# Spending trends on neuropsychiatric drugs in Minas Gerais, Brazil: is the offer of anti-parkinson drugs increasing?

Liliany Mara Silva Carvalho (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0438-5174) <sup>1</sup> Jessica de Castro Alves (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2153-4023) <sup>1</sup> Tatiana Chama Borges Luz (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1323-3105) <sup>1</sup>

> Abstract Neuropsychiatric drugs are used for a wide variety of neurological and psychiatric conditions. This article aims to analyze the trend and determinants of public expenditure of these medicines in Minas Gerais, from 2010 to 2017. Data from the Integrated Materials and Services Administration System (SIAD) database were used to estimate volumes of acquisition and expenditure. A breakdown analysis was performed, and the list of purchased drugs was reviewed, and the Drug Utilization technique (DU90%) applied concerning anti-Parkinson drugs. Annual expenditure dropped by 36%, from R\$ 111.7 million in 2010 to R\$ 40.9 million in 2017, and the determinant factors were the falling prices and volume, associated with changes in the drug mix, which favored the acquisition, on average, of more expensive products. Higher levels of expenditure for anti-Parkinson drugs stand out, however, with a significant change in the list purchased. This study contributed to a better understanding of public spending on neuropsychiatric drugs. A reduced volume can increase the risk of shortages. Regarding anti-Parkinson drugs, there is no evidence to suggest an increased supply to the population.

**Key words** Pharmaceutical Care, Drug Expenditure, Supply, Central Nervous System Agents, Anti-Parkinson Agents

<sup>1</sup>Instituto René Rachou, Fiocruz Minas. Av. Augusto de Lima 1715, Barro Preto. 30190-002 Belo Horizonte MG Brasil. dra.carvalholiliany@ gmail.com

## Introduction

Neuropsychiatric drugs act on the Central Nervous System (CNS) and are indicated for the treatment of various neurological conditions, psychiatric disorders<sup>1</sup>, and other uses, such as anesthetics in surgical procedures. Their use has been increasing exponentially, partly due to factors such as aging populations and lifestyle changes, which are leading to an increased prevalence of NCDs, such as depression, Alzheimer's, and Parkinson<sup>2</sup> disease.

Studies evaluating neuropsychiatric drugs' expenditure in several countries have pointed to growing spending trends. For example, in the U.S., a US\$ 5.5 billion increase in the expenditure of these drugs has been recorded in the 2005-2009 period<sup>3</sup>. In Iran, neuropsychiatric drugs were considered one of the primary growth factors of the pharmaceutical market in the 2011-2016 period and were responsible for an increase expenditure of US\$ 188 million<sup>4</sup>. In France, in 2016, CNS medications were responsible for 36.6% of the total medication expenditure<sup>5</sup>. Estimates point that neuropsychiatric drugs will correspond to 31.6% and 26.0% of the total drug expenditure in developed and developing countries in 2020, respectively<sup>6</sup>.

In Brazil, studies on public spending on neuropsychiatric drugs are still scarce. A nationwide investigation from 2007 to 2014 showed federal spending of US\$ 54.6 million on the purchase of these drugs<sup>7</sup>.

The information is even more scarce regarding the expenses with the specific pharmacological classes of this therapeutic group. A nationwide study revealed that spending on anesthetic drugs increased 12.9 times between 2006 and 2013, from US\$ 7.4 million to US\$ 20.2 million<sup>8</sup>. Another study carried out in Minas Gerais between 2010 and 2015 showed that spending on antidepressants, anxiolytics, and hypnotic-sedative drugs increased 2.5 times in the period, from R\$ 7.5 million to R\$ 18.7 million reais<sup>9</sup>.

However, it is important to note that while the levels of spending on neuropsychiatric drugs are, to some extent, known, the composition of these expenditures by therapeutic class, the determining factors, and the spending trend over time are less understood.

Understanding the dynamics of public spending on these drugs contributes to the more efficient allocation and management of available financial resources in a context of growing need for neuropsychiatric drugs and where financial resources to ensure comprehensive therapeutic care are finite.

While a previous study investigated and identified the determinants of public spending on antidepressants, anxiolytics, and hypnoticsedatives<sup>9</sup>, this work updates and expands on the previous analysis, considering eight years of evaluation of public spending on the acquisition of neuropsychiatric drugs in Minas Gerais.

Therefore, this work aims to assess the neuropsychiatric drugs' expenditure and, specifically, concerning anti-Parkinson drugs, to investigate the acquired list and its impact on the expenses incurred.

### Methods

### Context

The State of Minas Gerais is located in the Southeast region of Brazil and has a territorial extension of 586,528.293 km<sup>2</sup> and 853 municipalities, the largest in the number of municipalities and the second in population size in the country (21,119,536)<sup>10</sup>. Its MHDI is 0.731, ranking 9<sup>th</sup> in Brazil. The state ranks third in the participation in the National GDP, totaling R\$ 598.5 billion reais<sup>11</sup> in 2018.

Minas Gerais has a triple burden of diseases, namely, a strong predominance of chronic diseases and conditions linked to human reproduction, coexisting with infectious and parasitic diseases and external causes<sup>12</sup>. In the 2010-2017 period, for example, the leading causes of mortality in the state were, in this order, diseases of the circulatory system, neoplasms, diseases of the respiratory system, and external causes<sup>13</sup>. Results of the *Global Burden of Diseases* project place the state as one of the most affected in the country concerning the burden of Chagas disease and mental disorders resulting from the use of psychoactive substances<sup>14</sup>.

