Efficient bright γ-ray vortex emission from a laser-illuminated light-fan-in-channel target

Hao Zhang[1], Jie Zhao[1], Yanting Hu[1], Qianni Li[1], Yu Lu[1], Yue Cao[1], Debin Zou[1], Zhengming Sheng[2,3,4], Francesco Pegoraro[5], Paul McKenna[2], Fuqiu Shao[1], and Tongpu Yu[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China*

[2] *SUPA, Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0NG, UK*

[3] *Collaborative Innovation Center of IFSA (CICIFSA), Key Laboratory for Laser Plasmas (MoE) and School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China*

[4] *Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai, 200240, China*

[5] *Department of Physics Enrico Fermi, University of Pisa, and CNR/INO, Pisa, 56122, Italy*

Abstract

X/γ-ray have many potential applications in laboratory astrophysics and particle physics. Although several methods have been proposed for generating electron, positron and X/γ-photon beams with angular momentum (AM), the generation of an ultra-intense brilliant γ-ray is still challenging. Here, we present an all-optical scheme to generate a high energy γ-photon beam with large beam angular momentum (BAM), small divergence, and high brilliance. In the first stage, a circularly-polarized laser pulse with intensity of 10^{22} W/cm^2 irradiates a micro-channel target, drags out electrons from the channel wall and accelerates them to high energies via the longitudinal electric fields. During the process, the laser transfers its spin angular momentum (SAM) to the electrons'orbital angular momentum (OAM). In the second stage, the drive pulse is reflected by the attached fan-foil and a vortex laser pulse is thus formed. In the third stage, the

10.1017/hpl.2021.29

energetic electrons collide head-on with the reflected vortex pulse and transfer their AM to the γ-photons via nonlinear Compton scattering. Three-dimensional particle-in-cell simulations show that the peak brilliance of the γ-ray beam is $\sim 10^{22}$ photons/s/mm^2/mrad^2/0.1% BW at 1 MeV with a peak instantaneous power of 25 TW and averaged BAM of $10^{6}\hbar$/photon. The angular momentum conversion efficiency from laser to the γ-photons is unprecedentedly 0.67%.

Keywords: laser-plasma interaction; γ-rays

1. INTRODUCTION

Bright X/γ-ray sources have various applications in the laboratory astrophysics, nuclear photonics, ultra-high density matter radiography, high-flux positron generation, nuclear medical imaging.[1–6] Hard X/γ-rays are conventionally produced by large synchrotron facilities with peak brilliance in the range of $\sim 10^{19-24}$ photons/s/mm^2/mrad^2/0.1% BW and photon energy ranging from several keV to MeV. However, the huge size and high cost of these large infrastructures mean that access to these sources is limited. Laser-plasma-based X/γ-photon sources have the advantages of compact size, relatively low cost, high beam brilliance and photon energy, making them extremely attractive for potential applications, especially in astrophysics and high energy physics.[7–9] In the past years, significant progress has been made in experiments, to develop a table-top hard X/γ-ray source, allowing a peak brilliance of the same order of magnitude as the synchrotrons at photon energy between 20 and 150 keV.[10–12] In numerical studies, many proposals based on petawatt-class (PW-class) laser pulse interaction with micro-wire[13] and channel[14], gas[10], near-critical-density plasma[15–18], mass-limited foil and solid[19, 20], have also been proposed to produce ultra-brilliant γ-rays with cutoff photon energy of several GeV and peak brilliance of several orders of magnitude higher than synchrotrons. However, due to the high photon energy, short pulse duration and small source size, it is very challenging to manipulate these γ-rays in a compact manner, e.g., the wave front, intensity distribution, and angular momentum.

Vortex photon beams have drawn wide attention recently spread over the microhertz to MeV-GeV γ-ray frequency ranges, due to their potential use in microscopy imaging[21],

microscopic particle control[22], and astrophysics[23, 24]. As a unique tool, they are also of significance to get insight into the dynamics of particles. The interaction of matter and photons via the AM may play an important role in the evolution of matter in the universe. There have been some discussions about the possible role of vortex photons in astrophysics[24, 25], and it has been argued that vortex photons are generated in several astrophysical environments such as around Kerr black holes[23] and in nonuniform plasmas[26]. With an additional degree of freedom, vortex photons can also carry more information concerning the physical circumstances of their sources, e.g., the magnetic field and radiation field[27]. A vortex laser pulse, e.g., Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) laser, has a helical phase front, hollow transverse field distribution, and strong longitudinal electric-field[28–47], enabling the generation of energetic charged particles with orbital angular momentum (OAM)[33–42, 48], high OAM X/γ -rays[43, 49] emission and harmonics generation[44, 45, 50]. To the best of our knowledge, the highest intensity of LG laser pulse achieved experimentally is around 10^{20} W/cm^2 with total power of tens of terawatt (TW)[32, 51]. Recently, some efforts have been steadily dedicated to generating X/γ -rays[52–59] vortex by use of vortex lasers. However, PW-class vortex laser pulse with intensity of 10^{22} W/cm^2 is typically required, which poses great challenges in current laser technology.

In this paper, we present an all-optical plasma-based scheme to produce a well-directed multi-MeV γ -ray vortex beam with large beam angular momentum (BAM) and high brilliance. In the scheme, a circularly-polarized (CP) Gaussian laser pulse irradiates a micro-channel target in the first stage, and a large number of electrons are dragged out from the channel wall. These electrons are accelerated subsequently to hundreds of MeV by the longitudinal electric fields in the channel. Meanwhile, the drive laser transfers its spin angular momentum (SAM) to the energetic electrons' OAM, so that a dense electron beam with large angular momentum is formed. In the second stage, the drive pulse is reflected from the attached fan-foil[28], which adds $\exp(il\phi)$ to the phase of the drive and changes it to a LG one. Once the energetic electrons collide head-on with the reflected vortex laser and the nonlinear Compton scattering (NCS) is triggered with a large key quantum parameter χ_e. This results in a dense γ -photon with a small divergence of $\sim 9°$, a peak brilliance of $\sim 10^{22}$ photons/s/mm^2 /mrad^2 /0.1% BW at 1 MeV, a peak radiation instantaneous power of 25 TW and averaged BAM of $10^{6\hbar}$ /photon. During the process, the laser AM is converted efficiently to the γ -photons with an unprecedented efficiency of 0.67%, which is

promising for some potential applications in various domains.

