

## 

**Citation:** Sefah IA, Quagraine AM, Kurdi A, Mudenda S, Godman B (2024) Audit of antibiotic utilization patterns and practice for common eye infections at the ambulatory clinic of a teaching hospital in Ghana: Findings and implications. PLoS ONE 19(10): e0313019. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0313019

Editor: Kwame Kumi Asare, University of Cape Coast College of Health and Allied Sciences, GHANA

Received: June 28, 2024

Accepted: October 16, 2024

Published: October 29, 2024

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0313019

**Copyright:** © 2024 Sefah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are held in the data

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Audit of antibiotic utilization patterns and practice for common eye infections at the ambulatory clinic of a teaching hospital in Ghana: Findings and implications

Israel Abebrese Sefah<sup>1</sup>\*, Anthony Martin Quagraine<sup>1</sup>, Amanj Kurdi<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Steward Mudenda<sup>6</sup>, Brian Godman<sup>3,4</sup>

 Pharmacy Practice Department, School of Pharmacy, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Volta Region, Ho, Ghana, 2 College of Pharmacy, Al-Kitab University, Kirkuk, Iraq, 3 Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science (SIPBS), University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom,
Department of Public Health Pharmacy and Management, School of Pharmacy, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Ga-Rankuwa, South Africa, 5 College of Pharmacy, Hawler Medical University, Kurdistan Region, Erbil, Iraq, 6 Department of Pharmacy, School of Health Sciences, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia

\* isefah@uhas.edu.gh

## Abstract

## Background

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a serious public health issue which is exacerbated by increased inappropriate use of antibiotics for common eye infections. This cross sectional survey was to assess the appropriate use of antibiotics for eye infections in an ambulatory clinic in Ghana and possible determinants.

## Method

The medical records of all patients who sought eye care between January 2022 to December 2022 and were prescribed antibiotics were extracted from the hospital's electronic database. Descriptive, bivariate and multivariate analyses were then conducted.

## Results

A total of 1925 patient medical records were extracted, whose median age was 40 years (IQR 26–69), and were mostly females (58.91%, n = 1134/1925). The eye condition commonly treated with antibiotics was bacteria conjunctivitis (33.51%, n = 645/1925). The most prescribed antibiotic was gentamycin (22.96%, n = 442/1925) followed by ciprofloxacin (16.78%, n = 321/1925). These were mostly topical dosage forms (82.13%, n = 1581/1925). Systemic antibiotics prescribed were mostly from the WHO 'Access' class (83.33%, n = 280/338). The appropriate choice of antibiotic prescribed was 42.44% (n = 817/1925) and this was positivity associated with age (p<0.001), number of antibiotics prescribed (p <0.001), the prescription of topical dosage forms (p <0.001), and WHO 'Access' antibiotic class (p <0.034).

repository of Infectious Disease Data Observatory (https://www.iddo.org/ & https://share.iddo.org/ mycontributions).

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Conclusion

The level of appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions for eye infections was sub-optimal. Antimicrobial stewardship programs, including prescriber education on guidelines and prescription audit to address associated factors, must now be instigated in this hospital to improve future antibiotic use and prevent the rise of AMR.

## Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a serious public health issue due to its impact on morbidity, mortality and healthcare cost worldwide [1-3]. AMR could be exacerbated by self-treatment with antibiotics including both oral and topical antibiotics for common eye infections, usually accessible from over-the counter drug stores and pharmacies without a prescription [3, 4]. AMR may also arise from inappropriate prescribing of broad spectrum antibiotics, especially systemic antibiotics, for viral causes and self-limiting forms of the common bacterial eye infections [5, 6]. There have been increasing reports of resistance to some antibiotics used for the management of common bacterial eye infections, which has led to reliance on newer generations of antibiotics further increasing the risk of AMR [7-9].

Damage to the eye due to infections is associated with increased morbidity especially at ambulatory clinics worldwide [10, 11]. Infection and subsequent inflammation of the eye may result in permanent disability such as blindness if prompt and effective therapy, including antibiotics where pertinent, is not administered [12]. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that in October 2019 approximately 2.2 billion people worldwide were visually impaired or blind, of which 1 billion cases, which included eye infections, could have been prevented if appropriately treated. 90% of those affected lived in low-and middle income countries (LMIC) like Ghana [13, 14]. Vision impairment is responsible for an appreciable global financial burden, with an estimated annual global productivity loss of US\$ 411 billion per year; however, the full cost is likely higher [15]. Some of the treatable or preventable causes of vision loss include cataract, glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy and various forms of eye infections [16].

External and intraocular eye infections are the two main types of eye infections [12]. Conjunctivitis, blepharitis, keratitis, endophthalmitis, dacryocystitis and orbital and periorbital cellulitis are some of the most prevalent eye conditions caused by infections that are commonly diagnosed in ambulatory clinics [17–20]. About 70% of the common eye infections are known to be caused by bacteria leading to increased potential for antibiotic use [6]. One such eye infection is endophthalmitis, which is a serious eye infection requiring urgent effective treatment to prevent permanent vision loss [21, 22]. Bacteria keratitis, an infection of the cornea, is another important eye infection that can lead to vision loss.

Bacteria conjunctivitis, one of the commonly reported ambulatory eye infections, is an inflammation of the conjunctiva mucosa, commonly caused *by Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae* in children and *Staphylococus aureus* in adults [23]. Whilst most management of eye infections involves administration of high concentrations of antibiotics in ocular tissue with topical dosing or direct injection, reducing the possibility of under-dosing, there have still been reports of treatment failure due to AMR against penicillin and some fluoroquinolones [24, 25], which is an increasing concern.

Antimicrobial Stewardship Programmes (ASPs), including an assessment of guideline compliance via clinical audit with feedback to clinicians, has become a useful strategy to improve future antibiotic utilization across sectors [26–29]. Such audits not only allow an assessment of the appropriateness of antibiotics prescribed for common infectious diseases, but also to identify the quality of antibiotic use via indicators including percentages of the use of culture and sensitivity and biomarkers to support appropriate diagnosis and guideline compliance [30– 33]. Another important quality indicator currently being used to assess appropriate antibiotic prescribing is the proportion of 'Access', 'Watch' and 'Reserve' antibiotics prescribed according to the WHO AWaRe classification system, with a recommendation that at least 60% of antibiotics prescribed are from the 'Access' category [34–36]. This system, developed in 2017, promotes the responsible use of antibiotics as it encourages increased selection of the 'Access' group of antibiotics as first line choice for most common infectious disease [35, 37, 38]. This is due to their effectiveness in managing these infections without increasing the risk for AMR [39].

