Topical: Studying impacts of gravity on essential microbe-animal interactions in analogue and real nonterran environments

#### Katherine Baxter

Daphne Jackson Fellow, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde

+44 141 548 4989

k.baxter@strath.ac.uk

Kevin B. Clark

Science Advisory Board Co-Chairperson, Cures Within Reach; Domain Champion in Biomedicine, NSF's Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment; Affiliate, NASA Ames Research Center, NASA NfoLD, and SETI Institute; Founding Member, Peace Innovation Institute, Stanford University and The Hague; Mentor, Penn Center for Innovation, University of Pennsylvania and MIT COVID19 Challenge

kbclarkphd@yahoo.com

**Concept Paper Running Head:** BaxterKatherine, Topical: Gravity continuum affecting microbe-animal interactions

#### Abstract

Altered gravity may adversely impact microbe-animal interactions essential for host health, immunity and wellbeing. With the next decades of space exploration posing extreme physiological challenges, disruption of crewmembers' microbiomes by the gravitational continuum is an unknown hazard that requires immediate research focus to mitigate risk. In this paper we discuss current gaps in knowledge and recommend areas of investigation.

### 1) Introduction

Microgravity impacts many key elements within microbe-animal interactions. The most notable are immune system dysregulation, dysbiosis of the gut microbiome, enhancement of virulence of potential microbial pathogens, and disruption of mutualistic interactions [1-3]. As we look to return to the Moon and towards the first crewed deep spaceflight to Mars in coming decades, astronauts will face greater variation in gravitational environments than previously. It is reasonable to assume this gravitational continuum will affect microbe-animal interactions in ways similar to our current understanding of microgravity. However, little evidence exists for us to predict what these may be. Our ignorance about gravity-influenced microbe-animal interactions presents unacceptable risks to astronaut health, wellbeing, and performance and must be addressed over the decadal period.

The majority of animal-microbial research is performed at two points on this continuum – Earth-based studies at 1g [4-6] and microgravity studies, both simulated and ISS flown at 10<sup>-6</sup> g [7-9], although some reports involving hypergravity and other magnitudes exist [cf. 10]. Beyond Low Earth Orbit, crews may face diverse gravities, including Lunar gravity at 0.17g and Martian gravity at 0.38g. Neither magnitude has been addressed in animal-microbe studies, introducing profound knowledge gaps for animal-microbial interactions accompanying solar system exploration and habitation. As we advance a space-faring society, we also must advance understanding about the impacts of differing gravities on biological systems, such as animal microbiomes. Composed of lower eukaryotes, prokaryotes, archaea and viruses, the microbiome co-evolved with animals and other hosts, and plays essential roles in, among additional phenomena, host nutrient processing, immunity, development, behaviour, and reproduction [11]. The complex interplay between a host and its microbiome may reflect mutualistic relationships that benefit each other, pathogenic/parasitic relationships that benefit one and harm the other, or commensal relationships that benefit one or the other. Furthermore, these relationships are not restricted to the host-microbe dynamic, but may also arise within harboured microbial communities, where members compete or cooperate with one another for host resources [12]. Functional networks of microbe-microbe and microbe-animal interactions help drive host health and wellbeing, contributing to disease expression.

Impacts on human health are observed for several discrete microbiome populations [13,14], perhaps most notably the large heterogeneous population found in the human gut [15]. Proper maintenance of gut microbiota balance is a major concern during spaceflight due to effects of altered gravity on human physiology [16]. Other earthly commensal microorganisms exhibit finely balanced microbial-animal interactions that render benefits for their host yet also cause disease under certain conditions. Examples of important host protection include skin microbiota capable of preventing skin colonisation of pathogenic bacteria by out-competing them for nutrients and space, or by creating local physiological environments hostile to pathogen growth and more hospitable to beneficial species [17]. Moreover, they produce enzymes and antimicrobial compounds that target other microorganisms [18-20] and modulate the host immune system [21-23]. Research shows too that commensal microbes may turn pathogenic when the overall system is disturbed, leading to disease states which require medical treatment. [14,18,23,24].

well as common oral diseases, such as dental caries, periodontitis and gingivitis [25].