Figure 1. Schematic of γ-ray vortex generation from a laser-illuminated light-fan-in-channel target. A CP laser pulse is incident from the left and irradiates a micro-channel target. Electrons are extracted from the channel wall, travel along the channel, and are accelerated to hundreds of MeV by the longitudinal electric fields. Later, the laser pulse is reflected along $-x$ axis by a light fan and a LG laser pulse is thus formed which collides head-on with the dense energetic electron beam with large angular momentum. This finally results in the generation of a bright multi-MeV γ-ray vortex. Note that the fan-foil is perpendicular to the axis of the micro-channel and the arrow of reflected laser points to the micro-channel.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

2.1. *Overview of the scheme and model*

Figure 1 illustrates schematically our scheme and the key features of the produced γ-photon beams. In order to demonstrate the proposed scheme, we performed full three-dimensional particle-in-cell (3D-PIC) simulations with the open-source code EPOCH. In the code, the QED BLOCK is enabled to include the emission of photons via a Monte Carlo algorithm, the radiation reaction effect, and the feedback between the plasma and photon-emission processes, whereas the effect of spin polarization is ignored[60, 61]. In the first stage, a left-handed CP Gaussian laser pulse with the dimensionless laser electric field amplitude $\mathbf{a} = a_0 exp\left(-r^2/\sigma_0^2 \right)\left(cos\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y + sin\phi\,\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \right)$ is incident from the left side of the simulation box, where $a_0 = (eE_0)/(m_e c\omega_0) = 100$, $\sigma_0 = 3\lambda_0$ is the laser focus spot size, $\lambda_0 = cT_0 = 1\mu m$ is the laser wavelength, T_0 is the laser cycle, ϕ is the laser phase term, ω_0 and E_0 are the laser frequency and the electric field amplitude, e, m_e, and c are the unit charge, the electron mass, and the speed of light in vacuum, respectively. This corresponds to a laser peak intensity of about 10^{22} Wcm^{-2}, which is about one order of magnitude lower than the aimed maximum laser intensity in several laser infrastructures like ELI[62], APOLLON[63] and SULF[64]. The pulse has a Gaussian time profile and duration is $10T_0$. The grid size of the simulation box is $40\lambda_0(x)\times 12\lambda_0(y)\times 12\lambda_0(z)$, sampled by $2000\times 300\times 300$ cells with 9 macro-particles per cell. The micro-channel target has a longitudinal axial length of

$15\lambda_0$ and is located between $x = 1\lambda_0$ and $x = 16\lambda_0$ with thickness of $d_0 = 1\lambda_0$. The inner diameter of the micro-channel target is $6\lambda_0$. The fan-foil is left-handed (LH fan) and consists of eight parts with the same step height $\Delta = \lambda / 16$ to mimic a $\lambda / 2$ spiral phase plate[28]. The thickness equation of the fan-foil can be written as $d_n = d_0 + d_s \frac{\phi_n}{2\pi}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots, 7$), where

$$\phi_n = H[\phi - \frac{\pi}{4}n] H[(n+1)\frac{\pi}{4} - \phi]\frac{\pi}{4}n \ , \ d_n \text{ is the thickness of n-order step, } d_0 = \lambda_0 \text{ is the minimum}$$

thickness of the fan-foil, $d_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0$ is the maximum thickness difference of the fan-foil, ϕ is the angle of cylindrical coordinate system, and $H(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Besides, the fan-foil is perpendicular to the axis of the micro-channel and placed at $x = 16\lambda_0$. If the foil acts as a perfect reflection mirror, the colorbars represent different phase changes when a plane wave is of normal incidence on the foil. The minimum thickness of the foil is $1^\mu m$ to ensure that the foil keeps opaque to the laser pulse. Both the micro-channel and fan-foil plastic target consist of fully ionized carbon and hydrogen ions with a 1:4 ratio of carbon to hydrogen. The corresponding density of electrons, carbon ions (C^{6+}), and protons (H^+) are $200n_c$, $20n_c$ and $80n_c$, respectively, where $n_c = (m_e \epsilon_0 \omega_0^2) / e^2$ is the critical density and ε_0 is the vacuum dielectric constant. Periodic boundary conditions are used for both field and particles in the simulations. Note that the undesirable longer laser pulse pedestal derived from amplified spontaneous emission in experiments can be well controlled by plasma mirror technology[65–67], which is thus ignored in our simulations. Such high-contract femtosecond laser pulses at relativistic intensity as needed here are readily available with double plasma mirrors. Meanwhile, some related experiments on micro-channels, wire-arrays or light fans have also been successfully carried out to explore electron acceleration, extreme pressure conditions and vortex laser generation, respectively.[51, 68, 69]

2.2. *Electron acceleration in the micro-channel*

Micro-channel targets have been widely employed to reshape the laser profile and enhance electron and ion acceleration[68, 70, 71]. They can act as a unique source of well-defined electron bunches and have potential applications in bright X/γ -photon emission. Assuming a CP plane

wave in the form of $\mathbf{E}(x,y,z,t) = \mathbf{E}(x,y,z)e^{i(kx-\omega_0 t)}$, one can get $\nabla^2\mathbf{E} + k^2\mathbf{E} = 0$ and $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} = 0$ from Maxwell equations. If the wave propagates in the positive x direction, the longitudinal electric field can be described by $E_x = i/k(\partial E_y/\partial y + \partial E_z/\partial z)$. For a Gaussian laser pulse in free space with $\mathbf{E}_\perp = E_0 \exp(-r^2/\sigma^2)[\cos(kx-\omega_0 t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y + \sin(kx-\omega_0 t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z]$, the longitudinal component of laser pulse is in form of

$$E_x = \frac{2E_0}{k\sigma^2}\exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{\sigma^2}\right)\left[\sin(kx-\omega_0 t)y - \cos(kx-\omega_0 t)z\right] . \tag{1}$$

which is obviously much smaller relative to the laser transverse electric field. As for the laser parameters used in our simulations, the amplitude of the longitudinal laser electric field is only about $0.03 E_0$ based on Eq. (1). However, when such a tightly focused Gaussian laser is injected into the micro-channel, the laser field distribution and propagation become quite different from that in vacuum. In this situation, the channel target acts as an optical waveguide, which is able to stimulate a series of high modes[71]. Although their transverse electric fields are relatively weaker than the incident Gaussian (LG_{00}) mode as shown in Fig. 2, they have much stronger longitudinal electric fields. Here, the longitudinal electric field in the plasma channel can be approximately

written as[71] $E_x \simeq E_0 \dfrac{k_1 J_{1,max}}{k_x J'_{x,max}}\exp\left[-r_0^2/\sigma_0^2\right] = E_0 \dfrac{1.164}{\sqrt{(2\pi r_0/v_{1l}\lambda_0)^2 - 1}}\exp\left[-r_0^2/\sigma_0^2\right]$, where $J_{1,max}$

and $J'_{x,max}$ are the maximum values of the 1st order Bessel function of the first kind and its derivative with respect to r, respectively. v_{1l} is the l th root of the 1th order Bessel function. As shown in Fig. 3 (b) and (c), the cross-section of the longitudinal electric field has higher mode which is in accord with the previous similar simulation[71]. The maximum dimensionless field amplitude of E_x ($E_x = 20.45$) is nearly half of the maximum of E_y ($E_y = 42.47$), which is close to the theoretical result as for the parameters used in our simulations, $E_x \simeq 0.78 E_0$. Such a strong longitudinal electric field which is increased significantly as compared with that in vacuum is capable of accelerating electrons to high energies.

Figure 2. Distributions of the transverse electric field E_y at different cross sections from $x = 11\lambda_0$ to $12\lambda_0$ at $t = 16T_0$. The black dots represent the positions of energetic electrons

dragged out from the channel wall.

Figure 2 presents the transverse electric field E_y and electron distribution in the y-z plane ranging from $x = 11\lambda_0$ to $12\lambda_0$ at $t = 16T_0$. One sees that these electrons are well directed along the channel walls. In a single laser wavelength, e.g., $x = 11\lambda_0$-$12\lambda_0$, these electrons located at different positions have different but regular spatial distribution, which is in excellent agreement with the laser transverse electric field. This indicates that these electrons are pulled out from the channel wall by the laser transverse electric field. They form a helical bunch as shown in Fig. 3(a), with phase interval of π between upper and lower parts, which results from the helical structure of the laser electric field.