There are growing concerns regarding increased prevalence of eye infections in Ghana coupled with rising rates of AMR in Ghana, similar to other African countries [40–48]. The National Action Plan to tackle AMR was launched in Ghana in 2017 to help reduce AMR rates. This has several initiatives to ensure appropriate use of antibiotics in humans. Initiatives include training of clinical care providers, developing indicators for monitoring antibiotic use and AMR, and ascertaining antibiotic utilization patterns against current guidelines among other ASP interventions in hospitals [26, 41, 42, 49, 50]. There is currently paucity of evidence on the appropriate use of antibiotics for the commonly reported eye infections among ambulatory clinics in Ghana to guide future initiatives. Consequently, this study was undertaken to address this concern by instigating an audit of the appropriate use of antibiotics in an ambulatory eye clinic in Ghana combined with assessing factors influencing their use.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study design

A retrospective cross-sectional survey was conducted by extracting the medical records of all patients who sought eye care at the ambulatory clinic of the Ho Teaching Hospital (HTH) from January 2022 to December 2022 using the hospital's electronic database.

#### Study site and population

This study was conducted at the eye clinic of HTH, a 306-bed capacity tertiary health facility located in the Volta Regional of Ghana whose capital is Ho., They have a staff strength of 1,200 and 14 wards for patient admission [51]. The hospital was chosen for this initial research as it provides several primary clinical care services including surgical, internal medicine, obstetrics & gynecological, child health and public health, pharmaceutical and diagnostic services for patients in the Region. Consequently, the hospital attracts a large number of patients. The facility also provides several specialized services such as eye, ear, nose and throat (ENT), mental and diabetic cares to patients in the municipality and beyond, and is the only teaching hospital in the Volta Region of Ghana. Consequently, if there are concerns with the prescribing of antibiotics in this setting, these concerns would likely be exacerbated in lower care settings including primary care clinics in this region and others in Ghana.

Overall, HTH provides services to over 20,000 outpatients and inpatients every month. It is the only tertiary hospital in the Volta Region, one of the 16 administrative regions in Ghana, with a 2021 population of 1,659,040 [52]. The eye clinic serves approximately 250 outpatient cases every month. Records of ambulatory patients who have visited the eye clinic within the study period were assessed.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients of all ages attending the eye ambulatory clinic to whom antibiotics were prescribed during the study period were included. Patients having eye conditions who were managed with antibiotics on admission were included whereas patients who were not prescribed antibiotics for their eye condition were excluded. Patients with incomplete medical information were also excluded. The medical records of any subsequent visit (s) of a patient with multiple visits within the study period were removed to avoid multiple entry for the same patient.

#### Sample size and sampling technique

No sampling was undertaken as all patients who attended the eye ambulatory clinic within the study period were all included in this study. However, an expected annual sample of participants using the Raosoft Inc. online calculator, assuming a 50% appropriateness of antibiotic prescription, an average monthly outpatient attendance of 600, 95% confidence interval, and a 5% margin of error was 1824 patients [53].

## Data collection

Data collection from the hospital's Lightwave Health Information Management System (LHIMS), which is an electronic medical record system were accessed between 1st June 2023 to 31st 154 July 2023. Individual patient data that had patient identifiers were extracted from the LHIMS. An adapted data collection checklist was used, which was similar to the data collection form for audits of ambulatory care management in hospital settings of other LMICs [54, 55]. This approach had been used previously by some of the co-authors where no specific forms exist [26, 31, 56]. The checklist included socio-demographic information including patients' age, gender and national health insurance (NHIS) status (to assess the influence of payment status on prescribers' behavior), clinical information (including the principal eye infection diagnosed), names of antibiotics prescribed and their AWaRe classification, whether a culture and sensitivity test was requested (to assess the proportion of targeted treatments among the eye infections diagnosed), and number of antibiotics prescribed per patient encounter. Appropriateness was determined using the Seventh Edition of the Ghana STG for management of ENT infections in terms of the choice [57]. When the condition was not discussed in the Ghanaian STG, the WHO AWaRe antibiotic book was used as a reference point [39] (Table 1).

## **Data handling**

The collated data was coded to safeguard patient identity by removing personal identifiers and password protected to the principal investigator.

## Data analysis

Data extracted onto the checklist was transferred into a Microsoft Excel sheet before being exported to STATA version 14 (StrataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for analysis. The appropriateness of prescribed antibiotics based on the choice for the diagnosed eye conditions was the primary study outcome measure. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the median age and the proportions of each categorical variables and Chi square test of independence was performed to assess association between the outcome variable and the various independent variables. A logistic regression analysis was also performed using all statistically significant independent variables from the bivariate analysis (p value <0.05 at 95% confidence interval).

Bacteria Eye Infection	Causes and clinical presentation	Causative organism	Antibiotic treatment	AWaRE Class of Antibiotic
Bacteria Conjunctivitis [ <u>38</u> , <u>57</u> ]	Red, watery and itchy eye, feeling of 'sand in the eye, which may be swollen, painful and discharging	Most cases are viral. Common bacteria pathogens are <i>Chlamydia</i> <i>trachomatis</i> (serovars D to K) and <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i>	STG: Tetracycline 1% eye ointment PLUS Chloramphenicol 0.5% eye drops OR Ciprofloxacin 0.3 eye drops WHO Antibiotic Book: Gentamicin 0.3% eye drops OR Ofloxacin.0.3% eye drops OR Tetracycline 1% eye ointment Use Ceftriaxone 250mg IM single dose OR Azithromycin 1g for gonococcal conjunctivitis	Tetracycline (Access) Chloramphenicol (Access) Gentamicin (Access) Ceftriaxone (Watch) Azithromycin (Watch)
Keratitis [ <u>38</u> ]	Usually painful red eye, decreased vision, more tears and corneal oedema with a feeling of "having something in the eye" and difficulty in keeping the eye open +/- eye discharge	Pseudomonas spp, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae	Ofloxacin 0.3% EYE DROPS 1 drop in the affected eye q1h for 48 hours, then q4h until healed. NB: Drops are preferred over ointment due to better corneal tissue penetration	Ofloxacin (Watch)
Endophthalmitis [38]	Usually painful red eye, blurred vision and trouble looking at bright light	Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , Streptococcus spp., Klebsiella spp., Bacillus cereus	WHO Antibiotic Book: Vancomycin 1mg PLUS Ceftazidime 2.25mg (intravitreal injection) If endogenous infection is present, add Ceftriaxone 2g IV + Vancomycin 15-20mg/kg 12h IV	Ceftriaxone (Watch) Ceftazidime (Watch) Vancomycin (Watch)
Periorbital Cellulitis [38]	Unilateral signs of red, swollen, warm and tender eyelid with no restricted or painful eye movement +/- fever (≥ 38.0°C)	Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Moraxella catarrhalis, Anaerobes	WHO Antibiotic Book: Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid 625mg Oral OR 1.2g IV OR Cefalexin 500mg oral OR Cloxacillin 500mg oral OR 2g IV	Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (Access) Cefalexin (Access) Cloxacillin (Access)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0313019.t001

