Neuroanatomical and cognitive correlates of domain-specific anosognosia in early Alzheimer's disease

Jose Manuel Valera-Bermejo [1], Matteo De Marco [1], Micaela Mitolo [2], William J. McGeown[3],

Annalena Venneri [1,*].

[1] *Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK;*

[2]*IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Diagnostica Funzionale Neuroradiologica, Bologna, Italy;*

[3] *School of Psychological Sciences and Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK;*

* Corresponding author

Professor Annalena Venneri

Department of Neuroscience, Medical School, University of Sheffield

Beech Hill Road, Sheffield, S10 2RX, UK

a.venneri@sheffield.ac.uk; Tel: +44 (0) 114 2713430; Fax: +44 (0) 114 2713158

Abstract

Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease (AD) is defined as a lack of awareness for cognitive deficits or severity of disease. Previous studies have highlighted the link between anosognosia and damage to prefrontal functioning, i.e., executive functions. This study investigated the neuropsychological and neurostructural substrates of domain specific anosognosia in early AD.

Fifty-three patients with a clinical diagnosis of early-AD and a trustworthy informant were administered the Measurement of Anosognosia Instrument, a validated tool to quantify anosognosia. Linear models were devised to test the association between the patient-informant discrepancy scores in the memory and non-memory domains and clinical profiles inclusive of cognitive scores and maps of grey matter.

Total anosognosia scores were associated with episodic memory, semantic memory, visuoconstructive skills and volume of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), lingual gyrus, fusiform gyrus and thalamus. Memory anosognosia was associated with episodic memory and visuoconstructive skills. Non-memory anosognosia was associated with episodic and semantic memory and with volume of the ACC, precentral gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, postcentral gyrus, fusiform gyrus and lingual gyrus.

Known as a region responsible for self-regulation and monitoring, reduction of grey matter in the frontal lobe was highlighted as crucial for the presence of anosognosia. Based on our findings, we argue that specific regions based in the frontal lobe could contribute to the functioning of the mnemonic comparator systems postulated by theoretical models of anosognosia. The cross-domain variability of cognitive correlates indicates that various computational mechanisms are at play in the presence of anosognosia.

Keywords

1. Introduction

Introduced more than one century ago (Babinski, 1914), the term "anosognosia" can be recognised as a neurological symptom that is characterised by a lack of self-awareness of the presence of a disorder or disability such as disease-associated deficits, cognitive alterations or behavioural changes (Mograbi & Morris, 2018). Anosognosic patients are unaware of their neurological impairments or are unable to judge how severe these are (Morris & Mograbi, 2013). It is a common symptom in Alzheimer's disease (AD), with an onset observable since the mild cognitive impairment stage (Vannini et al., 2017b; Vogel et al., 2004) and prevalence rates ranging from 20% to 80% (Starkstein, 2014).

In AD patients, anosognosia is associated with the deposition of amyloid peptides in the brain (Marshall et al., 2004; Vannini et al., 2017a) and tends to affect awareness of memory dysfunction in parallel with other symptomatic manifestations, such as behavioural changes (Sunderaraman & Cosentino, 2017). However, with disease progression, multiple domains may become affected by an anosognosic trait (often in an unpredictable way), resulting into considerable clinical heterogeneity (Gambina et al., 2015; Avondino & Antoine, 2016). Notably, the presence of low cognitive awareness has been demonstrated to serve as a potential preclinical marker for AD (Cacciamani et al., 2017).

Anosognosia in AD can be described according to *clinical* or *theory-informed* approaches. Clinical taxonomies focus on the various domains of clinical relevance that can be affected by an anosognosic trait, i.e., awareness of behavioural problems vs. awareness of cognitive deficits (Starkstein, Sabe, Chemerinski, Jason, & Leiguarda, 1996). The latter can be further divided into a memory and an executive sub-component (Agnew & Morris, 1998) and additional domains have been proposed, e.g., awareness of skill in activities of daily living or in

socioemotional interactions (Clare et al., 2012) . It is well-established today that dysfunction of awareness in AD is multi-domain (Leicht, Berwig, & Gertz, 2010).

Theory-informed classifications are instead based on computational models that are characterised by modular information processing. A first framework, the Conscious Awareness System (Schachter, 1990) posits that sensory information processed by higher-order parietal regions would be brought to awareness as a result of the perception of other domains within each cognitive module (i.e., knowledge, memory and learning). This information would then be transmitted to an executive system in charge of computing a metacognitive output. According to this framework, anosognosia would be the result of disruption of one or more modules along the computational pathway that links the outcome of aware processing to the executive unit (Schachter, 1990).

A second framework, the Cognitive Awareness Model, focuses instead on the role played by memory and mnemonic comparators of executive nature (Agnew and Morris, 1998). The rationale of this model is a continuous evaluative processing of episodic and semantic memories, carried out in constant concomitance with the inflow of sensory input. Sensory information would be firstly processed by short-term memory (triggering a first episode of awareness) and would then be subsequently transferred to long-term memory systems (triggering a second episode of awareness). After that, an executive-based mnemonic comparator would compare memory information with a database of personal experiences containing semantic portrayals of individuals' own capacities. Following such comparison, finally, the information reaches conscious awareness through the Metacognitive Awareness System (Agnew and Morris, 1998). Based on the Cognitive Awareness Model, therefore, memory retrieval must be supported by executive resources and anosognosia would be the

result of a mismatch between the information stored in the personal knowledge database and that resulting from the processing of newly-received sensory information.

Various theory-informed types of anosognosia have been postulated based on these two theoretical frameworks. *Primary anosognosia* would be due to dysfunction of the Conscious Awareness System and would result in severe clinical manifestations affecting multiple cognitive and behavioural domains. *Secondary anosognosia*, on the other hand, would be caused by dysfunction of the Cognitive Awareness System and would result in *executive anosognosia* (when damage is to the comparator system) or *memory anosognosia* (when damage affects the information updating system) (Hannesdottir & Morris, 2007; Morris & Mograbi, 2013).

Other studies, finally, have proposed that anosognosia in AD can also be due to poor/failed recollection and consolidation of semantic knowledge about the self or to an outdated version of an individual's self-recognition (Mograbi, Brown, & Morris, 2009).

