Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **89B** (2023) Article #61, 12 pp.

A new perspective on positivity in (consecutive) permutation patterns

Natasha Blitvić^{*1}, Mohammed Slim Kammoun^{†2}, and Einar Steingrímsson^{‡3}

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London UK ²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, UK, and Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Toulouse France ³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow UK

Abstract. We present a point of view on consecutive permutation patterns that interprets these in terms of (1) natural generalizations of the descent set of a permutation, (2) paths of a *k*-dependent point process, (3) refined clusters in the cluster method, and, surprisingly, (4) as conjectured moments of probability measures on the real line. At the heart of this paper is a recursive enumeration formula that allows us to get a grip on the aforementioned quantities and further enables us to formulate and numerically verify the conjecture (4), which provides a new unifying perspective on moment sequences arising from the study of permutation patterns.

Keywords: permutation patterns, descent set, point processes, moment sequences

1 Introduction

There are times in mathematics, when the conditions are right and a certain kind of threshold has been reached, that an idea crystalizes in plain sight. In the past several years, different groups of authors [4, 6, 7, 13, 18] have independently observed that several classes of integer sequences enumerating combinatorial objects, in particular permutations avoiding fixed permutation patterns, are moment sequences of positive Borel measures on the real line.

The Catalan numbers offer a canonical example. For concreteness, fix a permutation $p \in S_3$. (Avoidance of permutation patterns of length three is well understood, in contrast to patterns of length four or greater.) We recall that for $\sigma \in S_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), σ avoids the classical permutation pattern p if there are no indices $1 \le i < j < k \le n$ such that

[‡]einar@alum.mit.edu.

^{*}n.blitvic@qmul.ac.uk. Partially supported by grant EP/V048902/1 from The Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and RPG-2020-103 from the Leverhulme Trust.

[†]medslimkammoun@gmail.com. Partially supported by grant RPG-2020-103 from the Leverhulme Trust.

the image of (i, j, k) under σ is order-equivalent to p. (For example, the permutation 243165, in the one-line notation, avoids the classical permutation pattern p = 312; in contrast, 352614 fails to do so, containing an occurrence of p on indices (2,3,6), among others.) Combining the well-known enumeration result [17] for patterns of length three with the famous integral representation of the Catalan numbers as the (even) moments of Wigner's semicircle law, we obtain that

$$\#\{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma \text{ avoids } p\} = \int_{-2}^2 x^{2n} \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{2\pi} dx.$$

Although no general conjecture has formally been published, it is fair to say there is belief among several aforementioned authors (including us), further reinforced by numerical evidence [6, 7, 13], that the numbers of permutations avoiding any given *classical* permutation pattern give a moment sequences. If true, this conjecture would pave the way to more efficient asymptotics [13] and place the study of permutation patterns within a broader probabilistic and combinatorial context [4].

But what of the consecutive patterns?

Even for consecutive patterns of length three, that is the patterns whose occurrence is predicated on the existence of a triple (i, j, k) of now *consecutive* indices, i.e. where i = j - 1 = k - 2, the picture is significantly less clear. In particular, a straightforward computation of determinants (see [4, p. 5486]) shows that the sequence enumerating permutations avoiding the consecutive pattern 132 is <u>not</u> a moment sequence. This is in contrast to the consecutive pattern 123, which gives rise to moment sequences even in its distributional form, whenever the variable carrying the number of occurrences is specialized to a real number. ([4, Corollary 4])

And yet, there is a general way of looking at consecutive permutation patterns that does seem to uniformly give rise to moment sequences. **Presenting this point of view**, as well as providing the **enumerative formulas** that supply the numerical evidence to support this **new conjecture**, are the main goals of this paper.

1.1 A different look at consecutive permutation patterns

In the following, we regard permutations as words $a_1a_2...a_n$ on distinct integers, usually on the set $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We recall that a *descent* in a permutation is an *i* such that $a_i > a_{i+1}$. The *descent set* of a permutation σ is the set $\{i \mid a_i > a_{i+1}\}$, that is, the set of indices at which σ has descents.

