalize their information needs into effective queries [6, 90]. This challenge is particularly acute when information needs are ill-defined or evolving, leading to a persistent semantic gap between users' mental models and their textual expressions [30, 64].

Query formulation and refinement present significant opportunities for neurophysiological enhancement of IR systems through two primary pathways: enhancement of existing query mechanisms and direct brain querying [6, 18, 31]. Traditional IR approaches rely heavily on query representations, whether sparse (e.g., boolean, BM25) or dense (neural embeddings) [1, 5, 48, 68, 77]. While both paradigms have proven effective, they fundamentally depend on explicit textual input, introducing an inherent semantic gap between users' cognitive models and their expressed queries [6, 30, 42, 90]. Classic query expansion methods, from relevance feedback [1, 78] to pseudo-relevance feedback [11, 44], attempt to bridge this gap by leveraging document collections or user interactions [91, 94]. Modern neural approaches to query expansion [69] have further advanced this capability through contextual understanding and semantic matching. However, these methods remain constrained by their reliance on initial query terms, which often inadequately capture the richness of users' internal information needs [6]. Recent advances in brain decoding suggest promising solutions through the integration of brain-derived semantic information [9, 29, 58, 101, 102] into query representations [19, 103, 109]. Contemporary brain decoding techniques have been shown to extract complex semantic features from brain activity [29, 58, 101, 102], potentially enabling systems to capture aspects of information needs that users struggle to verbalize [109]. This capability could enhance both sparse and dense query representations in several ways. For sparse representations, brain signals could identify relevant terms not explicitly mentioned in the user's query but present in their cognitive processing. In dense representations, brain-derived semantic features could be integrated directly into the embedding space, potentially improving the alignment between query vectors and users' intended search targets [109].

A more transformative approach involves bypassing explicit query formulation entirely through direct brain querying. As discussed, advanced neurophysiological decoding techniques have

demonstrated increasingly robust capabilities in extracting semantic information from brain signals [14, 83]. These advances suggest the possibility of implementing continuous pre-engagement monitoring, where systems actively process brain signals during normal task execution. Through established semantic decoding methods [29, 58, 101–103], these signals could be translated into dynamic query representations that more accurately reflect users' internal states. The technical implementation of BMI-enhanced querying presents several architectural possibilities. One approach involves mapping decoded brain patterns to existing semantic spaces used in modern retrieval models. This could involve training cross-modal encoders that align brain activity patterns with textual semantic representations [29]. Alternatively, retrieval models could be adapted to operate directly on brain signal patterns, potentially enabling more direct matching between cognitive states and document representations [19]. Recent work demonstrates the feasibility of training models to predict relevance judgments from brain signals [18], suggesting similar approaches could be applied to query formulation.

The integration of brain signals into query processing offers several distinct technical advantages. First, it enables more equitable information access by providing alternative interaction pathways for users with motor impairments or those who struggle with traditional text input [45, 72]. Second, it could enable a more precise capture of search intent, particularly valuable for complex or exploratory search tasks where users struggle with query articulation [52, 97]. Third, it could facilitate continuous query refinement based on unconscious relevance judgments, and shifting information needs [19, 64]. Fourth, it may allow automatic task adaptation through brain pattern classification, enabling systems to dynamically adjust retrieval strategies based on detected cognitive states [22, 31]. These capabilities are particularly relevant for scenarios involving complex information needs, where traditional query formulation often falls short [6, 47].

RD5: *Develop methods for effectively integrating neurophysiological signals into existing search engines, focusing on query enhancement, result re-ranking, and interface adaptation without requiring fundamental changes to system architecture.*

3.2.2 Enhancement of Recommender Systems. Recommender systems represent a critical component of modern information access [84], yet face persistent challenges in accurately modelling user preferences and providing timely, contextually relevant recommendations [26, 35]. Traditional approaches rely on historical interaction data and explicit user actions [7], creating fundamental limitations in their ability to capture emerging interests and immediate information needs [91, 94]. The integration of neurophysiological data offers promising opportunities to address these limitations through direct measurement of users' cognitive responses to recommendations [15, 18, 111] and real-time preference detection [31, 111].

Brain signals offer significant potential for advancing personalisation in recommender systems by addressing several fundamental limitations of current approaches [18, 31]. Contemporary personalisation systems face three critical challenges where BMI integration could provide transformative solutions. First, the inherent latency between a user's cognitive response and their observable

actions creates a significant temporal gap in adaptation mechanisms [23, 82]. This delay means that by the time traditional systems detect preference shifts through behavioural signals, the user's actual interests may have already evolved [94]. Second, behavioural indicators such as clicks and dwell time suffer from fundamental ambiguity - they may reflect genuine interest, confusion, or various forms of interaction bias, making it difficult to draw reliable conclusions about user preferences [34, 110]. Third, and perhaps most significantly, existing approaches lack the capability to measure the underlying cognitive context that shapes how users evaluate and process recommendations [36, 97], limiting their ability to provide truly context-aware personalisation [91].

Recent neuroscientific research has demonstrated robust approaches for addressing personalisation limitations through direct measurement of cognitive states during information interaction [18, 64]. Neuroimaging studies, particularly using fMRI, have identified specific activation patterns in the anterior cingulate cortex and prefrontal regions that correlate with preference formation and decision-making processes [61, 62]. These brain markers emerge rapidly, with studies showing reliable detection within 200–300ms post-stimulus [19, 73], significantly preceding observable behavioural responses. Complementary EEG research has further validated the feasibility of detecting preference-related signals during initial information exposure [3, 75], establishing a foundation for real-time preference modelling. A particularly promising application of these neurophysiological insights lies in addressing the cold-start problem, a persistent challenge in recommender systems [26, 94]. Traditional solutions rely on sparse initial interactions or demographic approximations, approaches that often fail to capture authentic user interests and preferences [7, 36]. Through the monitoring of cognitive responses during early system interactions, recommender systems could construct more accurate initial user models [15, 18]. This capability may have particular promise in domains where users possess limited domain expertise or struggle with preference articulation [6, 52], potentially enabling more rapid convergence on effective personalisation strategies [31].