Figure 3. (a) 3D isosurface distribution of electron energy density of 60 MeV at $t = 16T_0$. The (y, z) projection plane of electron energy density on the left is taken at $x = 12\lambda_0$, the (x, y) projection plane at the bottom is taken at $z = 0$, and the (x, z) projection plane at the rear is taken at $y = 0$. Distribution of the longitudinal electric field E_x (b) and transverse electric field E_y (c) at $x = 7.8\lambda_0$ at $t = 17T_0$. (d) Typical electron trajectories in the phase space (η_x,$\eta_\perp$). (e) Projection of some typical electron trajectories in the y-z plane until $t = 30T_0$. Here the colorbar represents the electron energy. (f) Electron momentum distribution in the y-z plane at $t = 20T_0$. Evolution of electron beam divergence (g) and energy spectrum (h). The black dashed circles in (d)-(f) represent the boundaries of the micro-channel.

In order to investigate the electron acceleration inside the micro-channel, we track some typical electrons (which energy above 100 MeV at $t = 16T_0$) in the simulations and record their positions and characteristics of the field experienced by the electrons. For clarity, we furthermore separate the electron energy gain into two parts, $\eta_x = -q_e \int_0^t v_x E_x \, dt / m_{e0}c^2$, $\eta_\perp = -q_e \int_0^t v_y E_y + v_z E_z \, dt / m_{e0}c^2$. Here, η_x is the energy gain by the longitudinal electric field, $\eta_\perp$ is the energy gain by the transverse field, and the electron energy $\gamma(t) = \gamma(0) + \eta_x + \eta_\perp$. The

trajectories of the traced electrons in the phase space (η_x, $\eta_\perp$) are shown in Fig. 3(d). One can see that most of the electron acceleration is dominated by the longitudinal electric fields and the contribution of the transverse electric field to the electron acceleration is very limited. Figure 3(e) presents the projection of some typical electron trajectories in the $y-z$ plane until $t=30T_0$ and Figure 3(f) shows the corresponding momenta at $t=20T_0$. Once the electrons are pulled out from the channel inner wall, they move forward around the laser axis. When the electrons enter into an applicable laser phase, they gain steady acceleration. However, the electron trajectories do not exactly point to the laser axis as indicated by Fig. 3(e), but have a small deviation initially, implying a large OAM for each electron. This can be also seen from Fig. 3(f), where the motion of most of the electrons is counterclockwise. The collective motion of these electrons in the micro-channel results in the formation of an energetic electron beam with large angular momentum. Figure 3(g) and (h) present the evolution of the electron divergence with energy above 1 MeV and the corresponding electron energy spectra at $t=8T_0$, $16T_0$, $24T_0$, and $30T_0$. In this stage the electron beam is well directed along the micro-channel wall and is distinctive with a small divergence angle of $\sim 5.7^\circ$, a cutoff energy of 240 MeV and nC charges at $t=16T_0$. After that, the electrons are characterized by 4π radian in space and the cutoff energy drops rapidly at $t=30T_0$, indicating the commencement of the second stage.

Figure 4. Distributions of the transverse electric field E_y at different cross sections from $x=10\lambda_0$ to $11\lambda_0$ at $t=26T_0$ when the incident laser pulse is completely reflected by the light fan.

2.3. *Laser pulse reflection by the light fan*

As the laser pulse propagates in the channel and arrives at the fan-foil attached to the channel, the second stage starts. Here, the thickness of the fan-foil increases with the angle ϕ in the cylindrical coordinate system, as illustrated in Fig. 1. When the laser irradiates the fan-foil, the reflected laser wave at different angle ϕ has different phase change, resulting in a LG pulse generation. LG laser can carry OAM, which can improve the BAM of γ-ray and the conversion efficiency of laser-γ-ray AM. We will discuss this point later. Figure 4 shows the laser transverse electric field E_y in

the $y-z$ plane at different positions between $x=10\lambda_0$ and $11\lambda_0$ at $t=26T_0$ when the incident laser pulse is completely reflected. As expected, the fan-foil behaves like a light fan, which changes the pulse wavefront and intensity distribution in space and results in the formation of a LG vortex. For simplicity, we assume a perfect fan mirror. Thus the laser mode of the reflected pulse can be expanded by a series of LG modes[72]. The amplitude of the LG_{nm} laser intensity can be defined in the cylindrical coordinate system (ρ,ϕ,x) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
LG_{nm}(\rho,\phi,x) = \ & C_{nm}/w \ \exp\left(-ik\rho^2/2R\right) \ \exp\left(-\rho^2/w^2\right) \\
& \times \exp\left(-i(n+m+1)\psi\right) \\
& \times \exp\left(-i(n-m)\phi\right) \ (-1)^{\min(n,m)} \\
& \times \left(\rho\sqrt{2}/w\right)^{|n-m|} L_{\min(n,m)}^{|n-m|}\left(2\rho^2/w^2\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

Figure 5. (a) Distributions of χ_e along the x-axis at $t=22T_0$; (b) 3D isosurface distribution of photon number density of $10n_c$ at $t=24T_0$. The (y, z) projection plane on the left is taken at $x=18\lambda_0$, the (x, y) projection plane at the bottom is taken at $z=0$, and the (x, z) projection plane at the rear is taken at $y=0$; (c)-(f) and (g)-(j) Transverse distributions of χ_e and the photon number density at different cross sections ranging from $x=14.8\lambda_0$ to $15.4\lambda_0$ at $t=26T_0$. The black dashed circles in (c)-(j) represent the boundaries of the micro-channel.

where $R(x)=(x_R^2+x^2)/x$, $w(x)=(2(x_R^2+x^2)/kx_R)^{1/2}$, $\psi(x)=\arctan(x/x_R)$, C_{nm} is a normalization constant, $k=2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number, x_R is the Rayleigh range, $L_{\min(n,m)}^{|n-m|}(x)$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial, and $l=|n-m|$ is the azimuthal mode index. For a laser pulse with helical phase front and an $\exp(il\phi)$ azimuthal phase dependence, the OAM along the laser propagating direction has a discrete value of $l^{\hbar}$ per photon. Although the incident laser can stimulate a series of high modes in the channel, the dominant laser mode upon the fan-foil is still the former LG_{00} as shown in Fig. 2. Thus mode decomposition of incident LG_{00} mode whose wave front has been modified by the light fan structure can be written using the expansion coefficients[72]

$$a_{st} = \langle LG_{st} | \exp(-i\Delta\phi) | LG_{00} \rangle$$

$$= \iint \rho\, d\rho\, d\phi \quad C_{st}^* / w_{st} \quad \exp \quad ik\rho^2 / 2R_{st} - \rho^2 / w_{st}^2$$

$$\times \exp \quad i(s-t)\phi \quad (-1)^{\min(s,t)} \quad \rho\sqrt{2} / w_{st}^{|s-t|} \tag{3}$$

$$\times L_{\min(s,t)}^{|s-t|} \quad 2\rho^2 / w_{st}^2 \quad \exp \quad -i\Delta\phi$$

$$\times (C_{00} / w)\exp \quad -ik\rho^2 / 2R - \rho^2 / w^2 .$$

Here $\Delta\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{7} H(\phi - \pi/4n)H(\pi/4n + \pi/4 - \phi)2\pi/8n$ and $H(x)$ is the Heaviside function.