#### Design and data source

This paper is nested in the research *Study of the supply of medicines in Minas Gerais. Supply Project*<sup>15</sup> (free translation from Portuguese). This is a longitudinal Drug Use Study that aims to investigate the supply of medicines in Minas Gerais, through the evaluation of public purchases of these products. This work focuses specifically on the purchase of neuropsychiatric drugs, which are those operating in the CNS. The data source is the Integrated Materials and Services Administration System (SIAD), available on demand<sup>16</sup>. SIAD aims to integrate purchasing management with budget management, recording public purchases made and effectively delivered within the scope of Minas Gerais state management.

The following variables were selected for the 2010-2017 period for this study: the name and presentation of each neuropsychiatric medication, the number of drugs purchased, unit prices, and year of purchase. Medicines were classified using the ATC/DDD17 system up to the fifth level (chemical substance), and products of the ATC class "N" (Central Nervous System) were selected. The medications were added as per the therapeutic subgroup: Anesthetics (N01), Analgesics (N02), Antiepileptics (N03), Anti-Parkinson drugs (N04), Psycholeptics (N05), Psychoanaleptics (N06) and Other Drugs of the Central Nervous System (N07). The number of chemical substances and pharmaceutical presentations was estimated for each subgroup.

### Data analysis

The data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2016 software (Microsoft Corporation, 2016) in two steps described below.

# Step 1. Neuropsychiatric drugs: evaluation of expenditure

The total number of each drug purchased, in the corresponding pharmaceutical presentation, was aggregated according to pharmaceutical units (tablets, injectable solution, oral solution, tubes, transdermal patches, and others) to estimate the purchase volumes. The volume of each drug was multiplied by its purchase price adjusted by the Broad Consumer Price Index (IPCA) for December 31, 2017<sup>10</sup>, to estimate the expense. The total annual expenses and volumes of neuropsychiatric drugs were estimated considering the years from 2010 to 2017 and the total annual expenses and volumes, accumulated totals, and variations in the period by therapeutic subgroups.

Then, a breakdown analysis was performed to assess the factors determining the expenditure variation<sup>9,18</sup>. The expenditure variation indicator (G) for a given therapeutic/pharmacological class is obtained by multiplying its three components: price variation (P), volume variation (V), and acquisition list or drug mix variation (D). Therefore, each drug presentation and the corresponding therapeutic subgroup are considered to produce the estimates. Moreover, two comparative periods are adopted, base and final, which in this case were 2010 and 2017, respectively, using the following formula:

$$G = P x V x D = \frac{\sum P_1 V_0}{\sum P_0 V_0} X \frac{\sum V_1}{\sum V_0} X \frac{(\sum P_1 V_1 / \sum V_1)}{(\sum P_1 V_0 / \sum V_0)}$$

Where P0 = Weighted average price per drug presentation in the base period; P1 = Weighted average price per drug presentation in the final period; V0 = Volume purchased for drug presentation in the base period; V1 = Volume purchased for drug presentation in the final period.

For each therapeutic subgroup, the component "price variation" in the formula is obtained by the total of the multiplication of the weighted average price of each drug presentation in the final period (2017) by the volume purchased of this drug in the base period (2010), divided by the total of the multiplication of the weighted average price of the presentations in the base period (2010) by the volume in the base period (2010). The "volume variation" component is obtained by dividing the total volumes of drug presentations in the final period (2017) by the base period (2010). Finally, to estimate the drug mix, that is, the variation in the acquired list, the weighted average price values of the final period (2017) are fixed, and mathematical operations are carried out with the variables price and volume as performed for the other components, according to the formula presented.

The Breakdown Analysis' results are obtained by therapeutic/pharmacological class, and the components P, V, and D can have values higher than, less than or equal to 1. For the interpretation of these results, if the value is greater than 1, the component contributes positively to increased expenditure; if less than 1, the component contributes to reduced expenditure; and if it is equal to 1, the component does not contribute to the expenditure variation.

# Step 2. Anti-Parkinson drugs: impact of the drug list

From the results obtained in the first stage, considering the significant increase in expenses with anti-Parkinson drugs (N04), and where determining factors for this increase have been sharp price reduction, increased acquisition volumes, and change in the drug mix, we selected this subgroup for further analysis.

Initially, the volume of purchase of each drug was characterized in Defined Daily Do-

ses (DDDs), considering that this information is available for all drugs in this subgroup in the ATC/DDD system. DDD is a standard measure that corresponds to the average daily maintenance dose of a drug when used in its main indication<sup>17</sup>. Moreover, the weighted average acquisition prices for each drug presentation in 2010 and 2017 were calculated.

The DrugUtilization 90% (DU90%) method was used to identify the main anti-Parkinson drugs concerning the acquisition volume. In this analysis, the products purchased in the period are classified in decreasing order of the total volume purchased, measured in DDDs<sup>19</sup>. Then, the individual participation of each one against the total volume is calculated. Two comparison periods were evaluated, namely, 2010 and 2017.

#### Ethical aspects

This research does not involve human participants, specimens or tissue samples, vertebrate animals, embryos, and the use of data directly obtained from participants or based on identifiable information or that may carry risks. The data used in this work derive from a secondary public procurement database, from the state administration. No risk situation requires the adoption of legal procedures for its implementation<sup>20</sup>.