For remote space and extraterrestrial operations, disease caused by disruption of microbe-human interactions can become life-threatening and mission critical. This concept paper justifies and recommends a decade-long strategy that emphasizes analogue Earth and *in situ* space research on animal-microbe interactions with the aim of reducing flight and habitation risks to astronaut health, wellbeing, and performance, thereby maximizing near-term space exploration outcomes, such as that planned for the Artemis program. We further discuss the potential benefits of altered gravity research on animal-microbe interactions to Terrestrial healthcare.

#### 2) Pertinent questions and why they need answers

# *a)* What is the impact of gravitational variation on microbe-host communication mechanisms?

Communication between microbes and their host is complex and not fully understood. To coordinate behaviour across their population, fungi, bacteria and archaea use the release of messenger molecules into their environment. Detection of signalling molecules, termed quorum sensing, influences metabolism, growth, biofilms and virulence of microbes of their own species and others [26] and also host responses [27]. Additional communication incurs events between microorganisms and their hosts include signalling systems triggered by other microbially-produced molecules (e.g. carbohydrates, metabolites, RNA) [28-30] and the modulation of microbial behaviour by host-released molecules, such as metabolites, hormones, immunomodulatory proteins, and nucleic acids [31]. Furthermore, at the organism level, microbially produced metabolites crucially affect vital host processes, influencing immunity, metabolism and brain function [21,32,33]

Microgravity perturbs molecular physiology during spaceflight [34,35], so one may reasonably hypothesize that this disruption extends to molecular physiology governing communication between host and microbiome, increasing risk to crew health through altered communications with their microbial symbionts [1,36-38]. What remains unknown is how Lunar or Martian gravity impact these signalling systems, or what effects occur when moving along the gravity continuum over the course of a deep space mission (i.e. Earth to LEO to Lunar Gateway to Mars). Will signalling systems be compromised? If so, is it through reduced production of signalling molecules, impaired molecule detection by the receiving cell/tissue, or changed signalling (physico)chemistry, computations, and diffusion patterns and rates? How might gravity variations cause these effects? These and more questions highlight the need to improve our knowledge, at molecular and systems levels of study, about the influence gravity has over complex communication systems and health risks.

## *b)* How does the gravitational continuum impact biofilm formation, virulence and antimicrobial resistance?

Microorganisms often produce protective structures called biofilms to attach themselves to surfaces and shield themselves from their environment. Composed of polysaccharides, proteins, eDNA and microbiota, biofilms are the main lifestyle of microbial taxa [39] and contain cosmopolitan populations of diverse species across kingdoms [40]. The tremendous heterogeneity of biofilms helps protect their inhabitants from a range of host and ambient environments while permitting access to nutrition. Biofilms are commonly compared to tissue of metazoa [41], with channels for nutrient supply [42], cells with different metabolic activity [43] and cocellular behaviour by extracellular signalling mechanisms ordination of [44,45]. Considering pathogenic microbe-animal interactions. the protective properties of biofilms retard immune cell access, chemical decontaminants, antimicrobial treatments and mechanical removal [46]. Biofilms also promote expression of virulence genes [47], increase mutation rates in residing cells, and increase horizontal transfer of antimicrobial resistance genes [48,49]. Protective qualities of biofilms also extend to noncellular microbes, as pathogenic viruses may harbour in biofilms without loss of infectivity due to immunoresponse, antivirals or decontamination methods [50].

Most biofilm studies in microgravity, both simulated and actual, have investigated single species biofilms [51]. Such compositions are unrepresentative of prevalent polymicrobial biofilms, hindering mechanistic appraisal of biofilm formation, structure and protection across the gravitational continuum. This gap in understanding raises many questions. Do biofilms become more or less protective? How might biofilms change? Are biofilm mutation and horizontal gene transfer rates accelerated or slowed? Is virulence modified by gravitational variation, and how can we mitigate or suppress the mechanisms involved in virulence? As biofilms are ever-present, flight crews face infection risks from biofilms produced by their own harboured microbiota (e.g. wound associated biofilms, urinary tract biofilm infections, biofilm enhancement of reactivated virus infections) as well as from biofilms in the spacecraft environment [52]. So, it is essential that these questions are answered and better next-generation technologies developed and deployed to counteract that risk.