Furthermore, real-time preference detection and adaptation are potential areas that may benefit from the integration of BMI systems [18, 31]. While contemporary systems have made significant advances through implicit feedback mechanisms [1, 34], they remain constrained by their reliance on observable behaviours, creating an inherent delay between preference formation and system adaptation [94]. BMI technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to bridge this gap through direct brain measurement of user responses [3, 22]. Prior art has demonstrated that brain signals can effectively differentiate between levels of user engagement, interest, and satisfaction [61, 75], often preceding observable behavioural indicators by several hundred milliseconds [19]. These capabilities suggest promising directions for developing more sophisticated and responsive recommendation algorithms that can adapt in real-time to users' cognitive states [15, 31]. Neurophysiological signal integration into recommender systems presents novel evaluation challenges that extend beyond traditional accuracy metrics [26, 80]. While established frameworks effectively measure ranking performance [32], they cannot adequately capture the cognitive benefits of neurophysiological enhancement [23, 36]. Future research must develop evaluation methodologies that assess both system

performance and cognitive alignment [18, 31], while addressing the technical complexities of real-time neurophysiological signal processing [51, 85].

RD6: *Pursue BMI-enhanced recommender systems, with particular focus on addressing cold-start challenges, enabling rapid response to evolving user interests, and developing novel evaluation frameworks that capture both algorithmic performance and cognitive alignment.*

Neurophysiological signal integration into recommender systems presents novel evaluation challenges that extend beyond traditional accuracy metrics [26, 80]. While established frameworks effectively measure ranking performance [32], they cannot adequately capture the cognitive benefits of neurophysiological enhancement [23, 36]. Future research must develop evaluation methodologies that assess both system performance and cognitive alignment [18, 31], while addressing the technical complexities of real-time neurophysiological signal processing [51, 85].

3.3 Neurophysiological Enabled IR Systems

While Section 3.2 addressed how brain signals can enhance existing IR paradigms, this section explores transformative new interaction models that fundamentally reimagine information access. Rather than merely augmenting conventional search and recommendation systems, these approaches establish entirely new interaction paradigms where neurophysiological activity becomes the primary communication channel between users and information systems. Recent BMI developments have demonstrated the viability of direct brain pathways for system control [76, 88], suggesting opportunities for novel search interfaces that operate beyond traditional input mechanisms. Building on these advances, we propose a comprehensive framework for neurophysiological IR systems encompassing three complementary approaches: BMI-controlled systems enabling direct thought-based interaction [8, 28], neuroadaptive systems that dynamically respond to users' cognitive states [18, 31], and neuroproactive systems that anticipate information needs before explicit expression [54, 63]. Together, these approaches represent not incremental improvements but a fundamental shift in human-information interaction paradigms [23, 94].

3.3.1 BMI-Controlled IR. BMI-controlled IR systems represent a direct application of BMI capabilities to search interaction [76, 100]. Recent advances in brain decoding have demonstrated increasingly sophisticated capabilities in translating brain signals into semantic representations [29, 58, 101, 102], enabling more natural query formulation [58, 109]. This advance is particularly significant as modern brain decoding techniques can capture nuanced semantic relationships [29, 102], potentially enabling more precise query expressions than traditional keyword-based approaches. BMI-control shows particular promise for complex information-seeking tasks that exceed the capabilities of traditional interfaces [47, 52]. However, implementing brain control for IR systems presents distinct technical challenges beyond basic interface manipulation [28, 51]. Search interaction requires more sophisticated control mechanisms to support result navigation, dynamic filtering, and precise selection [8, 88]. These capabilities must maintain reliability across varying cognitive states while enabling efficient information exploration [22, 31]. The integration of real-time error correction and feedback mechanisms becomes particularly critical for maintaining

search precision [79, 85]. Research demonstrates that brain control mechanisms can enable more intuitive information exploration through continuous content scanning and dynamic filtering [8, 76], yet achieving reliable and precise control for practical deployment presents significant technical hurdles [51, 85]. Several fundamental challenges must be addressed to realize BMI-controlled IR systems: developing robust decoding methods optimized for search interactions [79], maintaining control precision across varying cognitive states [88], and establishing comprehensive evaluation frameworks [92]. Critical to success is the integration of real-time error correction and feedback mechanisms that ensure system responsiveness while preserving user agency [18, 31]. Addressing these challenges could transform information access, enabling more natural and efficient human-information interaction [4, 53, 94].

RD7: *Design and evaluate novel direct brain-to-system interaction paradigms that enable hands-free search control, with particular emphasis on supporting users with motor impairments and optimizing the precision-versus-efficiency tradeoff in brain command interpretation.*

3.3.2 Neuroadaptive IR. Neuroadaptive IR systems introduce continuous cognitive state monitoring to enable dynamic optimization of search interfaces and retrieval strategies. These systems would aim to utilize real-time brain measurements to detect variations in cognitive load [22], uncertainty [62], and engagement [18], creating opportunities for precise adaptation of the search experience. This capability may enable search systems to respond to users' cognitive states as they evolve throughout the information-seeking process [30, 42, 56]. The adaptive mechanisms in these systems could operate across multiple dimensions of the search interaction. At the interface level, detection of increased cognitive load can trigger automatic adjustments to result presentation, including simplification of layouts, modification of information density, and provision of additional contextual support [21, 33, 39]. The adaptation extends to the underlying retrieval mechanisms, where the detection of user uncertainty may influence result diversity or trigger refinements to query understanding. A critical consideration in neuroadaptive systems is the significant variation in brain patterns and cognitive processing across users [51, 85]. Individual differences in brain signatures necessitate adaptive frameworks that can calibrate to each user's specific patterns while maintaining consistent performance [41, 92]. This personalization must address multiple system components, including signal interpretation, interface behaviour, and feedback mechanisms [7, 88, 91]. Early research demonstrates that neuroadaptive systems can effectively interpret brain signals to infer relevant judgments [18, 19] and detect cognitive load variations during search tasks [21, 39]. The development of neuroadaptive IR systems requires careful consideration of the temporal dynamics of cognitive state changes and the corresponding system responses. The challenge lies not only in the accurate detection of cognitive states but also in determining appropriate adaptation strategies that enhance rather than disrupt the search process. This includes maintaining a balance between system responsiveness and stability, ensuring that adaptations support rather than interfere with users' natural information-seeking behaviours.