The conceptual elements laid out by both clinical evidence and theoretical models of anosognosia have led to the exploration of the neurological mechanisms responsible for this highly disruptive symptom. Since AD is a neurodegenerative disease characterised by widespread brain atrophy, the neuroanatomical correlates are of particular interest. Significant associations have been found between the presence of symptoms of anosognosia and grey matter volumes in a set of regions that include the prefrontal cortex (Ford et al., 2014; Fujimoto et al., 2017; Hornberger et al., 2014; Shany-Ur, Lin, Rosen, Sollberger, Miller & Rankin, 2014; Spalletta et al., 2014), cingulate cortex (Guerrier et al., 2018; Hanyu et al., 2008; Spalletta et al., 2014), medial temporal lobe (Hornberger et al., 2014; Spalletta et al., 2014; Tondelli et al., 2018), subcortical structures (Shany-Ur et al., 2014) and cerebellum (Guerrier et al., 2018; Spalletta et al., 2014). The majority of these studies highlight an association between

anosognosia in AD and the volume of the forebrain (prefrontal and antero-limbic regions). Accordingly, the cognitive domain most distinctively associated with anosognosia in AD is executive functioning (Starkstein, 2014); however, this is not a consistent finding within the literature and memory may be associated with the presence of this symptom (Orfei et al., 2010; Clare et al., 2013, Senturk et al. 2017).

The evidence emerging from neuroimaging aligns with the theoretical models previously outlined. Prefrontal regions such as the anterior cingulate and the medial prefrontal cortex may serve as a core hub in support of the executive comparator system and dysfunctional connections in these pathways may result in executive anosognosia (Guerrier et al., 2018). Similarly, other studies postulate that memory anosognosia could find its pathological substrates in regions responsible for autobiographical conceptual memory such as medial temporal lobe structures that are damaged by the characteristic pathophysiology of AD (Morris & Mograbi, 2013; Tondelli et al., 2018). The clinical manifestations of memory or executive anosognosia are associated with degeneration of densely interconnected fronto-temporal structures which are thought to be responsible for the integrity of the cognitive awareness system (Chavoix & Insausti, 2017).

Moreover, since anosognosia in AD can be expressed in multiple clinical domains, it is unknown whether the mechanisms are the same for each domain affected by the trait. In this respect, the current study explored anosognosia in two clinical domains: memory and non-memory (i.e., including activities of daily living and executive functioning). Specifically, we studied the association between domain-specific anosognosia and total anosognosia scores with: 1) cognitive profiles and 2) voxel-based volumetric properties of the brain. Therefore, based on neuroimaging and theoretical frameworks we hypothesised that domain-specific

anosognosia would reflect the detrimental effects of grey matter loss in frontal lobe regions that support the mnemonic comparator system performance and associated cognitive functions.

2. Methods and Materials

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all inclusion/exclusion criteria, whether inclusion/exclusion criteria were established prior to data analysis, all manipulations, and all measures in the study. No part of the study procedures or analyses was pre-registered in an institutional registry prior to the research being conducted.

2.1. Participants

Study recruitment was carried out as part of the EU-funded Virtual Physiological Human – DementiA Research Enabled by IT (VPH-DARE@IT) initiative, a multicentre project clinically coordinated by the Department of Neuroscience, Royal Hallamshire Hospital in Sheffield, UK (http://www.vph-dare.eu/). Fifty-four candidate patients were initially enrolled. All candidates had received either a clinical diagnosis of AD, $n = 25$ following the National Institute of Aging criteria (McKhann et al., 2011) or a diagnosis of MCI due to AD, $n = 29$ (Albert et al., 2011) as part of a sole continuum of AD severity. Longitudinal follow ups for at least four years in patients labelled as MCI showed a clinical course supportive of an AD aetiology. The set of exclusion criteria included evidence of other significant neurological conditions (e.g., acute or chronic cerebrovascular disease or history of transient ischaemic attacks), uncontrolled brain seizures or history of epilepsy, peripheral neuropathy, presence of significant behavioural symptoms or radiological evidence which could otherwise account for the symptoms, cardiovascular and gastroenterological conditions (e.g., sick-sinus syndrome or

peptic ulcer), metabolic disorders (e.g., abnormal levels of vitamin B12, folates or thyroid-stimulating hormone), major pharmacological interventions (e.g., treatment with psychotropic medication other than AD-related drugs, pharmacological components displaying important organic adverse effects or medications used in other research protocols) and presence of major disabilities. Moreover, since the main predictor (see Section 2.2) was dependent on the score obtained in a questionnaire administered to patient-caregiver dyads, participants were not approached if no reliable informant was available. Each informant was briefly screened to rule out neurological or psychological factors that would prevent them from answering all study questions in a reliable way. One dyad was excluded due to incomplete testing, giving a final sample of 53 patients (**Table 1**).

--- Please insert **Table 1** about here ---

In compliance with the description of data-collection procedures approved by the European Union, local ethical approval was granted by relevant ethics committees at recruitment sites. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The conditions of our ethics approval do not permit the sharing of any data supporting this study with any individual outside the author team under any circumstances

2.2. Anosognosia and neuropsychological assessment

Levels of self-awareness were measured with the Measurement of Anosognosia Instrument (Stewart, McGeown, Shanks, & Venneri, 2010). This questionnaire consists of 15 binary "yes-no" questions assessing cognitive performance in daily-life settings. All questions need to be

answered independently by the patient and by the informant. By doing so, two scores are obtained: that provided by the informant as a "standard-of-truth" objective assessment of the patient's abilities and that provided by the patient as self-evaluative measure. The Measurement of Anosognosia Instrument explores two functional domains of awareness: "memory" (9 questions) and "non-memory" (inclusive of executive functioning and activities of daily living; 6 questions). The informant-based and the patient-based responses were compared to quantify the number of discrepant answers provided by the patient. Discrepancy scores were used to quantify presence of anosognosia across two cognitive domains: "memory" and "non-memory", with an additional "total" score (the sum of both domains) (Migliorelli, 1995; Stewart et al., 2010).

Finally, each participant underwent a neuropsychological examination to obtain a clinical profile that included the Mini Mental State Examination, the Raven Progressive Matrices test, the Token test, the Digit Span Forward and the WAIS Similarities test. Furthermore, in consistency with the conceptual background, tests of experimental interest were chosen to assess the behavioural association of anosognosia with memory (Category Fluency test and Prose Memory delayed recall test), executive functions (Letter Fluency test and Stroop test) and Visuospatial abilities (Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure test) (**Table 2**).

--- Please insert **Table 2** about here ---

2.3. MRI acquisition and processing

A three dimensional T1-weighted image was obtained for each participant. MRI Images were acquired and analysed following a shared protocol with the acquisition and modelling steps set

up by the VPH-DARE@IT consortium for Philips scanners (http://www.vph-dare.eu/index.php/project/work-packages/WP2): voxel size: 1 mm^3, flip angle: 8°, matrix size: 256 × 256, repetition time 7.4 ms; echo delay time 3.4 ms; field of view 250 mm [see Venneri, Mitolo and De Marco (2017) for details].