The mode thus becomes complicated and the percentage of the modes is given by $I_{st} = |a_{st}|^2$.

Because of $\Delta\phi \approx \phi$ as we settle in our simulations, only the modes with $l = s - t = 1$ contribute

most in the ϕ integral. Our calculations show that $I_{10} \approx 78.5\%$, so the dominant mode of the

reflected laser pulse should be LG_{10} [72], which is in excellent agreement with the simulation results

as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 6. (a). Energy spectra of γ-photons at $t = 19T_0$, $20T_0$, $22T_0$, $28T_0$, respectively; (b)

Evolution of the γ-photon brilliance (black), instantaneous radiation power (red), photon number

(blue) and total energy (green). Here the gray area marks the collision stage; (c) Divergence angle

of γ-photons (top) at $t = 19T_0$, $20T_0$, $22T_0$, $28T_0$, respectively. Here the bottom shows the

angular-energy distribution of γ-photons at $t = 28T_0$.

2.4. Bright γ-ray vortex emission

At the third stage, the reflected LG laser pulse collides head-on with the energetic electrons with

large AM. Here, the stochastic emission model is employed and implemented in the EPOCH code

using a probabilistic Monte Carlo algorithm. The QED emission rates are determined by the

Lorentz-invariant parameter[73]: $\chi_e = e\hbar(m_e^3 c^4) | F_{\mu\nu} P^\nu |$, where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic

field tensor, P^ν is the electron four-momentum. In our configuration, χ_e can be expressed by

$\chi_e = (\gamma_e / E_s)\sqrt{(\mathbf{E} + \beta \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (\beta \cdot \mathbf{E})^2}$. Here, $E_s = (m_e^2 c^3) / e\hbar$ denotes the Schwinger electric

field, $\beta = v/c$ is the normalized velocity of electron by the speed of light in vacuum, γ_e

represents the electron relativistic factor, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electromagnetic fields, respectively.

Actually, the electron trajectories deflect once the electrons collide head-on with the reflected laser pulse, agreeing well with the analysis above. Since the energetic electrons counter-propagate with the reflected LG pulse, the photon emission probabilities are increased significantly and χ_e can be described approximately by $\chi_e = 2\gamma_e |E_\perp| / E_s$. Figure 5(a) illustrates the spatial distribution of χ_e along the x-axis at $t = 22T_0$. Here χ_e is up to 0.55, implying efficient γ-photon emission.

Figure 5(b) shows the 3D isosurface distribution of the number density of emitted γ-photons at $t = 20T_0$. It is seen that the γ-photon beam is distinctive with a typical vortex structure in space, with few photons along the laser propagation axis. The maximum photon density is several tens of n_c. This indicates that the γ-rays are also LG-like, carrying angular momentum. We will discuss this point later. In order to certify the formation of γ-ray, Figures 5(c-f) and (g-j) illustrate the transverse distribution of χ_e and the photon number density at different cross sections ranging from $x = 14.8\lambda_0$ to $15.4\lambda_0$ at $t = 26T_0$. As expected, the larger the parameter χ_e is, the more γ-photon emission becomes. Meanwhile, the distribution of the γ-photons matches well with the energetic electrons as seen in Fig. 2, confirming a photon beam with vortex structure in the micro-channel.

Figure 6(a) presents the evolution of energy spectra of the γ-photons. Since χ_e is as high as 0.55, the photon energy estimated by[74] $\hbar\omega \approx 0.44\chi_e\gamma_e m_e c^2$ in a head-on collision is approximately 76 MeV, which is consistent with the simulation results. Figure 6(b) displays the evolution of γ-photon brilliance (black line), instantaneous radiation power (red line), photon number (blue line) and total photon energy (green line). The photon emission occurs since $t = 16T_0$ when the head-on collision takes place. The peak instantaneous radiation power is at $t = 22T_0$. Here the power of radiation emitted by a single electron can be written as $P_{rad} \approx 2eE_s c\alpha_f \chi_e^2 g(\chi_e)/3$, where α_f is the fine-structure constant, $g(\chi_e) = ((3\sqrt{3})/(2\pi\chi_e^2))\int_0^\infty F(\chi_e, \chi_\gamma)d\chi_\gamma$ is the radiation correction induced by the QED effect, and $\chi_\gamma = (\hbar\omega/2m_e c^2 E_s)\sqrt{(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2}$ is another key quantum parameter determining the positron generation[73, 75]. For simplicity, we take g $\chi_e = (3.7\chi_e^3 + 31\chi_e^2 + 12\chi_e + 1)^{-4/9}$ [76], thus the estimated radiation power with photon energy above 0.1 MeV is $\sim$ 5 TW, which is

agrees reasonably with our PIC simulations of averaged radiation power of 6 TW. Meanwhile, the photon brilliance reached the maximum at around $t = 23T_0$. After the collision, the photon number still increases, but the photon energy tends to be unchanged. This indicates that the major γ-photon emission results from the NCS process during the collision process. Figure 6 (c) shows the divergence angle of γ-photons (top) with photon energy ≥ 0.1 MeV, indicating a well-directed photon beam with a small divergence angle of $\sim 9°$. Conservatively, we consider a γ-photon vortex with yield of 10^{10} at 1 MeV, full width at half maximum duration of $\sim$ 6.6 fs, source size of $\sim 3 \mu m^2$, and divergence of 160×160 mrad^2. This results in a γ-photon vortex source with peak brilliance of $\sim 10^{22}$ photons/s/mm^2/mrad^2/0.1 % BW at 1 MeV, peak instantaneous radiation power of 25 TW, averaged BAM of $10^{6}\hbar$/photon, and pulse energy of approximately 0.5 J. The peak intensity of the γ-rays is around 10^{20} W/cm^2.

2.5. *Angular momentum transfer from laser to γ-photons*

Exploring the laser angular momentum transfer to charged particles and photons is of significance for understanding the dynamics of laser-plasma interaction at high laser intensity. When a laser beam carrying nonzero angular momentum impinges on plasma, its angular momentum can be transferred to plasma ions and electrons, thus setting them in rotational motion. This occurs both with SAM and OAM. As we know, each photon carries $\hbar$ or -$\hbar$ SAM. To get a powerful angular momentum source, we use an ultra-intense CP Gaussian laser in our scheme. Once the intense CP laser pulse interacts with a micro-channel target, its SAM can be transferred to electrons and ions, and finally to the γ-photons via the NCS process. Here, the total angular momentum of the incident CP laser pulse can be calculated exactly by

$$\mathbf{L}_{laser} = \varepsilon_0 \int \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \ dv. \tag{4}$$

Figure 7. (a) Evolution of beam angular momentum of electrons (black arrow), protons (blue arrow), carbon ions (green arrow), and γ-photons (red arrow). (b) Evolution of laser energy conversion efficiency to electrons (black arrow), proton (blue arrow), carbon ions (green arrow), and γ-protons (red arrow). Here the gray area denotes the collision stage and the arrows indicate the y-axises of different curves.

where $\mathbf{r}$ is the grid's position, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the corresponding electromagnetic fields in each grid. The beam angular momentum of particles with respect to the laser axis is defined by

$$\mathbf{L}_{e,C,H,\gamma} = \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{p}_i \ . \tag{5}$$

