This is a peer-reviewed, accepted author manuscript of the following article: Robertson, S. N., Childs, P. G., Akinbobola, A., Henriquez, F. L., Ramage, G., Reid, S., ... Williams, C. (2020). Reduction of pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation through the application of nanoscale vibration. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, *129*(3), 379-386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2019.09.003

Reduction of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation through the

application of nanoscale vibration

Shaun N Robertson^{1,2,3}, Peter G Childs^{2,4}, Ayorinde Akinbobola¹, Fiona L Henriquez⁵,

Gordon Ramage⁶, Stuart Reid^{2,3}, William G Mackay^{1*}, Craig Williams¹

¹Institute of Healthcare, Policy and Practice, School of Health & Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, High Street, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland, UK

²SUPA, Institute of Thin Films, Sensors and Imaging, School of Engineering and Computing, University of the West of Scotland, High Street, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland, UK

³SUPA, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Strathclyde, 40 George Street, Glasgow G1 1QE, Scotland, UK

⁴Centre for the Cellular Microenvironments (CeMi), School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, G12 8LT, Scotland, UK

⁵Institute of Biomedical and Environmental Health Research, School of Health & Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, High Street, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland, UK

⁶School of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing, MVLS, University of Glasgow, 378 Sauchiehall St, Glasgow G2 3JZ, Scotland, UK

*Corresponding author: William Gordon Mackay, Institute of Healthcare, Policy and Practice, School of Health & Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, High Street, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland, UK. Phone. +44(0) 1418483000 Fax . +44(0) Email: w.mackay@uws.ac.uk.

Keywords: Nanovibration, Biofilm formation, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Extracellular matrix,

nanokicking, mechanotransduction

Short title: P. aeruginosa biofilm and nanoscale vibration

1 Abstract: Bacterial biofilms pose a significant burden in both healthcare and industrial 2 environments. With the limited effectiveness of current biofilm control strategies, novel or 3 adjunctive methods in biofilm control are being actively pursued. Reported here, is the first 4 evidence of the application of nanovibrational stimulation ("nanokicking") to reduce the 5 biofilm formation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nanoscale vertical displacements (circa. 60 6 nm) were imposed on *P. aeruginosa* cultures, with a significant reduction in biomass 7 formation observed at frequencies between 200 to 4000 Hz at 24 h. The optimal reduction of 8 biofilm formation was observed at 1 kHz, with changes in the physical morphology of the 9 biofilms. Scanning electron microscope imaging of control and biofilms formed under 10 nanovibrational stimulation gave indication of a reduction in extracellular matrix (ECM). 11 Quantification of the carbohydrate and protein components of the ECM was performed and 12 showed a significant reduction at 24 h at 1 kHz frequency. To model the forces being exerted by nanovibrational stimulation, laser interferometry was performed to measure the 13 14 amplitudes produced across the Petri dish surfaces. Estimated peak forces on each cell, 15 associated with the nanovibrational stimulation technique, were calculated to be in the order 16 of 10 pN during initial biofilm formation. This represents a potential method of controlling microbial biofilm formation in a number of important settings in industry and medical related 17 18 processes.

20 Introduction

It is estimated that over 80% of the world's microbial biomass exists in a biofilm state (1).
These microbial biofilms represent the preferred mode of growth of bacteria, yeasts,
filamentous fungi and protists (2, 3). A microbial biofilm can briefly be described as a
consortium of cells enclosed in a self-derived extracellular polymeric substance (EPS),
interspersed with water channels, and attached to a surface or each other (4, 5). This enclosed

26 consortium of cells has a greater capacity to resist environmental stresses, and is important as
27 a microbial survival strategy (6).

28 Clinically, the role of biofilms may have been underestimated, but recent guidance aims to 29 correct this (7), as it has been estimated that biofilms account for between 65-75% of all 30 infections (8, 9). The transition of planktonic cells to a biofilm community, confers with it a 31 vastly increased tolerance to antibiotics (10) and disinfectants (11). As well as being a 32 survival mechanism for microorganisms, it is possible that organisms growing within a biofilm may be more virulent. In blood stream infections (BSI) a biofilm phenotype has been 33 34 associated with a higher mortality rate in contrast to planktonic cells (12), possibly due to 35 dispersal of cells from the biofilm (13). Biofilm studies investigating the capacity of clinical 36 isolates to form biofilms have grouped strains of the same species into low and high biofilm 37 formers, with the latter being shown to have an increased pathogenicity and resistance; this 38 effect has been demonstrated in both bacteria (14, 15) and fungi (16).