## Results

From 2010 to 2017, R\$ 850.9 million was invested with the acquisition of more than 4.3 million units of neuropsychiatric drugs in Minas Gerais, and annual expenses decreased by 63.8%, from R\$ 111.7 million in 2010 to R\$ 40.9 million in 2017. The volume decreased 65.5%, ranging from 472,000 in 2010 to 163,000 in 2017 (Figure 1).

During the period, 168 chemical substances were acquired in 565 presentations of the seven CNS therapeutic classes. Considering all the therapeutic subgroups acquired, only two showed increased expenditure, anti-Parkinson drugs (N04), and anesthetics (N01). In particular, anti-Parkinson drugs were the class in which gross expenditure grew most in the period, from R\$ 1.9 million in 2010 to R\$ 7.7 million in 2017, an increase of 305.3%. Anesthetics grew more modestly, from R\$ 2.0 million in 2010 to R\$ 3.5 million in 2017, an increase of 75.0% (Table 1). The results of the breakdown analysis are shown in Table 2. In general terms, price (P=0.44) and volume (V=0.35) adversely affected expenditure, whereas the drug mix (D=2.27) had a positive effect, showing a general reduction in expenditure in 2017 when compared to 2010 (E=0.35).

Increased expenditure was recorded only for anesthetics (G=1.77) and anti-Parkinson drugs (G=3.98). Anesthetics showed a positive index for both volume (V=1.67) and drug mix (D=1.18). Anti-Parkinson drugs also had positive indexes for volume (V=8.27) and drug mix (D=1.77).

The results of the specific analyses for the class of anti-Parkinson drugs are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2.

The list of anti-Parkinson drugs has considerably changed when comparing 2010 and 2017. In 2010, 5 drugs in 10 pharmaceutical presentations were purchased. In 2017, 9 drugs in 14 different pharmaceutical presentations were added.

It can be seen that, in general, the volume of purchased DDDs increased about six-fold, going from 393.3 thousand DDDs in 2010 to 2.7 million DDDs in 2017. The most significant increase in volume was observed for drug biperiden in the 2mg tablet presentation, which hiked from 16.6 thousand DDDs in 2010 to 1.0 million in 2017.

In general, the total weighted average price (WAP) showed little variation in the period (Table 3). In 2010, the WAP ranged from R\$ 0.12 to R\$ 6.63, and 1mg pramipexole had the highest WAP. In 2017, the WAP ranged from R\$ 0.15 to R\$ 4.75. In the case of drugs purchased in both 2010 and 2017, only the association of levodopa 100mg with benserazide 25mg showed a significant reduction in WAP, from R\$ 1.52 in 2010 to R\$ 0.78 in 2017 (49%). A critical variation was observed in the WAP between the combination of levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone and its different presentations, in which their WAP was 2.5 times more expensive than the average of other drugs in the same class.

The results of the analysis of DU90% of anti -Parkinson drugs (N04) are shown in Figure 2. In both 2010 and 2017, three drugs were responsible for approximately 80% of the volume purchased. A variation was observed in this list, where only the association of levodopa with benserazide as second in the ranking, but in different pharmaceutical presentations, coincided in the two periods.





Source: Elaborated by the authors.

**Table 1.** Therapeutic subgroups, total annual expenditure, and volumes, accumulated totals, and variations in the period.Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2010-2017.

Therapeutic subgroup	Chemical substances (number of pharmaceutical presentations)	Annual Expenditure R\$ (million)ª			Accumulated expenditure R\$ (million) <sup>a</sup>	Annual Volume (pharmaceutical units in thousands)			Accumulated Volume
		2010	2017	Variation <sup>b</sup> (%)	2010 to 2017	2010	2017	Variation <sup>b</sup> (%)	2010 to 2017
Anesthetics (N01)	24 (43)	2.0	3.5	75	20.5	0.370	0.618	67	4.764
Analgesics (N02)	21 (69)	8.5	3.8	-55.3	57.6	201.934	38.625	-80.9	1,012.593
Antiepileptics (N03)	20 (92)	14.9	7.0	-53.0	132.2	157.602	37.984	-75.9	1,248.489
Anti-Parkinson drugs (N04)	13 (32)	1.9	7.7	305.3	145.1	1.225	10.139	727.7	228.490
Psycholeptics (N05)	42 (158)	70.8	10.4	-85.3	276.0	64.311	29.014	-54.9	599.370
Psychoanaleptics (N06)	35 (147)	12.1	8.0	-33.9	198.4	46.066	45.943	-0.3	926.994
Other CNS drugs (N07)	14 (24)	1.3	0.2	-3.77	21.1	0.220	0.452	105.5	7.524
Total	168 (565)	111.7	40.9	-63.8	850.9	471.7	162.7	-65.5	4.028

<sup>a</sup>Prices adjusted by the Broad Consumer Price Index (IPCA) of December 2017; <sup>b</sup>Variation =  $[(\Sigma 2017 - \Sigma 2010)/\Sigma 2010] *100$ .

Source: Elaborated by the authors

## Discussion

In general, the results of this study showed a significant decrease in expenditure incurred with the acquisition of neuropsychiatric drugs in the State of Minas Gerais between 2010 and 2017, whose determining factors were price and volume decline, associated with drug changes mix, opting for the acquisition of products that were, on average, more expensive. Together, these observations point to (1) a declining use of financial resources in the acquisition of this class of drugs and (2) a reduced efficiency of the public procurement process for neuropsychiatric drugs.