A voxel-based morphometry analysis was carried out using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) software 12 (Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, London, UK) on processed MRI T1 weighted scans. Scans were initially reoriented and segmented into grey matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid tissue maps. These were quantified in volumetric terms (using the *get_totals* script: http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/g.ridgway/vbm/get_totals.m) to compute total intracranial volumes and account for overall head size differences among participants (Peelle, Cusack, & Henson, 2012). Grey matter maps were then normalised and registered to the Montreal Neurological Institute space. Finally, spatial smoothing (8 mm full-width half maximum Gaussian kernel) was carried out.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Three sets of inferential models were devised to test the association between measures of anosognosia and indices of cognitive functioning and brain structure. To define these associations, all models were corrected for a series of confounding factors. First, age was used to control for decrease of grey matter volume due to normal ageing (Fox & Schott, 2004). Second, education levels (in years) were included as a proxy of cognitive reserve (Fratiglioni & Wang, 2007). Third, normalised hippocampal volumes (Cardoso et al., 2013) were used as a way to control for disease severity, given the extensive disease-dependent atrophy this structure is subjected to in AD.

Neuropsychological data were analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics 24 software for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Coefficients of non-linear partial correlation were run between

the three indices of anosognosia and the neuropsychological scores (*Spearman's ρ*). The statistical threshold to define significance of these associations was set to $p < 0.007$ to correct for multiple comparisons.

Regression models were carried out to infer the linear association between voxel-by-voxel maps of grey matter and levels of anosognosia in SPM 12. Total intracranial volumes were included as fourth covariate in these models. This served to account for the inter-individual variability in head size (Peelle, Cusack, & Henson, 2012) and brain reserve (Van Loenhoud, Groot, Vogel, Van der Flier & Ossenkoppele, 2018). An uncorrected $p < 0.005$ was selected as cluster-forming threshold. Clusters surviving a Family-Wise Error $p < 0.05$ were considered significant. Peak stereotactic coordinates were converted to the Talairach atlas space using the *mni2tal* Matlab function. Coordinates in the Talairach space were interpreted using the Daemon Client (Lancaster et al., 1997; Lancaster et al., 2000).

3. Results

3.1. Association with neuropsychological functioning

The total anosognosia score was associated with scores on the following tests: delayed recall of the Prose Memory test ($ρ = -0.467$, $p = 0.002$), the copy and recall of the Rey-Osterrieth figure ($ρ = -0.424$, $p = 0.005$; $ρ = -0.419$, $p = 0.006$, respectively), the Category Fluency test ($ρ = -0.492$, $p = 0.001$) and the Mini Mental State Examination ($ρ = -0.525$, $p = 0.001$). The index of memory anosognosia was associated with the scores achieved on the Prose Memory Test ($ρ = -0.429$, $p = 0.005$) and Category Fluency Test ($ρ = -0.449$, $p = 0.003$) and on the Mini Mental State Examination ($ρ = -0.563$, $p = 0.001$). Finally, the index of non-memory anosognosia showed no significant associations (**Table 3**).

--- Please insert **Table 3** about here ---

3.2. Association with brain structure

A significant negative association was found between the total anosognosia score and volumes of the bilateral anterior cingulate cortex, left lingual gyrus, left fusiform gyrus and left thalamus. Likewise, a significant negative association was found between the non-memory anosognosia score and volumes of the bilateral anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral precentral gyrus, bilateral lingual gyrus, bilateral fusiform gyrus, right superior frontal gyrus and right postcentral gyrus (**Table 4**; **Figure 1**). No significant associations were detected between memory anosognosia scores and grey matter volumes.

--- Please insert **Table 4** and **Figure 1** about here ---

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to characterise the neuropsychological and neurovolumetric correlates of anosognosic profiles in the early stage of AD (prodromal to mild), differentiating between memory and non-memory anosognosia. Cognitive and anatomical analyses performed in the MCI and mild AD samples separately demonstrated similar patterns of results, although in both groups statistical power was low. Given the similarity in the pattern of findings, these clinical profiles were, therefore, merged to increase statistical power.

4.1. Association between anosognosia and neuropsychological functioning

Total anosognosia scores were associated with a series of measures of episodic memory, semantic memory and visuoconstructive skills. Overall, we cannot rule out the possibility that these total-anosognosia scores were driven by the distribution of scores on the non-memory section of the test (hence, the similar pattern of findings). However, the total score was significantly associated with both memory and non-memory sub-scores (both r scores > 0.8) suggesting equal dependence on both sub-scores. After splitting the construct into its two components, memory anosognosia showed an association with the Category Fluency Test (a measure of semantic memory) and the Prose Memory Test. This latter is a test of episodic memory based on the retrieval of material characterised by semantic relatedness (Carlesimo et al., 1998; Venneri et al., 2019). Semanticisation processes are an essential trait for the integration of episodic autobiographical memory (Westmacott, Black, Freedman & Moscovitch, 2004; Morris & Mograbi, 2013). This domain has a significant influence on the representation of the self. In this respect, patients with anosognosia constantly try to reorganise their self-representation without success, and this leads to a progressive deterioration of their own identity (Mograbi, Brown & Morris., 2009; Toffle & Quattropani, 2015). On the other hand, deficits in episodic memory are central clinical hallmarks in AD and are associated with disease severity (Reitz et al., 2009). Notably, these results are consistent with those of other studies focusing on anosognosia in AD (Orfei et al., 2010; Clare et al., 2013, Senturk et al. 2017). In line with our results, Gambina et al., (2015) characterised anosognosia patients using a quantitative-qualitative method in which unawareness of memory deficits was particularly visible at the initial clinical stages of disease, while later clinical stages were characterised more distinctively by executive unawareness, displaying dissociation with the cognitive performance in the memory and executive domain, respectively. To minimise the likelihood of obtaining spurious results, all of the inferential models involving neuropsychological tests were

controlled for normalised hippocampal size, an established measure of neuronal injury in AD (Jack Jr et al., 2018).

The total anosognosia score was also associated with performance on visuospatial skills. Visuoconstructive abilities are an essential trait of self-awareness, in that they enable the individual to shift from a first-person to a third-person perspective (Vogeley et al., 2004). These abilities would also serve to update information processing by projecting allocentric (object-to-object) and egocentric (self-to-object) spatial representations, prerequisite components of global awareness (Serino & Riva, 2017). Based on this view, our findings indicate that patients with higher total anosognosia scores would be less able to achieve this "mental frame syncing"; or, in other words, the ability to update properly previously experienced scenarios stored in episodic memory. Therefore, these patients may not be able to understand the mental scenarios of their first-person orientation, which could lead to unawareness of the perceived space in contrast with the one remembered.