39 Within the wider environment, biofilm formation can lead to food spoilage and

40 contamination of food processes resulting in significant financial costs (17). Industrial

41 processes that involve pipelines can suffer significant degradation over time due to microbial

42 influenced corrosion. This is mediated by biofilm formation on the inner surface of the pipe,

43 leading to fouling and corrosion of iron and steel alloys (18, 19).

44 It is well known that eukaryotic cells can respond to mechanical stress and convert these 45 mechanical stimuli into an electrical or biochemical response, a process termed 46 mechanotransduction (20). A recent review has highlighted the multitude of mechanical 47 forces that bacteria can experience when attached to surfaces (21). Yet, our understanding of the response of bacteria to these mechanical forces is less well formed than that of eukaryotic 48 49 cells. Existing studies have investigated microbial biofilm formation in response to surface acoustic waves (SAWs) induced vibration (22) and acoustic induced vibration (23). SAW 50 51 induced vibration was effective in reducing the bacterial burden in Foley catheters whereas 52 acoustic vibration was demonstrated to increase biofilm formation of *Pseudomonas* 53 aeruginosa in Petri dishes.

The application of nanovibrational stimulation by use of the reverse piezo effect to control cell behaviour has been described in a recent review (24). Using this method, precise control of experimental parameters can be achieved which are independent of shear flow, produces negligible heat and minimises variability in the displacements applied across the surface of the Petri dishes (25). Nanovibrational stimulation has previously been applied to endothelial LEII cells (26) and mesenchymal stem cells (24-29). Here, we report the first study of nanovibrational stimulation on the formation of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

61 Materials and methods

62 Nanovibrational apparatus

The nanovibrational stimulation apparatus was nominally identical to that used by both
Nikukar and Curtis (25, 26). To perform experiments with 35 mm Petri dishes, six aluminium
support discs were cut to 32 mm diameter, 3 mm thickness, polished (to ensure a smooth
bonding surface). Six 35 mm tissue culture treated polystyrene Petri dishes (Corning, UK)
were bonded onto the six aluminium discs using Loctite 2-part epoxy (Loctite, Hempstead,

68 UK). Each cultureware assembly was subsequently bonded to a piezo transducer (model no. 69 010-05H Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe/Palmbach, Germany) by application of a non-70 solvent glue (Bostik, UK). The transducers provided the required nanoscale amplitudes when 71 driven by a continuous sine wave output from a GWINSTEK AFG-2005 arbitrary function 72 generator (Good Will Instrument Euro B.V., Netherlands). The functionality of the 73 piezo/cultureware assemblies was verified by incrementally driving each one at an audible 74 frequency, *i.e.* 5 kHz, and listening to the audible output generated. The final set-up of the 75 nanovibrational stimulation apparatus is shown in Figure 1.

76 Laser interferometry and force estimation

Nanoscale amplitudes were measured by laser interferometry as previously described (25).
Measurements were taken at the centre and edge of 35 mm Petri dishes, measurements were
performed on 3 separate Petri dishes. An estimation of the maximum force exerted due to
nanovibrational stimulation was calculated as previously described (27). The average
amplitudes measured at each frequency were used to calculate the maximum force exerted, as
there were slightly variations in the amplitudes produced.

83 Culture conditions, standardisation and experimental conditions

84 Pseudomonas aeruginosa type strain NCTC 10332 (P. aeruginosa 10332) was used for all 85 work in this study. All working stocks of P. aeruginosa 10332 were maintained at 4°C on Lysogeny broth agar (Oxoid, Cambridge, UK). P. aeruginosa 10332 was propagated in 86 87 Lysogeny broth (LB [Oxoid, Cambridge, UK]). P. aeruginosa 10332 was propagated in LB 88 for 16 h at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. The culture was then washed by centrifugation 89 (1,600 x g), resuspended in 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) twice then adjusted to an 90 OD_{570nm} corresponding to 1 x10⁸ CFU/mL. A working inoculum was prepared in LB broth at 1 x10⁵ CFU/mL. Sterile LB (1 mL) was added to each Petri dish before addition of 1 mL of 91

the *P. aeruginosa* 10332 inoculum, giving a final inoculum of 5 x10⁴ CFU/mL. LB without addition of inoculum was used as a negative growth control. The nanovibrational stimulation apparatus was incubated at 37°C for 24 h in air, with the signal generator connected via crocodile clips to the piezo actuators terminal wires. In all experiments a driving potential of 20 V peak to peak (pk-pk) was used producing a *circa* 60 nm displacement. Alteration of frequency was performed by changing the input frequency via the digital control panel of the function generator.