RD8: *Create comprehensive frameworks for neuroadaptive IR systems that continuously monitor cognitive states, automatically adjust*

retrieval strategies, and dynamically modify interface elements while maintaining user agency and system explainability.

3.3.3 Neuroproactive IR. Neuroproactive IR systems introduce anticipatory information delivery capabilities by detecting and responding to information needs before their explicit expression [54, 56, 63]. Building on advances in brain decoding of semantic information during query formulation [29, 58, 109] (discussed in section 3.2.1), these systems may enable the identification of emerging information needs through continuous monitoring of brain signals, creating opportunities for pre-emptive information delivery. The approach offers particular value in time-sensitive contexts and complex tasks where maintaining cognitive flow directly impacts task performance [10, 21, 40].

The technical foundation of neuroproactive systems rests on two integrated processes: brain signature translation and contextually-aware information delivery. The first process involves continuous monitoring and interpretation of brain signals to identify patterns indicative of emerging information needs, leveraging the same semantic decoding techniques that enable enhanced query formulation [29, 58, 102]. The second process determines optimal timing and methods for information delivery based on the user's current cognitive state and task context [25, 97]. Research has demonstrated the technical feasibility of this approach, with studies showing successful detection of information needs through brain signals approximately 500ms before conscious awareness [19, 55, 63]. Beyond basic need detection, these systems show potential for assessing the urgency and complexity of information needs, enabling more sophisticated delivery strategies [54, 70].

Implementation of neuroproactive IR systems presents distinct technical challenges that require careful consideration. Signal processing in real-world environments must address various sources of noise and interference while maintaining reliable detection of relevant brain patterns [51]. Individual variations in brain signatures necessitate robust adaptation mechanisms to ensure consistent performance across users [85]. The development of appropriate evaluation frameworks presents another significant challenge, as traditional IR metrics focused on post-query performance cannot adequately assess pre-emptive information delivery. New evaluation approaches must consider brain-state alignment, cognitive load reduction, and the effectiveness of anticipatory information provision [80]. The ethical implications of neuroproactive systems require particular attention during development and deployment. The continuous monitoring of brain signals raises important questions about privacy and data protection, as these signals may contain sensitive personal information beyond immediate search-related patterns [88].

RD9: *Develop anticipatory IR systems that leverage brain signals to identify and satisfy emerging information needs.*

The success of neuroproactive IR systems ultimately depends on achieving an optimal balance between technical capability and human factors. While the challenges are significant, the potential benefits—including reduced cognitive load, improved task efficiency, and more intuitive information access for users with motor or cognitive impairments [45, 72]—justify continued research and development in this direction.

4 CHALLENGES OF BMI IN IR

The integration of BMI systems within IR presents transformative opportunities, yet realising this potential requires addressing several fundamental challenges. This section examines four core challenges that must be addressed to enable the practical implementation of BMI-enhanced IR systems.

Task-Specific Neuroimaging Challenges. The implementation of BMI-enhanced IR systems requires careful matching of neuroimaging capabilities to specific information-seeking tasks [76]. This presents unique challenges that go beyond basic technology selection, particularly when considering real-world deployment scenarios. A primary challenge lies in balancing measurement requirements across different search stages. Early-stage search processes, such as IN formation, require precise spatial localization to identify specific brain activation patterns [64]. However, later-stage processes like relevance assessment demand high temporal resolution to capture rapid cognitive state changes [19]. This temporal-spatial tradeoff becomes particularly acute when attempting to support complete search sessions, where both types of measurement may be necessary. System deployment contexts create additional constraints. Laboratory-grade technologies that provide optimal measurements often prove impractical in realistic search environments due to cost, infrastructure requirements, and user acceptance factors [57]. This creates a fundamental tension between measurement quality and practical usability that must be resolved for each specific application context. The challenge extends beyond single-technology solutions. While hybrid systems combining multiple neuroimaging modalities offer theoretical advantages, they introduce significant complexity in signal integration and real-time processing [88]. Questions of how to optimally combine and weight signals from different sources, particularly when they provide conflicting information, remain largely unresolved. These challenges are compounded by the diversity of search behaviours. Systems designed to support exploratory search may require sustained monitoring of cognitive load and engagement [22], while those focusing on rapid information lookup need to prioritize detection of short-term state changes [3]. Creating frameworks that can effectively match technology capabilities to these varied requirements while maintaining practical feasibility represents a critical research challenge.

RD10: *Optimize neuroimaging technology selection for IR tasks through systematic evaluation.*

Real-time Brain Signal Analysis for IR. The dynamic nature of information seeking creates unique challenges for processing brain signals in BMI-enhanced IR systems [51]. These challenges extend beyond general signal processing concerns to address specific requirements of search interaction. Analysing brain signals during active search requires distinguishing between task-relevant cognitive processes and incidental brain activity. For example, when users examine search results, eye movements and reading-related brain patterns can mask the subtle signatures associated with relevance judgments [22]. Traditional artefact removal techniques often eliminate potentially valuable information about natural search behaviours, creating a tension between signal clean-up and preservation of meaningful search-related patterns. The temporal structure of search interactions presents particular processing challenges.

Different search stages - from query formulation to result examination - occur at varying time scales and generate distinct brain patterns [19]. Processing approaches must adapt to these changing temporal dynamics while maintaining consistent performance. This becomes especially challenging when users rapidly switch between search sub-tasks, requiring systems to detect and process overlapping brain signatures. Current machine learning approaches for brain signal processing, while powerful, face significant limitations in IR contexts. Deep learning models have shown promise for decoding search-related brain patterns [79], but their computational requirements often conflict with the need for real-time processing. Additionally, these models typically assume relatively stable brain signatures, whereas search behaviour can produce highly variable patterns depending on task complexity and user expertise [64]. The need to maintain system responsiveness while ensuring signal quality creates particular challenges for BMI-enhanced IR.