Therapeutic subgroup	Price Variation (P)ª	Volume Variation (V) <sup>b</sup>	Drug Mix Variation (D) <sup>c</sup>	Expenditure Variation (G) <sup>d</sup>	
Anesthetics (N01)	0.90	1.67	1.18	1.77	
Analgesics (N02)	0.45	0.18	1.86	0.45	
Antiepileptics (N03)	1.06	0.24	0.10	0.46	
Anti-Parkinson drugs (N04)	0.27	8.27	1.77	3.98	
Psycholeptics (N05)	0.25	0.45	1.26	0.14	
Psychoanaleptics (N06)	0.50	0.99	1.33	0.66	
Other CNS drugs (N07)	0.09	2.05	1.01	0.20	
Total	0.44	0.35	2.27	0.35	

Table 2. Results of the expenditure breakdown analysis of neuropsychiatric drugs. Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2010-2017.

 $\overline{{}^{\text{a}\text{Price Variation}} = \sum (P_{2017} X V_{2010}) / \sum (P_{2010} X V_{2010}); }^{\text{b}\text{Volume Variation}} = \sum V_{2017} / \sum V_{2010}; \text{cDrug Mix Variation} = [\sum (P_{2017} X V_{2017}) / \sum V_{2017})] / [\sum (P_{2017} X V_{2010}) / \sum V_{2010})]; }^{\text{d}\text{Expenditure Variation}} = \text{Price Variation x Volume Variation x Drug Mix Variation}.$ 

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

Chamical ashatan as	2010	)	2017		
Chemical substance	Volume (DDD) <sup>a</sup>	WAP <sup>b</sup> (R\$)	Volume (DDD) <sup>a</sup>	WAP <sup>b</sup> (R\$)	
Amantadine (N04BB01)					
100mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-	-	94,800	0.42	
Biperiden (N04AA02)					
2mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	16,623	0.12	1,001,510	0.15	
5mg/ml injectable <sup>d</sup>	50	1.95	850	1.66	
Bromocriptine (N04BC01)					
2.5mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-	-	5,133.6	1.85	
Entacapone (N04BX02)					
200mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-	-	106,650	1.63	
200mg coated tablets <sup>e</sup>	-	-	322,686	2.36	
Levodopa/Benserazida (N04BA02)					
100mg+25mg caps <sup>f</sup>	1,520	1.28	-	-	
100mg+25mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	130,666.7	1.52	169,693.3	0.78	
100mg+25mg cdispersible tablets <sup>g</sup>	120	1.31	-	-	
200mg+50mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-	-	678,573.3	0.7	
Levodopa/Benserazide (N04BA02)					
250mg+25mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	3,944.4	0.48	55,354.4	0.50	
Levodopa/Carbidopa/Entacapona (N04BA03)					
50mg+12,5mg+200mg coated tablets <sup>e</sup>	-	-	240	4.31	
100mg+25mg+200mg coated tablets <sup>e</sup>	-	-	800	4.53	
1500mg+37,5mg+200mg coated tablets <sup>e</sup>	-	-	640	4.75	
Pramipexole (N04BC05)					
0,125mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	691.5	0.54	-	-	
0,25mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	38,214	1.28	-	-	
1mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	21,216	6.63	-	-	
Selegiline (N04BD01)					
5mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-	-	272,960	0.65	
10mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	180,240	2.01	-	-	
Trihexyphenidyl (N04AA01)					
5mg tablets <sup>c</sup>	-		3,570	0.20	
Total	393,285.6	1.71	2,713,460.6	1.75	

Table 3. Anti-Parkinson drugs purchased, volume, and average purchase price. Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2010-2017.

 $^{a}$ DDD = Defined Daily Dose;  $^{b}$ WAP = Weighted Average Price = $\Sigma$  of purchase values/total acquisitions; 'Tablet;  $^{d}$ Injectable Solution; Coated Tablet; <sup>f</sup>Capsules; <sup>g</sup>Dispersible Tablet.

Source: Elaborated by the authors.





\*DDD: Defined Daily Dose.

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

The reduced financial contribution for the procurement of neuropsychiatric drugs coincides with the period of public finance crisis in Brazil, in general, and specifically in the State of Minas Gerais, characterized by the mismatch between the paths of mandatory revenues and expenses, with a significant reduction of fiscal space<sup>21</sup>, which may have played an essential role in state heal-th budgets. Between 2015 and 2017, real spending on medicines in the SUS in Brazil fell by 7%<sup>22</sup>.

Another possible factor is the decentralization of public procurement of medicines to municipalities, following the publication of the Pharmaceutical Care Regionalization Strategy (ERAF) policy by the State Health Secretariat in 2016<sup>23</sup>. However, it is essential to note that the ERAF policy's impact was small, as it only influences the Primary Care Medication List and acts only at the end of the observation period. Additionally, the Ministry of Health's centralized acquisition of high-cost drugs was previously acquired by the State Health Secretariat, such as olanzapine, clozapine, quetiapine, and rivastigmine, as of 2012<sup>24</sup>, may have also contributed to these results. Considering only expenditures with these medications, a ten-fold reduced expenditure is observed, from R\$ 54.9 million in 2010 to R\$ 5.5 million in 2017.