99 Quantifying biofilm biomass

100 Filtered crystal violet (CV [Fisher, UK]) was prepared to a 0.1% w/v solution in deionised 101 water (dH₂O). At the experimental end time point the nanovibrational stimulation apparatus 102 was removed from the incubator and the Petri dishes detached from the aluminium support 103 discs. Once detached the supernatants were aspirated and the biofilm was washed twice with 104 1x PBS to remove non-adherent cells. One millilitre of 0.1% w/v CV was added to each Petri 105 dish including the media-only control. Petri dishes were then incubated at room temperature 106 for 15 min. Excess CV stain was removed by washing in dH₂O until subsequent washes did 107 not remove any further excess staining. To quantify the bound CV, 80% v/v ethanol was 108 added, and Petri dishes gently rocked to allow full solubilisation of the bound CV. This 109 procedure was repeated for all experimental conditions, controls and media only control. To a 110 96 multi-well plate (Corning, UK), 100 µl of the solubilised CV was transferred from the 111 Petri dish in triplicate. The 96 multi-well plate was then read at OD_{595nm} using an Infinite 112 F200 Pro plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd, Switzerland).

113 Enumeration of colony forming units

At end point Petri dishes were removed from the aluminium disc and washed twice with 1x
PBS. To disrupt the biofilm, 1 mL of 1x PBS was added to a petri dish and sealed with parafilm.

116 Sonication was performed for 10 min at 15 kHz, with subsequent disrupted and detached biofilm in 1x PBS transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube. To ensure full disruption and 117 detachment of the biofilm, the Petri dish was stained with CV, full disruption occurring when 118 119 the CV staining of the Petri dish was negative. To enumerate the colony forming units (CFU) 120 the Miles and Misra method was performed (30). Serial decimal dilutions were performed in 121 1x PBS and 20 µl plated on LB agar in triplicate for each dilution. LB agar plates were inverted 122 and incubated at 37°C for 24 h, following which the CFU was calculated by counting the 123 colonies at the easiest to count dilution ($\sim 20 - 60$ colonies).

124 Live/dead biofilm imaging

125 Following test conditions Petri dishes were removed from aluminium discs, as previously described. Supernatant was aspirated, and biofilms washed twice with PBS. A live/dead 126 staining solution was prepared using the LIVE/DEAD[®] BacLight[™] Bacterial Viability Kit 127 for microscopy & quantitative assays (Invitrogen, UK). Briefly, 1 µl of SYTO[®]9 and 128 129 propidium iodide were added per 1 mL of dH₂O. To each Petri dish 1 mL of staining 130 solution was added, Petri dishes were then incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. The staining solution was aspirated, and the biofilm washed twice with dH₂O to 131 halt any residual staining. Biofilms were imaged using an EVOS® FL (Life Technologies, 132 133 UK) all in one fluorescent microscope. Fluorescent images were obtained using the GFP (470/22, 510/42) and Texas Red[®] (585/29, 624/40) lightcubes (Life Technologies, UK). 134

135 SEM analysis

136 Biofilms with (1 kHz applied on inoculation) and without nanovibrational stimulation were

137 grown for 24 h at 37°C as previously detailed. Biofilms were washed twice with 1x PBS and

138 fixed with a 2% w/v para-formaldehyde, 2% v/v glutaraldehyde, 0.15 M sodium cacodylate,

and 0.15% Alcian Blue (pH 7.4) solution, and prepared for SEM as described by (31), with

140 modification of counter staining process by addition of 1 mL 0.5% w/v uranyl acetate for 1 h, 141 at room temperature in the dark. Following which, progressive dehydration steps were 142 performed with increasing concentrations of ethanol (EtOH), twice for 5 min for each (30% 143 v/v, 50% v/v, 70% v/v, and 90% v/v). Dehydration by absolute and dried absolute EtOH was 144 performed 4x 5 min. Following dehydration steps, substrates were critically dried by addition 145 of hexamethyldisilazane (HDMS) twice and stored in a desiccator overnight. Fixed and dried biofilms were sputter coated with 5 nm of gold using an EMSscope SC500 sputter coater 146 147 (EMS, UK). Examination of samples were performed on a Hitachi S-4100 scanning electron 148 microscope under vacuum, operated at 10 kV.

