2

1

## 3

4

5

6

# Effect of boosting system architecture and thermomechanical limits on diesel engine performance. Part-II: Transient Operation

Jose Galindo, Hector Climent, Olivier Varnier<sup>†</sup>, Chaitanaya Patil<sup>\*</sup>

CMT-Motores Térmicos, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia 46022, Spain.,

<sup>†</sup>Jaguar Land Rover ltd., UK.,

\*e-mail: chpa7@mot.upv.es

### 07/11/2016

#### Abstract

Nowadays, internal combustion engines developments are focused on efficiency opti-7 mization and emission reduction. Increasing focus on world hamonized way to determine 8 the performance and emissions on WLTP cycles is demanding to optimize the engines 9 within transient operations. To achieve these, downsized or downspeeded engines are re-10 quired which can reduce fuel consumption and  $CO_2$  emission. However, these technolo-11 gies ask for efficient charging system. This paper consist of study of diffrent boosting 12 architectures(single stage and two stage) with combination of diffrent charging system like 13 super-chatgers, e-boosters etc. A parametric study is been carried out with a 0D engine 14 model to analyze and compare diffrent architectures on same base engine. The impact of 15 thermomechanical limits, turbo sizes and other engine development options characteriza-16 tions are proposed to improve Fuel consumption, maximum power and performance of the 17 downsized/downspeede diesel engines during the transient operations. 18

## **19 1 Introduction**

To charecterize the new turbocharging architectures, a comprehensicve study has been carried out with 0D engine model responding to specific objectives. The model consist of a phenomenological combustion model and a 0D filling and emptying model. In the first part of this paper we have covered analysis of an engine and the boosting system peformance under steady state operations. In this paper, the results obtained in single stage operations will be first reported to
characterize the turbolag of small turbochargers. Then, the results obtained in two-stage operations will be presented to determine the impacts of the main turbocharger on time responses
and to analyze the transient behavior of eBooster and supercharger configurations.

## **28 2** Methodology: Transient Operations

The cold transient tests at 1000 rpm have been simulated with the different boosting architec-29 tures for three engine displacements. These transient cycles are critical for the charging systems 30 due to low gas mass flows and thermal inertias. Time responses obtained under these transient 31 operations are therefore quite representative of the performance of both engine and boosting 32 architectures. In modern engines development, it is generally assumed that 'one second' repre-33 sents a good time response to reach the maximum low-end torque starting from low load, while 34 'two seconds' corresponds to poor transient abilities. Between both times, transient responses 35 can be judged acceptable or not according to the specific application. For the simulations, the 36 pressure losses characteristics of Engine (from the Ist part of the paper) components have been 37 employed on the 2.31 engine and then scaled on the 1.61 and 1.21 engines to obtain the same 38 pressure drops under the corresponding gas mass flows. Compressor outlet and exhaust man-39 ifold temperatures have not been restrained in the calculations but specific control strategies 40 have been implemented on the turbines actuators to avoid excessive exhaust manifold pressure 41 (limitation fixed at 4.5 bar). The smoke limiter has been calibrated with a maximum fuel to 42 air ratio (lambda) of 0.9 and advanced incylinder pressure limitations have been retained in the 43 cylinders. 44

	Type	Wheel diameter [mm]	Inertia $[10^{-6}kg/m^2]$	Peak efficiency [%]
turbine A	VGT	35	4.32	65.5
turbine A_30	VGT	30	1.29	63
turbine A_25	VGT	25	0.43	60
turbine A_20	VGT	20	0.11	56
Turbine 1	$\mathbf{FGT}$	35.5	3.59	65.5
Turbine 2	$\mathbf{FGT}$	34	2.76	64.5
Turbine 3	$\mathbf{FGT}$	31	1.58	63.5
Turbine 3_25	$\mathbf{FGT}$	25	0.43	60
Turbine 3_20	$\mathbf{FGT}$	20	0.11	56
Turbine 3_15	$\mathbf{FGT}$	15	0.02	51