## c) What happens to interkingdom population dynamics, and metabolic interdependency along the gravity continuum?

Microbiome ecologies are defined by their habitat and interactions between community members via networks of cooperation and competition [53]. Microbes release metabolites into the extracellular environment, where they are utilised by other community members, which in turn produce other metabolites in a web of interdependence [54]. This metabolic exchange drives co-occurrence of species and division of labour within the microbiome [55]. Such intra-community behaviour is very complex and our understanding of associated population dynamics is poor, especially in the context of gravitational variation. We do know that microgravity can change production of microbial metabolites [56]. Yet, we do not know if these metabolic alterations affect microbial population dynamics. Do microbial population dynamics shift along the gravitational continuum? If so, what drives changes, perhaps transitions in the host environment or adaptations within the harboured microbes that then impact the surrounding community? Research must be prioritized to gain more detailed insights regarding the effects of gravity on microbial community dynamics, as such findings will contribute to critically maintaining astronaut health, wellbeing, and performance on long-term space missions.

### d) What changes occur in the microbiome due to gravitational variance?

The microbiome of an animal is a dynamic entity, changing over time and under the influence of different environmental conditions [57]. Spaceflight alters the composition of various microbial communities of animals [58] and environmental factors, such as circadian rhythm [59,60], diet [61] and stress [62,63] that drive microbiome makeup. These environmental factors indirectly affect hosts via microbiome action, which may impair wound healing [64] and cause mood, anxiety, psychosis, and motor disorders [21,22,24,65,66]. Recent scientific debate highlights the lack of understanding about how microbiome flux influences neuropsychiatric state, behaviour and immunity [67]. We need to know how environment-driven microbiome changes may be altered in gravity conditions other than 1g. Are the mechanisms of change the same in different gravity magnitudes? Are effects on microbial communities potentiated or dampened, and what does that mean for the host? What happens when different environmental factors are combined, and what countermeasures can be taken to suppress unwanted environment-driven alterations in microbiomes? These questions need to be answered in order to preserve microbiome homeostasis and host functions.

## e) What microbiome-directed therapies could be employed to support health and wellbeing along the gravitational continuum?

As on Earth, personalised microbiome-directed therapy may be used to modulate microbial community status, although exact approaches may differ due to gravitational conditions. Operation of target host systems may be bolstered by positive host-microbe interactions, such as through use of probiotic or replacement microbes [21,68]. Effects of probiotic organisms in simulated microgravity nonetheless remain inconclusive [69-71]. Further research on the use of probiotic microbes in microgravity is clearly required to reach a definitive answer. In contrast to purely probiotic activity, therapeutic strategies may be adopted that exploit microbial mechanisms to regulate microbiota populations, most notably phage therapy and quorum quenching. Bacteriophages (phages) are bacteria-specific viruses that kill their host under certain circumstances and continue to be investigated for their use against multidrug resistant infections [21,22,72]. But, few details exist about their effects in microgravity. Quorum guenching, the production of molecules that disrupt quorum signalling [73], may be a more suitable alternative treatment solution. Although untested in microgravity settings, targeting quorum signalling, and therefore microbe-microbe and microbe-host communications, may modulate both microbial network and host responses. Such therapies are in their infancy and much is unknown about their potential application in supporting health and wellbeing along the gravitational continuum. Further study is necessary to establish the feasibility of their use.

### 3) Potential benefits to terrestrial healthcare and other applications

Understanding how microbe-animal interactions support crew health during longterm and deep space missions is directly applicable to healthcare. Firstly, the reversible effect of microgravity on the human body makes it a unique tool in medical research, with physiological effects similar to that of those caused by aging, immunological impairment and dysbiosis [15,74]. In effect, analogue and real astronaut crews model extreme physiological conditions which perturb microbiota and host health, allowing developed and deployed space-related countermeasures to be directly translated to strategic healthcare applications on Earth for populations enduring perilous physiological conditions accompanying famine, drought, extreme temperatures, infectious disease outbreaks, civic instability, and other crises. Secondly, research on microbe-animal interactions along the gravitational continuum will improve understanding of the molecular mechanisms of these systems, aspects of which could be taken and applied to similar molecular systems in other contexts (e.g. microbe-plant interactions, biofouling, etc.) Knowledge could be further utilised in synthetic biology, biomanufacturing processes and microorganism-driven *in situ* resource utilisation.