Also noteworthy are the demands involving judicialization and the incorporation of technologies, as both have a significant impact on health budgets. In Minas Gerais, state spending on health judicialization totaled R\$ 691.6 million<sup>25</sup> between 2013 to 2015. A cohort study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis conducted between 2008 and 2013 showed that each vial of a single biological drug, etanercept, cost, on average, R\$ 1,300-R\$ 1,500 to state public coffers<sup>26</sup>. Given this scenario, it is plausible to assume that the state reallocates financial resources that could be used for neuropsychiatric drugs for other demands.

Although Brazilian government purchases are governed by the Bidding Law and other subsidiary laws27,28 that seek the lowest price and the best quality of the products purchased, the evidence in this study points to lower efficiency of the public procurement process for neuropsychiatric drugs. It is noteworthy that this efficiency of purchases, in the case of medicines, concerns the selection of products, based on epidemiological and technical criteria<sup>29</sup>, associated with the falling prices and the maintenance or increase of purchase volumes<sup>30</sup>, since it is necessary to ensure people's access to pharmacotherapy. Minas Gerais shows that falling prices were accompanied by declining volumes associated with the change in the drug mix, with the purchase of products with higher average prices, a trend that threatens the very sustainability of the system.

The results by therapeutic subgroups show that only two had increased spending in the period studied, anesthetics (N01), and anti-Parkinson drugs (N04). Anti-Parkinson drugs, in particular, showed the highest growth in expenditure, with a variation of 305.3%. Moreover, this group showed a significant price drop, volume elevation, and variation in the drug mix.

The upward trend in pharmaceutical spending on anti-Parkinson drugs is being observed in several countries worldwide<sup>31,32</sup>. One of the possible reasons would be the increased incidence and prevalence of Parkinson's disease (PD), treated mainly with anti-Parkinson drugs<sup>33,34</sup>. In the United Kingdom alone, the annual incidence of PD is 8,000 new cases<sup>35</sup>. The prevalence of PD is expected to double by 2030 in European countries<sup>36</sup>, and, considering that this prevalence increases with age, one may expect significant growth in the number of cases in Brazil as well, compatible with the country's age pyramid. Furthermore, an increase in the mean duration of PD treatment<sup>37</sup> is foreseen due to increased life expectancy.

The volume of anti-Parkinson drugs in DDDs purchased by the State of Minas Gerais increased significantly, from 393.3 thousand DDDs in 2010 to 2.7 million DDDs in 2017. Similar results were found in a study carried out in Croatia, which showed an elevation in the use of anti-Parkinson drugs, up from 78.0 thousand DDDs in 2000 to 2.5 million DDDs in 2010, an increase of 218%<sup>38</sup>.

Among the factors that explain the increased spending on anti-Parkinson drugs is the change in the list of medications found in 2017 when compared to 2010, both in the number of drugs and pharmaceutical presentations. Moreover, the ranking of products concerning volume and average purchase price also varied in the two study periods.

Thus, in 2010, for example, drug selegiline was responsible for almost half of the volume acquired of anti-Parkinson drugs, with the second-highest average price compared to the list acquired that year. It is important to note that selegiline does not appear on the list of the first anti-Parkinson drugs concerning purchased volume in international studies since it is a therapeutic alternative reserved for specific situations of use<sup>39,40</sup>. According to official national and international PD treatment protocols, this drug is indicated in the initial phase of the disease, as monotherapy and when symptoms are mild, that is, when there are no functional impairments and complications of daily living41-43. These restrictions were already included in the official protocols of the Ministry of Health, which was in force between 2010 and 201741,44 and which were maintained in the current document<sup>41</sup>. Another aspect worth considering is that there was no factory price regulation for this selegiline presentation by the Brazilian Medicines Market Regulation Chamber (CMED) in 201045.

In 2017, biperiden started to lead the ranking of the highest volume acquired. This drug is indicated for the initial treatment phase of PD, but it is not the first choice according to official protocols<sup>41-43</sup> and, as per international surveys<sup>46,47</sup>, is also not among the most used anti-Parkinson drugs. Studies indicate that, except for the early onset of tremor, biperiden is not extensively prescribed for PD due to a high frequency of adverse effects, such as xerostomia, urinary retention, mental confusion, hallucinations, and cognitive impairment<sup>38,47</sup>.

Another relevant drug in this study is levodopa combined with benserazide, responsible for the second-largest volume acquired, both in 2010 and 2017. This result is, to some extent, expected, since levodopa, in combinations, has been the most consumed anti-Parkinson drug, according to some international studies<sup>46-49</sup>. Official national and international protocols set this medication as the gold standard of treatment for the control of symptoms that cause functional impairment in PD, especially stiffness and bradykinesia. The association is also indicated in cases of patients with advanced PD<sup>41-44,50,51</sup>. Furthermore, this medication also has a good cost-benefit ratio and a lower number of side effects in the short term<sup>52</sup>.

In general, the prices of acquired anti-Parkinson drugs did not change significantly between 2010 and 2017. However, noteworthy is the inclusion of higher-priced products, such as the different presentations of levodopa associated with carbidopa and entacapone. Some studies show that the combination of the three drugs is more effective in the treatment of PD53,54. Moreover, the combined dose in a single medication can facilitate adherence to treatment, especially in patients who have difficulty swallowing55. While evidence in the literature shows the relevance of this drug association, this product is not included in official Brazilian protocols<sup>41,44</sup>. There is a lack of cost-effectiveness studies to support decisions to include these drugs in the free distribution list56,57.