## **Ethical consideration**

Ethical approvals were secured from both the Research Ethics Committees of the University of Health and Allied Sciences (UHAS-REC A.7 [53] 22–23) and the Ho Teaching Hospital (HTH-REC (37) FC\_2022. Individual patient identifiers anonymized during the data collection to safeguard confidentiality. Patient informed consent were not collected as there were no direct contact with the as data were obtained solely from their medical records.

## Results

## **Patient characteristics**

A total of 1925 medical records of patients who visited the HTH and were prescribed with antibiotics for a diagnosed eye infection were retrieved from the LHIMS database. The median age of the patients was 40 years (IQR 26–69), with most (51.95%, n = 1000/1925) patients between aged of 25 to 64 years, followed by those above 65 years (27.27% (n = 525/1925). Most of the patients were females (58.91%, n = 1134/1925). The majority (91.32%, n = 1758) of the patients had registered and were active members of the Ghana National Health Insurance (NHIS). The commonest eye condition that was treated with antibiotics was bacteria conjunctivitis (33.51%, n = 645/1925), followed by those with allergic conjunctivitis (17.75%, n = 337/1925), with 9.30% (n = 179/1925) of patients that were given antibiotics having no documented eye or any other infectious disease. 99.38% (n = 1913/1925) of the patients had no additional diagnosis, with dry eye syndrome (0.16%, n = 3/1925) being the highest documented additional diagnosis when this occurred. The most prescribed antibiotic was gentamycin eye drops (22.96%, n = 442/1925) followed by ciprofloxacin drops (16.78%, n = 321/1925) and chloramphenicol drops (10.03%, n = 193/1925). Most of the patients received only the topical form of antibiotics (82.13%, n = 1581/1925), followed by those who received both topical and oral antibiotics (9.40%, n = 181/1925), while 8.36% (n = 161/1925) received only the oral form. The most common oral antibiotic prescribed was amoxicillin (50.34%, n = 145/288) followed by co-amoxiclav (17.71%, n = 45/288). Aminoglycosides (25.2%, n = 487/1925) were the majority class of antibiotic that was prescribed followed by those in the quinolone class (16.6%, n = 321/ 1925). A greater proportion of the systemic antibiotics prescribed were from the 'Access' group (83.33%, n = 280/338) of the WHO AWaRe classification while 13.99% (n = 47/338) were from 'Watch' group. Only 42.44% (n = 817/1925) of the antibiotics prescribed was appropriate based on compliance with the choice of antibiotics in the Ghana STG/ AWaRe book (Table 2).

## **Bivariate analysis**

The Chi square test of independence showed a positive significant association between the appropriateness level and younger age groups of patients (p<0.001), prescription with fewer number of antibiotics (p<0.001), the use of topical dosage forms of antibiotics (p<0.001), and the prescription of WHO 'Access' group of the antibiotics (p<0.034). The gender status, NHIS status, and the principle diagnosis documented showed no significant association with the outcome indicator (Table 3).

## Multiple logistic regression

A multiple logistic regression between appropriateness based on choice and all the variables that had significant bivariate association showed that antibiotic appropriateness was only independently predicted by the age group of the patients (aOR = 0.62, CI = 0.43-0.88, p-value = 0.007) (Table 4).

## Discussion

We believe this is the first clinical audit of antibiotic use among patients with different eye infections attending an ambulatory clinic in a leading hospital in Ghana. This builds on the study of Hope et al (2022) that just assessed the management of acute conjunctivitis in a specialist eye hospital in Ghana against current treatment guidelines [58]. We also believe such an audit of a particular specialty is useful as it provides evidence on the commonly reported infectious disorders within that specialty, the types of antibiotics prescribed, their appropriateness and factors influencing their prescription. The quality gaps of antibiotic use identified are useful in designing ASPs to improve their future use, achieve improved patient outcomes, and help reduce the negative consequences of rising AMR rate [29, 42]. This is similar to previous studies in Ghana, which assessed the appropriate management of common infectious disease in ambulatory care including respiratory tract infection such as pneumonia, dental infections and urinary tract infections [26, 31, 59–62].

Of the 1925 medical records of patients that were extracted from the hospital's electronic database, patients treated with antibiotic for common eye conditions within the age group of 25 to 50 years were the majority with a median age of 40 years. The most predominant eye infection diagnosed among our study participants was bacterial conjunctivitis, which has been reported to be commonly diagnosed in children than in adults making this inconsistent with our finding [22, 63]. In the young age group, this diagnosis is most prevalent and it is usually associated with epidemics resolving usually within 7 days [64]. Our finding though is