149 Quantification of ECM components

150 Biofilms with (1 kHz applied on inoculation) and without nanovibrational stimulation were 151 grown for 24 h following which the supernatant was aspirated and biofilms were washed with 152 1x PBS twice to remove non-adherent cells. Biofilms were harvested in 1 mL 1x PBS and 153 disrupted by scraping with a cell scraper. Biofilms samples were stored at -20° C for a 154 maximum of 1 week prior to processing. Biofilms samples were fully thawed and homogenised by a combination of vortexing and pipetting. Biofilm samples were $0.2 \ \mu m$ 155 156 filtered and the resultant eluent aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes. To measure the protein 157 content of the biofilm samples the Bradford assay was performed (32) with bovine serum 158 albumin (BSA) as a standard. To measure the carbohydrate content of the biofilm samples, an 159 optimised phenol-sulfuric acid method with glucose standards was performed (33).

160 Visualisation of potential lateral force production

161 To visualise any potential production of shear flow, 5 μ l of dye composition (30% v/v

- 162 glycerol, 0.25% w/v bromophenol blue and 0.25% w/v xylene cyanol), was added
- 163 concurrently to 2 Petri dishes, with and without nanokicking. A time lapse video was

164 recorded of the dye dispersal, and the experiment repeated in triplicate. Videos were exported 165 and converted to JPG stills using Paxillion Free Image converter software (Softonic, Spain). 166 To quantify the dispersal of the dye, images at 10 s intervals were assessed for the diameter 167 of dispersal in AxioVision V4.8 (Zeiss, Switzerland). Diameter measurements were taken in 168 4 aspects to average and account for non-uniform dispersal of the dye. Rate of dispersal was 169 calculated at 10 second intervals over a time course of 0 - 100 seconds. Linear regression 170 curve analysis was performed on the dispersion of a dye over time. Linear function lines were 171 plotted when there was no significant difference between the control and nanokicking 172 replicates.

173 Statistical analysis

174 All data were assessed for normality using a Shapiro–Wilk test. For assessing the statistical 175 significance of the alteration of frequency and time of application of nanovibrational 176 stimulation, a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc correction was performed. For 177 assessing the statistical significance of observed alteration of biofilm formation kinetic, 178 comparison of CFUs and components of the biofilm matrix a student t-test was performed. In 179 all experiments, statistical significance was achieved when p < 0.05. Data were exported from 180 the Infinite F200 Pro plate reader to Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, USA). Assessment of 181 normality, statistical analysis and plotting of data was performed in GraphPad Prism 7.0 182 (GraphPad Software Inc, USA).

183 **Results**

184 Laser interferometry and modelling of maximum force on *P. aeruginosa* cells

185 Validation of the cultureware assembly was quantified by measurement of the displacements

186 generated by nanovibrational stimulation using a SIOS laser interferometer (Figure 2A).

187 Displacement was observed to increase linearly with increased pk-pk voltage supplied. The

188 theoretical force exerted by the nanovibrational stimulation on a single *P. aeruginosa* cells

189 can be mathematical calculated using Newton's second law, that of force (F) being 190 determined by the mass (m) times acceleration (a) (26, 27). In this case the mass refers to the 191 column of fluid directly above each cell, with the peak acceleration being $A_0(2\pi f)^2$, where f is 192 frequency and A₀ is the vibration amplitude (note that this is half of the total peak to peak 193 displacement). An estimate for this mass is determined by the average surface area of a P. 194 aeruginosa cell being 1 µm x 5 µm, with an aqueous column of culture media extending 2 mm above (with the density of water used to calculate this mass). Peak values due to 195 196 acceleration during vibration are calculated as described in the papers by Curtis and Nikukar 197 (26, 27). Figure 2B shows the modelled peak force exerted per single cell of *P. aeruginosa* 198 due to nanovibrational stimulation at frequencies of 100, 200, 400, 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 199 Hz with a 20 V pk - pk driving potential. Peak forces of 0.4 pN, 1.9 pN, 2.9 pN, 11.7 pN, 200 42.9 pN and 133.5 pN were calculated respectively.

201

202 Effect of altering the frequency and time of application of nanovibrational stimulation on 203 *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation

Previous literature has demonstrated that vibrating a surface can alter biofilm formation. A range of frequencies from 10 Hz to 4 kHz was examined to determine if nanovibrational stimulation alters *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation. No reduction in biomass at 24 h was observed at frequencies of 10 and 100 Hz (p > 0.05) (Figure 3A). A statistically significant reduction in biomass was observed at 24 h, at frequencies of 200 Hz, 400 Hz, 500 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz and 4 kHz (52.5%, 52.8%, 54.0%, 64.0%, 41.6% and 38.9% reduction respectively, *p* < 0.001 one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test).

211

212 It was noted that the reduction in biomass was less at frequencies of 2 and 4 kHz compared to

213 1 kHz, with the reduction at 4 kHz being significantly lower than that of 1 kHz (p < 0.05).