Some limitations of this study should be considered. The database only contains records of the products purchased and lacks data on the use of medicines in clinical practice. Thus, it is

not possible to state that all anti-Parkinson drugs were acquired for PD treatment. For example, drug biperiden, one with the highest acquisition volume, is widely used for other conditions, such as in the treatment of side effects in patients using antipsychotics58, in sleepwalking refractory cases59 and in the treatment of cocaine/crack addiction<sup>60</sup>. Another important aspect is that the drug purchase records in the SIAD do not allow systematically identifying which purchases are made as a result of court orders, which may have interfered with the implementation of the drug purchase policy by the State of Minas Gerais. On the other hand, it should be noted that SIAD is a database with several advantages for studies of this nature, with good representativeness and coverage of records, reliability, and standardization of the collected data, and continuity in the registration of these data over time, allowing longitudinal comparisons. It is suggested to standardize purchase records by court orders to further improve this database, in order to allow more specific investigations.

In summary, this study allowed a greater understanding of the determinants of public spending on neuropsychiatric drugs, revealing a significant reduction in the volumes purchased, which points to the need to review the public procurement practices to avoid the risk of shortages. Regarding anti-Parkinson drugs, despite the increase observed in acquisition volumes, the results found cannot be interpreted as an increase in the supply of these drugs to the population.

## Collaborations

All authors participated in the conception, planning, analysis, interpretation and writing of the work. All authors read and approved the final version and made significant contributions in the writing of this manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Fundação Oswaldo Cruz for their doctoral scholarships for students Liliany Mara Silva Carvalho and Jéssica de Castro Alves, the Instituto René Rachou for the technical support scholarship (PAT - Technical Support Program), the scholarship holder Isabela Cristina Marques for the support in the preparation of the databases, and the Companhia de Tecnologia da Informação do Estado de Minas Gerais (Prodemge) for providing the SIAD data.

## References

- Gray JA, Nicoll RA. Introdução à farmacologia dos fármacos que agem no sistema nervoso central. In: Katzung BG, Trevor AJ. *Farmacologia básica e clínica*. Porto Alegre: AMGH; 2017.
- GBD 2015 Neurological Disorders Collaborator Group. Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders during 1990-2015: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet Neurol* 2017; 16(11):877-897.
- 3. Blavin F, Waidmann T, Blumberg LJ, Roth J. Trends in Prescription Drug Spending Leading Up to Health Reform. *Med Care Res Rev* 2014; 71(4):416-432.
- Yektadoost A, Ebrahimi F, Mashouf M, Hadidi N, Koopaei NN, Kebriaeezadeh A. Trend analysis of medicine consumption based on therapeutic categories in Iran: 2000-2016. *J Res PharmPract* 2018; 7(2):95-103.
- Agence Nationale de Securité du Médicament et des Produits de Santé (ANSM). Analysedes ventes de médicamentsen France en 2013. Paris: Agence Nationale de Securité Du Médicament et des Produits de Santé; 2014.
- Quintiles IMS Institute. Outlook for Global through 2021. Balancing cost and value. Parsippany: Quintiles IMS; 2016.
- Magarinos-Torres R, Lynd LD, Luz TCB, Marques PEPC, Osorio-de-Castro CGS. Essential Medicines List Implementation Dynamics: A Case Study Using Brazilian Federal Medicines Expenditures. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2017; 121(3):181-188.
- Luz TCB, Osorio-de-Castro CGS, Magarinos-Torres R, Wettermark B. Trends in medicines procurement by the Brazilian federal government from 2006 to 2013. *PLoS One* 2017; 12(4):e0174616.
- 9. Barbi L, Carvalho LMS, Luz TCB. Antidepressivos, ansiolíticos e hipnótico sedativos: uma análise dos gastos em Minas Gerais. *Physis* 2019; 29(4):e290407.
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) [Internet]. [acessado 2018 jun 25]. Disponível em: https://www.ibge.gov.br/.
- Programa das Nações Unidas para o Desenvolvimento (PNUD). Fundação João Pinheiro (FJP). Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA). Atlas de Desenvolvimento Humano no Brasil [Internet]. [acessado 2018 abr 6]. Disponível em: http://www.atlasbrasil. org.br.
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde (MS). Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Saúde Brasil Estados 2018: uma análise de situação de saúde segundo o perfil de mortalidade dos estados brasileiros e do Distrito Federal [Internet]. [acessado 2020 mar 15]. Disponível em: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/saude\_brasil\_estados\_2018\_analise\_situacao\_saude\_mortalidade.pdf.
- Datasus. Informações de Saúde (TABNET) [Internet]. [acessado 2020 jan 15]. Disponível em: http://www2. datasus.gov.br/DATASUS/index.php?area=02.
- Martins-Melo, Francisco R, Carneiro M, Ribeiro ALP, Bezerra JMT, Werneck GL. Burden of Chagas disease in Brazil, 1990-2016: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Int J Parasitol* 2019; 49(3-4):301-310.