RD11: *Advance real-time brain signal processing techniques optimised for search interaction patterns.*

Evaluation Framework Development. The development and evaluation of BMI-enhanced IR systems are currently hampered by a lack of standardised, publicly available datasets that combine brain and search interaction data. Unlike traditional IR, where large-scale evaluation collections enable reproducible research, the collection of brain data during search tasks requires specialised equipment and careful experimental protocols. This has resulted in small, often incompatible datasets that limit the development of generalizable approaches. Furthermore, existing IR evaluation metrics may not adequately capture the cognitive benefits that BMI enhancement could provide. Traditional metrics focus on retrieval effectiveness and user satisfaction but may not reflect improvements in cognitive load reduction or the quality of proactive information delivery. This necessitates the development of new evaluation frameworks that can assess both traditional retrieval effectiveness and cognitive support capabilities [21]. The temporal nature of brain signals also presents unique challenges for evaluation. While traditional IR metrics often focus on discrete events like clicks or query submissions, brain signals provide continuous data streams that require new approaches to measurement and evaluation. Additionally, the relationship between brain states and search satisfaction may not be linear or easily quantifiable, requiring more sophisticated evaluation approaches.

RD12: *Establish ethical frameworks and privacy protocols for BMI-enhanced information seeking.*

Privacy and Agency in BMI-Enhanced Search. The integration of brain measurements into IR systems introduces fundamental privacy and ethical challenges that go beyond traditional concerns about search data protection [88]. These challenges emerge from the unique nature of brain signals in information seeking contexts. Search behaviour inherently reveals users' knowledge gaps, interests, and decision-making processes. For example, brain signals could reveal uncertainty or confusion about search results before users consciously recognize these states [63], raising questions about the boundaries between observable behaviour and private cognitive processes. The proactive capabilities enabled by brain measurements create particular ethical tensions in IR contexts. While early detection of information needs could improve search efficiency [18], it also raises concerns about system influence over

users' natural information-seeking patterns. This becomes especially critical in scenarios where users are exploring new topics or forming opinions, as system interventions based on brain signals could potentially shape users' learning trajectories and belief formation. Search history has traditionally been considered sensitive personal data, but brain signals from search interactions add new dimensions to privacy considerations. These signals may reveal not just what information users seek, but their emotional responses, cognitive biases, and decision-making patterns during search [22]. This richness of personal data creates new risks for potential misuse, particularly in contexts where search behaviour might reveal sensitive personal characteristics or vulnerabilities. The long-term implications of BMI-enhanced search systems raise additional ethical concerns. Regular exposure to systems that can detect and respond to cognitive states may alter how users approach information seeking, potentially creating dependency on BMI-enhanced features or changing natural learning and discovery processes [31]. These effects could be particularly significant for developing minds or in educational contexts.

RD13: *Develop comprehensive evaluation methodologies for BMI-enhanced IR systems.*

5 SUMMARY

This perspective paper has explored the transformative potential of BMIs in advancing IR beyond its current limitations. While traditional IR systems rely on explicit user input—queries, clicks, and interaction signals—to infer intent, they remain inherently reactive and unable to capture the deeper cognitive dimensions of information seeking. This constraint is particularly evident in high-complexity domains and among users who struggle to articulate their needs due to cognitive or physical barriers. By integrating BMI technologies, IR systems can transition from passive, interaction-driven models to proactive, cognition-aware retrieval. Neuroscientific research has demonstrated that search behaviours, including query formulation, relevance assessment, and cognitive load, are directly linked to measurable brain activity. Empirical findings suggest that BMI-enhanced IR models could refine search results dynamically, predict user frustration, and even anticipate emerging information needs before they are explicitly formulated. These capabilities have the potential to redefine IR, making search systems more intuitive, adaptive, and accessible.

BMI-powered IR presents a wealth of opportunities for future research and development. To fully realise the potential of cognition-aware search, foundational work must continue in understanding the neuroscience underlying IR, including the brain mechanisms involved in information seeking, decision-making, and relevance assessment. The opportunities identified through BMI integration directly address fundamental limitations in current IR methodologies. First, traditional IR systems struggle with accessibility, excluding users with motor impairments or those who find text-based interaction challenging. Second, current approaches cannot effectively handle ill-defined information needs, where users struggle to articulate their requirements through conventional queries. Third, existing IR methods rely on indirect behavioural signals that often misalign with users' true internal cognitive states, creating a persistent gap between system understanding and actual user

intent. BMI integration offers promising solutions to these limitations through direct brain measurement, enabling more inclusive, intuitive, and cognitively-aligned information access. Equally important is the seamless integration of BMI with existing IR models, enabling systems to enhance their performance through real-time cognitive feedback. Furthermore, the development of entirely new IR paradigms designed to unlock the full potential of brain-driven search interactions could lead to fundamental advancements in human-information interaction.