214 The greatest reduction of biomass was observed at 1 kHz, while not significantly different to

the frequencies of 200 Hz, 400 Hz and 500 Hz, it was consistently lower in all biological replicates. Due to limitations of the equipment (limited number of Petri dishes and set-up time) it was decided to focus on one frequency; therefore a frequency of 1 kHz was selected for further investigation.

219

220 Biofilm formation has defined temporal stages initiated from the initial reversible attachment, 221 following which irreversible attachment occurs and ultimately biofilm formation and 222 maturation. To determine if the time of application of the nanovibrational stimulation after 223 inoculation influenced biofilm formation, nanovibrational stimulation was applied 224 continuously at 1 kHz from 0, 2, 4 and 6 h after initial inoculation for a total time of 24 h, e.g. 225 0 h equals 24 h stimulation, 2 h equals 2 h no stimulation and 22 h stimulation. When 226 nanovibrational stimulation was applied from 0 h and 2 h, a significant reduction in the measured biomass at 24 h was observed when compared to the control (50.8% and 57.5%, 227 228 respectively) (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test, p < 0.001; Figure 3B). Application 229 of nanovibrational stimulation from 4 h and 6 h after inoculation resulted in no significant 230 reduction in total biofilm formation when compared to control (one-way ANOVA with

231 Tukey post hoc test, p > 0.05).

232 Effect of nanovibrational stimulation on development kinetic of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm 233 To better understand the observed reduction in biomass at 24 h and the dependence on the 234 time of application of the nanovibrational stimulation, biomass formation kinetics for P. 235 aeruginosa 10332 was performed. Biomass was assessed using the CV biomass assay at 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h with and without nanovibrational stimulation at 1 kHz frequency, 30 nm 236 237 amplitude applied 0 h after inoculation. At 2 h, there was no significant difference between the stimulated P. aeruginosa 10332 and control, but at 4 h P. aeruginosa subjected to 238 239 nanovibrational stimulation showed a significantly lower biomass at OD_{595nm} compared with

240 non-stimulated control of 0.3 and 1, respectively (un-paired student t-test, p < 0.05; Figure 4). 241 Without nanovibrational stimulation the exponential formation of the bacterial biofilm 242 continued to 12 h and plateaued by 24 hours reaching a final average OD_{595nm} of 3.27. With 243 nanovibrational stimulation, exponential biomass formation was not observed through the 244 course of the experiment. At 24 h the biomass was significantly lower at an average OD_{595nm} 245 of 0.94 (un-paired student t-test, p < 0.001).

Nanovibrational stimulation does not reduce the number of cells in the biofilm andplanktonic phase

248 Due to the nature of the CV assay, cells and extracellular matrix are both stained by CV, in 249 addition if there is a lower number of cells adhered to the surface, a lower quantity of 250 extracellular matrix may be produced. To investigate if the reduction in biomass was due to a 251 reduction in the number of cells in the biofilm, Miles and Misra counts were performed to 252 enumerate the number of CFUs. In addition, to determine if nanovibrational stimulation could 253 cause dislodgement of cells from the surface, the planktonic CFU was also investigated. No statistically significant reduction in the CFU/cm² was noted (p > 0.05) between the control 254 $(1.62 \times 10^9 \text{ CFU/cm}^2)$ and stimulated (1 kHz) biofilm (7.99 x 10⁸ CFU/cm²) (Figure 5) at 24 255 256 h. There was a mean 10-fold increased recovery of planktonic P. aeruginosa when comparing the control (6.94 x 10¹⁰ CFU/mL) versus stimulated (6.88 x10⁹ CFU/mL), however this was 257 258 not statistically significant (p = 0.3648). To determine if there was a difference in the total 259 recovered CFU, the planktonic and sessile CFU recovery were combined. This gave a total recovery of 1.46 x 10¹⁰ CFU for the control and 8.44 x 10¹⁰ CFU for the stimulated, this 260 difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.5522, unpaired student t-test). 261

262 Microscopic examination of the effect of nanovibrational stimulation on *P. aeruginosa*263 biofilm architecture

As no statistically significant reduction in cells numbers was noted, live/dead staining was
 performed on 24 h biofilms with and without the application of nanovibrational stimulation to