#### 4) Summary and recommendations

Coming decades will witness establishment of a Lunar gateway and manned Mars missions, as we evolve into a space-faring society. But, conditions under which crews will live for months to years at a time have anticipated deleterious effects on their health. Current countermeasures and risk mitigation are insufficient to support long-term deep space missions, so new technologies and methodologies need to be developed to ensure crew health is supported and maintained. One consideration is development of countermeasures involving microbe-animal interactions. Many gaps in our understanding exist about these interactions and how they are essential to the health and wellbeing of host organisms. It is thus crucial that research be prioritized to assess these interactions over the next decade. We recommend the following:

- Identifying key beneficial microbe-animal interactions and molecular processes in both microbial communities and host that contribute to maintaining optimum function of the immune system, nervous system and gut.
- Developing methods that can be used to support microbial networks and preserve mutualistic interactions.
- Investigating impacts of the gravitational continuum on microbial communities, including effects on beneficial microbes and their mutualistic interactions and on the pathogenicity and virulence of pathogenic and commensal microbes.
- Identifying and developing appropriate space-deployable, clinically relevant biological systems and high-performance computing and empirical technologies for modelling microbe-animal interactions (e.g. wound models, polymicrobial biofilm models, animal models, and standardised methodology of their use).
- Development of imaging and quantification technologies for monitoring and measuring gravitational impact on microbe- animal interactions (e.g. biomarkers/probes sensitive to gravitationally-induced physiological changes, real-time whole animal imaging).
- Development of computational models and simulations for analysis and prediction of microbe-animal interactions

### 5) References

[1] Mermel LA. Infection prevention and control during prolonged human space travel. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2013 Jan 1;56(1):123-30. DOI: <u>10.1093/cid/cis861</u>

[2] Foster JS, Wheeler RM, Pamphile R. Host-microbe interactions in microgravity: assessment and implications. Life. 2014 Jun;4(2):250-66. DOI: <u>10.3390/life4020250</u>

[3] Casaburi G, Goncharenko-Foster I, Duscher AA, Foster JS. Transcriptomic changes in an animal-bacterial symbiosis under modeled microgravity conditions. Scientific Reports. 2017 Apr 10;7(1):1-6. DOI: <u>10.1038/srep46318</u>

[4] Clavel T, Lagkouvardos I, Blaut M, Stecher B. The mouse gut microbiome revisited: from complex diversity to model ecosystems. International Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2016 Aug 1;306(5):316-27. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ijmm.2016.03.002</u>

[5] Stecher B. Establishing causality in Salmonella-microbiota-host interaction: the use of gnotobiotic mouse models and synthetic microbial communities. International Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2021 Mar 2:151484. DOI:10.1016/j.ijmm.2021.151484

[6] Nichols RG, Davenport ER. The relationship between the gut microbiome and host gene expression: a review. Human Genetics. 2021 May;140(5):747-60. DOI:10.1007/s00439-020-02237-0

[7] Grant KC, Khodadad CL, Foster JS. Role of Hfq in an animal–microbe symbiosis under simulated microgravity conditions. International Journal of Astrobiology. 2014 Jan;13(1):53-61. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550413000359</u>

[8] Sugita T, Yamazaki T, Makimura K, Cho O, Yamada S, Ohshima H, Mukai C. Comprehensive analysis of the skin fungal microbiota of astronauts during a halfyear stay at the International Space Station. Sabouraudia. 2016 Jan 14;54(3):232-9. DOI:<u>10.1093/mmy/myv121</u>

[9] Gilbert R, Torres M, Clemens R, Hateley S, Hosamani R, Wade W, Bhattacharya S. Spaceflight and simulated microgravity conditions increase virulence of Serratia marcescens in the Drosophila melanogaster infection model. npj Microgravity. 2020 Feb 4;6(1):1-9. DOI:10.1038/s41526-019-0091-2