In a seminal paper [14] Gessel and Viennot gave a formula, in terms of determinants, for the number of permutations of length n with any given descent set (a special case of the celebrated Lindström-âĂŞGessel-âĂŞViennot Lemma). The descent set was later interpreted probabilistically by Borodin, Diaconis, and Fulman, as a sample path of a

stationary one-dependent determinantal point process [5], providing a canonical example in a broader theory of such processes. An obvious question that doesn't seem to have received much attention is what type of combinatorial or probabilistic structure is achievable when, instead of looking at descents, we count permutations according to each starting point of an occurrence of a given *consecutive pattern*.

In this paper we present a recursive formula for the number of permutations of length n that are *covered* by an arbitrary given consecutive pattern p of length k with *overlaps* m (which we now define), and provide explicit closed formulas for several families of such patterns.

Definition 1. We say that a consecutive pattern *p* covers a permutation π with overlaps *m* if there is a sequence of occurrences of *p* in π containing between them all letters of π and such that two successive occurrences in the sequence share exactly *m* letters of π . We say that the occurrences in this sequence are *prescribed by the covering by p with overlaps m*.

As an example, the permutation 14263758 is covered by 1324 with overlaps 2, since 1426, 2637 and 3758 are all occurrences of 1324. Note that permutations covered by a pattern form a *cluster*, in the sense of the cluster method of Goulden and Jackson, although the method as normally used in relation to consecutive permutation patterns (see e.g. [3, 11, 12]) does not keep track of the positions of the occurrences of a pattern.

It is important to highlight that we count permutations that have occurrences of a pattern p in prescribed positions, but disregard whether p might occur in more places. (In other words, we count permutations with occurrences in "at least" the prescribed positions.) This is the natural approach from the point of view of point processes. Indeed, our enumerative formula gives the *correlation functions for a stationary k-dependent point process on positive integers* that encodes the occurrences of consecutive patterns occurring in uniformly distributed permutations. Of course, whether it is possible to have additional occurrences of a pattern depends on the length of the pattern p, the length of the overlaps and the *auto-correlation* of p, that is, the maximum possible overlap of two occurrences of p in a permutation. In order for a pattern p of length k to possibly occur in more places in a permutation than those prescribed by overlaps $m \ge 1$, p must have auto-correlation at least (k + m)/2.

Furthermore, our formula in Theorem 2 requires every letter of a permutation to belong to an occurrence of the pattern and that two successive occurrences overlap in the permutation. However, allowing gaps between occurrences, or two occurrences being adjacent without overlap, simply adds trivially computed multiplicative factors to the formula (see remark following the main theorem). The formula can also be extended to count permutations with the pattern occurring in any set of positions, rather than at regular intervals.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on a generalization of the 'positive approach' of Baxter, Nakamura and Zeilberger [2]. They keep track only of the number of occur-

rences of a pattern, but not their positions (which are essential here). Also worth noting is a similar argument preceding Equation (1) in Section 2.1 in [3]. Implicit in our argument, in the special case where the overlap is 1, is what Elizalde conjectured [10, p. 123] and was proved independently by Duane and Remmel [9, p. 31] and by Dotsenko and Khoroshkin [8, Theorem 28], that the number of permutations of a given length with a given number of occurrences with overlap 1 depends only on the first and last letter of the pattern. To be precise, they assume the auto-correlation of the pattern is 1, which implies that this counts all occurrences of the pattern, since two occurrences can overlap in at most one letter.

In addition to our main result we give as corollaries several results for some infinite families of patterns, and in some of these cases also bijective connections to other combinatorial structures. There are some recurring themes here, such as the "stretched factorials"

$$n!_k := \prod_{0 \le i < n/k} (n - ik)$$

for different *k*. Some of these results are listed in Table 1. Among these sequences, there is a surprising number of well-known moment sequences, including the Catalan numbers (moments of the semicircle law), Fuss-Catalan numbers (corresponding to the so-called free Bessel laws), stretched factorials (associated with Gamma distributions), and others. The recursive formula of Theorem 2 in turn allows to efficiently compute the Hankel determinants [15] of the lesser-known sequences arising from Theorem 2. What we observe appears to strongly support the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Fix a consecutive permutation pattern p of length k. Let $a_j^{(p,m)}$ be the number of permutations of length k + j(k - m) covered by p with overlaps m ($m \le k$). Then, $(a_j^{(p,m)})_{j\ge 0}$ is the moment sequence of some probability measure on the real line.