266 visualise the biofilm. Biofilms formed under nanovibrational stimulation at 1 kHz showed a 267 change in structural architecture and density compared to the control (Figure 6A and B). With 268 increased magnification it was shown that there were regions of sparse microbial coverage 269 compared to the controls (Figure 6C). When the number of dead cells was assessed using 270 propidium iodide (PI) staining, no significant difference (p > 0.05) was observed between the 271 control and stimulated biofilms (supplementary table S1). During visual examination of the 272 1 kHz stimulated biofilms, striated line-like formations were visible; these were interspersed 273 variably across the biofilms, yet they were observed in all technical and biological 274 independent replicates with 1 kHz nanovibrational stimulation. Representative image is 275 shown in Figure 6B & C. When propidium iodide staining was viewed, staining was observed that was concordant with lines observed with the SYTO9® live cell staining (Figure 6B and C 276 277 PI staining), however this did not appear to be stained cells. Collectively, these observations provide visual evidence of an alteration in the biofilm formation structure due to the 1 kHz 278 279 nanovibrational stimulation when compared to the control.

280

281 To further visualise the altered biofilm formation of *P. aeruginosa* and the lower biomass due 282 to nanovibrational stimulation, scanning electron microscopy was performed. Control 283 biofilms had confluent growth with microcolonies evident across the Petri dish surface with 284 ECM being visible, (albeit in a dehydrated state due to the ethanol dehydration method used 285 to prepare the samples) (Fig. S1). Comparison of the control biofilms (Figure 7A & B) versus 286 the 1 kHz biofilms (Figure 7C & D) showed a similar pattern of confluence across the Petri 287 dish surface with a higher confluence in the middle and a lower confluence at the edge. In 288 keeping with the fluorescent imaging, regions of lower density of cells was observed in 1 kHz 289 nanovibrational stimulated biofilms compared to the control (Figure 7A & C).

290

291 Nanovibrational stimulation reduces key matrix components of the ECM of *P. aeruginosa*292 biofilms

293 The reduction in biomass coupled with visual evidence of an altered biofilm structure gives 294 tentative evidence that the ECM produced by *P. aeruginosa* biofilms is reduced due to the 295 nanovibrational stimulation at 1 kHz. To further investigate this hypothesis, quantification of 296 the carbohydrate and protein components of P. aeruginosa 10332 biofilms with and without 297 nanovibrational stimulation was performed. The average protein content of the control biofilms 298 was 19.62 μ g/cm², the average protein content of stimulated biofilms was 6.78 μ g/cm² equating 299 to a 65.4% reduction which was statistically significant (p < 0.0001) (Figure 8). The average 300 carbohydrate content of the control biofilms was 8.42 μ g/cm², the average carbohydrate content of stimulated biofilms was 2.96 μ g/cm², equating to a 64.8% reduction which was statistically 301 significant (p < 0.0001) (Figure 7). 302

303 Discussion

304 This is the first reported demonstration of a reduction in bacterial biofilm formation due to an 305 induction of a vertical nanoscale vibration *circa*, 30 nm amplitude, at a frequency of 200 Hz -306 4 kHz, when applied 0-2 h after inoculation. Biofilm formation can be grouped into a number 307 of key stages: reversible adhesion, irreversible adhesion, proliferation, ECM production and 308 ultimately formation of a mature biofilm (34). The optimal time of application of the 309 nanovibrational stimulus may give an indication that vibration at the nanoscale interferes with 310 the initial attachment of *P. aeruginosa* 10332, as these time periods are known to be within 311 the reversible period of cell attachment to a surface in the current model of biofilm 312 development (34, 35). This would also indicate that the frequencies studied would be ineffective in disrupting pre-formed biofilms. Nanovibrational stimulation, however, cannot 313 314 completely abrogate the adhesion of *P. aeruginosa* as biofilm growth occurs throughout the 24 h growth period, yet the final biomass at 24 h is significantly lower than the controls, 315

316 suggesting an additional effect to reduction of initial adherence. Initial surface interactions 317 have recently been demonstrated to be mediated by the mechanical activity of type IV pili 318 (TFP) in *P. aeruginosa* on short time scales (36). Persat and colleagues have proposed a 319 molecular model for the surface sensing by TFP, whereby the cell encounters the surface and 320 through attachment and retraction of the TFP, tension is exerted on the TFP, this then 321 activates the Chp system, leading to cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) synthesis 322 within the first hour of attachment. TFP retraction forces have been measured to be within the 323 pN range (37), this is within the order of the forces generated by the nanovibrational 324 stimulation at a frequency of 1 kHz (circa 10 pN) (Figure 2). While the forces generated are 325 of the same magnitude it is too early to establish a link between the forces exerted by 326 nanovibrational stimulation and an interaction with the tension forces exerted by TFP.