Figure 1: turbine characteristics

dia	Wheel ameter [mm]	Inertia $[10^{-6}kg/m^2]$	Peak efficiency [%]
Compressor A	41	1.86	73.8
Compressor A_34	34	0.71	72.8
Compressr A_29	29	0.31	72.3

Figure 2: compressor characteristics

## **45 3 Turbocharger Response**

As we know, turbolag phenomenon is influenced by three main factors which are the turbine swallowing capacity, both compressor and turbine efficiencies and turbocharger inertia. A sensitivity study has thus been carried out on these factors to quantify their influences on transient response. For the impact of swallowing capacities, the different turbines from figure 1 have been coupled to different compressors figure 2 and then connected to the 2.31 engine.

<sup>51</sup> The transient results obtained in single stage operations are shown in figure 3. Even though



Figure 3: Influence of turbine swallowing capacity on transient performance during cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine.

the turbine 1 is able to provide relatively high low-end torque in steady conditions, it can be 52 observed how its power ability is too small under low gas mass flow and cold conditions to 53 produce acceptable transient responses. Reducing the turbine effective section improves this 54 situation and here a low end-torque objective of 30 bar BMEP can be reached in 3 seconds and 55 2 seconds with the turbine 2 and 3 respectively. At 20 bar BMEP, the turbine 3 swallowing 56 capacity represents a good match for the 2.31 engine achieving the torque objective in around 1 57 second. This time response can further be enhanced to 0.6 second using the Turbine 3\_25 but 58 its small effective section leads rapidly to choked conditions restricting the maximum reachable 59 BMEP to 27 bar due to exhaust manifold pressure limitations. With the turbine almost chocked, 60 reducing even more the swallowing capacity strongly decrease the maximum BMEP and does 61 not improve the time response. In fact at the beginning of the transient, the benefits of smaller 62 turbine section are offset by higher engine backpressures. For a given engine displacement, 63



Figure 4: Influence of turbocharger efficiencies and inertia on transient performance during cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine.

there is therefore a limit in turbine size reduction to maximize transient performance and here for the 2.31 engine an objective of 30 bar BMEP cannot be achieved in 1 second with small conservative turbine designs.

Regarding turbocharger efficiencies and inertias, variations of 10 points and 25% have been 67 considered respectively. The simulations have been performed with the Turbine 2 and 3 fitted in 68 the 2.31 engine. The results are shown in figure 4. As these turbines already have relatively low 69 inertias, it can be noticed that the use of advanced material to significantly reduce their inertia 70 has limited consequences on transient responses. Here, benefits of only 0.2-0.3 second have 71 been obtained with 25% inertia reduction. However, the improvements in turbocharger effi-72 ciencies present important potential to enhance transient performance. In fact, increasing by 10 73 points the turbocharger efficiencies allow the time responses to be reduced by 50% and higher 74 BMEP to be reached. With these efficiencies variations, the objective of 30 bar BMEP can now 75 be achieved in 1 second using a turbine slightly smaller than the Turbine 3. Small effective 76

sections and efficient designs are therefore the fundamental combination to reduce turbo-lag 77 phenomena. Analyzing the turbine requirements for the different engine displacements, it can 78 be observed in figure 5 for an objective of 20 bar BMEP that the Turbine 3 provides a good tran-79 sient response on the 2.31 engine but its swallowing capacity is too large to have some power 80 abilities on the 1.6l engine. The corresponding time response is thus extremely slow and a 20%81 smaller turbine (Turbine\_25) has to be developed to reach the torque objective within 1 second. 82 On the 1.21 engine, the low gas mass flows are even more critical and a 35% smaller turbine 83 (Turbine 3\_20) is required to reach the same performance. These scaling values can obviously 84 be reduced if more efficient designs are developed in parallel to small swallowing capacities. 85 For an objective of 30 bar BMEP. 86