We began investigating the positivity of these sequences based on intuition from noncommutative probability that suggested a notion of multiplication based on the 'concatenation' of patterns. The subsequent numerical evidence afforded by Theorem 2 greatly strengthens this intuition. Ultimately, Conjecture 1 provides a consistent perspective on the positivity of sequences arising from the study of consecutive permutation patterns, mirroring — in a non-obvious way — the behaviors observed for classical permutation patterns. Namely, whereas it is the *avoidance* of *classical* permutation patterns that appears to give rise to familiar sequences such as the Catalan numbers and a host of other sequences all of which are conjectured to be moment sequences, it is the *packing* of the occurrences of *consecutive* permutation patterns that gives rise to the analogous positivity phenomena.

This is an extended abstract of a paper in preparation in which we also study the universality properties and other probabilistic aspects of the point processes defined by the positions of occurrences of a pattern in a random permutation.

2 Main results

We call a prefix (resp. suffix) of length *m* an *m*-prefix (resp. *m*-suffix). Note that a pattern covering with overlaps *m* must have *m*-suffix order isomorphic to its *m*-prefix, that is, the letters of the *m*-suffix must appear in the same order of size as those of the *m*-prefix.

Lemma 1. The number of permutations of length n covered by a pattern p with overlaps m depends only on the m-prefix and m-suffix of p.

Proof. When $m \ge 2k$, where k is the length of p, the result is obvious because p is then determined by its m-prefix and m-suffix. Suppose now that m < 2k. Given a permutation σ covered by p with overlaps m, let A_i be the segment of σ between the m-prefix and m-suffix of the i-th occurrence of p prescribed by the covering with overlaps m. Any dependencies between the possible letters in A_i and A_{i+1} are determined solely by the m-prefix and m-suffix of p, and the ordering of the letters in each A_i is uniquely determined by the segment M of p between its m-prefix and m-suffix. Let p' be a pattern of the same length as p, with the same m-prefix and m-suffix as p, but with M' as its segment between these. A bijection taking a permutation σ covered by p with overlaps m to a permutation σ' covered by p' with overlaps m simply permutes the letters of each A_i by the permutation that takes M to M'.

We now prove the main theorem of this paper, giving a recursive formula for computing the number of permutations of a given length that are covered by a given pattern with overlaps *m*. For simplicity we assume the *m*-prefix (and thus the *m*-suffix) of the pattern in question is increasing, but this restriction will be removed by a following lemma. We also explain, in a following remark, that the theorem and proof can easily be extended to the case where the overlaps are allowed to vary in length.

Theorem 2. Let p be a pattern of length $K \ge 2m$ with prefix $\mathbf{p} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$ and suffix $\mathbf{s} = p_{m+1}p_{m+2} \dots p_{2m}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$ and $p_{m+1} < p_{m+2} < \dots < p_{2m}$.

Let π_i be the place of the *i*-th smallest letter in the concatenated word $\mathbf{ps} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{2m}$, and let $\pi_0 = 0$ and $\pi_{2m+1} = 2m + 1$.

Let $\ell_0 = 0$, $\ell_{2m+1} = n + 1$, where n = K + j(K - m).

Let $p_0 = 0$ *and* $p_{2m+1} = K + 1$ *.*

Let $L = \ell_{m+1} \ell_{m+2} \dots \ell_{2m}$.

Let $g_j(L)$ be the number of permutations of length K + j(K - m) with p-overlap m and ending with L.