327 Prior studies using shear flow have demonstrated altered biofilm phenotypes in P. aeruginosa 328 PA01 (38). Turbulent flow resulted in the formation of the streamlined patches that in some 329 cases had ripple-like structures perpendicular to the flow. The intersecting and crossing lines 330 observed (Figure 6) were different to the ripple-like formations produced by turbulent flow in 331 the PA01 study. It is of note that no statistically significant reduction in viable cells with the 332 Live/Dead staining was observed between the stimulated and unstimulated biofilms. 333 Exopolysaccharides have previously been shown to play an important role in biofilm 334 formation and structure (39). In this study, carbohydrate and protein content of the P. 335 aeruginosa 10332 biofilms were significantly reduced due to nanovibrational stimulation at 1 336 kHz. These data give strong indication that the reduction in biomass due to nanovibrational 337 stimulation is as a direct result of a reduction in the carbohydrate and protein content of the 338 biofilm matrix.

339 Acoustic stimulation provided by a speaker has previously been shown to enhance biofilm 340 formation in response to non-uniform micrometre displacements (23). This stimulation 341 method may have promoted accelerated biofilm formation due to clustering of the P. 342 aeruginosa at early time points (concentric rings) leading to potentially higher levels of 343 quorum sensing molecules. In contrast, our results have shown that uniform nanometre scale 344 displacements result in decreased biofilm formation. This may indicate that biofilm formation can be controlled by the uniformity of the stimulation thereby allowing variable control of 345 346 biofilm formation dependent on the application e.g. it may be beneficial to promote biofilm 347 growth for bio-engineering purposes. A proposed hypothesis of how nanovibrational 348 stimulation reduces biofilm formation is that of initial inhibition/delaying of the adherence of 349 P. aeruginosa leading to a reduced quantity of extracellular components of the biofilm being 350 produced *e.g.* delayed attachment leading to a less mature biofilm when compared to the 351 control. It is yet undetermined if molecular mechanisms play a role in this observed 352 mechanism of biofilm reduction.

353 A number of possible confounding factors have also been considered and discounted. It has 354 previously been demonstrated that the rapid expansion and contraction of the piezo ceramic 355 generates negligible heat transfer to the aluminium disc upon which the Petri dish sits (25, 356 28). This means that the effect is unlikely to be due to heating of the culture system (Fig. S2). 357 Shear force mediated effects on adhesion have also been demonstrated in *P. aeruginosa* (40). 358 However, our experimental design minimises the generation of any shear flow by minimising 359 lateral motion of the media, only vertical movement is observed (Fig. S3). The uses of an 360 aluminium disc and Petri dish ensures rigidity and minimises any differential vibration 361 amplitudes, which could also induce shear flow across the growth surface at a frequency of 1 kHz and 30 nm amplitude. 362

In conclusion, we have described a novel method of biofilm control using piconewton forces that does not require the use of antibiotics or other chemical agents. This negates the potential for traditional environmental drug resistance mechanisms that have been shown to translate into clinical treatment failures (41, 42). This effect may have a number of potential applications in combating biofilms in the industrial setting and healthcare setting, but further work is required to fully understand the mechanisms by which nanovibrational stimulation causes this effect.

370 Acknowledgments

371 The authors would like to thank Professor Harry Staines for useful statistical discussion and 372 his interest in this work. We thank Dr Liz Porteous for her assistance in SEM imaging. We 373 also thank Jim Orr for laboratory assistance. We also would like to thank Professor Matthew 374 Dalby for his proof reading of this manuscript. We are grateful for the financial support 375 provided by BBSRC (BB/N012690/1), EPSRC (EP/N012631/1), STFC, SUPA, the Royal 376 Society, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, and the University of the West of Scotland. SNR, 377 WGC & CW designed all experiments, SNR performed all experimental work. PGC provided support with the nanovibrational apparatus. AA provided assistance with biofilm component 378 379 experimental work. All figures were produced by SNR. Manuscript was written through 380 contributions of SNR, PGC, FLH, GR, SR, WGC & CW. All authors have given approval to 381 the final version of the manuscript. Funding sources played no role in the following: design 382 of this study, collection of data, analysis of data, interpretation of data and writing of the 383 report. The authors declare no competing financial interests. The datasets generated are 384 available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