This paper has outlined critical research directions that must be pursued to bridge these gaps, including the refinement of neurophysiological models for IR, the design of scalable and ethical brain-sensing technologies, and the implementation of cognition-aware retrieval frameworks. Despite these promising advancements, significant challenges remain. The integration of BMI into IR requires overcoming technical hurdles such as low signal-to-noise ratios in brain data, variability in brain responses across individuals, and the need for real-time processing. Additionally, ethical and privacy considerations surrounding brain data must be carefully addressed to ensure the responsible development and deployment of cognition-aware search systems. Future research must also establish robust interdisciplinary collaborations across IR, neuroscience, machine learning, and human-computer interaction to develop scalable, interpretable, and user-centric BMI-driven retrieval models. Ultimately, the integration of BMI into IR is not merely an enhancement of existing IR systems. It represents a fundamental transition in how humans interact with information. As BMI technology continues to evolve, IR systems will no longer be confined to explicit inputs but will instead become cognition-aware assistants capable of anticipating and responding to information needs at the level of thought itself. By setting forth this perspective, we provide a roadmap for the next generation of proactive IR systems, outlining the opportunities, challenges, and research directions needed to realise the full potential of cognition-aware search.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eugene Agichtein, Eric Brill, and Susan Dumais. 2006. Improving web search ranking by incorporating user behavior information. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*. 19–26.
- [2] Soraia M Alarcão and Manuel J Fonseca. 2017. Emotions recognition using EEG signals: A survey. *IEEE transactions on affective computing* 10, 3 (2017), 374–393.
- [3] Marco Allegretti, Yashar Moshfeghi, Maria Hadjigeorgieva, Frank E Pollick, Joemon M Jose, and Gabriella Pasi. 2015. When relevance judgement is happening? An EEG-based study. In *Proceedings of the 38th international acm sigir conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 719–722.
- [4] Ioannis Arapakis, Miguel Barreda-Ángeles, and Alexandre Pereda-Baños. 2019. Interest as a Proxy of Engagement in News Reading: Spectral and Entropy Analyses of EEG Activity Patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* 10, 1 (2019), 100–114. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAFFC.2017.2682089>
- [5] Ricardo Baeza-Yates, Berthier Ribeiro-Neto, et al. 1999. *Modern information retrieval*. Vol. 463. ACM press New York.
- [6] Nicholas J Belkin, Robert N Oddy, and Helen M Brooks. 1982. ASK for information retrieval: Part I. Background and theory. *Journal of documentation* 38, 2 (1982), 61–71.
- [7] Paul N Bennett, Ryan W White, Wei Chu, Susan T Dumais, Peter Bailey, Fedor Borisyuk, and Xiaoyuan Cui. 2012. Modeling the impact of short-and long-term behavior on search personalization. In *Proceedings of the 35th international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*. 185–194.
- [8] Benjamin Blankertz, Laura Acqualagna, Sven Dähne, Stefan Haufe, Matthias Schultze-Kraft, Irene Sturm, Marija Ušćumlic, Markus A Wenzel, Gabriel Curio, and Klaus-Robert Müller. 2016. The Berlin brain-computer interface: progress beyond communication and control. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 10 (2016), 530.
- [9] Xuesong Chen, Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Yiqun Liu, Xiaorong Gao, Weihang Su, Shuqi Zhu, Yike Sun, Min Zhang, and Shaoping Ma. 2022. Web Search via an Efficient and Effective Brain-Machine Interface. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining* (Virtual Event, AZ, USA) (WSDM '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1569–1572. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3488560.3502185>
- [10] Anita Crescenzi. 2014. Too little time? Time constraints and time pressure in information search. In *Proceedings of the 5th Information Interaction in Context Symposium*. 342–344.
- [11] W Bruce Croft, Stephen Cronen-Townsend, and Victor Lavrenko. 2001. Relevance Feedback and Personalization: A Language Modeling Perspective.. In *DELOS*. Citeseer.
- [12] Edward Cutrell and Zhiwei Guan. 2007. What are you looking for? An eye-tracking study of information usage in web search. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. 407–416.
- [13] Fernando Lopes da Silva. 2013. EEG and MEG: relevance to neuroscience. *Neuron* 80, 5 (2013), 1112–1128.
- [14] Stéphane d'Ascoli, Corentin Bel, Jérémy Rapin, Hubert Banville, Yohann Benchetrit, Christophe Pallier, and Jean-Rémi King. 2024. Decoding individual words from non-invasive brain recordings across 723 participants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.17829* (2024).
- [15] Keith M Davis III, Michiel Spapé, and Tuukka Ruotsalo. 2021. Collaborative filtering with preferences inferred from brain signals. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*. 602–611.
- [16] Fiorella Del Popolo Cristaldi, Giovanni Mento, Michela Sarlo, and Giulia Buodo. 2021. Dealing with uncertainty: A high-density EEG investigation on how intolerance of uncertainty affects emotional predictions. *PLoS one* 16, 7 (2021), e0254045.
- [17] Yashar Deldjoo, Johanne R Trippas, and Hamed Zamani. 2021. Towards multimodal conversational information seeking. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in Information Retrieval*. 1577–1587.
- [18] Manuel JA Eugster, Tuukka Ruotsalo, Michiel M Spapé, Oswald Barral, Niklas Ravaja, Giulio Jacucci, and Samuel Kaski. 2016. Natural brain-information interfaces: Recommending information by relevance inferred from human brain signals. *Scientific reports* 6, 1 (2016), 38580.
- [19] Manuel JA Eugster, Tuukka Ruotsalo, Michiel M Spapé, Ilkka Kosunen, Oswald Barral, Niklas Ravaja, Giulio Jacucci, and Samuel Kaski. 2014. Predicting term-relevance from brain signals. In *Proceedings of the 37th international ACM SIGIR conference on Research & development in information retrieval*. 425–434.
- [20] Maxence Gaillard. 2017. “Invasive” and “Non-invasive” Technologies in Neuroscience Communication. *BioéthiqueOnline* 6 (2017).
- [21] Jacek Gwizdzka. 2010. Distribution of cognitive load in web search. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 61, 11 (2010), 2167–2187.
- [22] Jacek Gwizdzka, Rahilsadat Hosseini, Michael Cole, and Shouyi Wang. 2017. Temporal dynamics of eye-tracking and EEG during reading and relevance decisions. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 68, 10 (2017), 2299–2312.
- [23] Jacek Gwizdzka, Yashar Moshfeghi, and Max L Wilson. 2019. Introduction to the special issue on neuro? information science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 70, 9 (2019).
- [24] Jacek Gwizdzka, Javed Mostafa, Yashar Moshfeghi, Ofer Bergman, and Frank E Pollick. 2013. Applications of neuroimaging in information science: Challenges and opportunities. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 50, 1 (2013), 1–4.
- [25] Ahmed Hassan, Ryan W White, Susan T Dumais, and Yi-Min Wang. 2014. Struggling or exploring? Disambiguating long search sessions. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*. 53–62.
- [26] Jonathan L Herlocker, Joseph A Konstan, Loren G Terveen, and John T Riedl. 2004. Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)* 22, 1 (2004), 5–53.
- [27] N Jeremy Hill, Disha Gupta, Peter Brunner, Aysegül Gunduz, Matthew A Adamo, Anthony Ritaccio, and Gerwin Schalk. 2012. Recording human electrocorticographic (ECoG) signals for neuroscientific research and real-time functional cortical mapping. *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)* 64 (2012), e3993.
- [28] Leigh R Hochberg, Daniel Bacher, Beata Jarosiewicz, Nicolas Y Masse, John D Simeral, Joern Vogel, Sami Haddadin, Jie Liu, Sydney S Cash, Patrick Van Der Smagt, et al. 2012. Reach and grasp by people with tetraplegia using a neurally controlled robotic arm. *Nature* 485, 7398 (2012), 372–375.
- [29] Alexander G Huth, Wendy A De Heer, Thomas L Griffiths, Frédéric E Theunissen, and Jack L Gallant. 2016. Natural speech reveals the semantic maps that tile human cerebral cortex. *Nature* 532, 7600 (2016), 453–458.
- [30] Peter Ingwersen. 1996. Cognitive perspectives of information retrieval interaction: elements of a cognitive IR theory. *Journal of documentation* 52, 1 (1996), 3–50.
- [31] Giulio Jacucci, Oswald Barral, Pedram Daei, Markus Wenzel, Baris Serim, Tuukka Ruotsalo, Patrik Pluchino, Jonathan Freeman, Luciano Gamberini, Samuel Kaski, et al. 2019. Integrating neurophysiologic relevance feedback in intent modeling for information retrieval. *Journal of the Association for*

