### Understanding solvent-induced phase transformations driven by anomalous mass transfer

Research Celebration Event, 20/10/2023 Irene Moreno Flores

Supervisor: Dr Javier Cardona





### 1. Background and motivation

#### Nonsolvent Induced Phase Separation (NIPS)

- Nanomaterial synthesis [1]
- Polymeric membranes [2]
- Pharmaceuticals (ibuprofen [3], paracetamol [4])

### **Gap in the fundamental understanding** of how mixing processes occur

In particular, we focus on modelling and measuring **antisolvent crystallisation**, but can extend framework to **cooling crystallisation** 



Mass transfer

Heat transfer



**Microscale** Diffusion dominates

### 1. Background and motivation

Aim



- Undesired crystal properties (CSD, morphology)
- Downstream processing issues
- Differences in bioavailability

**Current understanding:** mixing process evolves through black line (ideal)

Green line: Desired outcome still achievedBlue area: Appearance of undesired crystal phaseRed line: The system liquid-liquid phase splits

Accurate modelling of antisolvent/cooling, seeded/unseeded crystallisation



#### Fick's second diffusion law

$$J_{A} = -D_{AB}c \cdot \nabla x_{A}$$
$$\frac{\partial x_{A}}{\partial t} + \nabla (\mathbf{v} x_{A}) = D_{AB} \cdot \nabla^{2} x_{A}$$

MAC

#### Cahn-Hilliard-like phase-field model

 $I_{A} = - \overline{D}_{AB} c_{A} \cdot \nabla \beta \mu_{A}$  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{A}}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}_{A}) = \nabla[\mathbf{D}_{AB} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{x}_{A}] + \nabla[\mathbf{D}_{AB}\mathbf{x}_{A} \cdot \nabla[\mathbf{A}(1-\mathbf{x}_{A})^{2} - \varepsilon^{2}\nabla^{2}\mathbf{x}_{A}]]$ 

#### AC-CH-KKS nucleation phase-field model [6]

 $\partial c/\partial t = \nabla (M/f, cc \cdot \nabla \mu_c); \mu_c = f_{\alpha,c}(1 - H) + f_{\beta,c}H$  $\partial \eta / \partial t = -L\mu_{\eta}; \mu_{\eta} = \left[ f_{\beta} - f_{\alpha} - (c_{\beta} - c_{\alpha})f_{\beta,c_{\beta}} \right] H + Wf_{Land} - \kappa \eta$ 

**Mobilities** 

∂t



Penalty coefficient for the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  interface





[6] S. DeWitt, S. Rudraraju, D. Montiel, W.B. Andrews, and K. Thornton. PRISMS-PF: A general framework for phase-field modeling with a matrix-free finite element method. npj Computational Materials 6, 29 (2020).





Diffusion (Maxwell-Stefan:  $\nabla \mu_A$ ) [7]

Allen-Cahn dynamics

+ C-H to incorporate a nucleation model (in order to track c)

Cahn-Hilliard dynamics

A model combining all of them should be able to track the system's pathway throughout a crystallization process

CMAC

## CMAC

4. Cahn-Hilliard analysis

Effect of A —  $\epsilon = 1$ , D = 1600  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s (H<sub>2</sub>O-EtOH)





A > 2RT: the free energy favours having two phases

-

TIL

A < 2RT : a homogeneous final state is reached [9]

[8] J. E. Guyer, D. Wheeler & J. A. Warren, "FiPy: Partial Differential Equations with Python," *Computing in Science & Engineering* 11(3) pp. 6—15 (2009)
[9] Poling, Bruce E., John M. Prausnitz, and John P. O'Connell. 2001. *Properties of Gases and Liquids*. 5th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.



### 4. Allen-Cahn + Cahn-Hilliard + KKS



#### **Composition evolution**

 Light zone around the nuclei: supersaturation depletion in the area

Phase variable evolution

- Diffuse interphase within all nuclei
- No depletion zone it is part of the liquid phase

[6] S. DeWitt, S. Rudraraju, D. Montiel, W.B. Andrews, and K. Thornton. PRISMS-PF: A general framework for phase-field modeling with a matrix-free finite element method. npj Computational Materials 6, 29 (2020).





### **5. Model validation framework**

Optimization

PLS



Only one experiment required for n components



Materials waste minimised



Information-rich output

Raman & microfluidics: obtain mixing maps

Raman map

**Modelling:** development of a better nonideal model, inform with experiments

Simulated map

Solvent + solute

Antisolvent



#### Glycine – Water system [8]; Fick's law

The simulated map was **coarsened** through averaging to match the dimensions of the experimental map



- **D: 920 μm<sup>2</sup>/s**.
- Initial value: 500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s
- Method: Nelder-Mead
- Tolerance: 0.0001

D = 877 μm²/s

Error < 5%





MAC

- Differentiate between oiling out and nucleation within the model – change in the free energy curves
- Add second equation for ternary system
- Add the temperature effect into the model



- Calibration and preliminary measurements obtained
- Solving problems with the setup (Raman was broken for a long time) – collect binary maps

# irene.moreno@strath.ac.uk