[10] Alauzet C, Cunat L, Wack, M. *et al.* Hypergravity disrupts murine intestinal microbiota. Scientific Reports. 2019; 9:9410. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45153-8</u>

[11] Colston TJ, Jackson CR. Microbiome evolution along divergent branches of the vertebrate tree of life: What is known and unknown. Molecular Ecology. 2016 Aug;25(16):3776-800. DOI: <u>10.1111/mec.13730</u> [12] Yadav M, Chauhan NS. Overview of the rules of the microbial engagement in the gut microbiome: a step towards microbiome therapeutics. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2021 May;130(5):1425-41. DOI:<u>10.1111/jam.14883</u>

[13] Gupta VK, Paul S, Dutta C. Geography, ethnicity or subsistence-specific variations in human microbiome composition and diversity. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2017 Jun 23;8:1162. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01162</u>

[14] Park YJ, Lee HK. The role of skin and orogenital microbiota in protective immunity and chronic immune-mediated inflammatory disease. Frontiers in Immunology. 2018 Jan 10;8:1955. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01955</u>

[15] Mohajeri MH, Brummer RJ, Rastall RA, Weersma RK, Harmsen HJ, Faas M, Eggersdorfer M. The role of the microbiome for human health: from basic science to clinical applications. European Journal of Nutrition. 2018 May;57(1):1-4. DOI: <u>10.1007/s00394-018-1703-4</u>

[16] Hariom SK, Ravi A, Mohan GR, Pochiraju HD, Chattopadhyay S, Nelson EJ. Animal physiology across the gravity continuum. Acta Astronautica. 2021 Jan 1;178:522-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2020.09.044</u>

[17] Byrd AL, Belkaid Y, Segre JA. The human skin microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2018 Mar;16(3):143-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.157</u>

[18] Kean R, Rajendran R, Haggarty J, Townsend EM, Short B, Burgess KE, et al. Candida albicans mycofilms support Staphylococcus aureus colonization and enhances miconazole resistance in dual-species Interactions. Frontiers in Microbiology 2017 Feb 23; 8:258. DOI: <u>10.3389/fmicb.2017.00258</u>

[19] Sugimoto S, Iwamoto T, Takada K, Okuda KI, Tajima A, Iwase T, Mizunoe Y. Staphylococcus epidermidis Esp degrades specific proteins associated with Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation and host-pathogen interaction. Journal of Bacteriology. 2013 Apr 15;195(8):1645-55. DOI: <u>10.1128/JB.01672-12</u>

[20] Shu M, Wang Y, Yu J, Kuo S, Coda A, Jiang Y, Gallo RL, Huang CM. Fermentation of Propionibacterium acnes, a commensal bacterium in the human skin microbiome, as skin probiotics against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. PloS One. 2013 Feb 6;8(2):e55380. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0055380</u>

[21] Park YJ, Kim CW, Lee HK. Interactions between host immunity and skincolonizing Staphylococci: No two siblings are alike. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2019 Jan;20(3):718. DOI: <u>10.3390/ijms20030718</u>

[22] Clark KB. Biotic activity of Ca2+-modulating nontraditional antimicrobial and – viral agents. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2013; 4:381. DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3389%2Ffmicb.2013.00381</u>

[23] Clark KB. Eisenstein EM. Targeting host store-operated Ca2+ release to attenuate viral infections. Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, 2013; 13(16):1916-1932. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/15680266113139990128</u>

[24] Clark KB. Neurotropic enteroviruses coopt "fair-weather-friend" commensal gut microbiota to drive host infection and CNS disturbances. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2019; 42:e68. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/s0140525x18002741</u>

[25] Willis JR, Gabaldón T. The human oral microbiome in health and disease: from sequences to ecosystems. Microorganisms. 2020 Feb;8(2):308. DOI: <u>10.3390/microorganisms8020308</u>

[26] Ghannoum M. Cooperative evolutionary strategy between the bacteriome and mycobiome. MBio. 2016 Nov 15;7(6):e01951-16. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01951-16</u>