Here the subscript i denotes the serial number of individual particles. The photons' momentum originates from the parent electrons, the averaged momentum can be scaled as $\overline{p}_\gamma \propto \chi_e^2 g(\chi_e)$[77]. One can thus estimate the photon angular momentum as $L_\gamma \propto N_\gamma \overline{r}_\gamma \overline{p}_\gamma \propto N_\gamma \overline{r}_\gamma \chi_e^2 g(\chi_e)$, where N_γ and $\overline{r}_\gamma$ are the yield and the average off-axis radius of photons. Figure 7(a) and (b) show the evolution of the particles' beam angular momentum and the laser energy conversion efficiency to charge particles and γ-photons. At the first stage, the CP laser pulse accelerates the electrons in the micro-channel and transfers its SAM to the energetic electrons, so that the the electrons' beam angular momentum and energy increase. At the second stage, the light fan modifies the wave front by adding $\exp(il\phi)$ to the phase of the drive. Thus, the electron beam angular momentum increases more sharply during the collision stage, since the reflected pulse is also able to transfer its angular momentum to the electrons via the NCS process[52]. However, due to the photon emission and radiation reaction effect, the total energy of electrons keeps unchanged until the collision stage completes. During the process, the carbon ions and protons occupy only very small angular momentum as compared to the electrons. The final laser energy conversion efficiency to electrons and photons are around 20% and 1.2%, respectively. We define the laser angular momentum conversion efficiency to the γ-photons as $\rho_{AM} = \dfrac{\sum_{i,\gamma} |\mathbf{r}_{i,\gamma} \times \mathbf{p}_{i,\gamma}|}{|\varepsilon_0 \int \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \ \mathrm{d}v|}$. Due to the collective effect of the high energy γ-photons emitted in the micro-channel, we summarize angular momentum of all these photons and divide it by the total laser angular momentum. The angular momentum conversion efficiency from laser to γ-photons is unprecedentedly 0.67%, which is nearly seven times higher than the scheme in Ref[78]. Such an efficient multi-MeV γ-photon vortex source would offer exciting potential capabilities and opportunities for diverse studies, such as the investigation of the angular momentum transfer by Kerr black holes[23, 24]. For example, it may be common in the universe to generate vortex photons by high-energy electrons interacting with CP electromagnetic waves. These phenomena tend to be found easily in some astrophysical environments, e.g., in the vicinity of magnetized near neutron stars and in turbulent

plasma in astrophysical jets, which have attracted numerous researches[23, 24, 27, 57]. Our scheme shows a possible way to study the photon vortex generation in the universe by laser-plasma interactions.

3.   Discussion

In order to demonstrate that the light fan is capable of increasing the laser photon's AM, a plane foil and a right-hand helix foil (RH fan) are considered separately in our additional PIC simulations. The differences can be easily distinguished from each photon's AM during the laser-foil interaction. For a laser pulse, its total electromagnetic energy is $\frac{1}{2}\int\left(\varepsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0}B^2\right)dv$, where μ_0 is the vacuum permeability. Therefore, each photon's averaged angular momentum can be written as

$$L_{photon} = \frac{\varepsilon_0\int \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \ dv}{\frac{1}{2}\int\left(\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B}^2\right)dv}\hbar\omega_0, \tag{6}$$

$$= \left(\delta + l\right)\hbar. \tag{7}$$

where δ and l represent the spin and orbital angular momentum of a photon, respectively, $\hbar\omega_0$ is the laser photon energy. For a left-handed CP Gaussian pulse considered in our simulations, each photon has an angular momentum of $L_{photon} = (-1+0)\hbar = -\hbar$. Figure 8(a) shows the evolution of the laser photon averaged angular momentum. At the first stage, the averaged angular momentum of the laser photons is exactly $-\hbar$, which indicates that the left-handed CP LG_{00} mode is still dominant and the laser pulse is well kept in the micro-channel. This is consistent with the analysis above. Once the interaction enters into the second stage, the averaged angular momentum of each photon increases up to -1.6$\hbar$ for a LF fan. By contrast, it is nearly unchanged for a plane foil and is about -0.6$\hbar$ for a RH fan. This implies that the light fan is able to adjust efficiently the laser angular momentum by changing the wave front. It is also worth stressing that the angular momentum of the protons and carbon ions in the foil will be also adjusted significantly by use of different fan-foil, which is beyond scope of the current work. Note that, when the fan-foil target is left-handed, the increased orbital angular momentum of the left-handed CP laser is along

the negative x axis direction. Therefore, the angular momentum absolute value of laser increases. When the fan-foil target is right-handed, the increased orbital angular momentum of the laser is along the positive x axis direction. The angular momentum absolute value of laser decreases as shown in Fig. 8 (a). Thus, the angular momentum absolute value of right-handed laser will decrease when it is reflected by the left-handed fan-foil target.

Figure 8. Evolution of averaged angular momentum of laser photons (a) and averaged BAM of γ -photons (b) in the right-handed helix fan case (RH fan, black), plane foil case (blue) and left-handed helix fan case (LF fan, red), respectively. The gray area shows the collision stage.

Figure 8(b) illustrates the evolution of averaged BAM of γ -photon beams in all three cases. One can see that during the second stage the efficiency of γ -photon emission increases drastically due to the large χ_e. Meanwhile, the laser angular momentum is also transferred more efficiently to the γ -photons in the LF fan case, which is 16% higher than that in the plane case and RH fan case. When the foil is left-handed, the reflected laser pulse can increase the γ -photon's averaged BAM, while the right-handed light foil will behave in an opposite manner. This results from the fact that the γ -photon beam's averaged BAM originates from both the parent electrons and the reflected laser pulse. The latter is capable of increasing or decreasing the photon BAM, depending on the helix direction of the fan-foil and its step number. Once the head-on collision between the energetic electrons and reflected laser pulse terminates, the γ -photon BAM keeps unchanged roughly.

Figure 9. Scaling of the photon yield (N_γ , black circles), the laser energy conversion efficiency (η_γ , red circles), and total γ -photon BAM (L_γ , blue circles) with the laser electric field amplitude a_0 (a) and the micro-channel length l (b). Here, the black and blue curves are the fitting results.

We also investigated the robustness of the scheme by using different laser and plasma parameters. First we discuss the effect of the laser intensity, where we keep all other parameters unchanged but vary the normalized laser amplitude from $a_0 = 60$ to 140. Figure 9 shows the

scaling of the photon yield (N_γ, black circles), the laser energy conversion efficiency (η_γ, red circles), and photon BAM (L_γ, blue circles) with the laser electric field amplitude a_0. It indicates that the γ-photon emission becomes more significant with the increase of laser intensity. For $a_0 = 140$, the photon yield and energy conversion efficiency can reach 6×10^{12} and 2.5 %, respectively. Since the photon yield scales as $N_\gamma \propto a_o^3$ as shown in Fig. 9(a), the BAM of the photon beams $L_\gamma \propto N_\gamma \chi_e^2 g(\chi_e) \propto a_0^{\sim 13/3}$, which is in excellent agreement with the results as shown in Fig. 9(a).