- Information Science and Technology* 70, 9 (2019), 917–930.
- [32] Kalervo Järvelin and Jaana Kekäläinen. 2002. Cumulated gain-based evaluation of IR techniques. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)* 20, 4 (2002), 422–446.
 - [33] Kaixin Ji, Danula Hettiachchi, Flora D Salim, Falk Scholer, and Damiano Spina. 2024. Characterizing information seeking processes with multiple physiological signals. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1006–1017.
 - [34] Thorsten Joachims, Laura Granka, Bing Pan, Helene Hembrooke, and Geri Gay. 2017. Accurately interpreting clickthrough data as implicit feedback. In *Acm Sigir Forum*, Vol. 51. Acm New York, NY, USA, 4–11.
 - [35] Alexandros Karatzoglou, Xavier Amatriain, Linas Baltrunas, and Nuria Oliver. 2010. Multiverse recommendation: n-dimensional tensor factorization for context-aware collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the fourth ACM conference on Recommender systems*. 79–86.
 - [36] Diane Kelly et al. 2009. Methods for evaluating interactive information retrieval systems with users. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval* 3, 1–2 (2009), 1–224.
 - [37] Beomsue Kim, Hongmin Kim, Songhui Kim, and Young-ran Hwang. 2021. A brief review of non-invasive brain imaging technologies and the near-infrared optical bioimaging. *Applied Microscopy* 51, 1 (2021), 9.
 - [38] Robert Kim, Sangwan Kim, and Prianka M Musa. 2018. When does comparability better enhance relevance? Policy implications from empirical evidence. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 37, 5 (2018), 436–457.
 - [39] Kunjira Kingphai and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2021. On EEG preprocessing role in deep learning effectiveness for mental workload classification. In *Human Mental Workload: Models and Applications: 5th International Symposium, H-WORKLOAD 2021, Virtual Event, November 24–26, 2021, Proceedings 5*. Springer, 81–98.
 - [40] Michael T Knierim, Fabio Stano, Fabio Kurz, Antonius Heusch, and Max L Wilson. 2025. Exploring Flow in Real-World Knowledge Work Using Discreet cEEGrid Sensors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.19217* (2025).
 - [41] Demetres Kostas, Stephane Aroca-Ouellette, and Frank Rudzicz. 2021. BENDR: Using transformers and a contrastive self-supervised learning task to learn from massive amounts of EEG data. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 15 (2021), 653659.
 - [42] Carol Collier Kuhlthau. 2004. *Seeking meaning: A process approach to library and information services*. Vol. 2. Libraries Unlimited Westport, CT.
 - [43] Carol Collier Kuhlthau. 2005. Information search process. *Hong Kong, China* 7, 2005 (2005), 226.
 - [44] Victor Lavrenko and W Bruce Croft. 2017. Relevance-based language models. In *ACM SIGIR Forum*, Vol. 51. ACM New York, NY, USA, 260–267.
 - [45] Ioulietta Lazarou, Spiros Nikolopoulos, Panagiotis C Petrantonakis, Ioannis Kompatsiaris, and Magda Tsolaki. 2018. EEG-based brain-computer interfaces for communication and rehabilitation of people with motor impairment: a novel approach of the 21 st Century. *Frontiers in human neuroscience* 12 (2018), 14.
 - [46] Mikhail A Lebedev and Miguel AL Nicolelis. 2017. Brain-machine interfaces: from basic science to neuroprostheses and neurorehabilitation. *Physiological reviews* 97, 2 (2017), 767–837.
 - [47] Yuelin Li and Nicholas J Belkin. 2008. A faceted approach to conceptualizing tasks in information seeking. *Information processing & management* 44, 6 (2008), 1822–1837.
 - [48] Jimmy Lin, Rodrigo Nogueira, and Andrew Yates. 2021. Pretrained Transformers for Text Ranking: BERT and Beyond. *arXiv:2010.06467 [cs.LG]* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06467>
 - [49] Yiqun Liu, Jiaxin Mao, Xiaohui Xie, Min Zhang, and Shaoping Ma. 2021. Challenges in designing a brain-machine search interface. 54, 2 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3483382.3483387%7D>,doi={10.1145/3483382.3483387},journal={SIGIRForum},month=aug,artcieno={3},numpages={13}
 - [50] Miguel Angel Lopez-Gordo, Daniel Sanchez-Morillo, and F Pelayo Valle. 2014. Dry EEG electrodes. *Sensors* 14, 7 (2014), 12847–12870.
 - [51] Fabien Lotte, Laurent Bougrain, Andrzej Cichocki, Maureen Clerc, Marco Congedo, Alain Rakotomamonjy, and Florian Yger. 2018. A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain-computer interfaces: a 10 year update. *Journal of neural engineering* 15, 3 (2018), 031005.
 - [52] Gary Marchionini. 2006. Exploratory search: from finding to understanding. *Commun. ACM* 49, 4 (2006), 41–46.
 - [53] Gary Marchionini. 2008. Human-information interaction research and development. *Library & information science research* 30, 3 (2008), 165–174.
 - [54] Niall McGuire and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2024. Prediction of the realisation of an information need: an EEG study. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 2584–2588.
 - [55] Dominika Michalkova, Mario Parra-Rodriguez, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2022. Information need awareness: an EEG study. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 610–621.
 - [56] Dominika Michalkova, Mario Parra Rodriguez, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2024. Understanding feeling-of-knowing in information search: an EEG study. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 3 (2024), 1–30.