Then $g_0(\mathbf{s}) = 1$, $g_0(L) = 0$ if $L \neq \mathbf{s}$, and for $j \ge 0$ we have

$$g_{j+1}(L) = \sum_{p_1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_m} g_j(\ell_1 - (p_1 - 1), \ell_2 - (p_2 - 2), \dots, \ell_m - (p_m - m)) \prod_{i=0}^{2m} \binom{\ell_{\pi_{i+1}} - \ell_{\pi_i} - 1}{p_{\pi_{i+1}} - p_{\pi_i} - 1}.$$
(2.1)

Consequently, letting h_j be the number of permutations of length n = K + j(K - m) covered by p with overlaps m, we have

$$h_j = \sum_L g_j(L), \tag{2.2}$$

where *L* runs over all sequences $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_m$ with $1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_m \leq K + j(K - m)$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on *j*, the base case, when j = 0, being $g_0(L) = 1$ if and only if $L = \mathbf{s}$, since there is precisely one permutation of length *K* with an occurrence of the pattern *p*, namely the permutation consisting of *p* itself.

In order to construct a permutation of length K + (j+1)(K-m) covered by p with overlaps m and ending with $L = \ell_{m+1}\ell_{m+2} \dots \ell_{2m}$, let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F \mathcal{O}_M \mathcal{O}_L$ be the word consisting of the last occurrence of p in such a permutation σ , and such that both \mathcal{O}_F and \mathcal{O}_L have length m. We first analyze the possible choices of letters in σ that constitute \mathcal{O}_M .

Let a < b be two letters in \mathcal{O} that belong to the union of \mathcal{O}_F and \mathcal{O}_L and such that no letter c in \mathcal{O}_F or \mathcal{O}_L satisfies a < c < b. Thus, $a = \ell_{\pi_i}$ and $b = \ell_{\pi_{i+1}}$ for some i, where π is the permutation defined in the statement of the theorem. However, there may be letters in \mathcal{O}_M that lie between a and b in size. In fact, there must be precisely $p_{\pi_{i+1}} - p_{\pi_i} - 1$ such letters in \mathcal{O}_M , in order for \mathcal{O} to be an occurrence of p. Moreover, these letters can be any of those that lie strictly between ℓ_{π_i} and $\ell_{\pi_{i+1}}$ in size. In addition, there may be letters in \mathcal{O}_M that are smaller or greater than all letters in \mathcal{O}_F and \mathcal{O}_L , which is taken care of by the definitions of π_0, π_{2m+1} etc. There are therefore $\prod_{i=1}^{2m} {\binom{\ell_{\pi_{i+1}} - \ell_{\pi_i} - 1}{p_{\pi_i-1} - p_{\pi_i} - 1}}$ possible choices for the letters in \mathcal{O}_M . Having thus chosen the letters to form \mathcal{O}_M there is only one way to order them so that they will form the part of an occurrence of p that lies between the *m*-prefix and the *m*-suffix of that occurrence.

In order to complete the construction of the permutation σ we must construct its prefix $\sigma' = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{K+j(K-m)}$, that is, σ with \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_L removed. This σ' has *p*-overlaps *m*, and must end in an increasing sequence $L : \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_m$. If we standardize σ to consist of the letters $\{1, 2, \dots, K + j(K-m)\}$ then the sequence *L* becomes $\ell_1 - (p_1 - 1), \ell_2 - (p_2 - 2), \dots, \ell_m - (p_m - m)$, since there are precisely $p_i - i$ letters in \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_L that are smaller than ℓ_i . By the inductive hypothesis, there are precisely $g_j(\ell_1 - (p_1 - 1), \ell_2 - (p_2 - 2), \dots, \ell_m - (p_m - m))$ such σ' , which establishes identity (2.1), and equation (2.2) now follows readily.

Thanks to the following lemma (which has a straightforward bijective proof, and can also be proved by showing the isomorphism of the corresponding *cluster posets* introduced in [12]), Theorem 2 can be applied to any pattern p, regardless of the order of letters in its overlap prefix/suffix, since sorting the prefix and suffix, respectively, to increasing order doesn't change the number of permutations covered by the pattern in question with overlaps m.

Lemma 2. Let $p = \mathbf{pws}$ be a pattern where $\mathbf{p} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$ and $\mathbf{s} = s_1 s_2 \dots s_m$ are order isomorphic and \mathbf{w} is any permutation of the remaining letters. Let $\mathbf{p}' = p'_1 p'_2 \dots p'_m$ be a permutation of $p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$, let $\mathbf{s}' = s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_m$ be $s_1 s_2 \dots s_m$ permuted in the same way and let $p' = \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{ws}'$. Then the number of n-permutations covered by p with overlaps m equals the number of n-permutations covered by p' with overlaps m.