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Median age (years) (IQR)	40 (26–69)	
Age (years)		
0-14	94	4.88
15-24	306	15.90
25-64	1000	51.95
≥65	525	27.27
Gender		
Male	791	41.09
Female	1134	58.91
NHIS Status		
Yes	1758	91.32
No	167	8.68
Principal Diagnosis		
Conjunctivitis (bacterial)	645	33.51
Conjunctivitis (allergic)	337	17.51
Ocular pain	115	5.97
Conjunctivitis (viral)	48	4.05
Hordeolum (Stye)	73	3.79
Pterygium	55	2.86
Cataract	49	2.55
Refractive disorders	47	2.44
Cellulitis (orbital)	40	2.08
Uveitis	32	1.66
No documented diagnosis	179	9.30
Others	305	15.90
Additional diagnosis		
Dry eye syndrome	3	0.16
Others	9	0.46
No additional diagnosis	1913	99.38
Overall Antibiotics Prescribed		
Amoxicillin	62	3.22
Chloramphenicol	193	10.03
Ciprofloxacin	323	16.78
Gentamycin	442	22.96
Gentamycin+Chloramphenicol	257	13.35
Gentamycin+Tetracycline	76	3.95
Tetracycline	154	8.00
Tetracycline+Ciprofloxacin	50	2.59
Other	368	19.11
Number of Antibiotics		
One	1305	67.79
Тwo	589	30.60
Three	30	1.56
Four	1	0.05
Dosage form	1	0.05
Oral	161	8.36
Oral &Topical	181	9.40

#### Table 2. Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of patients (n = 1925).

(Continued)

Variables	Frequency ( <i>n</i> )	Percentage (%)
Topical	1581	82.13
Topical & Intravenous	1	0.05
Intravenous	1	0.05
WHO Aware Grouping for Systemic Antibiotics (n = 336)		
Access	280	83.33
Watch	47	13.99
Access + Watch	9	2.68
Topical antibiotics prescribed (n = 1582)		
Gentamycin	431	27.24
Ciprofloxacin	307	19.41
Gentamicin + Chloramphenicol	254	16.06
Chloramphenicol	190	12.01
Tetracycline	148	9.36
Gentamycin + Tetracycline	74	4.68
Others	178	11.25
Oral antibiotics prescribed (n = 288)		
Amoxicillin	145	50.34
Co-amoxiclav	51	17.71
Azithromycin	22	7.63
Ciprofloxacin	10	3.47
Others	60	20.83
Appropriate choice of antibiotic use (n = 1925)		
Yes	817	42.44

Table 2. (Continued)

NB: Others in additional diagnosis included Acute sinusitis, acute pain, acute upper respiratory infections, allergic rhinitis, pelvic inflammatory disease, pterygium and tension-type headache while others in the principal diagnosis included blindness, cataract, endolphthalmitis, diabetic retinopathy, chalazion, blepharitis, conjunctival hemorrhage, dacryocystitis, episcleritis, eye injuries, optic nerve disorders, staphyloma, foreign body in eye, corneal ulcer, glaucoma, and keratitis

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0313019.t002

consistent with a similar audit conducted in the eye clinic of a tertiary hospital in Ethiopia and primary care facility in Ghana [21, 43].

Other common eye disorders seen in our study that were treated with antibiotics included hordeolum, orbital cellulitis, and pterygium. This is consistent with other studies that have assessed commonly reported eye disorders that are directly caused by bacterial infections [17, 18, 44, 45, 58]. There was however misuse of antibiotics for some of the conditions in our study including allergic conjunctivitis, ocular pain, and viral conjunctivitis. This is a concern as these conditions are not known to be directly caused by bacteria; consequently, making the prescribing of antibiotics inappropriate. Moreover, without the support of culture and sensitivity, most inflammatory eye conditions may have been assumed to have bacterial secondary infections leading to the irrational and overuse of antibiotics [43, 63, 65].

Overall, there was suboptimal appropriateness of the use of antibiotics in our study with only 42.44% of antibiotics used considered appropriate according to the Ghana Standard Treatment Guidelines or AWaRe antibiotic guidelines [39, 57]. This is different compared to another study undertaken in Ghana which recorded over 70% appropriate use of antibiotic for acute conjunctivitis in an ambulatory care setting [58] though this audit was undertaken in a specialist eye hospital. The low level of appropriateness of antibiotics in our study may have resulted from prescribers' irrational antibiotic use for diagnosed conditions including viral conjunctivitis, allergic conjunctivitis, ocular pain, and other inflammatory eye diseases with no

Variables	Total, n (%)	Appropriateness	based on choice	<i>p</i> -value
		Inappropriate	Appropriate	
Gender (n = 1925)				
Male	791 (41.09)	461(58.28)	330 (41.72)	
Female	1134 (58.91)	647(57.05)	487 (42.95)	
Age group (n = 1925)				<0.001
0-14	94 (4.88)	18 (19.15)	76 (80.85)	
15–24	306 (15.90)	172 (56.20)	134 (43,79)	
25-64	1000 (51.95)	588 (58.80)	412 (41.20)	
≥65	525 (27.27)	330 (62.86)	195 (37.14)	
NHIS Status (n = 1925)				0.146
Insured	1758 (91.32)	1003(57.05)	755(42.95)	
Non-insured	167 (8.68)	105(62.87)	62 (37.13)	
Number of antibiotics (n = 1925)				<0.001
One	1305(67.79)	865(66.28)	440(33.72)	
Two	589(30.60)	221(37.52)	368(62.47)	
Three	30(1.56)	21(70)	9 (30)	
Four	1(0.05)	1(100)	0 (0)	
Dosage Form (n = 1925)				<0.001
Intravenous	1(0.05)	1(100)	0 (0)	
Oral	161(8.36)	115(71.43)	46(28.57)	- - -
Oral + Topical	181(9.40)	123(67.96)	58(32.04)	
Topical	1581(82.13)	868 (54.90)	713(45.10)	
Topical + Intravenous	1(0.05)	1(100)	0(0)	
WHO Aware Category (n = 388)				
Access	280 (83.33)	187 (66.79)	93 (33.21)	
Access + Watch	9 (2.68)	9 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	
Watch	47 (13.99)	37 (78.72)	10 (21.28)	

Table 3. Association between socio-demographic and clinical characteristics and appropriateness of antibiotic choice based on the Ghana Standard Treatment Guidelines (STG).

NB: Emboldened p-value are those that are below the significance level of 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0313019.t003

proven bacterial infection, which should have been managed symptomatically with topical analgesics, steroid, anti-inflammatory and anti-allergic treatments [66, 67]. This situation may also have been worsened by the use of misuse of antibiotics in 9.30% of the patients with no documented eye infection diagnosis (Table 1). This contrasts with the study of Hope et al (2022), which reported appreciable appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing in patients with acute conjunctivitis which was commonly diagnosed among children (58). Though appropriateness was only predicted by patients' age, it was associated with the use of more "Access' group antibiotics over 'Watch' group, increased use of topical antibiotics compared to the oral dosage forms and the prescription of fewer combinations of antibiotics for diagnosed eye infections. These quality indicators in the guidelines must be emphasized during education of clinicians in this hospital as part of a broader ASP to curb rising AMR rate. Similar suboptimal use of appropriate choice of antibiotics has been observed in respiratory tract infections especially pneumonia and dental infections in Ghana (26, 31). Such misuse and overuse of antibiotics seen in our study have the potential to trigger genetic mutations through selective pressure on non-resistant bacteria driving high AMR rate [40].