Lastly, overall cognitive severity of disease was associated with the total anosognosia scores and the memory anosognosia scores. This finding has been reported in the literature (Migliorelli et al., 1995; Derouesne et al. 1999: Harwood, Sultzer & Wheatley, 2000; Leicht et al., 2010) and may reflect the direct detrimental effects of the proteinopathies on the awareness system through the progression of the disease (Vannini et al., 2017a). However, the scientific literature indicates that there is no well-defined link between severity of disease and anosognosia, with studies that support a link (such as those referenced in the section above) and studies that do not (Reed, Jagust & Coulter, 1993; Weinstein, Friedland & Wagner, 1994; Almeida & Crocco, 2000, Gambina et al., 2015). The AD pathophysiological progression may impact directly on the severity of the disease, but is not an essential variable for the onset of anosognosia, which explains the heterogeneity between disease stage and the initial

symptomatic expression of anosognosia that displays worsening with disease progression characterised initially by memory disconnection (Avondino & Antoine, 2016).

4.2. *Association between anosognosia and brain structure*

The total anosognosia score was associated with volume in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). This region has been associated with disease awareness in other studies involving AD patients (Hanyu et al., 2008: Amanzio et al., 2011: Spalletta et al., 2014; Guerrier et al., 2018). Progressive neuronal loss in the anterior cingulate leads to decline of executive metacognitive processes that involve cognitive regulation (Cohen, Botvinick, & Carter, 2000) through continual internal error processing and monitoring (Van Veen & Carter, 2002: O'Connell et al., 2007; Amanzio & Palermo, 2014). In this study, the ACC was associated with both total anosognosia scores and non-memory domain anosognosia scores, the latter consisting mostly of decreased awareness of activities dependent on executive functions. Likewise, Amanzio et al. (2011) showed decreased activation of the ACC in a task-based fMRI study consisting of a paradigm based on a response-inhibition go/no-go task, proposing anosognosia as a dysfunction of the executive system in charge of abilities such as self-monitoring. Lastly, Guerrier et al. (2018) found, in a structural and metabolic study, alterations of the ACC related to anosognosia, interpreting it as an area involved in executive processing and self-monitoring affecting the comparator mechanisms of mnemonic functions.

The involvement of the ACC can be interpreted as that of a gateway region sustaining conflict resolution within the framework of the Cognitive Awareness Model (Agnew and Morris, 1998). The ACC is a region responsible for the integration of cognitive and emotional stimuli (Bush, Luu, & Posner, 2000) and is also a major hub of the salience network, a functional circuit responsible for processing and integrating external and internal inputs for decision making

(Seeley et al., 2007). In coherence with the Cognitive Awareness Model, the ACC may provide the executive resources necessary to the mnemonic comparator to verify the authenticity of the processed information.

Total anosognosia scores and non-memory anosognosia scores also showed a significant association in the fusiform gyrus and lingual gyrus. The involvement of the fusiform gyrus in anosognosia does not come as a novel finding (Guerrier et al. 2018). Dysfunction in this area is linked to awareness deficits for bodily representations. In fact, hemiplegic patients with lesions extending to the fusiform gyrus show impaired mentalisation of the body (Besharati et al. 2016). Moreover, the pathway linking the fusiform gyrus with the ACC was found to be abnormally upregulated in patients with amnestic MCI (Cai et al., 2015).

The lingual gyrus is instead a region essential for visual perception (Yang, Deng, Xing, Xia, & Li, 2015), but it also plays an executive role, as shown in a study that reported activation during a divergent thinking paradigm (Zhang et al., 2016). Moreover, atrophy of a set of regions including the lingual gyrus is linked to faster decline in AD dementia (Kinkingnehun et al., 2008) suggesting a plausible link between anosognosia and faster disease progression.

The non-memory anosognosia domain showed additional significant negative associations with the precentral, postcentral and superior frontal gyrus that runs in parallel with the findings of other studies related to the field of AD. The precentral gyrus was found to be associated with anosognosia of executive functions in a structural MRI study (Tondelli et al., 2018). In this context, Morita and colleagues (2008) proposed the precentral gyrus to serve as a key region of self-recognition of facial features. The postcentral gyrus showed less activation in a comparative analysis of aware vs unaware AD patients based on a response-inhibition go/no-go task (Amanzio et al., 2011). Lastly, the superior frontal gyrus was also associated with anosognosia in another structural study (Fujimoto et al., 2017), a region that has shown to be

essential in self-awareness (Goldberg, Harel & Malach, 2006). Therefore, we argue that specific frontal regions could serve as crucial components for the modulation of executive-function-related awareness processes.

In spite of the importance of executive resources in this process, however, no association was found between indices of anosognosia and measures of executive functioning. Arguably, however, a dysfunctional comparator may result in subtle executive deficits that will not necessarily emerge with standardised executive tests.

A number of published structural and functional neuroimaging studies found that anosognosia was associated with medial temporal structures (e.g., Chavoix and Insausti, 2017; Tondelli et al., 2018). To this end, Salmon and colleagues (2006) suggested that the mediotemporal hypometabolism seen in anosognosic patients may result in impaired comparison mechanisms, highlighting a primary role of memory functions based on these structures, and not executive resources for this comparatory function. Our findings, however, seem not to support this suggestion, since no association was found between hippocampal volume and anosognosia scores. Associations between anosognosia and semantic and episodic memory were identified in the current study, but these associations did not appear to be mediated by hippocampal volume. In this respect, the findings by Avondino and colleagues (2016) highlight memory as a supportive element in anosognosia rather than the prime cause. Therefore, we argue that mediotemporal structures most likely provide a supportive mnemonic input to the structures that provide comparatory resources, and it is possible that this link could be spurious and driven by disease severity, which is known to affect harshly this part of the brain. Based on our findings, comparatory resources would be more consistently linked with the ACC instead.

A broader neuroimaging-based perspective suggests that damage to frontal-circuitry precedes loss of grey matter, and this would account for how the clinical symptoms present in relation to

multi-domain anosognosia (Mondragon, Maurits & Deyn, 2019). According to this view, reduced connectivity of the default mode network (DMN), seen in the early phases of AD (Klaassens et al., 2017), may act as a marker of progression associated with anosognosia (Therriault et al., 2018). In fact, the bases of impaired self-awareness and anosognosia have been heavily intertwined to the functionality of the DMN in AD (Antoine et al., 2019; Mondragon, Maurits & Deyn, 2019) and other neurological conditions, such as anosognosia for hemiplegia (Pacella et al., 2019). On these grounds, the DMN could be conceived as a translational construct to justify a routine assessment in the preclinical stage of AD (Cacciamani et al., 2017). In turn, damage to the DMN could then hinder other frontal pathways of connectivity that would lead to a dysfunctional use of the central executive comparator and other neuronal systems such as those in charge of attention or emotional processing (Shany-Ur et al., 2014).