efficiencies improvements are also essential and the trends underlined on the 2.31 engine can 87 be generalized to the other engine displacements. So, turbines slightly smaller and significantly 88 more efficient than the ones retained for the 20 bar BMEP objective need to be developed to 89 reach this power level within 1 second. With VGT turbines, it can be noticed for the 2.31 90 engine that the smallest VGT available in the automotive market takes the same time to reach 91 20 bar BMEP as the smallest FGT. In fact, the benefits of smaller swallowing capacity obtained 92 in closed position are offset by lower efficiency and higher inertia. Applying to the VGT the 93 scaling factors previously defined for the FGT, this effect can also be verified for the 1.6l and 1.2l 94 engines where the turbine A\_30 and turbine A\_25 produce similar time responses as the Turbine 95 3\_25 and Turbine 3\_20 respectively. At 20 bar BMEP, fitting a VGT in the HP stage presents 96 thus little interest for the 2.31 engine but, for other engine displacements, the bigger wheel 97 diameters involved can justify its use to reduce the efforts in small turbine designs development 98 (wheel diameter differences of around 5mm). For higher BMEP, VGT are progressively open 99 at the end of the transient to limit choked conditions adapting their swallowing capacity to the 100 gas mass flows, so no power is lost through a wastegate. Transient performances are therefore 101



Figure 5: Turbine requirements to fulfill transient performance objectives in downsized-downspeeded engines during cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm. efficiencies

enhanced with VGT and efficiencies improvements are less critical than for FGT. In that way,
the objective of 30 bar BMEP within 1 second can be achieved with conservative turbine designs
Turbine A\_30, turbine A\_25 and turbine A\_20 for the 2.31, 1.61 and 1.21 engines respectively) or
with the VGT defined at 20 bar BMEP increasing relatively their efficiencies. The use of VGT
at this power level can thus reduce development efforts not only in small effective sections but
also in highly efficient designs.

## **108 4 Two-Stage Performance**

#### **4.1** Two Stage Turbocharging Architecture

In a two-stage turbocharging architecture, the main turbocharger can also have some abilities to 110 produce boost at low engine speeds depending on its minimum swallowing capacity and VGT 111 actuator strategies. This boost production has an impact on the second turbocharger operating 112 conditions and on the whole transient performance. To illustrate these effects, simulations have 113 been realized on the 2.31 engine with a FGT turbine 3 in the HP stage (wastegate closed) and 114 a e-booster in the LP stage. A relatively small turbocharger has especially been retained in the 115 LP stage to increase boost abilities at low speeds and amplify the main turbocharger influences. 116 The results are shown in figure 6 where the transient responses obtained in two stage operations 117 varying VGT position are compared to the response previously obtained in single stage opera-118 tions with the same HP turbocharger. As it can be observed, the fastest transient is achieved in 119 single stage operations when the small turbocharger works alone without any interactions from 120 the LP stage. In two stage operations, even though 50% to 100% VGT open- ings produce here 121 similar results, the time responses increase closing the VGT as more energy is recovered by the 122 main turbocharger. 123

This behavior is explained in figure 7 with the different operating conditions plotted in the characteristics maps. Increasing the main turbocharger work increases the gas density in the



Figure 6: Effect of main turbocharger matching and VGT actuator strategies on transient performance (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine).

HP stage. The adapted gas mass flows are therefore reduced in the second turbine and, having 126 a given swallowing ca- pacity, its power ability is lowered. This decrease of boost in the HP 127 stage is more or less offset by the main turbocharger but, as the LP stage has a higher inertia, 128 transient responses are deteriorated. So, the VGT has to be main- tained in an open position 129 to optimize the transient responses in a two-stage turbocharging configuration equipped with a 130 VGT in the LP stage. Comparing the results obtained at 100% VGT opening with those obtained 131 in single stage operations, slight differences exist here between both time responses be- cause 132 the main turbocharger has a relatively small matching and the VGT produced some work even 133 in full open position. With a bigger matching more adapted to this engine displacement, theses 134 differences would be insignificant. So, the conclusions found in the previous section are also 135 valid in two-stage operations and the development of small high efficient turbines stay critical 136 to fulfill the performance requirements of future downsized-downspeeded engines. 137



Figure 7: Interactions between HP and LP turbochargers during transient operations as a function of main turbocharger matching and VGT actuator strategies (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine).