- Luz TCB. Estudo do abastecimento de medicamentos em Minas Gerais. Projeto Abastecer. Grupo de Estudos Transdisciplinares de Educação em Saúde e Ambiente. Belo Horizonte: Getesa/IRR/Fiocruz/MG; 2017.
- Minas Gerais. Secretaria de Estado de Planejamento e Gestão. *Portal de Compras do Estado de Minas Gerais* [Internet]. [acessado 2018 fev 15]. Disponível em: http://www.compras.mg.gov.br.
- WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology (WHOCC). Guidelines for ATC Classification and DDD assignment 2018. Oslo: WHO; 2017.
- Alves JC, Osorio-de-Castro CGS, Wettermark B, Luz TCB. Immunosuppressants in Brazil: underlying drivers of spending trends, 2010–2015. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res* 2018; 18(5):565-572.
- Wettermark B, Pehrsson A, Jinnerot D, Bergman U. Drug utilisation 90% profiles – a useful tool for quality assessment of prescribing in primary health care in Stockholm. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2003; 12(6):499-510.
- Brasil. Resolução nº 510, de 07 de abril de 2016. Trata de pesquisas e testes em seres humanos. *Diário Oficial da União*; 2016.
- Brasil. Ministério da Fazenda. Panorama Fiscal Brasileiro, 2018 [Internet]. [acessado 2020 mar 25]. Disponível em: http://www.fazenda.gov.br/centraisde-conteudos/publicacoes/analises-e-estudos/arquivos/2018/panorama-fiscal-brasileiro.pdf.
- 22. Vieira FS. Evolução do gasto com medicamentos do Sistema Único de Saúde no período de 2010 a 2016. Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada [Internet]. [acessado 2020 mar 20]. Disponível em: https://www. ipea.gov.br/portal/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=32195.
- Minas Gerais. Secretaria de Estado de Saúde. Deliberação CIB-SUS/MG nº 2.164, de 19 de agosto de 2015. Aprova as normas de financiamento e execução do Componente Básico do Bloco da Assistência Farmacêutica (CBAF) no âmbito do SUS-MG. Belo Horizonte; 2015.
- Minas Gerais. Secretaria de Estado de Saúde. *Relatório anual 2009* [Internet]. [acessado 2020 fev 16]. Disponível em: https://saude.mg.gov.br/images/anexos/instrumentos-de-gestao/programacao-anual/Programacao-Anual-de-Saude-2012-%2011-04.pdf.
- 25. Brasil. Tribunal de Contas da União. Acórdão nº 1.787, de 16 de agosto de 2017. Auditoria operacional, sob a forma de fiscalização de orientação centraliza-da (FOC), no Ministério da Saúde e nas Secretarias Estaduais e Municipais de Saúde, com o objetivo de identificar o perfil, o volume e o impacto das ações judiciais na área da saúde, bem como investigar a atuação do Ministério da Saúde e de outros órgãos e entidades dos três poderes para mitigar os efeitos negativos da judicialização nos orçamentos e no acesso dos usuários à assistência à saúde. Diário Oficial da União 2017; 24 ago.
- 26. Silva GD, Andrade EIG, Cherchiglia ML, Almeida AM, Guerra Júnior AF, Acurcio FA. Perfil de gastos com o tratamento da Artrite Reumatoide para pacientes do Sistema Único de Saúde em Minas Gerais, Brasil, de 2008 a 2013. *Cien Saude Colet* 2018; 23(4):1241-1253.

- 27. Brasil. Lei nº 10.520, de 17 de julho de 2002. Institui no âmbito da União, Estados, Distrito Federal e Municípios, nos termos do art. 37, inciso XXI, da Constituição Federal, modalidade de licitação denominada pregão, para aquisição de bens e serviços comuns, e dá outras providencias. *Diário Oficial da União*; 2002.
- Brasil. Lei nº 8.666, de 21 de junho de 1993. Regulamenta o art. 37, inciso XXI, da Constituição Federal, institui normas para licitações e contratos da Administração Pública e dá outras providências. *Diário Oficial da União*; 1993.
- Figueiredo TA, Schramm JMA, Pepe VLE. Seleção de medicamentos essenciais e a carga de doença no Brasil. *Cad Saude Publica* 2014; 30(11):2344-2356.
- Mousnad SMA, Shafie AA, Ibrahim MI. Systematic review of factors affecting pharmaceutical expenditure. *Health Policy* 2014; 116(2-3):137-146.
- Nakaoka S, Ishizaki T, Urushihara H, Satoh T, Ikeda S, Yamamoto M, Nakayama T. Prescribing Pattern of Anti-Parkinson Drugs in Japan: A Trend Analysis from 2005 to 2010. *PLoS One* 2010; 9(6):e99021.
- Pitcher TL, MacAskill MR, Anderson TJ. Trends in Antiparkinsonian Medication Use in New Zealand. *Parkinsons Dis* 2014; 2014:379431.
- Woo Y, Hyun MK. Effectiveness of Integrative Therapy for Parkinson's Disease Management. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2019; 11:40.
- Savica R, Grossardt BR, Bower JH, Ahlskog JE, Rocca WA. Time Trends in the Incidence of Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2016; 73(8):981-989.
- Schrag A, Ben-Shlomo Y, Quinn NP. Cross sectional prevalence survey of idiopathic Parkinson's disease and Parkinsonism in London. *BMJ* 2000; 321(7252):21-22.
- Dorsey ER, Constantinescu R, Thompson JP, Biglan KM, Holloway RG, Kieburtz K, Marshall FJ, Ravina BM, Schifitto G, Siderowf A, Tanner CM. Projected number of people with Parkinson disease in the most populous nations, 2005 through 2030. *Neurology*2007; 68(5):384-386.
- Gaspar JG. Novas perspectivas terapêuticas na doença de Parkinson [dissertação]. Lisboa: Universidade de Lisboa; 2017.
- Brkicic LS, Godman B, Voncina L, Sovic S, Relja M. Initiatives to improve prescribing efficiency for drugs to treat Parkinson's disease in Croatia: influence and future directions. *Expert Reviews* 2012; 12(3):373-384.
- Liu WM, Wu RM, Chang CH, Lin JW, Liu YC, Lin CH. National Trends of Antiparkinsonism Treatment in Taiwan: 2004–2011. *Parkinsons Dis* 2016; 1859321.
- Crispo JA, Fortin Y, Thibault DP, Emons M, Bierre LM, Kohen DE, Perez-Loret S, Mattison D, Willis AW, Krewski D. Trends in inpatient antiparkinson drug use in the USA, 2001–2012. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2015; 71(8):1011-1019.
- Brasil. Portaria nº 10, de 31 de outubro de 2017. Aprova o Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas da Doença De Parkinson. *Diário Oficial da União* 2017; 31 out.
- 42. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Parkinson's disease in adults: diagnosis and management. NICE guideline NG71. Methods, evidence and recommendations. Londres: NICE; 2017.