We also considered the effect of the length of micro-channel on the photon emission. Figure 9(b) shows the simulation results for which l is varied in the range of $10\lambda_0$ to $20\lambda_0$, while all other parameters are unchanged. It shows that the photon yield and energy conversion efficiency are insensitive to the micro-channel length (within the range of lengths studied). However, the BAM scales as $L_\gamma \propto l$ as shown in Fig. 9(b). This can be attributed to the relation $\gamma_e \propto e\overline{E}_x l$, which depends on the electron acceleration length. The BAM of photon beams can therefore be approximated by $L_\gamma \propto N_\gamma \overline{r_\gamma} \overline{p_\gamma} \propto l^{4/3}$, which is close to the simulation results in Fig. 9(b). Our scheme thus provides a practical and efficient way to control the ultra-bright γ-photon vortex emission by designing the laser and target parameters, which could be tested in the upcoming laser facilities.

4.   Conclusion

In conclusion, we have proposed and numerically demonstrated an all-optical laser-plasma scheme to produce multi-MeV γ-photon vortex in light-fan-in-channel target irradiated by high-power CP laser pulse with intensity of $\sim 10^{22}$ W/cm^2. Full 3D PIC simulations show that high brilliance ($\sim 10^{22}$ photons/s/mm^2/mrad^2/0.1 % BW), large BAM ($\sim 10^6 \hbar$ /photon), ultra-intense tens-of-TW and small divergence angle ($\sim 9^\circ$) γ-rays vortex can be efficiently achieved via the NCS process. With the upcoming multi-PW laser facilities like ELI and SULF, this all-optical scheme not only provides a promising and practical avenue to generate bright γ-rays vortex for various applications such as laboratory astrophysics[27, 57] and particle physics[79], but also enables future experimental tests of nonlinear QED theory in a new domain.

Acknowledgement

References

1. Y. Eisen, A. Shor, and I. Mardor. CdTe and CdZnTe gamma ray detectors for medical and industrial imaging systems. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 428(1):158–170, 1999.

2. J. Ganz. *Gamma Knife Neurosurgery*. Springer Vienna, 2011.

3. T. Brabec and F. Krausz. Intense few-cycle laser fields: Frontiers of nonlinear optics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 72(2):545–591, 2000.

4. S. V. Bulanov, T. Zh Esirkepov, M. Kando, J. Koga, K. Kondo, and G. Korn. On the problems of relativistic laboratory astrophysics and fundamental physics with super powerful lasers. *Plasma Physics Reports*, 41(1):1–51, 2015.

5. D. Habs, M. M. Günther, M. Jentschel, and P. G. Thirolf. Nuclear photonics. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1462:177–184, 2012.

6. O. Renner and F. B. Rosmej. Challenges of x-ray spectroscopy in investigations of matter under extreme conditions. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes*, 4(2):024201, 2019.

7. R. W. Schoenlein, W. P. Leemans, A. H. Chin, P. Volfbeyn, T. E. Glover, P. Balling, M. Zolotorev, K. J. Kim, S. Chattopadhyay, and C. V. Shank. Femtosecond X-ray Pulses at 0.4 A Generated by 90° Thomson Scattering: A Tool for Probing the Structural Dynamics of Materials. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 274(11):4–6, 2003.

8. K. Ta Phuoc, S. Corde, C. Thaury, V. Malka, A. Tafzi, J. P. Goddet, R. C. Shah, S. Sebban, and A. Rousse. All-optical compton gamma-ray source. *Nature Photonics*, 6(5):308–311,

2012.

9. C. Yu, R. Qi, W. Wang, J. Liu, W. Li, C. Wang, Z. Zhang, J. Liu, Z. Qin, M. Fang, K. Feng, Y. Wu, Y. Tian, Y. Xu, F. Wu, Y. Leng, X. Weng, J. Wang, F. Wei, Y. Yi, Z. Song, R. Li, and Z. Xu. Ultrahigh brilliance quasi-monochromatic mev γ -rays based on self-synchronized all-optical compton scattering. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):29518, 2016.

10. S. Cipiccia, M. R. Islam, B. Ersfeld, R. P. Shanks, E. Brunetti, G. Vieux, X. Yang, R. C. Issac, S. M. Wiggins, G. H. Welsh, M. Pia Anania, D. Maneuski, R. Montgomery, G. Smith, M. Hoek, D. J. Hamilton, N. R.C. Lemos, D. Symes, P. P. Rajeev, V. O. Shea, João M. Dias, and D. A. Jaroszynski. Gamma-rays from harmonically resonant betatron oscillations in a plasma wake. *Nature Physics*, 7(11):867–871, 2011.

11. N. D. Powers, I. Ghebregziabher, G. Golovin, C. Liu, S. Chen, S. Banerjee, J. Zhang, and D. P. Umstadter. Quasi-monoenergetic and tunable X-rays from a laser-driven Compton light source. *Nature Photonics*, 8(1):28–31, 2014.

12. S. Chen, N. D. Powers, I. Ghebregziabher, C. M. Maharjan, C. Liu, G. Golovin, S. Banerjee, J. Zhang, N. Cunningham, A. Moorti, S. Clarke, S. Pozzi, and D. P. Umstadter. MeV-energy X rays from inverse compton scattering with laser-wakefield accelerated electrons. *Physical Review Letters*, 110(15):155003, 2013.

13. W. M. Wang, Z. M. Sheng, P. Gibbon, L. M. Chen, Y. T. Li, and J. Zhang. Collimated ultrabright gamma rays from electron wiggling along a petawatt laser-irradiated wire in the QED regime. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(40):9911–9916, 2018.

14. Xing-Long Zhu, Min Chen, Tong-Pu Yu, Su-Ming Weng, Feng He, and Zheng-Ming Sheng. Collimated gev attosecond electron–positron bunches from a plasma channel driven by 10 pw lasers. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes*, 4(1):014401, 2019.

15. D. J. Stark, T. Toncian, and A. V. Arefiev. Enhanced Multi-MeV Photon Emission by a Laser-Driven Electron Beam in a Self-Generated Magnetic Field. *Physical Review Letters*, 116(18):185003, 2016.

16. Yan-Jun Gu, Martin Jirka, Ondrej Klimo, and Stefan Weber. Gamma photons and electron-positron pairs from ultra-intense laser-matter interaction: A comparative study of proposed configurations. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes*, 4(6):064403, 2019.

17. J. Wang, X. B. Li, L. F. Gan, Y. Xie, C. L. Zhong, C. T. Zhou, S. P. Zhu, and X. T. He.

Generation of Intense Vortex Gamma Rays via Spin-to-Orbital Conversion of Angular Momentum in Relativistic Laser-Plasma Interactions. *Physical Review Applied*, 14(1):014094, 2020.

18. Yu Lu, Guo-Bo Zhang, Jie Zhao, Yan-Ting Hu, Hao Zhang, Dong-Ao Li, Qian-Ni Li, Yue Cao, Yan-Bo Wu, Yan Yin, Fu-Qiu Shao, and Tong-Pu Yu. Ultra-brilliant gev betatronlike radiation from energetic electrons oscillating in frequency-downshifted laser pulses. *Opt. Express*, 29(6):8926–8940, Mar 2021.

19. T. P. Yu, A. Pukhov, Z. M. Sheng, F. Liu, and G. Shvets. Bright betatronlike x rays from radiation pressure acceleration of a mass-limited foil target. *Physical Review Letters*, 110(4):045001, 2013.