Variables	Odds Ratio	Confidence interval (95%)	<i>p</i> -value
Age	0.62	0.43-0.88	0.007
– 14 (r)	1		
15-24	0.13	0.01-1.29	
25-64	0.15	0.02-1.34	
65 years and above	0.07	0.01-0.64	
Number of Antibiotics	0.79	0.40-1.55	0.495
One (r)	1		
Two	0.82	0.26-2.61	
Three	0.73	0.17-3.11	
Four	1		
WHO Aware Category (n = 388)	0.69	0.47-1.02	0.060
Access (r)	1		
Access + Watch	1		
Watch	0.55	0.25-1.21	
Dosage form prescribed	1.32	0.58-2.98	0.508
Topical (r)	1		
Oral	0.73	0.23-2.29	
Oral + Topical	1		
Topical + Intravenous	1		
Intravenous	1		

Table 4. Multiple logistic regression between clinical characteristics and antibiotic choice appropriateness based on the Standard Treatment Guidelines.

NB: R in bracket indicate the referenced co-variate for the analysis

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0313019.t004

The commonest antibiotics used for the management of the various diagnosed eye disorders in our study included gentamycin, ciprofloxacin and chloramphenicol. These broad spectrum antibiotics have been recommended for most of the common eye infections diagnosed including bacteria conjunctivitis, bacteria keratitis, orbital cellulitis and infectious endophthalmitis (Table 1) [39, 57]. This is because of their broad coverage over the identified causative pathogens in these eye infections, which are commonly a mixture of gram positive and negative bacteria requiring broad spectrum antibiotics [63, 68]. Empirical treatment of eye infections with antibiotics is recommended when there is sufficient clinical suspicion of bacteria as the causative agent to avoid complications [20, 63]. Bacteria keratitis, for example, is a threatening eye infection which may progress rapidly to corneal destruction within 24 to 48 hours if effective treatment, such as topical fluoroquinolones, is not immediately initiated. However once a pathogen has been isolated, treatment can be modified making it a targeted treatment [25, 69–71]. The antibiotics prescribed in our study were predominantly administered as a topical formulation followed by those with a combination of topical and oral dosage forms. The use of the topical formulations alone is generally effective as they ensure high tissue concentrations with minimal systemic adverse effects. In circumstances where topical dosage forms are not available, topical formulations are sometimes fortified with an additional antibiotic by a pharmacist to eliminate the need for the addition of oral dosage forms [65]. For instance, the recommended treatment of bacteria keratitis is the fortification aminoglycoside with second generation cephalosporin [65]. However, the limited availability, cost and inconvenience of these fortified preparations have led to the increased use of topical fluoroquinolones for bacteria keratitis [64].

Our study also showed that the level of appropriateness reduced with increasing patient's age (p<0.001), the use of more than two antibiotics (p<0.001), the prescription of non-topical dosage forms of antibiotics (p<0.001), and the increased use of oral antibiotics from the WHO 'Watch' group (p<0.034) (Table 4). The Ghana STG recommends the use of a combination of topical tetracycline and chloramphenicol administered separately or topical ciprofloxacin alone for the management of bacteria conjunctivitis; consequently, making the addition of oral antibiotics or topical gentamycin inappropriate [57]. Such practices contributed to the high level of non-compliance with treatment guidelines seen in our study. It was however commendable to see that a greater proportion of systemic antibiotics prescribed were in the WHO 'Access' group as these have a lower risk of causing AMR compared to those in the 'Watch' group [72, 73].

The patient's age was the only predictor of the level of appropriateness of antibiotic prescription for eye infections where adult participants had reduced level of appropriateness compared to their younger counterpart. This could be due to the fact that the adult age group had a higher proportion of eye infections in our study coupled with their increased risk of outbreak of conjunctivitis especially due to viral causes, their increased risk of eye trauma from accidents and natural changes to the eye structures due to aging [23, 74]. Consequently, it makes this age group more prone to common eye disorders which are likely to be seen in ambulatory clinics and be possibly mistreated with antibiotics.

The low level of antibiotics appropriateness observed in this study for one of the commonly reported ambulatory diseases in this leading tertiary hospital is a concern requiring urgent ASP interventions. Documented appropriate interventions include, but not limited to, clinician education of treatment guidelines, prospective audit with feedback to clinicians, clinical meetings to discuss appropriate treatment regimens of common eye infections, and restrictions on the use of oral antibiotics from the 'Watch' group for common eye infections [29, 75].

We are aware of a number of limitations with this study. Firstly, this study is limited by the use of a single study site affecting the generalizability of the findings. Secondary, the use of limited patients' characteristics to assess the determinants of appropriate prescription of antibiotics will introduce possible biases and confounders that might not be accounted for. In spite of these limitations, the use of large sample size and the choice of the study site provides key stakeholders in this hospital with essential baseline data on the level and potential causes of inappropriate use of antibiotics for common eye diseases to guide future quality improvement initiatives as part of effective ASPs.

## Conclusion

There was a low level of appropriate use of antibiotics for diagnosed eye diseases at the ambulatory eye clinic of this teaching hospital, which was associated with patient's age, the use of more than two antibiotics, the prescription of non-topical dosage forms of antibiotics, and the increased use of antibiotics from the 'Watch' group. Appropriateness of the choice of antibiotic was predicted only by patients' age. There must be continued efforts to institutionalize ASPs in the ambulatory care settings in this hospital to improve future management and reduce the rate of AMR.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine.

**Data curation:** Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.

Formal analysis: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.

Investigation: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine.

- Methodology: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine.
- Project administration: Israel Abebrese Sefah.
- Resources: Israel Abebrese Sefah.
- Software: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine.
- Supervision: Israel Abebrese Sefah.
- Validation: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.
- **Visualization:** Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.
- Writing original draft: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.
- Writing review & editing: Israel Abebrese Sefah, Anthony Martin Quagraine, Amanj Kurdi, Steward Mudenda, Brian Godman.