[27] Holm A, Vikström E. Quorum sensing communication between bacteria and human cells: signals, targets, and functions. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014 Jun 26;5:309. DOI: <u>10.3389/fpls.2014.00309</u>

[28] Lee HJ. Microbe-host communication by small RNAs in extracellular vesicles: vehicles for transkingdom RNA transportation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2019 Jan;20(6):1487. DOI: <u>10.3390/ijms20061487</u>

[29] Ethridge AD, Bazzi MH, Lukacs NW, Huffnagle GB. Interkingdom communication and regulation of mucosal immunity by the microbiome. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Jun 15;223(Supplement\_3):S236-40. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa748

[30] Lebeer S, Vanderleyden J, De Keersmaecker SC. Host interactions of probiotic bacterial surface molecules: Comparison with commensals and pathogens. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2010 Mar;8(3):171-84. DOI: <u>10.1038/nrmicro2297</u>

[31] White JR, Dauros-Singorenko P, Hong J, Vanholsbeeck F, Phillips A, Swift S. The role of host molecules in communication with the resident and pathogenic microbiota: A review. Medicine in Microecology. 2020 Jun 1;4:100005. DOI:10.1016/j.medmic.2020.100005

[32] Sharon G, Garg N, Debelius J, Knight R, Dorrestein PC, Mazmanian SK. Specialized metabolites from the microbiome in health and disease. Cell Metabolism. 2014 Nov 4;20(5):719-30. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cmet.2014.10.016</u>

[33] Mayer EA, Tillisch K, Gupta A. Gut/brain axis and the microbiota. The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2015 Mar 2;125(3):926-38. DOI: <u>10.1172/JCI76304</u>

[34] Afshinnekoo E, Scott RT, MacKay MJ, Pariset E, Cekanaviciute E, Barker R, Gilroy S, Hassane D, Smith SM, Zwart SR, Nelman-Gonzalez M. Fundamental biological features of spaceflight: Advancing the field to enable deep-space exploration. Cell. 2020 Nov 25;183(5):1162-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.050 [35] Rea G, Cristofaro F, Pani G, Pascucci B, Ghuge SA, Corsetto PA, Imbriani M, Visai L, Rizzo AM. Microgravity-driven remodeling of the proteome reveals insights into molecular mechanisms and signal networks involved in response to the space flight environment. Journal of Proteomics. 2016 Mar 30;137:3-18. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.11.005</u>

[36] Crucian B, Babiak-Vazquez A, Johnston S, Pierson DL, Ott CM, Sams C. Incidence of clinical symptoms during long-duration orbital spaceflight. International Journal of General Medicine. 2016;9:383. DOI: <u>10.2147/IJGM.S114188</u>

[37] Clark KB. Classical and quantum cell-cell signaling by microbial life on Earth and possible other livable worlds. Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society. 2021a; 53(4): 32. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3847/25c2cfeb.fd9160e2</u>

[38] Clark KB. Classical and quantum information processing in aneural to neural cellular decision making on Earth and perhaps beyond. Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society. 2021b; 53(4): 33. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3847/25c2cfeb.b9342939

[39] Watnick P, Kolter R. Biofilm, city of microbes. Journal of Bacteriology. 2000 May 15;182(10):2675-9. DOI: <u>10.1128/JB.182.10.2675-2679.2000</u>

[40] Peters BM, Jabra-Rizk MA, O'May GA, Costerton JW, Shirtliff ME. Polymicrobial interactions: impact on pathogenesis and human disease. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2012 Jan;25(1):193-213. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00013-11</u>

[41] Darveau RP, Curtis MA. Oral biofilms revisited: A novel host tissue of bacteriological origin. Periodontology 2000. 2021 Jun;86(1):8-13. DOI: <u>10.1111/prd.12374</u>

[42] Rooney LM, Amos WB, Hoskisson PA, McConnell G. Intra-colony channels in E. coli function as a nutrient uptake system. The ISME Journal. 2020 Oct;14(10):2461-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0700-9</u>

[43] Parastan R, Kargar M, Solhjoo K, Kafilzadeh F. Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: Structures, antibiotic resistance, inhibition, and vaccines. Gene Reports. 2020 Sep 1;20:100739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2020.100739</u>