 - [57] Vojkan Mihajlovic, Bernard Grundlehner, Ruud Vullers, and Julien Penders. 2015. Wearable, wireless EEG solutions in daily life applications: what are we missing? *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics* 19, 1 (2015), 6–21.
 - [58] Tom M Mitchell, Svetlana V Shinkareva, Andrew Carlson, Kai-Min Chang, Vicente L Malave, Robert A Mason, and Marcel Adam Just. 2008. Predicting human brain activity associated with the meanings of nouns. *science* 320, 5880 (2008), 1191–1195.
 - [59] Fengran Mo, Kelong Mao, Ziliang Zhao, Hongjin Qian, Haonan Chen, Yiruo Cheng, Xiaoxi Li, Yutao Zhu, Zhicheng Dou, and Jian-Yun Nie. 2024. A survey of conversational search. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.15576* (2024).
 - [60] Yashar Moshfeghi. 2021. Neurasearch: Neuroscience and information retrieval. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 2950. 193–194.
 - [61] Yashar Moshfeghi and Joemon M Jose. 2013. On cognition, emotion, and interaction aspects of search tasks with different search intentions. In *Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on World Wide Web*. 931–942.
 - [62] Yashar Moshfeghi, Luisa R Pinto, Frank E Pollick, and Joemon M Jose. 2013. Understanding relevance: An fMRI study. In *Advances in Information Retrieval: 35th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2013, Moscow, Russia, March 24–27, 2013. Proceedings 35*. Springer, 14–25.
 - [63] Yashar Moshfeghi, Peter Triantafillou, and Frank Pollick. 2019. Towards predicting a realisation of an information need based on brain signals. In *The world wide web conference*. 1300–1309.
 - [64] Yashar Moshfeghi, Peter Triantafillou, and Frank E Pollick. 2016. Understanding information need: An fMRI study. In *Proceedings of the 39th International ACM SIGIR conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 335–344.
 - [65] Javed Mostafa and Jacek Gwizdzka. 2016. Deepening the role of the user: Neuro-physiological evidence as a basis for studying and improving search. In *Proceedings of the 2016 acm on conference on human information interaction and retrieval*. 63–70.
 - [66] Luis Fernando Nicolas-Alonso and Jaime Gomez-Gil. 2012. Brain computer interfaces, a review. *sensors* 12, 2 (2012), 1211–1279.
 - [67] Guiomar Niso, Elena Romero, Jeremy T Moreau, Alvaro Araujo, and Laurens R Krol. 2023. Wireless EEG: A survey of systems and studies. *NeuroImage* 269 (2023), 119774.
 - [68] Rodrigo Nogueira and Kyunghyun Cho. 2019. Passage Re-ranking with BERT. *ArXiv abs/1901.04085* (2019). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:58004692>
 - [69] Rodrigo Nogueira, Wei Yang, Jimmy Lin, and Kyunghyun Cho. 2019. Document expansion by query prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.08375* (2019).
 - [70] Sakraee Paisalnan, Frank Pollick, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2021. Towards understanding neuroscience of realisation of information need in light of relevance and satisfaction judgement. In *International Conference on Machine Learning, Optimization, and Data Science*. Springer, 41–56.
 - [71] Sakraee Paisalnan, Frank Pollick, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2022. Neural correlates of satisfaction of an information need. In *International Conference on Machine Learning, Optimization, and Data Science*. Springer, 443–457.
 - [72] Emanuele Pasqualotto, Tamara Matuz, Stefano Federici, Carolin A Ruf, Mathias Bartl, Marta Olivetti Belardinelli, Niels Birbaumer, and Sebastian Halder. 2015. Usability and workload of access technology for people with severe motor impairment: A comparison of brain-computer interfacing and eye tracking. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair* 29, 10 (2015), 950–957.
 - [73] Zuzana Pinkosova, William J McGeown, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2020. The cortical activity of graded relevance. In *Proceedings of the 43rd international acm sigir conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 299–308.
 - [74] Zuzana Pinkosova, William J McGeown, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2022. Revisiting neurological aspects of relevance: An EEG study. In *International Conference on Machine Learning, Optimization, and Data Science*. Springer, 549–563.
 - [75] Zuzana Pinkosova, William J McGeown, and Yashar Moshfeghi. 2023. Moderating effects of self-perceived knowledge in a relevance assessment task: An EEG study. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports* 11 (2023), 100295.
 - [76] Rabie A Ramadan and Athanasios V Vasilakos. 2017. Brain computer interface: control signals review. *Neurocomputing* 223 (2017), 26–44.
 - [77] Stephen Robertson, Hugo Zaragoza, et al. 2009. The probabilistic relevance framework: BM25 and beyond. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval* 3, 4 (2009), 333–389.
 - [78] JJ Rocchio. 1971. Relevance feedback in information retrieval. *The SMART Retrieval System-Experiments in Automatic Document Processing/Prentice Hall* (1971).
 - [79] Yannick Roy, Hubert Banville, Isabela Albuquerque, Alexandre Gramfort, Tiago H Falk, and Jocelyn Faubert. 2019. Deep learning-based electroencephalography analysis: a systematic review. *Journal of neural engineering* 16, 5 (2019), 051001.
 - [80] Mark Sanderson et al. 2010. Test collection based evaluation of information retrieval systems. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval* 4, 4 (2010), 247–375.
 - [81] Tefko Saracevic. 1996. Relevance reconsidered. In *Proceedings of the second conference on conceptions of library and information science (CoLIS 2)*. 201–218.