The mismatch found between anatomical findings and behavioural outcomes could be due to the inherent relation of unawareness to the functional domain controlled by it. The consistency of association of visuospatial abilities to the behavioural and neuroimaging outcomes sheds light into the essential role of these functions in global awareness. Dissecting anosognosia study by domains in the very early stages of the disease could lack of evident association between the broad neuronal anatomical conformation to the specific function mediated by that region.

4.3. Limitations

Limitations might arise from the choice of instrument to measure anosognosia. In fact, failing to acknowledge the presence of symptoms or a poor performance could in part be due to a defensive mechanism of denial, triggered by individual socioemotional factors (Ecklund-

Johnson & Torres, 2005). This possibility, however, is an intrinsic factor in this type of measurement and would affect any questionnaire/scale. On this note, it is desirable to confirm each diagnosis of anosognosia with a clinical qualitative judgment. Secondly, the use of discrepancy scores depends on the answers given by both patient and informant. Caregiver burden may inadvertently shift the perception of the patient's abilities into an over/underestimation. To rule out this possibility, we chose to rely on a robust instrument that has undergone methodological validation, but acknowledge that there are other ways to assess anosognosia, such as the discrepancy between estimation and actual performance on a task. Lastly, although the total anosognosia score was strongly correlated with both the memory ($r = 0.895$) and non-memory ($r = 0.818$) sub-scores, we cannot completely rule out the possibility that one of the two sub-scales may have had a larger impact on the total score than the other.

5. Conclusions

Our findings highlight the ACC as the main structure associated with total scores and non-memory anosognosia scores in patients with early AD. Additionally, volumes in the fusiform and lingual gyri were also associated with the total scores and non-memory anosognosia scores. The precentral gyrus, postcentral gyrus and superior frontal gyrus show further involvement in non-memory anosognosia. Behavioural findings foregrounded the role played by semantic memory, episodic memory and visuospatial abilities. All in all, these findings indicate that anosognosia is a complex symptom in which executive resources seem to play a crucial role. Moreover, and as pointed out by previous research (Chapman et al., 2018), different theoretical elements appear to be at play depending on the cognitive domain affected by anosognosia in AD.

Acknowledgments

Disclosure

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

References

Agnew, S. K., & Morris, R. G. (1998). The heterogeneity of anosognosia for memory impairment in Alzheimer's disease: a review of the literature and a proposed model. *Aging & Mental Health, 2*(1), 7-19. doi:10.1080/13607869856876.

Albert, M. S., DeKosky, S. T., Dickson, D., Dubois, B., Feldman, H. H., Fox, N. C., et al. (2011). The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement, 7*(3), 270-279. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.008.

Almeida, O. P., & Crocco, E. I. (2000). Perception of cognitive deficits and behavior disorders in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Arquivos de Neuro-psiquiatria, 58(2A), 292-299. doi: 10.1590/s0004-282x2000000200015.

Amanzio, M., Torta, D. M. E., Sacco, K., Cauda, F., D'Agata, F., Duca, S., et al. (2011). Unawareness of deficits in Alzheimer's disease: role of the cingulate cortex. *Brain, 134*, 1061-1076. doi:10.1093/brain/awr020.

Amanzio M., & Palermo S. (2014). Anterior Cingulate Cortex as a Monitoring Attentional System and its novel role in the unawareness of Alzheimer's disease. In: *horizons in Neuroscience Research*. Volume 13. Andres Costa and Eugenio Villalba, New York, Ed. Nova Science Publishers, Chapter 7, 127-138.

Antoine, N., Bahri, M. A., Bastin, C., Collette, F., Phillips, C., Balteau, E., ... & Salmon, E. (2019). Anosognosia and default mode subnetwork dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *Human brain mapping*, 40(18), 5330-5340. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24775.

Avondino, E., & Antoine, P. (2016). Heterogeneity of Cognitive Anosognosia and its Variation with the Severity of Dementia in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Alzheimers Disease, 50*(1), 89-99. doi:10.3233/jad-150496.

Babinski, M. J. (1914). Contributions a l'etude des troubles mentaux dans l'hemiplegie organique cerebrale (anosognosie). Revista de Neurologia, 12, 845-847.

Besharati, S., Forkel, S. J., Kopelman, M., Solms, M., Jenkinson, P. M., & Fotopoulou, A. (2016). Mentalizing the body: spatial and social cognition in anosognosia for hemiplegia. *Brain, 139*(3), 971-985. doi:10.1093/brain/awv390.

Bush, G., Luu, P., & Posner, M. I. (2000). Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci, 4*(6), 215-222. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01483-2.

Cai, S., Chong, T., Zhang, Y., Li, J., von Deneen, K. M., Ren, J., et al. (2015). Altered
Functional Connectivity of Fusiform Gyrus in Subjects with Amnestic Mild Cognitive
Impairment: A Resting-State fMRI Study. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 9*, 471-
471. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2015.00471.

Cacciamani, F., Tandetnik, C., Gagliardi, G., Bertin, H., Habert, M. O., Hampel, H., ... &
Audrain, C. (2017). Low cognitive awareness, but not complaint, is a good marker of
preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 59(2), 753-762. doi:
10.3233/JAD-170399.

Cardoso, J., M., Leung, K., Modat, M., Keihaninejad, S., Cash, D., Barnes, J.,...Alzheimer's
Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2013). STEPS: Similarity and Truth Estimation for
Propagated Segmentations and its application to hippocampal segmentation and brain
parcelation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 17 (6), 671-684. doi:
10.1016/j.media.2013.02.006.

Carlesimo, G. A., Mauri, M., Graceffa, A. M., Fadda, L., Loasses, et al. (1998). Memory
performances in young, elderly, and very old healthy individuals versus patients with
Alzheimer's disease: Evidence for discontinuity between normal and pathological aging.
Journal of Clinical Experimental Neuropsychology, 20, 14-29.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1076/jcen.20.1.14.1482.

Chapman, S., Colvin, L. E., Vuorre, M., Cocchini, G., Metcalfe, J., Huey, E. D., & Cosentino,
S. (2018). Cross domain self-monitoring in anosognosia for memory loss in Alzheimer's
disease. *Cortex, 101*, 221-233. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2018.01.019.

Chavoix, C., & Insausti, R. (2017). Self-awareness and the medial temporal lobe in
neurodegenerative diseases. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 78*, 1-12.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.04.015.

Clare, L., Nelis, S. M., Martyr, A., Roberts, J., Whitaker, C. J., Markova, I. S., et al. (2012).
The influence of psychological, social and contextual factors on the expression and
measurement of awareness in early-stage dementia: testing a biopsychosocial model. *Int
J Geriatr Psychiatry, 27*(2), 167-177. doi:10.1002/gps.2705.