#### References

- 1. Tang KWK, Millar BC, Moore JE. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR). British Journal of Biomedical Science. 2023; 80:11387. https://doi.org/10.3389/bjbs.2023.11387 PMID: 37448857
- 2. Salam MA, Al-Amin MY, Salam MT, Pawar JS, Akhter N, Rabaan AA, et al. Antimicrobial resistance: a growing serious threat for global public health. Healthcare; 2023: MDPI.
- Ferri M, Ranucci E, Romagnoli P, Giaccone V. Antimicrobial resistance: A global emerging threat to public health systems. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition. 2017; 57(13):2857–76. https://doi. org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1077192 PMID: 26464037
- Ting DSJ, Ho CS, Deshmukh R, Said DG, Dua HS. Infectious keratitis: an update on epidemiology, causative microorganisms, risk factors, and antimicrobial resistance. Eye. 2021; 35(4):1084–101. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-020-01339-3 PMID: 33414529
- Biswas P, Batra S, Gurha N, Maksane N. Emerging antimicrobial resistance and need for antimicrobial stewardship for ocular infections in India: A narrative review. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2022; 70 (5):1513–21. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO\_2537\_21 PMID: 35502016
- Tummanapalli SS, Willcox MD. Antimicrobial resistance of ocular microbes and the role of antimicrobial peptides. Clinical and Experimental Optometry. 2021; 104(3):295–307. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cxo.</u> 13125 PMID: 32924208
- Drago L. Topical Antibiotic Therapy in the Ocular Environment: The Benefits of Using Moxifloxacin Eye drops. Microorganisms. 2024; 12(4):649. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040649 PMID: 38674593
- Bale BI, Elebesunu EE, Manikavasagar P, Agwuna FO, Ogunkola IO, Sow AU, et al. Antibiotic resistance in ocular bacterial infections: an integrative review of ophthalmic chloramphenicol. Tropical medicine and health. 2023; 51(1):15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-023-00496-x PMID: 36895063
- **9.** Maiti S, Gandhi J, Joseph J. Microbiological spectrum and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of non-viral conjunctivitis over 16 years at a tertiary eye care center in Southern India. International Ophthalmology. 2023; 43(10):3717–24.
- Boyers LN, Karimkhani C, Hilton J, Richheimer W, Dellavalle RP. Global burden of eye and vision disease as reflected in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. JAMA ophthalmology. 2015; 133 (1):25–31. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.3527 PMID: 25232930
- Bourne R, Steinmetz JD, Flaxman S, Briant PS, Taylor HR, Resnikoff S, et al. Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. The Lancet global health. 2021; 9(2):e130–e43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X (20)30425-3 PMID: 33275950

- Wang N, Yang Q, Tan Y, Lin L, Huang Q, Wu K. Bacterial spectrum and antibiotic resistance patterns of ocular infection: differences between external and intraocular diseases. Journal of ophthalmology. 2015; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/813979 PMID: 26576294
- Marques AP, Ramke J, Cairns J, Butt T, Zhang JH, Jones I, et al. The economics of vision impairment and its leading causes: A systematic review. EClinical Medicine. 2022; 46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101354</u> PMID: 35340626
- Burton MJ, Ramke J, Marques AP, Bourne RR, Congdon N, Jones I, et al. The lancet global health commission on global eye health: vision beyond 2020. The Lancet Global Health. 400 2021; 9(4): e489–e551. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30488-5 PMID: 33607016
- WHO. Blindness and vison impairment. 2023. Accessed from <a href="https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/blindness-and-visual-impairment">https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/blindness-and-visual-impairment</a> on the 6th of June 2023
- Bourne RR, Stevens GA, White RA, Smith JL, Flaxman SR, Price H, et al. Causes of vision loss worldwide, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis. The lancet global health. 2013; 1(6):e339–e49. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70113-X PMID: 25104599</u>
- Aweke T, Dibaba G, Ashenafi K, Kebede M. Bacterial pathogens of exterior ocular infections and their antibiotic vulnerability pattern in southern Ethiopia. African Journal of Immunology Research. 2014; 1 (2):19–25.
- Sarmah P, Shenoy P. Profile of microbial isolates in ophthalmic infections and antibiotic susceptibility of the bacterial isolates: a study in an eye care hospital, Bangalore. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2014; 8(1):23. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/6852.3910 PMID: 24596715
- Woreta AN, Kebede HB, Tilahun Y, Teklegiorgis SG, Abegaz WE. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern and bacterial spectrum among patients with external eye infections at Menelik II Referral Hospital in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Infection and drug resistance. 2022:765–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S352098</u> PMID: 35264860
- Stiles J. Ocular infections. Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat: Elsevier; 2021. p. 1688– 709.
- Ayehubizu Z, Mulu W, Biadglegne F. Common bacterial causes of external ocular infections, associated risk factors and antibiotic resistance among patients at ophthalmology unit of Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Ophthalmic Inflammation and Infection. 2021; 11:1–10.
- Teweldemedhin M, Gebreyesus H, Atsbaha AH, Asgedom SW, Saravanan M. Bacterial profile of ocular infections: a systematic review. BMC ophthalmology. 2017; 17:1–9.
- Azari AA, Arabi A. Conjunctivitis: a systematic review. Journal of ophthalmic & vision research. 2020; 15(3):372. https://doi.org/10.18502/jovr.v15i3.7456 PMID: 32864068
- Egrilmez S, Yildirim-Theveny Ş. Treatment-resistant bacterial keratitis: challenges and solutions. Clinical Ophthalmology. 2020:287–97. https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S181997 PMID: 32099313
- Wong RL, Gangwani R, Yu LW, Lai JS. New treatments for bacterial keratitis. Journal of ophthalmology. 2012; 2012. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/831502 PMID: 22991650
- Sefah IA, Essah DO, Kurdi A, Sneddon J, Alalbila TM, Kordorwu H, et al. Assessment of adherence to pneumonia guidelines and its determinants in an ambulatory care clinic in Ghana: Findings and implications for the future. JAC-antimicrobial resistance. 2021; 3(2):dlab080. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/ dlab080 PMID: 34223139</u>
- De Vries E, Johnson Y, Willems B, Ras T, Coetzee R, Tembo Y, et al. Improving primary care antimicrobial stewardship by implementing a peer audit and feedback intervention in Cape Town community healthcare centres. South African Medical Journal. 2022; 112(10):812–8. https://doi.org/10.7196/ SAMJ.2022.v112i10.16397 PMID: 36472332
- Engel-Dettmers EM, Al Naiemi N, Dijkema HE, Braakman-Jansen AL, van Gemert-Pijnen LJ, Sinha B. Positive effects of audit and feedback on antimicrobial use in hospitalized patients limited to audited patients. Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology. 2024; 4(1):e46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2024.37</u> PMID: 38628373
- Nathwani D, Varghese D, Stephens J, Ansari W, Martin S, Charbonneau C. Value of hospital antimicrobial stewardship programs [ASPs]: a systematic review. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control. 2019; 8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0471-0 PMID: 30805182
- Burns KW, Johnson KM, Pham SN, Egwuatu NE, Dumkow LE. Implementing outpatient antimicrobial stewardship in a primary care office through ambulatory care pharmacist-led audit and feedback. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association. 2020; 60(6):e246–e51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japh.</u> 2020.08.003 PMID: 32861616
- Sefah IA, Sneddon J, Essah DO, Kurdi A, Fadare J, Jairoun AA, et al. Evaluation of antibiotic prescribing for ambulatory patients seeking primary dental care services in a public hospital in Ghana: A clinical