20. T. P. Yu, L. X. Hu, Y. Yin, F. Q. Shao, H. B. Zhuo, Y. Y. Ma, X. H. Yang, W. Luo, and A. Pukhov. Bright tunable femtosecond x-ray emission from laser irradiated micro-droplets. *Applied Physics Letters*, 105(11):114101, 2014.

21. S. Fürhapter, A. Jesacher, S. Bernet, and M. Ritsch-Marte. Spiral phase contrast imaging in microscopy. *Optics Express*, 13(3):689, 2005.

22. M. F. Andersen, C. Ryu, P. Cladé, V. Natarajan, A. Vaziri, K. Helmerson, and W. D. Phillips. Quantized rotation of atoms from photons with orbital angular momentum. *Physical Review Letters*, 97(17):170406, 2006.

23. F. Tamburini, B. Thidé, G. Molina-Terriza, and G. Anzolin. Twisting of light around rotating black holes. *Nature Physics*, 7(3):195–197, 2011.

24. M. Harwit. Photon Orbital Angular Momentum in Astrophysics. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 597(2):1266–1270, 2003.

25. N. M. Elias. Photon orbital angular momentum in astronomy. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 492(3):883–922, 2008.

26. F. Tamburini, A. Sponselli, B. Thidé, and J. T. Mendonça. Photon orbital angular momentum and mass in a plasma vortex. *Epl*, 90(4):1303–1324, 2010.

27. Y. Taira and M. Katoh. Generation of Optical Vortices by Nonlinear Inverse Thomson Scattering at Arbitrary Angle Interactions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 860(1):45, 2018.

28. Y. Shi, B. Shen, L. Zhang, X. Zhang, W. Wang, and Z. Xu. Light fan driven by a relativistic laser pulse. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(23):235001, 2014.

29. W. Gong, B. Shen, L. Zhang, and X. Zhang. Angular momentum oscillation in spiral-shaped

foil plasmas. *New Journal of Physics*, 21(4):43022, 2019.

30. J. Vieira, R. M. G. M. Trines, E. P. Alves, R. A. Fonseca, J. T. Mendonça, R. Bingham, P. Norreys, and L. O. Silva. Amplification and generation of ultra-intense twisted laser pulses via stimulated Raman scattering. *Nature Communications*, 7(3):10371, 2016.

31. G. Lehmann and K. H. Spatschek. Transient Plasma Photonic Crystals for High-Power Lasers. *Physical Review Letters*, 116(22):225002, 2016.

32. A. Leblanc, A. Denoeud, L. Chopineau, G. Mennerat, Ph Martin, and F. Quéré. Plasma holograms for ultrahigh-intensity optics. *Nature Physics*, 13(5):440–443, 2017.

33. X. Zhang, B. Shen, L. Zhang, J. Xu, X. Wang, W. Wang, L. Yi, and Y. Shi. Proton acceleration in underdense plasma by ultraintense Laguerre-Gaussian laser pulse. *New Journal of Physics*, 16(12):123051, 2014.

34. J. Vieira and J. T. Mendonça. Nonlinear laser driven donut wakefields for positron and electron acceleration. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(21):215001, 2014.

35. Y. Shi, J. Vieira, R. M. G. M. Trines, R. Bingham, B. F. Shen, and R. J. Kingham. Magnetic Field Generation in Plasma Waves Driven by Copropagating Intense Twisted Lasers. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(14):145002, 2018.

36. C. Baumann and A. Pukhov. Electron dynamics in twisted light modes of relativistic intensity. *Physics of Plasmas*, 25(8):083114, 2018.

37. L. X. Hu, T. P. Yu, H. Z. Li, Y. Yin, P. McKenna, and F. Q. Shao. Dense relativistic electron mirrors from a Laguerre–Gaussian laser-irradiated micro-droplet. *Optics Letters*, 43(11):2615, 2018.

38. L. X. Hu, T. P. Yu, Z. M. Sheng, J. Vieira, D. B. Zou, Y. Yin, P. McKenna, and F. Q. Shao. Attosecond electron bunches from a nanofiber driven by Laguerre-Gaussian laser pulses. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1):1–9, 2018.

39. W. Wang, B. Shen, X. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Shi, and Z. Xu. Hollow screw-like drill in plasma using an intense Laguerre-Gaussian laser. *Scientific Reports*, 5:8274, 2015.

40. G. B. Zhang, M. Chen, C. B. Schroeder, J. Luo, M. Zeng, F. Y. Li, L. L. Yu, S. M. Weng, Y. Y. Ma, T. P. Yu, Z. M. Sheng, and E. Esarey. Acceleration and evolution of a hollow electron beam in wakefields driven by a Laguerre-Gaussian laser pulse. *Physics of Plasmas*, 23(3):033114, 2016.

41. G. B. Zhang, M. Chen, J. Luo, M. Zeng, T. Yuan, J. Y. Yu, Y. Y. Ma, T. P. Yu, L. L. Yu, S.

M. Weng, and Z. M. Sheng. Acceleration of on-axis and ring-shaped electron beams in wakefields driven by Laguerre-Gaussian pulses. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 119(10):103101, 2016.

42. W. P. Wang, C. Jiang, B. F. Shen, F. Yuan, Z. M. Gan, H. Zhang, S. H. Zhai, and Z. Z. Xu. New Optical Manipulation of Relativistic Vortex Cutter. *Physical Review Letters*, 122(2):024801, 2019.

43. E. Hemsing and A. Marinelli. Echo-enabled X-ray vortex generation. *Physical Review Letters*, 109(22):224801, 2012.

44. E. Hemsing, A. Knyazik, M. Dunning, D. Xiang, A. Marinelli, C. Hast, and J. B. Rosenzweig. Coherent optical vortices from relativistic electron beams. *Nature Physics*, 9(9):549–553, 2013.

45. X. Zhang, B. Shen, Y. Shi, X. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Wang, J. Xu, L. Yi, and Z. Xu. Generation of intense high-order vortex harmonics. *Physical Review Letters*, 114(17):173901, 2015.

46. Yan-Ting Hu, Jie Zhao, Hao Zhang, Yu Lu, Wei-Quan Wang, Li-Xiang Hu, Fu-Qiu Shao, and Tong-Pu Yu. Attosecond γ -ray vortex generation in near-critical-density plasma driven by twisted laser pulses. *Applied Physics Letters*, 118(5):054101, 2021.

47. H. Zhang, G. B. Zhang, D. B. Zou, L. X. Hu, H. Y. Zhou, W. Q. Wang, X. R. Xu, K. Liu, Y. Yin, H. B. Zhuo, F. Q. Shao, and T. P. Yu. Generation of energetic ring-shaped ion beam from relativistic Laguerre – Gaussian laser pulse interacting with micro-structure targets. *Physics of Plasmas*, 27(5):053105, 2020.

48. L. B. Ju, C. T. Zhou, K. Jiang, T. W. Huang, H. Zhang, T. X. Cai, J. M. Cao, B. Qiao, and S. C. Ruan. Manipulating the topological structure of ultrarelativistic electron beams using Laguerre-Gaussian laser pulse. *New Journal of Physics*, 20(6):063004, 2018.

49. Yue-Yue Chen, Karen Z. Hatsagortsyan, and Christoph H. Keitel. Generation of twisted γ -ray radiation by nonlinear thomson scattering of twisted light. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes*, 4(2):024401, 2019.