Remark 1. Although Theorem 2 and its proof are stated in terms of fixed size overlaps, it is easy to see how these can be extended to the enumeration of permutations with occurrences of the pattern p in arbitrary prescribed positions. Namely, for each step in the recursion we can simply specify the length of the overlap and adjust the length of the sequence $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m$ accordingly. Also, if two consecutive occurrences of the pattern aren't required to overlap then we can arbitrarily choose the set of letters to form the part of the permutation up to the end of the first of these occurrences (and recursively if there are more such non-overlaps), and we can choose and order arbitrarily letters to fill positions that are not required to belong to an occurrence. (Recall that we count occurrences in "at least" the prescribed positions, i.e. we allow for more occurrences than those specified.) In such cases, where not all pairs of successive occurrences are required to overlap, this breaks the problem into smaller pieces of overlapping occurrences, to which our formula can be applied, and segments of letters in a permutation that are not required to belong to an occurrence. We then need to multiply by the appropriate multinomial coefficients, corresponding to choices of letters for each of these pieces, and the appropriate factorials for those segments that are not required to belong to an occurrence, since the letters of those segments can be ordered arbitrarily.

Finally, note that the usual symmetries when enumerating consecutive permutation patterns — reverse, complement and their composition — apply here, in addition to Lemma 2 and the following:

Lemma 3. Let $p = \mathbf{pws}$ be a pattern whose prefix \mathbf{p} and suffix \mathbf{s} have the same length m. The number of permutations of length n covered by p with overlaps m equals the number of permutations of length n covered by $p' = \mathbf{swp}$ with overlaps m.

Proof. Given a permutation σ covered by p with overlaps m, let $\sigma = p_1 w_1 s_1 \dots p_j w_j s_j$, where, for each i, $p_i w_i s_i$ and $s_i w_{i+1} p_{i+1}$ are occurrences of p, such that each p_i and each s_i is an m-prefix and/or m-suffix of an occurrence of p. Then $\sigma' = s_j w_j p_j \dots s_1 w_1 p_1$ is a permutation covered by p' with overlaps m and this process is clearly invertible. \Box

3 Special cases

We now highlight some of the interesting sequences that can be obtained as specializations of Theorem 2. Some of these have been obtained previously by other authors (or are easily derived from such results). **Proposition 1.** Let $p = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$ be a pattern of length k where $p_1 = 1$ and let $d = k - p_k$. The number of permutations of length n = k + j(k - 1) covered by p with overlaps 1 is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{j} \binom{i(k-1)+d}{d}.$$

(See also Proposition 26 in [8] and the poset in Figure 1 in [12], with a = 1.)

Corollary 1. With *j*, *n* and *p* as in Proposition 1, if $p_k = k - 1$ then the number of permutations of length n in question is $(n - k + 1)!_{k-1}$.

In the special case of Proposition 1 where $p_k = 2$ the number sequence obtained equals that for partitions of a set of size [(k-1)(j+1)] into j+1 blocks of size k-1each, and we present a simple bijection (for k + 1 instead of k). First, it is easy to see that a permutation of this kind must begin with the letter 1, and that the letter in place k + 1(common to the first and second occurrence of the pattern) must be 2. A straightforward argument shows that the letters in places ik + 1, for $0 \le i \le j + 1$ are the right-to-left minima of such a permutation, that is, each is smaller than all the letters to its right. Delete the first letter, 1, and split the resulting tail of the permutation into blocks of size k, so that the last letter of each block is smaller than any letter to its right in the permutation. Because each block is an occurrence of the tail of the pattern p, each block has its letters in a prescribed order. Sorting each block increasingly and keeping the order of blocks (and decrementing each letter by 1) gives a standard representation of a partition of the set [n-1] into j+1 blocks of size k each. Conversely, any such partition is transformed into a permutation of this kind by reversing the above construction. As an example for k = 3, j = 2 (so n = 10) and the pattern 1342, the above bijection gives:

 $1\ 8\ 10\ 2\ 4\ 5\ 3\ 7\ 9\ 6 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad 8\ 10\ 2\ -\ 4\ 5\ 3\ -\ 7\ 9\ 6 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad 791 - 342 - 685 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad 179 - 234 - 568$

Proposition 2. Let *p* be the pattern 1(k+1)2(k+2)...k(2k), where $k \ge 2$. The number of permutations of length n = 2j + 2k covered by *p* with overlaps 2k - 2 is the Catalan number C_{j+1} .