[44] Castillo-Juárez I, Maeda T, Mandujano-Tinoco EA, Tomás M, Pérez-Eretza B, García-Contreras SJ, Wood TK, García-Contreras R. Role of quorum sensing in bacterial infections. World Journal of Clinical Cases: WJCC. 2015 Jul 16;3(7):575. DOI: <u>10.12998/wjcc.v3.i7.575</u>

[45] Tian X, Ding H, Ke W, Wang L. Quorum sensing in fungal species. Annual Review of Microbiology. 2021 Oct 8;75:449-69. DOI: <u>10.1146/annurev-micro-060321-045510</u>

[46] Yang L, Liu Y, Wu H, Hoiby N, Mølin S, Song Z. Current understanding of multispecies biofilms. International Journal of Oral Science. 2011 Apr;3(2):74-81 DOI: <u>10.4248/IJOS11027</u>

[47] Todd OA, Peters BM. Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus Pathogenicity and Polymicrobial Interactions: Lessons beyond Koch's Postulates. Journal of Fungi. 2019 Sep 4; 5(3):81 DOI: <u>10.3390/jof5030081</u>

[48] Bowler P, Murphy C, Wolcott R. Biofilm exacerbates antibiotic resistance: Is this a current oversight in antimicrobial stewardship?. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control. 2020 Dec;9(1):1-5. DOI: <u>10.1186/s13756-020-00830-6</u>

[49] Lin D, Chen K, Guo J, Ye L, Li R, Chan EW, Chen S. Contribution of biofilm formation genetic locus, pgaABCD, to antibiotic resistance development in gut microbiome. Gut Microbes. 2020 Nov 9;12(1):1842992. DOI: <u>10.1080/19490976.2020.1842992</u>

[50] Ascione C, Sala A, Mazaheri-Tehrani E, Paulone S, Palmieri B, Blasi E, Cermelli C. Herpes simplex virus-1 entrapped in Candida albicans biofilm displays decreased sensitivity to antivirals and UVA1 laser treatment. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials. 2017 Dec;16(1):1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-017-0246-5</u>

[51] Ott CM, Crabbé A, Wilson JW, Barrila J, Castro-Wallace SL, Nickerson CA. Microbial stress: Spaceflight-induced alterations in microbial virulence and infectious disease risks for the crew. Stress Challenges and Immunity in Space 2020 (pp. 327-355). Springer, Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16996-1\_18</u>

[52] Lee MD, O'Rourke A, Lorenzi H, Bebout BM, Dupont CL, Everroad RC. Reference-guided metagenomics reveals genome-level evidence of potential microbial transmission from the ISS environment to an astronaut's microbiome. Iscience. 2021 Feb 19;24(2):102114. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.isci.2021.102114</u>

[53] Coyte KZ, Schluter J, Foster KR. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks, competition, and stability. Science. 2015 Nov 6;350(6261):663-6. DOI: <u>10.1126/science.aad2602</u>

[54] Ponomarova O, Patil KR. Metabolic interactions in microbial communities: untangling the Gordian knot. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2015 Oct 1;27:37-44. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.mib.2015.06.014</u>

[55] Zelezniak A, Andrejev S, Ponomarova O, Mende DR, Bork P, Patil KR. Metabolic dependencies drive species co-occurrence in diverse microbial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA. 2015 May 19;112(20):6449-54. DOI: <u>10.1073/pnas.1421834112</u>

[56] Huang B, Li DG, Huang Y, Liu CT. Effects of spaceflight and simulated microgravity on microbial growth and secondary metabolism. Military Medical Research. 2018 Dec;5(1):1-4. DOI: <u>10.1186/s40779-018-0162-9</u>

[57] Gerber GK. The dynamic microbiome. FEBS Letters. 2014 Nov 17;588(22):4131-9. DOI: 10.1186/s12916-020-01820-6

[58] Siddiqui R, Akbar N, Khan NA. Gut microbiome and human health under the space environment. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2021 Jan;130(1):14-24. DOI: <u>10.1111/jam.14789</u>