50. J. W. Wang, M. Zepf, and S. G. Rykovanov. Intense attosecond pulses carrying orbital angular momentum using laser plasma interactions. *Nature Communications*, 10(1):5554, 2019.

51. W. P. Wang, C. Jiang, H. Dong, X. M. Lu, and Z. Z. Xu. Hollow plasma acceleration driven

by a relativistic reflected hollow laser. *Physical Review Letters*, 125(3):034801, 2020.

52. X. L. Zhu, T. P. Yu, M. Chen, S. M. Weng, and Z. M. Sheng. Generation of gev positron and γ -photon beams with controllable angular momentum by intense lasers. *New Journal of Physics*, 20(8):83013, 2018.

53. L. B. Ju, C. T. Zhou, T. W. Huang, K. Jiang, C. N. Wu, T. Y. Long, L. Li, H. Zhang, M. Y. Yu, and S. C. Ruan. Generation of collimated bright gamma rays with controllable angular momentum using intense laguerre-gaussian laser pulses. *Physical Review Applied*, 12(1):014054, 2019.

54. C. Liu, B. Shen, X. Zhang, Y. Shi, L. Ji, W. Wang, L. Yi, L. Zhang, T. Xu, Z. Pei, and Z. Xu. Generation of gamma-ray beam with orbital angular momentum in the QED regime. *Physics of Plasmas*, 23(9):093120, 2016.

55. Y. Y. Liu, Y. I. Salamin, Z. K. Dou, Z. F. Xu, and J. X. Li. Vortex γ rays from scattering laser bullets off ultrarelativistic electrons. *Optics Letters*, 45(2):395, 2020.

56. Y. Y. Chen, J. X. Li, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel. γ -Ray Beams with Large Orbital Angular Momentum via Nonlinear Compton Scattering with Radiation Reaction. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(7):74801, 2018.

57. M. Katoh, M. Fujimoto, H. Kawaguchi, K. Tsuchiya, K. Ohmi, T. Kaneyasu, Y. Taira, M. Hosaka, A. Mochihashi, and Y. Takashima. Angular Momentum of Twisted Radiation from an Electron in Spiral Motion. *Physical Review Letters*, 118(9):094801, 2017.

58. V. Petrillo, G. Dattoli, I. Drebot, and F. Nguyen. Compton Scattered X-Gamma Rays with Orbital Momentum. *Physical Review Letters*, 117(12):123903, 2016.

59. U. D. Jentschura and V. G. Serbo. Generation of high-energy photons with large orbital angular momentum by compton backscattering. *Physical Review Letters*, 106(1):013001, 2011.

60. B. King, N. Elkina, and H. Ruhl. Photon polarization in electron-seeded pair-creation cascades. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 87(4):042117, 2013.

61. D. Del Sorbo, D. Seipt, T. G. Blackburn, A. G.R. Thomas, C. D. Murphy, J. G. Kirk, and C. P. Ridgers. Spin polarization of electrons by ultraintense lasers. *Physical Review A*, 96(4):043407, 2017.

62. ELI extreme light infrastructure. http://www.eli-beams.eu/.

63. CILEX centre interdisciplinaire lumiére extrĕme. http://cilexsaclay.fr/.

64. SULF. http://siom.cas.cn/.

65. G. Doumy, F. Quéré, O. Gobert, M. Perdrix, Ph. Martin, P. Audebert, J. C. Gauthier, J.-P. Geindre, and T. Wittmann. Complete characterization of a plasma mirror for the production of high-contrast ultraintense laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. E*, 69:026402, Feb 2004.

66. Anna Lévy, Tiberio Ceccotti, Pascal D'Oliveira, Fabrice Réau, Michel Perdrix, Fabien Quéré, Pascal Monot, Michel Bougeard, Hervé Lagadec, Philippe Martin, Jean-Paul Geindre, and Patrick Audebert. Double plasma mirror for ultrahigh temporal contrast ultraintense laser pulses. *Opt. Lett.*, 32(3):310–312, Feb 2007.

67. B. Dromey, S. Kar, M. Zepf, and P. Foster. The plasma mirror—a subpicosecond optical switch for ultrahigh power lasers. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 75(3):645–649, 2004.

68. J. Snyder, L. L. Ji, K. M. George, C. Willis, G. E. Cochran, R. L. Daskalova, A. Handler, T. Rubin, P. L. Poole, D. Nasir, A. Zingale, E. Chowdhury, B. F. Shen, and D. W. Schumacher. Relativistic laser driven electron accelerator using micro-channel plasma targets. *Physics of Plasmas*, 26(3):033110, 2019.

69. M. A. Purvis, V. N. Shlyaptsev, R. Hollinger, C. Bargsten, A. Pukhov, A. Prieto, Y. Wang, B. M. Luther, L. Yin, S. Wang, and J. J. Rocca. Relativistic plasma nanophotonics for ultrahigh energy density physics. *Nature Photonics*, 7(10):796–800, 2013.

70. K. D. Xiao, T. W. Huang, L. B. Ju, R. Li, S. L. Yang, Y. C. Yang, S. Z. Wu, H. Zhang, B. Qiao, S. C. Ruan, C. T. Zhou, and X. T. He. Energetic electron-bunch generation in a phase-locked longitudinal laser electric field. *Physical Review E*, 93(4):043207, 2016.

71. D. Y. Yu, D. B. Zou, M. Y. Yu, T. P. Yu, Y. Yin, F. Q. Shao, H. B. Zhuo, C. T. Zhou, and S. C. Ruan. Generation of relativistic high-order-mode laser pulse using plasma waveguide. *New Journal of Physics*, 21(8):083003, 2019.

72. M. W. Beijersbergen, R. P. C. Coerwinkel, M. Kristensen, and J. P. Woerdman. Helical-wavefront laser beams produced with a spiral phaseplate. *Optical Angular Momentum*, 112(December):179–185, 2016.

73. V. I. Ritus. *Quantum effects of the interaction of elementary particles with an intense electromagnetic field*, volume 6. book, 1985.

74. A. R. Bell and John G. Kirk. Possibility of prolific pair production with high-power lasers. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(20):200403, 2008.

75. J. G. Kirk, A. R. Bell, and I. Arka. Pair production in counter-propagating laser beams.

Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 51(8):085008, 2009.

76. M. J. Duff, R. Capdessus, D. Del Sorbo, C. P. Ridgers, M. King, and P. McKenna. Modelling the effects of the radiation reaction force on the interaction of thin foils with ultra-intense laser fields. *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 60(6):064006, 2018.

77. X. L. Zhu, M. Chen, T. P. Yu, S. M. Weng, L. X. Hu, P. McKenna, and Z. M. Sheng. Bright attosecond γ -ray pulses from nonlinear Compton scattering with laser-illuminated compound targets. *Applied Physics Letters*, 112(17):174102, 2018.

78. B. Feng, C. Y. Qin, X. S. Geng, Q. Yu, W. Q. Wang, Y. T. Wu, X. Yan, L. L. Ji, and B. F. Shen. The emission of γ -Ray beams with orbital angular momentum in laser-driven micro-channel plasma target. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):18780, 2019.

79. I. P. Ivanov. Colliding particles carrying nonzero orbital angular momentum. *Physical Review D - Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 83(9):093001, 2011.