audit study. JAC-Antimicrobial Resistance. 2022; 4(4):dlac079. https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlac079 PMID: 35795243

- Aghlmandi S, Halbeisen FS, Saccilotto R, Godet P, Signorell A, Sigrist S, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescription audit and feedback on antibiotic prescribing in primary care: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2023; 183(3):213–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.6529</u> PMID: 36745412
- **33.** Campbell SM, Meyer JC, Godman B. Why compliance to national prescribing guidelines is important especially across sub-Saharan Africa and suggestions for the future. Journal of Biomedical Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2021; 4(6).
- Sharland M, Cappello B, Ombajo LA, Bazira J, Chitatanga R, Chuki P, et al. The WHO AWaRe Antibiotic Book: providing guidance on optimal use and informing policy. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2022; 22(11):1528–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00683-1 PMID: 36309019
- Sharland M, Zanichelli V, Ombajo LA, Bazira J, Cappello B, Chitatanga R, et al. The WHO essential medicines list AWaRe book: From a list to a quality improvement system. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2022; 28(12):1533–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.08.009 PMID: 36007869
- Klein EY, Milkowska-Shibata M, Tseng KK, Sharland M, Gandra S, Pulcini C, et al. Assessment of WHO antibiotic consumption and access targets in 76 countries, 2000–15: an analysis of pharmaceutical sales data. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2021; 21(1):107–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30332-7 PMID: 32717205
- Yonga P, Pulcini C, Skov R, Paño-Pardo JR, Schouten J. The case for the access, watch, and reserve (AWaRe) universal guidelines for antibiotic use. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2024. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.04.003</u> PMID: 38583520
- Pauwels I, Versporten A, Drapier N, Vlieghe E, Goossens H. Hospital antibiotic prescribing patterns in adult patients according to the WHO Access, Watch and Reserve classification (AWaRe): Results from a worldwide point prevalence survey in 69 countries. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2021; 76 (6):1614–24. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab050 PMID: 33822971
- WHO. The World Health Organization AWaRe (access, watch, reserve) antibiotic book. 2022. Accessed from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240062382 on the 6th of June 2023.
- Murray CJ, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Aguilar GR, Gray A, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. The lancet. 2022; 399(10325):629–55.
- 41. Hein W, Aglanu LM, Mensah-Sekyere M, Harant A, Brinkel J, Lamshöft M, et al. Fighting antimicrobial resistance: development and implementation of the Ghanaian national action plan (2017–2021). Antibiotics. 2022; 11(5):613. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11050613 PMID: 35625257
- Godman B, Egwuenu A, Wesangula E, Schellack N, Kalungia AC, Tiroyakgosi C, et al. Tackling antimicrobial resistance across sub-Saharan Africa: Current challenges and implications for the future. Expert Opinion on Drug Safety. 2022; 21(8):1089–111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2022.2106368</u> PMID: 35876080
- **43.** Agyemang-Mireku F. Pattern of ocular conditions among patients attending an eye clinic in Ghana. Optom open access. 2017; 2(1):122.
- 44. Junior IOD, Tchiakpe MP, Borquaye LS, Amoah K, Amankwah FKD, Kumah DB, et al. Clinical Characteristics of External Ocular and Periocular Infections and Their Antimicrobial Treatment Patterns Among a Ghanaian Ophthalmic Population; A Multicenter Study. 2022.
- **45.** Osei Duah Junior I, Tchiakpe MP, Borquaye LS, Amoah K, Amankwah FKD, Kumah DB, et al. Clinical characteristics of external bacterial ocular and periocular infections and their antimicrobial treatment patterns among a Ghanaian ophthalmic population. Scientific Reports. 2022; 12(1):10264. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-14461-x">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-14461-x</a> PMID: 35715500
- Yevutsey SK, Buabeng KO, Aikins M, Anto BP, Biritwum RB, Frimodt-Møller N, et al. Situational analysis of antibiotic use and resistance in Ghana: policy and regulation. BMC public health. 2017; 17:1–7.
- Gyansa-Lutterodt M. Antibiotic resistance in Ghana. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2013; 13 (12):1006–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70196-8 PMID: 24252479
- Tadesse BT, Ashley EA, Ongarello S, Havumaki J, Wijegoonewardena M, González IJ, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in Africa: a systematic review. BMC infectious diseases. 2017; 17:1–17.
- 49. Jimah T, Ogunseitan O. National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance: stakeholder analysis of implementation in Ghana. 2022.
- Koduah A, Gyansa-Lutterodt M, Hedidor GK, Sekyi-Brown R, Asiedu-Danso M, Asare BA, et al. Antimicrobial resistance national level dialogue and action in Ghana: setting and sustaining the agenda and outcomes. One Health Outlook. 2021; 3:1–12.