- 43. Canadian Medical Association Journal (CMAJ). Canadian Guideline for Parkinson Disease. CMAI 2019; 191(36):E989-E1004.
- 44. Brasil. Portaria SAS/MS nº 228, de 10 de maio de 2010. Aprova o Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas da Doença De Parkinson. Diário Oficial da União; 2010.
- Brasil. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Câ-45. mara de Regulação do Mercado de Medicamentos. Preços máximos de medicamentos por princípio ativo [Internet]. [acessado 2019 jul 6]. Disponível em: http:// portal.anvisa.gov.br/documents/374947/2829072/ LISTA+CONFORMIDADE 2018-05-15.pdf/5a-30020c-e3da-4ca4-9a0c-171d6cb87cad.
- 46. Machado-Alba JE, Calvo-Torres LF, Graviria-Mendonza A, Castrillón-Spitia JD. Prescribing patterns of antiparkinson drugs in a group of Colombian patients, 2015. Biomedica 2018; 38(3):417-426.
- 47. Less A. Alternatives to levodopa in the initial treatment of early Parkinson's disease. Drugs Aging 2005; 22(9):731-740.
- 48. Yang JX, Chen L. Economic Burden Analysis of Parkinson's Disease Patients in China, Parkinsons Dis 2017; 8762939.
- 49. Gaida R, Truter I. Prescribing patterns for Parkinson's disease in a South African patient population. J Appl Pharm Sci 2014; 4(3):29-34.
- 50. Tan EK, Yeo AP, Tan V, Pavanni R, Wong MC. Prescribing pattern in Parkinson's disease: are cost and efficacy overriding factors? Int J Clin Pract 2005; 59(5):511-514.
- 51. Van der Merwe S. Prescribing patterns of medicines used in parkinson's and other related disease in the private health care sector of South Africa [dissertação]. Potchefstroom: North West University; 2010.
- 52. Tsouli S, Konitsiotis S. How should we treat a patient with early Parkinson's disease? Int J Clin Pract 2010; 64(9):1210-1219.
- 53. Park J, Kim Y, Lee PH, Sohn YH, Koh SB, Cho JW. Levodopa dose maintenance or reduction in patients with Parkinson's disease transitioning to levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone. Neurology India 2017; 65(4):746-751.
- 54. Kuoppamäki M, Leinonen M, Poewe W. Efficacy and safety to entacapone in levodopa/carbidopa versus levodopa/benserazide treated Parkinson's disease patients with weraing-off. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2015; 122(12):1709-1714.

- 55. Hauser RA. Initial choice of medication has little effect on short-term or long outcome for most patients with Parkinson's disease. BMJ Evid Based Med 2015; 20(1):17.
- Soarez PCD, Novaes HMD. Limiares de custo-efetivi-56. dade e o Sistema Único de Saúde. Cad Saude Publica 2017; 33(4):e00040717.
- Silva LK. Avaliação tecnológica e análise custo-efeti-57. vidade em saúde: a incorporação de tecnologias e a produção de diretrizes clínicas para o SUS. Cien Saude Colet 2003; 8(2):501-520.
- 58. De Hert M, Wampers M, Van Winkel R, Peuskens J. Anticholinergic use in hospitalised schizophrenic patients in Belgium. Psychiatry Res 2007; 152(2-3):165-172
- 59. Hodoba D, Schmidt D. Biperiden for treatment of somnambulism in adolescents and adults with or without epilepsy: Clinical observations. Epilepsy Behav 2012; 25(4):517-528.
- 60. Dieckmann LH, Ramos AC, Silva EA, Justo LP, Sabioni P, Frade IF, Souza AL, Galduróz JC. Effects of biperiden on the treatment of cocaine/crack addiction: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2014; 24(8):1196-1202.

Approved 27/05/2020 Final version submitted 29/05/2020

Article submitted 12/11/2019

Chief Editors: Romeu Gomes, Antônio Augusto Moura da Silva