### **4.2 Two-Stage E-booster Architecture**

In a 2T eBooster configuration, transient responses depend on the electric power supplied by 139 the vehicle network and on the turbocharger boost abili- ties. As there is no interaction between 140 the HP and LP stages in the exhaust side, the VGT is maintained in a closed position to optimize 141 the turbine work production. According to the turbocharger matching, this position can be the 142 closest VGT opening to generate the maximum power with the smallest tur- bine swallowing 143 capacity, or the VGT opening that maximizes boost pressure preventing compressor surge. To 144 analyze the main characteristics of 2T eBooster architecture responses, calculations have been 145 carried out on the 2.31 engine with a 4 kW eBooster. For the eBooster, the compressor inertia 146 has been doubled to simulate representative eBooster accel- erations considering also a rough 147 motor inertia [150, 247]. The results obtained under full eBooster electric power are shown 148



Figure 8: 2T eBooster architecture transient responses as a function of turbocharger boost abilities during cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine.

in figure 8. The VGT position has been varied here to represent different turbocharger boost
abilities at low speeds. Using a relatively small matching, it has to be noticed that the main
compressor may get into surge for the closest VGT openings (see figure 9).

Regarding the intake pressure built-up, the transient response can be di- vided in two dif-152 ferent parts. First, the eBooster provides the boost correspond- ing to the electric power in 153 approximately 0.5 second. Then, if the turbine can produce some power under these low gas 154 mass flows, the turbocharger will continue to accelerate according to its efficiencies, inertia 155 and swallowing ca- pacity. However, the resultant intake manifold pressure is not proportional 156 to the turbocharger compression ratio. In fact due to electric power limitations, the operating 157 conditions are moved in the eBooster compressor map along iso-power trajectories, see figure 158 9. On these trajectories, the compression ratio is reduced as the gas mass flow increases. The 159 turbocharger has therefore to largely offset this boost decrease to elevate the intake pressure. 160



Figure 9: Transient operations plotted in the eBooster and turbocharger characteristics maps as a function of turbocharger boost abilities (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine). ratio

When 2T eBooster architecture is fitted in different engines displacement, the first part of the 161 time response which is mainly controlled by the eBooster characteristics is not dependant of 162 the engine swept volume, as shown in fig- ure 10. Both 20 bar and 30 bar BMEP objectives 163 can thus be reached in approximately 0.5 second on the different downsized engines if the 164 eBooster and vehicle network are designed to the corresponding electric power levels. Other-165 wise, the eBooster will not produce the entire boost requirements and the time response will 166 result slower according to the turbocharger matching and its abilities to provide the missing 167 compression work. 168

### **4.3** Two Stage Supercharger Architecture

<sup>170</sup> In a 2T supercharger configuration, transient response depends on the transmission ratio, the <sup>171</sup> clutch time delay characteristics and on the turbocharger boost abilities. Without interactions



Figure 10: 2T eBooster architecture transient responses on different downsized-downspeeded engines as a function of turbocharger boost abilities and electric power levels (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm).

between the turbomachines in the ex- haust side, the VGT is maintained in a closed position as 172 for the 2T eBooster architecture. To analyze the main characteristics of 2T supercharger sys-173 tems responses, simulations have been performed on the 2.31 engine with an Eaton R250 and a 174 like turbocharger(GTD1752). Two different transmission ratios have been selected for the cal-175 culations. The first one (rgearbox 13) corresponds to the transmission ratio which maximizes 176 the compression ratio avoiding over- shoots in the supercharger map (2.5 maximum compres-177 sion ratio). While the second one (rgearbox 10) is relatively smaller to carry out a sensitivity 178 anal- ysis of the transmission ratio. In this second case, the supercharger runs at lower speeds 179 with a maximum compression ratio of 2 during the transient. For supercharger engagement, a 180 progressive activation time of 0.3 second has been retained to reproduce the behavior of typical 181 electromagnetic particle clutch or plate type friction clutch [146, 175]. The obtained results 182 are shown in figures 11-12 where VGT positions have also been varied to represent different 183



Figure 11: 2T eBooster architecture transient responses on different downsized-downspeeded engines as a function of turbocharger boost abilities and electric power levels (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm).