This also holds for the pattern q = (k+1)1(k+2)2...(2k)k. The same is true when (2k+1) is appended to q, with overlaps 2k-1, and also when 1 is prepended to p and each letter of p incremented by 1, with overlaps 2k-1.

Proposition 3. (See [12, Thm. 4.2]) The number of permutations of length m + j(m+1) covered by 134...(m+2)2(m+3)(m+4)...(2m+1) with overlaps m equals $\frac{1}{mi+1}\binom{(m+1)j}{j}$.

Proposition 4. The number of permutations of length 5 + 3j covered by 14523 with overlaps 2 is $(2j + 1)!_2$.

So far, the special cases considered involve regular overlaps. As previously mentioned, Theorem 2 straightforwardly adapts to arbitrary overlap vectors, but for some classes of patterns, we observe a further decoupling that allows us to more directly 'upgrade' the results obtained for regular overlaps to arbitrary ones. In particular, let p be a pattern of length k with auto-correlation at least 2. Tracking only the occurrences of parising from overlaps of sizes 1 and 2, we can express the vector of successive overlaps in terms of runs of 1s and 2s as

$$\underline{1}_{r_1}, \underline{2}_{q_1}, \ldots, \underline{1}_{r_a}, \underline{2}_{q_a}$$

where $a, q_1, r_2, q_2, ..., r_a \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, q_a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and \underline{x}_n is the word x, ..., x of length n (or the empty word when n = 0).

Proposition 5. Let *p* be a pattern of length *k* of the form $1\sigma k$ with auto-correlation at least 2. Let $\underline{1}_{r_1}, \underline{2}_{q_1}, \ldots, \underline{1}_{r_a}, \underline{2}_{q_a}$ give the successive overlaps of *p* in a permutation, counting only the overlaps of sizes 1 and 2. Then the number of such permutations is given by the product

$$N_{q_1} \cdot N_{q_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot N_{q_a}$$

where N_q is the number of permutations covered by p with q regularly-spaced overlaps of size 2.

Proof. Given a permutation σ of this form we claim that the letter ℓ of the leftmost overlap of size 1 in σ is greater than all letters preceding ℓ . Now, ℓ is clearly greater than all the letters in the occurrence of p of which ℓ is the last letter. Therefore, if this occurrence overlaps in two letters with a preceding occurrence, ℓ is larger than both letters in that overlap. In particular, it is larger than the last letter of that overlap, which in turn is greater than all other letters in that preceding occurrence. An inductive argument now shows that ℓ must be greater than all the letters preceding it in σ . An analogous argument shows that ℓ is smaller than all letters following it in σ .

This determines which subset of letters of σ belongs to each of the segments between successive 1-overlaps in σ . A segment between two successive 1-overlaps is thus a permutation covered by p with overlaps 2, whose number is N_i where i is the number of 2-overlaps in that segment. Since the ordering of letters in such a segment is independent of the ordering in any other such the proof follows.

Therefore, for p = 1324, for example, which can have overlaps of sizes 1 and 2 only, we obtain the full analogue of the descent set where we count permutations with occurrences of p in arbitrary prescribed positions, using Proposition 2. This corollary can also be deduced from arguments in the proof of Thm. 4.1 in [12]:

Corollary 2. The number of permutations σ with occurrences of the consecutive pattern 1324 prescribed by the overlaps $\underline{1}_{r_1}, \underline{2}_{q_1}, \ldots, \underline{1}_{r_a}, \underline{2}_{q_a}$ equals the following product of Catalan numbers:

$$C_{q_1+1} \cdot C_{q_2+1} \cdot \cdots \cdot C_{q_a+1}$$

(For non-overlapping occurrences, the picture is even simpler; see Remark 1.)