Clare, L., Whitaker, C. J., Roberts, J. L., Nelis, S. M., Martyr, A., Markova, I. S., Roth, I.,
Woods, R. T., & Morris, R. G. (2013). Memory Awareness Profiles Differentiate Mild
Cognitive Impairment from Early-Stage Dementia: Evidence from Assessments of
Performance Monitoring and Evaluative Judgement. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive
Disorders, 35(5-6), 266-279. doi:10.1159/000346735.

Cohen, J. D., Botvinick, M., & Carter, C. S. (2000). Anterior cingulate and prefrontal cortex:
who's in control? *Nat Neurosci, 3*(5), 421-423. doi:10.1038/74783.

Derouesne, C., Thibault S., Lagha-Pierucci S., Baudouin-Madec V., Ancri D., & Lacomblez L.
(1999). Decreased awareness of cognitive deficits in patients with mild dementia of the
Alzheimer type. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14: 1019-30. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166(199912)14:12<1019::AID-GPS61>3.0.CO;2-
F.

Ecklund-Johnson, E., & Torres, I. (2005). Unawareness of deficits in Alzheimer's disease and
other dementias: Operational definitions and empirical findings. *Neuropsychology
Review, 15*(3), 147-166. doi:10.1007/s11065-005-9026-7.

Ford, A. H., Almeida, O. P., Flicker, L., Garrido, G. J., Greenop, K. R., Foster, J. K., et al.
(2014). Grey Matter Changes Associated with Deficit Awareness in Mild Cognitive
Impairment: A Voxel-Based Morphometry Study. *Journal of Alzheimers Disease,
42*(4), 1251-1259. doi:10.3233/jad-132678.

Fox, N. C., & Schott, J. M. (2004). Imaging cerebral atrophy: normal ageing to Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet, 363*(9406), 392-394. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15441-X.

Fratiglioni, L., & Wang, H. X. (2007). Brain reserve hypothesis in dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis, 12*(1), 11-22. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2007-12103.

Fujimoto, H., Matsuoka, T., Kato, Y., Shibata, K., Nakamura, K., Yamada, K., & Narumoto, J. (2017). Brain regions associated with anosognosia for memory disturbance in Alzheimer's disease: a magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 13*, 1753-1759. doi:10.2147/ndt.S139177.

Gambina, G., Valbusa, V., Corsi, N., Ferrari, F., Sala, F., Broggio, E., ... & Moretto, G. (2015). The italian validation of the anosognosia questionnaire for dementia in Alzheimer's disease. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*, 30(6), 635-644. doi: 10.1177/1533317515577185.

Goldberg I.I., Harel M., Malach R. (2006). When the Brain Loses Its Self: Prefrontal Inactivation during Sensorimotor Processing. Neuron, 50, 329-339. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.03.015.

Guerrier, L., Le Men, J., Gane, A., Planton, M., Salabert, A. S., Payoux, P., et al. (2018). Involvement of the Cingulate Cortex in Anosognosia: A Multimodal Neuroimaging Study in Alzheimer's Disease Patients. *J Alzheimers Dis, 65*(2), 443-453. doi:10.3233/jad-180324.

Hannesdottir, K., & Morris, R. G. (2007). Primary and secondary anosognosia for memory impairment in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex, 43*(7), 1020-1030. doi:10.1016/s0010-9452(08)70698-1.

Hanyu, H., Sato, T., Akai, T., Shimizu, S., Hirao, K., Kanetaka, H., et al. (2008). Neuroanatomical correlates of unawareness of memory deficits in early Alzheimer's

disease. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 25*(4), 347-353. doi:10.1159/000119594.

Harwood, D. G., Sultzer, D. L., & Wheatley, M. V. (2000). Impaired insight in Alzheimer disease: Association with cognitive deficits, psychiatric symptoms, and behavioral disturbances. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychology and Behavioral Neurology*, 13(2), 83-88. Retrieved from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10780626.

Hornberger, M., Yew, B., Gilardoni, S., Mioshi, E., Gleichgerrcht, E., Manes, F., & Hodges, J. R. (2014). Ventromedial-Frontopolar Prefrontal Cortex Atrophy Correlates with Insight Loss in Frontotemporal Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease. *Human Brain Mapping, 35*(2), 616-626. doi:10.1002/hbm.22200.

Jack C.R. J., Bennett D.A., Blennow K., Carrillo M.C., Dunn B., Haeberlein S.B., et al. (2018). NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2018 Apr;14(4):535-562. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018.

Kinkingnéhun, S., Sarazin, M., Lehéricy, S., Guichart-Gomez, E., Hergueta, T., & Dubois, B. (2008). VBM anticipates the rate of progression of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology, 70*(23), 2201. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000303960.01039.43.

Klaassens, B. L., van Gerven, J., van der Grond, J., de Vos, F., Möller, C., & Rombouts, S. A. (2017). Diminished posterior precuneus connectivity with the default mode network differentiates normal aging from Alzheimer's disease. Frontiers in aging neuroscience, 9, 97. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2017.00097.

Lancaster, J. L., Rainey, L. H., Summerlin, J. L., Freitas, C. S., Fox, P. T., Evans, A. C., et al. (1997). Automated labeling of the human brain: a preliminary report on the development and evaluation of a forward-transform method. *Hum Brain Mapp, 5*(4), 238-242. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0193(1997)5:4<238::Aid-hbm6>3.0.Co;2-4.

Lancaster, J. L., Woldorff, M. G., Parsons, L. M., Liotti, M., Freitas, C. S., Rainey, L., et al. (2000). Automated Talairach atlas labels for functional brain mapping. *Hum Brain Mapp, 10*(3), 120-131. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0193(200007)10:3<120::AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-8.

Leicht, H., Berwig, M., & Gertz, H. J. (2010). Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: The role of impairment levels in assessment of insight across domains. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 16*(3), 463-473. doi:10.1017/s1355617710000056.

Marshall, G. A., Kaufer, D. I., Lopez, O. L., Rao, G. R., Hamilton, R. L., & DeKosky, S. T. (2004). Right prosubiculum amyloid plaque density correlates with anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 75*(10), 1396-1400. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2003.030007.

McKhann, G. M., Knopman, D. S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B. T., Jack, C. R., Kawas, C. H., et al. (2011). The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia, 7*(3), 263-269. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005.

Migliorelli, R., Teson, A., Sabe, L., Petracca, G., Petracchi, M., Leiguarda, R., & Starkstein, S. E. (1995). Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: a study of associated factors. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci, 7*(3), 338-344. doi:10.1176/jnp.7.3.338.

Mograbi, D. C., Brown, R. G., & Morris, R. G. (2009). Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease - The petrified self. *Consciousness and Cognition, 18*(4), 989-1003. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2009.07.005.