[59] Liang X, FitzGerald GA. Timing the microbes: the circadian rhythm of the gut microbiome. Journal of Biological Rhythms. 2017 Dec;32(6):505-15. DOI: <u>10.1177/0748730417729066</u>

[60] Mashaqi S, Gozal D. Circadian misalignment and the gut microbiome. A bidirectional relationship triggering inflammation and metabolic disorders -- A literature review. Sleep Medicine. 2020 Aug 1;72:93-108. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.sleep.2020.03.020</u>

[61] Wilson AS, Koller KR, Ramaboli MC, Nesengani LT, Ocvirk S, Chen C, Flanagan CA, Sapp FR, Merritt ZT, Bhatti F, Thomas TK. Diet and the human gut microbiome: an international review. Digestive Diseases and Sciences. 2020 Mar;65(3):723-40. DOI: <u>10.1007/s10620-020-06112-w</u>

[62] Foster JA, Rinaman L, Cryan JF. Stress & the gut-brain axis: Regulation by the microbiome. Neurobiology of Stress. 2017 Dec 1;7:124-36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2017.03.001</u>

[63] Bharwani A, Mian MF, Foster JA, Surette MG, Bienenstock J, Forsythe P. Structural & functional consequences of chronic psychosocial stress on the microbiome & host. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016 Jan 1;63:217-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.001

[64] Holmes CJ, Plichta JK, Gamelli RL, Radek KA. Dynamic role of host stress responses in modulating the cutaneous microbiome: implications for wound healing and infection. Advances in Wound Care. 2015 Jan 1;4(1):24-37. DOI: <u>10.1089/wound.2014.0546</u>

[65] Limbana T, Khan F, Eskander N. Gut microbiome and depression: How microbes affect the way we think. Cureus. 2020 Aug;12(8). DOI: <u>10.7759/cureus.9966</u>

[66] Sandhu KV, Sherwin E, Schellekens H, Stanton C, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Feeding the microbiota-gut-brain axis: Diet, microbiome, and neuropsychiatry. Translational Research. 2017 Jan 1;179:223-44. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.trsl.2016.10.002</u>

[67] Du Y, Gao XR, Peng L, Ge JF. Crosstalk between the microbiota-gut-brain axis and depression. Heliyon. 2020 Jun 1;6(6):e04097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04097

[68] Indira M, Venkateswarulu TC, Peele KA, Bobby MN, Krupanidhi S. Bioactive molecules of probiotic bacteria and their mechanism of action: A review. 3 Biotech. 2019 Aug;9(8):1-1. DOI: <u>10.1007/s13205-019-1841-2</u>

[69] Shao D, Yao L, Zhu J, Shi J, Jin M, Huang Q, Yang H. Simulated microgravity affects some biological characteristics of Lactobacillus acidophilus. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2017 Apr 1;101(8):3439-49. DOI: <u>10.1007/s00253-016-8059-6</u>

[70] Yim J, Cho SW, Kim B, Park S, Han YH, Seo SW. Transcriptional profiling of the probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 strain under simulated microgravity. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020 Jan;21(8):2666. DOI: <u>10.3390/ijms21082666</u>

[71] Castro-Wallace S, Stahl S, Voorhies A, Lorenzi H, Douglas GL. Response of Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC 4356 to low-shear modeled microgravity. Acta Astronautica. 2017 Oct 1;139:463-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2017.07.033</u>

[72] Melo LD, Oliveira H, Pires DP, Dabrowska K, Azeredo J. Phage therapy efficacy: A review of the last 10 years of preclinical studies. Critical Reviews in Microbiology. 2020 Jan 2;46(1):78-99. https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2020.1729695

[73] Grandclément C, Tannières M, Moréra S, Dessaux Y, Faure D. Quorum quenching: role in nature and applied developments. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 2016 Jan 1;40(1):86-116. DOI: <u>10.1093/femsre/fuv038</u>

[74] Prasad B, Grimm D, Strauch SM, Erzinger GS, Corydon TJ, Lebert M, Magnusson NE, Infanger M, Richter P, Kruger M, Influence of microgravity on apoptosis in cells, tissues and other systems in vivo and in vitro. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020 Jan;21(24):9373 DOI: <u>10.3390/ijms21249373</u>