184 turbocharger boost abilities.

In figure 11, it can be seen the supercharger provides directly at the end of its activation 185 time the maximum boost corresponding to the transmission ratio. The first part of the transient 186 is thus characterized by the clutch per- formance and the choice of the transmission ratio which 187 is crucial to reach the low-end torque objectives. In the second part, as for the 2T eBooster 188 configu- ration, the turbocharger can continue to accelerate according to its efficiencies, inertia 189 and swallowing capacity. However, the intake pressure increase is much more limited here 190 despite high reachable turbocharger compression ratios. In fact, the supercharger is a volumetric 191 machine which runs during this tran- sient test cycle at constant speed (rgearboxNmot). Being 192



Figure 12: Transient operations plotted in the supercharger and turbocharger characteristics maps as a function of gear ratio and turbocharger boost abilities (cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm on the 2.31 engine).

<sup>193</sup> placed upstream the turbocharger, there is no significant air density variation at its inlet. The <sup>194</sup> gas volumetric flows and the corresponding gas mass flows are thus relatively constant. Only <sup>195</sup> a slight increase can be observed in the supercharger map (figure 12) as the compression ratio <sup>196</sup> decreases due to lower internal losses.

<sup>197</sup>So when the turbocharger accelerates and produces some boost, the gas mass flow is strongly <sup>198</sup>restricted by the supercharger volumetric capacity and the supercharger compression ratio is re-<sup>199</sup>duced creating certain equilibrium between both chargers. Until completely offsetting this boost <sup>200</sup>decrease to disengage the supercharger, the intake pressure can only suffer small variations and



Figure 13: Synthesis of 2-Stage architecture transient performance on different downsizeddownspeeded engines during cold transient test cycles at 1000rpm.

the BMEP increases noticed in figure 11 are the result of both slightly higher gas mass flows and lower supercharger brake power consumptions.

With the same Eaton supercharger, the time responses obtained for the other engine displacements are shown in figure 13. In each case, the trans- mission ratio has been optimized to maximize the supercharger compression ratio, while the VGT has been maintained fully open to reproduce typical matching (limited turbocharger boosting abilities at low speeds). As it can be observed with this boosting architecture, an objective of 20 bar BMEP can be reached in approximately 0.5 second independently of the engine swept vol- ume. Only the transmission ratio has to be reduced to adapt the supercharger speed to the low-end torque requirement. For an objective of 30 bar BMEP, as already explained, the current designs with maximum compression ratio of 2.5 do not allow this power level to be reached. But if new superchargers able to work under high compression ratios are developed, the same fast transient responses will be achieved.

In figure 13, the results obtained with the other architectures have also been plotted to an-214 alyze the different systems. Having almost instantaneous time responses, the transient perfor-215 mance of 2T supercharger and 2T eBooster configurations are obviously quite similar. However 216 the time responses of 2T turbocharging architectures are slower and turbo-lags make perfor-217 mance objectives of 1 second quite challenging on the smaller engine displacements. The final 218 architecture selection will thus depend on the future development of small high efficient tur-219 bochargers and, if these turbochargers are not available, the choice between the 2T supercharger 220 and 2T eBooster systems will depend on the evolution of vehicle architecture electrification. 221

### 222 4.4 Conclusion

In transient operations, the turbo-lag of small turbochargers has first been characterized with 223 sensibility studies on turbine size, shaft inertia and tur- bocharger efficiencies. Then, the other 224 specific factors affecting transient responses such as eBooster characteristics, supercharger 225 transmission ratio, clutch delay time, etc. . . have been analyzed putting special emphasis 226 on con- trol strategies and main turbocharger boosting abilities at low speeds. Finally, an ar-227 chitecture comparison has been carried out on different downsized engines to determine the 228 greatest transient performance that can be achieved with ad- vanced charging systems. In this 229 chapter, most of the obtained conclusions play an integral part of the thesis contributions. So 230 for the sake of brevity, only a summary of the performed analyses have been given here and all 231 the corresponding conclusions have been directly reported in the following chapter specifically 232 devoted to this purpose. 233