- [82] Tefko Saracevic. 1997. The stratified model of information retrieval interaction: Extension and applications. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting-american society for information science*, Vol. 34. Learned Information (Europe) Ltd, 313–327.
- [83] Motoshige Sato, Kenichi Tomeoka, Ilya Horiguchi, Kai Arulkumaran, Ryota Kanai, and Shuntaro Sasai. 2024. Scaling law in neural data: Non-invasive speech decoding with 175 hours of eeg data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07595* (2024).
- [84] J. Ben Schafer, Dan Frankowski, Jonathan L. Herlocker, and Shilad Sen. 2007. Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems. In *The Adaptive Web*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14231524>
- [85] Robin Tibor Schirmer, Jost Tobias Springenberg, Lukas Dominique Josef Fiederer, Martin Glasstetter, Katharina Eggensperger, Michael Tangermann, Frank Hutter, Wolfram Burgard, and Tonio Ball. 2017. Deep learning with convolutional neural networks for EEG decoding and visualization. *Human brain mapping* 38, 11 (2017), 5391–5420.
- [86] Clemencia Siro, Mohammad Aliannejadi, and Maarten de Rijke. 2022. Understanding user satisfaction with task-oriented dialogue systems. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 2018–2023.
- [87] Ranganatha Sitaram, Andrea Caria, Ralf Veit, Tilman Gaber, Giuseppina Rota, Andrea Kuebler, and Niels Birbaumer. 2007. fMRI brain-computer interface: A tool for neuroscientific research and treatment. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience* 2007, 1 (2007), 025487.
- [88] Ranganatha Sitaram, Tomas Ros, Luke Stoeckel, Sven Haller, Frank Scharnowski, Jarrod Lewis-Peacock, Nikolaus Weiskopf, Maria Laura Blefari, Mohit Rana, Ethan Oblak, et al. 2017. Closed-loop brain training: the science of neurofeedback. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 18, 2 (2017), 86–100.
- [89] Stephen M Smith. 2004. Overview of fMRI analysis. *The British Journal of Radiology* 77, suppl_2 (2004), S167–S175.
- [90] Robert S Taylor. 1968. Question-negotiation and information seeking in libraries. *College & research libraries* 29, 3 (1968), 178–194.
- [91] Jaime Teevan, Susan T Dumais, and Eric Horvitz. 2010. Potential for personalization. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 17, 1 (2010), 1–31.
- [92] Andrius Vabalas, Emma Gowen, Ellen Poliakoff, and Alexander J Casson. 2020. Machine learning approaches for the prediction of cognitive states using brain-computer interface applications in healthy aging. *Frontiers in human neuroscience* 14 (2020), 31.
- [93] Hongning Wang, Yang Song, Ming-Wei Chang, Xiaodong He, Ahmed Hassan, and Ryen W White. 2014. Modeling action-level satisfaction for search task satisfaction prediction. In *Proceedings of the 37th international ACM SIGIR conference on Research & development in information retrieval*. 123–132.
- [94] Ryen W White, Wei Chu, Ahmed Hassan, Xiaodong He, Yang Song, and Hongning Wang. 2013. Enhancing personalized search by mining and modeling task behavior. In *Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on World Wide Web*. 1411–1420.
- [95] Ryen W White and Steven M Drucker. 2007. Investigating behavioral variability in web search. In *Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web*. 21–30.
- [96] Ryen W White and Susan T Dumais. 2009. Characterizing and predicting search engine switching behavior. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management*. 87–96.
- [97] Ryen W White and Resa A Roth. 2009. *Exploratory search: Beyond the query-response paradigm*. Number 3. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- [98] John P Wikswo Jr, Alan Gevins, and Samuel J Williamson. 1993. The future of the EEG and MEG. *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology* 87, 1 (1993), 1–9.
- [99] Thomas D Wilson. 2000. Human information behavior. *Informing science* 3 (2000), 49.
- [100] Jonathan R Wolpaw, Niels Birbaumer, Dennis J McFarland, Gert Pfurtscheller, and Theresa M Vaughan. 2002. Brain–computer interfaces for communication and control. *Clinical neurophysiology* 113, 6 (2002), 767–791.
- [101] Yiqian Yang, Yiqun Duan, Qiang Zhang, Hyejeong Jo, Jinni Zhou, Won Hee Lee, Renjing Xu, and Hui Xiong. 2024. NeuSpeech: Decode Neural signal as Speech. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268248030>
- [102] Yiqian Yang, Hyejeong Jo, Yiqun Duan, Qiang Zhang, Jinni Zhou, Won Hee Lee, Renjing Xu, and Hui Xiong. 2024. MAD: Multi-Alignment MEG-to-Text Decoding. *ArXiv abs/2406.01512* (2024). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270211094>
- [103] Ziyi Ye, Qingyao Ai, Yiqun Liu, Min Zhang, Christina Lioma, and Tuukka Ruotsalo. 2023. Language generation from human brain activities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09889* (2023).
- [104] Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Qingyao Ai, Yiqun Liu, Zhihong Wang, Weihang Su, and Min Zhang. 2023. Relevance Feedback with Brain Signals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05669* (2023).
- [105] Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Qingyao Ai, Yiqun Liu, Zhihong Wang, Weihang Su, and Min Zhang. 2024. Relevance Feedback with Brain Signals. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 4 (2024), 1–37.
- [106] Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Yiqun Liu, Zhihong Wang, Xuesong Chen, Min Zhang, and Shaoping Ma. 2022. Brain Topography Adaptive Network for Satisfaction Modeling in Interactive Information Access System. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3503161.3548258>,booktitle={Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia},pages={90a–100},numpages={11},keywords={eegsignalprocessing, recommendation, satisfaction modeling, search},location={Lisboa, Portugal},series={MM'22}
- [107] Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Yiqun Liu, Zhihong Wang, Xuesong Chen, Min Zhang, and Shaoping Ma. 2022. Towards a Better Understanding of Human Reading Comprehension with Brain Signals. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3485447.3511966>,booktitle={Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022},pages={380a–391},numpages={12},keywords={Answer Extraction, Brain Signals, EEG, Reading Comprehension, Relevance Prediction},location={Virtual Event, Lyon, France},series={WWW'22}
- [108] Ziyi Ye, Xiaohui Xie, Yiqun Liu, Zhihong Wang, Xuancheng Li, Jiaji Li, Xuesong Chen, Min Zhang, and Shaoping Ma. 2022. Why Don't You Click: Understanding Non-Click Results in Web Search with Brain Signals. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (Madrid, Spain) (SIGIR '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 633–645. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3477495.3532082>
- [109] Ziyi Ye, Jingtao Zhan, Qingyao Ai, Yiqun Liu, Maarten de Rijke, Christina Lioma, and Tuukka Ruotsalo. 2024. Query augmentation by decoding semantics from brain signals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15708* (2024).
- [110] Emine Yilmaz, Manisha Verma, Nick Craswell, Filip Radlinski, and Peter Bailey. 2014. Relevance and effort: An analysis of document utility. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM international conference on conference on information and knowledge management*. 91–100.
- [111] Shaorun Zhang, Zhiyu He, Ziyi Ye, Peijie Sun, Qingyao Ai, Min Zhang, and Yiqun Liu. 2024. Eeg-svrec: An eeg dataset with user multidimensional affective engagement labels in short video recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 698–708.