4 Moment Sequences

Several of the families of sequences outlined in the previous sections are moment sequences of known probability measures. Among this company, we again find the Catalan numbers (of course), as well as the following:

Proposition 6. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(n - k + 1)!_{k-1}$, for n = k, 2k, ... (see Corollary 1), is the moment sequence of the probability measure on the real line with density $f(x) = x^{1/k-1}e^{-x/k}k^{-1/k}(\Gamma(1/k))^{-1}$.

Proposition 7. The number of permutations of length m + j(m + 1) covered by 134...(m + 2)2(m + 3)(m + 4)...(2m + 1) with overlaps m (see Proposition 3) is the moment sequence of the free multiplicative convolution of m free-Poisson random variables (members of a broader family of the so-called free Bessel laws [1]).

While the aforementioned are fundamental laws from classical and free probability, specializations of Theorem 2 also include a great number of sequences that are more difficult to characterize. Nevertheless, by allowing us to compute these sequences efficiently to a very high number of terms, Theorem 2 enables us to at least numerically verify the positivity, up to a point, of the lesser-known sequences arising in this context by calculating their Hankel determinants. The result is our Conjecture 1 (see Section 1).

Finally, note that while Theorem 2 provides an enumeration formula for overlapping patterns, one can (more easily) derive results for the cases where patterns are not overlapping, but are still required to occur at regular intervals. Concretely, consider permutations of length n = k + j(k - m) such that a fixed pattern p of length k occurs with overlaps $m \le 0$, that is, at indices $1, k + 1 + m, 2k + 1 + m, \ldots$ Recall that we count permutations with patterns occurring "at least" at specified positions; that is, we do not prohibit further occurrences of p, beyond the prescribed ones. As a result, regardless of the choice of the pattern, the number of permutations is simply $\frac{(k+j(k-m))!}{k!^{j+1}}$, which can be expressed as the integral

$$\int_0^\infty y^j (Cy^{\frac{m+1}{k-m}} e^{(k!y)^{\frac{1}{k-m}}}) dy,$$

where C > 0. This is clearly a moment sequence. In fact, for m = 0, we recognize here the moments of the random variable $Y = \frac{X^k}{k!}$ with X a rate-one exponential.

We do not yet understand the apparent positivity of sequences arising from the various ways of counting permutation patterns (avoiders of classical permutation patterns, packings of consecutive permutation patterns, and perhaps other formulations still to be discovered). Yet the fundamental nature of the measures we observe and consistency of the numerical evidence strongly suggest that there is interesting mathematics at play.

pattern	overlaps 1	overlaps 2	overlaps 3
312	$(n-2)!_2$		
1243	$(n-3)!_3$ Coro. 1	—	
1324	1	C_{j+1} Prop. 2	
1342	$\frac{(3j)!}{j!(3!)^j}$	_	
1423	$(n-3)!_3$ Coro. 1	1	
2143	1,9,234,12204,1067040,	1	
2413	1,9,234,12204,1067040,	<i>C_{j+1}</i> , Prop. 2	
12354	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	_	
12435	1	1	_
12453	$\prod_{i=0}^{j} {\binom{4i+2}{2}}$ Prop. 1	_	_
12534	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	$(n-4)!_3$	_
13254	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	—	1
13425	1	$\frac{1}{2j+3}\binom{3j+3}{j+1}$ Prop. 3	_
13452	$\frac{(n-1)!}{(j+1)!(4!)^{j+1}}$	_	_
13524	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	1, 6, 81, 1806, 57447,	_
14253	$\prod_{i=0}^{j} {\binom{4i+2}{2}}$ Prop. 1	—	C_{j+1} Prop. 2
14325	1	A274644 [16]	—
14523	$\prod_{i=0}^{j} {\binom{4i+2}{2}}$ Prop. 1	$(2j+1)!_2$ Prop. 4	_
15234	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	1	_
15243	$\prod_{i=0}^{j} {\binom{4i+2}{2}}$ Prop. 1	—	1
15324	$(n-4)!_4$ Coro. 1	$(n-4)!_3$	_
15423	$\prod_{i=0}^{j} {\binom{4i+2}{2}}$ Prop. 1	1	_
21354	1, 16, 816, 86528, 15661440,	1	_
21453	1,30,4440,1867920,	1	_
21534	1, 16, 816, 86528, 15661440,	_	_
21543	1, 30, 4440, 1867920,	$(n-4)!_3$	_
23514	1, 16, 816, 86528, 15661440,	$(2j+1)!_2$ Prop. 4	—
24153	1,30,4440,1867920,	_	_
24513	1,30,4440,1867920,	1, 6, 81, 1806, 57447,	_
25314	1, 16, 816, 86528, 15661440,	A274644 [16]	_
25413	1, 30, 4440, 1867920,	$\frac{1}{2j+3}\binom{3j+3}{j+1}$ Prop. 3	_