Mograbi, D. C., & Morris, R. G. (2018). Anosognosia. Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior, 103, 385. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2018.04.001

Mondragón, J. D., Maurits, N. M., & De Deyn, P. P. (2019). Functional neural correlates of anosognosia in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. *Neuropsychology review*, 1-27. doi: 10.1007/s11065-019-09410-x.

Morita, T., Itakura, S., Saito, D. N., Nakashita, S., Harada, T., Kochiyama, T., Sadato, N. (2008). The role of the right prefrontal cortex in self-evaluation of the face: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Cogn Neurosci, 20(2)*: 342-355. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2008.20024.

Morris, R. G., & Mograbi, D. C. (2013). Anosognosia, autobiographical memory and self knowledge in Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex, 49*(6), 1553-1565. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2012.09.006.

O'Connell, R. G., Dockree, P. M., Bellgrove, M. A., Kelly, S. P., Hester, R., Garavan, H., et al. (2007). The role of cingulate cortex in the detection of errors with and without awareness: a high-density electrical mapping study. *Eur J Neurosci, 25*(8), 2571-2579. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05477.

Orfei, M. D., Varsi, A. E., Blundo, C., Celia, E., Casini, A. R., Caltagirone, C., & Spalletta, G. (2010). Anosognosia in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Mild Alzheimer's Disease: Frequency and Neuropsychological Correlates. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 18*(12), 1133-1140. doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181dd1c50

Pacella, V., Foulon, C., Jenkinson, P. M., Scandola, M., Bertagnoli, S., Avesani, R., ... & De Schotten, M. T. (2019). Anosognosia for hemiplegia as a tripartite disconnection syndrome. Elife, 8. doi: 10.7554/eLife.46075.

Peelle, J. E., Cusack, R., & Henson, R. N. A. (2012). Adjusting for global effects in voxel-based morphometry: Gray matter decline in normal aging. *Neuroimage, 60*(2), 1503-1516. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.086.

Reed, B. R., Jagust, W. J., & Coulter, L. (1993). Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease:

   relationships to depression, cognitive function, and cerebral perfusion. Journal of

   clinical and experimental neuropsychology, 15(2), 231-244. doi:

   10.1080/01688639308402560.

Reitz C., Honig L., Vonsattel J.P., Tang M.X., & Mayeux R. (2009). Memory performance is

   related to amyloid and tau pathology in the hippocampus. *J Neurol Neurosurg*

   Psychiatry. 80(7): 715-21. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2008.154146.

Salmon, E., Perani, D., Herholz, K., Marique, P., Kalbe, E., Holthoff, V., et al. (2006). Neural

   correlates of anosognosia for cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. *Human*

   Brain Mapping, 27(7), 588-597. doi:10.1002/hbm.20203.

Schacter, D. L. (1990). Toward a cognitive neuropsychology of awareness: Implicit knowledge

   and anosognosia. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 12*(1), 155-

   178. doi:10.1080/01688639008400962.

Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., et al. (2007).

   Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive

   control. *J Neurosci, 27*(9), 2349-2356. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5587-06.2007

Senturk, G., Basar, B., Arslan, A. B., Bayram, A., Hanagasi, H., Gurvit, H., Emre, M. (2017).

   Cognitive and anatomical correlates of anosognosia in amnestic mild cognitive

   impairment and early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *International Psychogeriatrics, 29*(2),

   293-302. doi:10.1017/s1041610216001812.

Serino, S., & Riva, G. (2017). The Proactive Self in Space: How Egocentric and Allocentric

   Spatial Impairments Contribute to Anosognosia in Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of*

   Alzheimers Disease, 55(3), 881-892. doi:10.3233/jad-160676.

Shany-Ur, T., Lin, N., Rosen, H. J., Sollberger, M., Miller, B. L., & Rankin, K. P. (2014). Self-awareness in neurodegenerative disease relies on neural structures mediating reward-driven attention. *Brain, 137*(8), 2368-2381. doi:10.1093/brain/awu161.

Spalletta, G., Piras, F., Piras, F., Sancesario, G., Iorio, M., Fratangeli, C., et al. (2014). Neuroanatomical correlates of awareness of illness in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment who will or will not convert to Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex, 61*, 183-195. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2014.10.010.

Starkstein, S. E. (2014). Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: Diagnosis, frequency, mechanism and clinical correlates. *Cortex, 61*, 64-73. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2014.07.019.

Starkstein, S. E., Sabe, L., Chemerinski, E., Jason, L., & Leiguarda, R. (1996). Two domains of anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 61*(5), 485-490. doi:10.1136/jnnp.61.5.485.

Stewart, G., McGeown, W. J., Shanks, M. F., & Venneri, A. (2010). Anosognosia for memory impairment in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropsychiatrica, 22*(4), 180-187. doi:10.1111/j.1601-5215.2010.00463.

Sunderaraman, P., & Cosentino, S. (2017). Integrating the Constructs of Anosognosia and Metacognition: a Review of Recent Findings in Dementia. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep, 17*(3), 27. doi:10.1007/s11910-017-0734-1.

Therriault, J., Ng, K. P., Pascoal, T. A., Mathotaarachchi, S., Kang, M. S., Struyfs, H., ... & Gauthier, S. (2018). Anosognosia predicts default mode network hypometabolism and clinical progression to dementia. *Neurology*, 90(11), e932-e939. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000005120.

Toffle, M. E., & Quattropani, M. C. (2015). The Self in the Alzheimer's Patient as Revealed through Psycholinguistic-story Based Analysis. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 205*, 361-372. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.09.013.

Tondelli, M., Barbarulo, A. M., Vinceti, G., Vincenzi, C., Chiari, A., Nichelli, P. F., & Zamboni, G. (2018). Neural Correlates of Anosognosia in Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Multi-Method Assessment. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 12*(100). doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00100.

Van Loenhoud, A. C., Groot, C., Vogel, J. W., van der Flier, W. M., & Ossenkoppele, R. (2018). Is intracranial volume a suitable proxy for brain reserve?. *Alzheimer's research & therapy, 10*(1), 91. doi:10.1186/s13195-018-0408-5

Van Veen, V., & Carter, C. S. (2002). The timing of action-monitoring processes in the anterior cingulate cortex. *J Cogn Neurosci, 14*(4), 593-602. doi:10.1162/08989290260045837.

Vannini, P., Arnariglio, R., Hanseeuw, B., Johnson, K. A., McLaren, D. G., Chhatwal, J., et al. (2017)a. Memory self-awareness in the preclinical and prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychologia, 99*, 343-349. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.04.002.

Vannini, P., Hanseeuw, B., Munro, C. E., Amariglio, R. E., Marshall, G. A., Rentz, D. M., et al. (2017)b. Anosognosia for memory deficits in mild cognitive impairment: Insight into the neural mechanism using functional and molecular imaging. *Neuroimage-Clinical, 15*, 408-414. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.05.020.