## **References and Notes**

235	1.	Carlo Beatrice, Giovanni Avolio, Nicola Del Giacomo, and Chiara Guido. Compression
236		ratio influence on the performance of an advanced single-cylinder diesel engine operating
237		in conventional and low temperature combustion mode. Technical report, SAE Technical
238		Paper, 2008.
239	2.	Alberto A Boretti and Giuseppe Cantore. Similarity rules and parametric design of race
240		engines. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2000.
241	3.	Enrico Cacciatori, Baptiste Bonnet, Nicholas D Vaughan, Matthew Burke, David Price, and
242		Krzysztof Wejrzanowski. Regenerative braking strategies for a parallel hybrid powertrain
243		with torque controlled ivt. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2005.
244	4.	G Cantore and E Mattarelli. Similarity rules and parametric design of four stroke motogp
245		engines. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2004.
246	5.	A Chasse, P Moulin, P Gautier, A Albrecht, L Fontvieille, A Guinois, and L Doléac. Double
247		stage turbocharger control strategies development. SAE International Journal of Engines,
248		1(2008-01-0988):636–646, 2008.
249	6.	G Cipolla, A Vassallo, AE Catania, Ezio Spessa, C Stan, and L Drischmann. Combined
250		application of cfd modeling and pressure-based combustion diagnostics for the develop-
251		ment of a low compression ratio high-performance diesel engine. Technical report, SAE
252		Technical Paper, 2007.

7. J Galindo, JR Serrano, C Guardiola, and C Cervelló. Surge limit definition in a specific
 test bench for the characterization of automotive turbochargers. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 30(5):449–462, 2006.

18

256	8.	José Galindo, A Tiseira, FJ Arnau, and R Lang. On-engine measurement of turbocharger
257		surge limit. Experimental Techniques, 37(1):47–54, 2013.
258	9.	Francisco Payri González and José Mª Desantes Fernández. Motores de combustión interna
259		alternativos. Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València, 2011.
260	10.	Hermann Hiereth and Peter Prenninger. Charging the internal combustion engine. Springer
261		Science & Business Media, 2007.
262	11.	P Hoecker, JW Jaisle, and S Munz. The ebooster from borgwarner turbo systems-the key
263		component for a new automobile charging system. Borg Warner Turbo Systems Knowledge
264		Library, page 5, 2000.
265	12.	N.Ausserhofer M.Weissbaeck O.Soustelle P.Ragot P. Mallet M.F.Howlett, W.Schnider and
266		J. Rozen. 3 cylinder aggresive downsized diesel. 2010.
267	13.	S Münz, M Schier, HP Schmalzl, and T Bertolini. ebooster design and performance of a
268		innovative electrically driven charging system, 2008.
269	14.	Alexandros Plianos and Richard Stobart. Modeling and control of diesel engines equipped
270		with a two-stage turbo-system. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2008.
271	15.	José Ricardo Hector, Galindo Lucas. Contribución a la Mejora del Margen de Bombeo en
272		Compresores Centrífugos de Sobrealimentación. PhD thesis, 2011.
273	16.	Gino Sovran. The impact of regenerative braking on the powertrain-delivered energy re-
274		quired for vehicle propulsion. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2011.
275	17.	Richard Van Basshuysen and Fred Schäfer. Internal combustion engine handbook-basics,
276		components, systems and perspectives, volume 345. 2004.
		19

- 277 18. G. Oberholz V.Simon and M. Mayer. The Impact of Regenerative Braking on the
   278 Powertrain-Delivered Energy Required for Vehicle Propulsion. Bog Warner Turbo Sys-
- tem Knowledge Library, 2000.
- 19. Neil Watson and Marian Stefan Janota. *Turbocharging: The internal combustion engine*.
  MacMillan, 1982.