Table 1: Enumerating sequences: equivalence classes of patterns of length \leq 5.

References

[1] T. Banica, S. T. Belinschi, M. Capitaine, and B. Collins. "Free Bessel Laws". *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **63**.1 (2011), pp. 3–37. DOI.

- [2] A. Baxter, B. Nakamura, and D. Zeilberger. "Automatic generation of theorems and proofs on enumerating consecutive-Wilf classes". *Advances in combinatorics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 121–138.
- [3] N. R. Beaton, A. R. Conway, and A. J. Guttmann. "On consecutive pattern avoiding permutations of length 4, 5 and beyond". *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 19.2 (2017), Paper No. 8, 24.
- [4] N. Blitvić and E. Steingrímsson. "Permutations, moments, measures". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374**.8 (2021), pp. 5473–5508. DOI.
- [5] A. Borodin, P. Diaconis, and J. Fulman. "On adding a list of numbers (and other one-dependent determinantal processes)". *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (*N.S.*) 47.4 (2010), pp. 639–670.
 DOI.
- [6] A. Bostan, A. Elvey Price, A. J. Guttmann, and J.-M. Maillard. "Stieltjes moment sequences for pattern-avoiding permutations". *Electron. J. Combin.* 27.4 (2020), P4.20. Link.
- [7] N. Clisby, A. R. Conway, A. J. Guttmann, and Y. Inoue. "Classical Length-5 Pattern-Avoiding Permutations". *Electron. J. Combin.* **29**.3 (2022), Paper No. 3.14–. DOI.
- [8] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin. "Shuffle algebras, homology, and consecutive pattern avoidance". *Algebra Number Theory* 7.3 (2013), pp. 673–700. DOI.
- [9] A. Duane and J. Remmel. "Minimal overlapping patterns in colored permutations". *Electron. J. Combin.* **18**.2 (2011), Paper 25, 38. DOI.
- [10] S. Elizalde. "Consecutive patterns and statistics on restricted permutations". Thesis (Ph.D.)– Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya. 2004. Link.
- S. Elizalde and M. Noy. "Consecutive patterns in permutations". *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 30.1-2 (2003). Formal power series and algebraic combinatorics (Scottsdale, AZ, 2001), pp. 110–125. DOI.
- [12] S. Elizalde and M. Noy. "Clusters, generating functions and asymptotics for consecutive patterns in permutations". *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **49**.3-5 (2012), pp. 351–374. DOI.
- [13] A. Elvey-Price. "Selected problems in enumerative combinatorics: permutation classes, random walks and planar maps". Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Melbourne. 2018.
- [14] I. Gessel and G. Viennot. "Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae". *Adv. in Math.* **58**.3 (1985), pp. 300–321. DOI.
- [15] H. Hamburger. "Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentenproblems". Math. Ann. (I) 81: 235-319, 1920; (II) 82: 120–164, 1920; (III) 82: 168–187, 1921 (1920).
- [16] "OEIS Foundation Inc. (2018), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences". Link.
- [17] R. Simion and F. W. Schmidt. "Restricted permutations". European J. Combin. 6.4 (1985), pp. 383–406.
- [18] A. D. Sokal. "The Euler and Springer numbers as moment sequences". *Expo. Math.* 38.1 (2020), pp. 1–26. DOI.