- Dodoo CC, Orman E, Alalbila T, Mensah A, Jato J, Mfoafo KA, et al. Antimicrobial prescription pattern in Ho Teaching Hospital, Ghana: seasonal determination using a point prevalence survey. Antibiotics. 2021; 10(2):199. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10020199 PMID: 33670731
- 52. GSS. Ghana 2021 Population and Housing Census. Ghana Statistical Service. 2021. Accessed from https://www.statsghana.gov.gh/gssmain/fileUpload/pressrelease/2021%20PHC%20General% 20Report%203C\_revised%20print\_281121a.pdf on the 6th of June 2023
- Raosoft. Sample size calculator. 2004. Accessed from <a href="http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html">http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html</a> on the 6th of June 2023
- Ahmed NJ. The Prescribing Pattern of Medications in Ear, Nose and Throat Outpatient Department of a Public Hospital. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2021; 33(9):21–5.
- Fasunla AJ, Samdi M, Nwaorgu OG. An audit of Ear, Nose and Throat diseases in a tertiary health institution in South-western Nigeria. Pan African Medical Journal. 2013; 14(1).
- 56. Mustafa ZU, Nazir M, Majeed HK, Salman M, Hayat K, Khan AH, et al. Exploring knowledge of antibiotic use, resistance, and stewardship programs among pharmacy technicians serving in ambulatory care settings in Pakistan and the implications. Antibiotics. 2022; 11(7):921. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11070921</u> PMID: 35884175
- STG. Ghana Standard Treatment Guidelines, Ministry of Health. 2017. Accessed from <a href="https://www.moh.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/GHANA-STG-2017-1.pdf">https://www.moh.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/GHANA-STG-2017-1.pdf</a> on the 6th of June 2023
- Hope P, Lynen L, Mensah B. Adomako LAB; Alaverdyan S.; Appiah-Thompson BL; et al. Appropriateness of Antibiotic Prescribing for Acute Conjunctivitis: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Specialist Eye Hospital in Ghana, 2021. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022; 19:11723. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> ijerph191811723 PMID: 36141996
- Ahiabu M-A, Tersbøl BP, Biritwum R, Bygbjerg IC, Magnussen P. A retrospective audit of antibiotic prescriptions in primary health-care facilities in Eastern Region, Ghana. Health policy and planning. 2016; 31(2):250–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv048 PMID: 26045328
- 60. Owusu H, Thekkur P, Ashubwe-Jalemba J, Hedidor GK, Corquaye O, Aggor A, et al. Compliance to guidelines in prescribing empirical antibiotics for individuals with uncomplicated urinary tract infection in a Primary Health Facility of Ghana, 2019–2021. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022; 19(19):12413. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912413 PMID: 36231716
- 61. Prah J, Kizzie-Hayford J, Walker E, Ampofo-Asiama A. Antibiotic prescription pattern in a Ghanaian primary health care facility. Pan African Medical Journal. 2017; 28(1).
- 62. Idun-Acquah JJ, Bonful HA. A Retrospective Evaluation of Antibiotic Prescriptions at Outpatients Department at Tema Polyclinic, Ghana.
- 63. Watson S, Cabrera-Aguas M, Khoo P. Common eye infections. Australian prescriber. 2018; 41(3):67. https://doi.org/10.18773/austprescr.2018.016 PMID: 29922000
- Bremond-Gignac D, Chiambaretta F, Milazzo S. A European perspective on topical ophthalmic antibiotics: current and evolving options. Ophthalmology and eye diseases. 2011; 3:OED. S4866.
- 65. Kowalski RP, Nayyar SV, Romanowski EG, Shanks RM, Mammen A, Dhaliwal DK, et al. The prevalence of bacteria, fungi, viruses, and acanthamoeba from 3,004 cases of keratitis, endophthalmitis, and conjunctivitis. Eye & contact lens. 2020; 46(5):265–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICL.00000000000642 PMID: 31373904
- 66. Nye M, Rudner S, Bielory L. Emerging therapies in allergic conjunctivitis and dry eye syndrome. Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy. 2013; 14(11):1449–65. https://doi.org/10.1517/14656566.2013.802773 PMID: 23822862
- Perez VL, Mah FS, Willcox M, Pflugfelder S. Anti-inflammatories in the treatment of dry eye disease: a review. Journal of Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2023; 39(2):89–101. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1089/jop.2022.0133</u> PMID: 36796014
- Kowalski RP, Nayyar SV, Romanowski EG, Jhanji V. Anti-Infective Treatment and Resistance Is Rarely Problematic with Eye Infections. Antibiotics. 2022; 11(2):204. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> antibiotics11020204 PMID: 35203807
- 69. Austin A, Schallhorn J, Geske M, Mannis M, Lietman T, Rose-Nussbaumer J. Empirical treatment of bacterial keratitis: an international survey of corneal specialists. BMJ open ophthalmology. 2017; 2(1): e000047. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjophth-2016-000047 PMID: 29226254
- Aramă V. Topical antibiotic therapy in eye infections-myths and certainties in the era of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Romanian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2020; 64(3):245. PMID: 33367158
- Tuft S, Somerville TF, Li J-PO, Neal T, De S, Horsburgh MJ, et al. Bacterial keratitis: identifying the areas of clinical uncertainty. Progress in retinal and eye research. 2022; 89:101031. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.preteyeres.2021.101031 PMID: 34915112

- 72. Sharland M, Gandra S, Huttner B, Moja L, Pulcini C, Zeng M, et al. Encouraging AWaRe-ness and discouraging inappropriate antibiotic use—the new 2019 Essential Medicines List becomes a global antibiotic stewardship tool. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2019; 19(12):1278–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30532-8 PMID: 31782385
- **73.** Zanichelli V, Sharland M, Cappello B, Moja L, Getahun H, Pessoa-Silva C, et al. The WHO AWaRe (Access, Watch, Reserve) antibiotic book and prevention of antimicrobial resistance. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2023; 101(4):290.
- 74. Johnson D, Liu D, Simel D. Does this patient with acute infectious conjunctivitis have a bacterial infection?: The rational clinical examination systematic review. JAMA. 2022; 327(22):2231–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.7687</u> PMID: 35699701
- 75. Lim SW-L, Awan DB, Maling TH. A Clinical Audit and Impact of Interventions on Antibiotic Prescribing Practices at a Public Dental Primary Care Clinic. Archives of Orofacial Science. 2022; 17(1).