Venneri A., Mitolo M. & De Marco (2017). The network substrate of confabulatory tendencies in Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex, 87*, 69-79. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2016.08.015.

Venneri A., Mitolo M., Beltrachini L., Varma S., Della Pieta C., Jahn-Carta C., et al (2019). Beyond episodic memory: Semantic processing as independent predictor of hippocampal/perirhinal volume in aging and mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychology*, 33(4):523-533. doi: 10.1037/neu0000534.

Vogel, A., Stokholm, J., Gade, A., Andersen, B. B., Hejl, A. M., & Waldemar, G. (2004). Awareness of deficits in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: Do MCI

patients have impaired insight? *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 17*(3), 181-187. doi:10.1159/000076354.

Vogeley, K., May, M., Falkai, P., Zilles, K., Fink, G. R. (2004). Neural Correlates of First-Person Perspective as One Constituent of Human Self-Consciousness. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 16(5): 817-27. doi: 10.1162/089892904970799.

Weinstein, E. A., Friedland, R. P., & Wagner, E. E. (1994). Denial/unawareness of impairment and symbolic behavior in Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, & Behavioral Neurology.

Westmacott, R., Black, S. E., Freedman, M., Moscovitch, M. (2004). The contribution of autobiographical significance to semantic memory: evidence from Alzheimer's disease, semantic dementia, and amnesia. *Neuropsychologia, 42*(1), 25-48. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(03)00147-7.

Yang, Y.l., Deng, H. X., Xing, G. Y., Xia, X. l., & Li, H. F. (2015). Brain functional network connectivity based on a visual task: visual information processing-related brain regions are significantly activated in the task state. *Neural Regeneration Research, 10*(2), 298-307. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.152386.

Zhang, L., Qiao, L., Chen, Q., Yang, W., Xu, M., Yao, X., et al. (2016). Gray Matter Volume of the Lingual Gyrus Mediates the Relationship between Inhibition Function and Divergent Thinking. *Front Psychol, 7*, 1532. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01532.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the sample. Means (standard deviation) are shown

Variable	Mean (SD) / $n = 53$	Range (min-max)
Age	71.68 (10.2)	48 - 89
Gender (Male/Female)	27/26	-
Years of education	10.62 (4.05)	5 - 20
Mini-Mental State Examination	23.38 (3.77)	15 – 30

Table 2. Clinical profiling and test of experimental interest

Cognitive test	Sample Mean / *n*	Range (min-max)	Cut-off (Z scores)
Clinical Profiling			
Raven	22.9 / *n* = 50	9 - 35	-1.51
Token	30.8 / *n* = 53	21 - 36	-2.43
Similarities	14.1 / *n* = 53	4 - 26	-1.85
Experimental Interest			
Mini-Mental State Examination	23.4 / *n* = 53	15-30	-3.07
Letter Fluency	25.3 / *n* = 52	6 - 59	-1.06
Stroop Test Error	8.1 / *n* = 53	0 - 30	15.47
Category Fluency	25.5 / *n* = 52	10 -72	-1.96
Prose Memory delayed recall	5.3 / *n* = 52	0 – 20	-8.44
Rey Figure Copy	24.2 / *n* = 52	3 - 36	-2.46
Rey Figure Recall	5.4 / *n* = 52	0 - 19	-1.72

Table 3. Domain specific anosognosia correlations with cognitive tests of experimental interest

Cognitive test of experimental interest	Memory Anosognosia Correlation / *p* value	Non-Memory Anosognosia Correlation / *p* value	Global Anosognosia Score Correlation / *p* value
MMSE	ρ = -0.563 / *p* = 0.001*	ρ = -0.327 / *p* = 0.035	ρ = -0.525 / *p* = 0.001*
Letter Fluency	ρ = -0.2836 / *p* = 0.067	ρ = 0.104 / *p* = 0.512	ρ = -0.114 / *p* = 0.471
Stroop Test error	ρ = 0.405 / *p* = 0.008	ρ = 0.222 / *p* = 0.157	ρ = 0.352 / *p* = 0.022
Category Fluency	ρ = -0.449 / *p* = 0.003*	ρ = -0.364 / *p* = 0.018	ρ = -0.492 / *p* = 0.001*
Prose Memory delayed recall	ρ = -0.429 / *p* = 0.005*	ρ = -0.386 / *p* = 0.012	ρ = -0.467 / *p* = 0.002*
Rey Figure copy	ρ = -0.292 / *p* = 0.061	ρ = -0.379 / *p* = 0.013	ρ = -0.424 / *p* = 0.005*
Rey Figure recall	ρ = -0.385 / *p* = 0.012	ρ = -0.316 / *p* = 0.042	ρ = -0.419 / *p* = 0.006*

*A *p* value < 0.007 was selected as significant after correction for multiple comparisons. Associations were controlled for age, years of educations and hippocampal fraction as covariates of no interest.

Table 4. Grey matter clusters of significant correlation for total anosognosia score and non-memory anosognosia

	Cluster Extent	FWE-corrected p-	z score	Side	Peak-based localisation	Talairach Coordinates		
						x	y	z
Total Anosognosia Score	3430	0.001	3.70	R	Anterior cingulate	4	26	-10
			3.69	L	Anterior cingulate	0	21	-3
			3.76	L	Thalamus	-4	-29	7
	1803	0.021	4.42	L	Lingual Gyrus	-15	-64	-4
			4.42	L	Fusiform Gyrus	-21	-49	-10
			4.09	L	Fusiform Gyrus	-24	-41	-13
Non-Memory Anosognosia	3320	0.001	5.30	L	Fusiform Gyrus	-28	-40	-17
			5.02	L	Fusiform Gyrus	-32	-53	-11
			4.89	L	Fusiform Gyrus	-26	-47	-10
	1769	0.023	4.53	L	Precentral Gyrus	-21	-19	53
			4.20	L	Precentral Gyrus	-33	-16	60
			4.16	L	Precentral Gyrus	-45	-14	30
	1964	0.014	4.44	R	Anterior Cingulate	4	26	-10
			3.83	R	Anterior Cingulate	9	38	-10
			3.78	L	Anterior Cingulate	-2	19	-1
	3379	0.001	4.44	R	Fusiform Gyrus	28	-38	-17
			4.41	R	Lingual Gyrus	20	-66	-2
			3.96	R	Lingual Gyrus	36	-72	-6
	1832	0.019	4.38	R	Superior Frontal Gyrus	9	-14	63
			3.83	R	Precentral Gyrus	38	-13	59
			3.47	R	Postcentral Gyrus	42	-19	45

L:left; R: right

Figure 1. Regions of significant negative correlation between a) total anosognosia

scores and b) non-memory anosognosia scores and grey matter volume values.