385 **References:**

- Beikler, T. and Flemmig, T. F.: Oral biofilm-associated diseases: trends and implications for quality of life, systemic health and expenditures, Periodontol 2000, 55, 87 - 103 (2000).
- Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P.: Bacterial biofilms: from the Natural environment to infectious diseases, Nature reviews, 2, 95 - 108 (2004).
- 391 3. Siqueira, V. M. and Lima, N.: Biofilm Formation by Filamentous Fungi Recovered
 392 from a Water System, Journal of Mycology, 2013, 9 (2013).
- de Beer, D., Stoodley, P., Roe, F., and Lewandowski, Z.: Effects of biofilm structures on oxygen distribution and mass transport, Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 43, 1131 - 1138 (1994).
- Lawrence, J. R., Korber, D. R., Hoyle, B. D., Costerton, J. W., and Caldwell, D.
 E.: Optical sectioning of microbial biofilms, J Bacteriol, 173, 6558-6567 (1991).
- 398 6. Davey, M. E. and O'Toole G, A.: Microbial biofilms: from ecology to molecular genetics, Microbiol Mol Biol Rev, 64, 847-867 (2000).
- Høiby, N., Bjarnsholt, T., Moser, C., Bassi, G. L., Coenye, T., Donelli, G., HallStoodley, L., Holá, V., Imbert, C., Kirketerp-Møller, K., and other authors:
 ESCMID* guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections 2014, Clinical
 Microbiology and Infection, 21, S1-S25 (2015).
- 404 8. Bryers, J. D.: Medical biofilms, Biotechnol Bioeng, 100, 1-18 (2008).
- 405 9. Davies, D.: Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents, Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2, 114-122 (2003).
- Høiby, N., Bjarnsholt, T., Givskov, M., Molin, S., and Ciofu, O.: Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms, Int J Antimicrob Agents, 35, 322-332 (2010).
- 409 11. Bridier, A., Briandet, R., Thomas, V., and Dubois-Brissonnet, F.: Resistance of bacterial biofilms to disinfectants: a review, Biofouling, 27, 1017-1032 (2011).
- Tumbarello, M., Posteraro, B., Trecarichi, E. M., Fiori, B., Rossi1, Porta, R., de
 Gaetano Donati, K., La Sorda, M., Spanu, T., Fadda, G., Cauda, R., and
 Sanguinetti, M.: Biofilm Production by Candida Species and Inadequate Antifungal
 Therapy as Predictors of Mortality for Patients with Candidemia, Journal of Clinical
 Microbiology, 45, 1843 1850 (2007).
- 416 **P.**, K., Srinivasan, A., 13. Uppuluri, Chaturvedi, A. Banerjee, М., 417 Ramasubramaniam, A. K., Kohler, J. R., Kadosh, D., and Lopez-Ribot, J. L.: 418 Dispersion as an important step in the Candida albicans biofilm developmental cycle, 419 PLoS Pathog, 6, e1000828 (2010).
- 420 14. Cha, J. O., Yoo, J. I., Yoo, J. S., Chung, H. S., Park, S. H., Kim, H. S., Lee, Y. S.,
 421 and Chung, G. T.: Investigation of biofilm formation and its association with the
 422 molecular and clinical characteristics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*,
 423 Osong Public Health Res Perspect, 4, 225-232 (2013).

- 424 15. Sanchez, C. J., Jr., Mende, K., Beckius, M. L., Akers, K. S., Romano, D. R., Wenke,
 425 J. C., and Murray, C. K.: Biofilm formation by clinical isolates and the implications 426 in chronic infections, BMC Infect Dis, 13, 47 (2013).
- 16. Sherry, L., Rajendran, R., Lappin, D. F., Borghi, E., Perdoni, F., Falleni, M., Tosi,
 D., Smith, K., Williams, C., Jones, B., Nile, C. J., and Ramage, G.: Biofilms formed
 by Candida albicans bloodstream isolates display phenotypic and transcriptional
 heterogeneity that are associated with resistance and pathogenicity, BMC
 Microbiology, 14, 1-14 (2014).
- 432 17. Van Houdt, R. and Michiels, C. W.: Biofilm formation and the food industry, a focus
 433 on the bacterial outer surface, J Appl Microbiol, 109, 1117-1131 (2010).
- 434 18.
 435 Schwermer, C. U., Lavik, G., Abed, R. M., Dunsmore, B., Ferdelman, T. G.,
 435 Stoodley, P., Gieseke, A., and de Beer, D.: Impact of nitrate on the structure and
 436 function of bacterial biofilm communities in pipelines used for injection of seawater
 437 into oil fields, Appl Environ Microbiol, 74, 2841-2851 (2008).
- Lenharta, T. R., Duncana, K. E., Beecha, I. B., Sunnera, J. A., Smitha, W.,
 Bonifaya, V., Biria, B., and Suflitaa, J. M.: Identification and characterization of
 microbial biofilm communities associated with corroded oil pipeline surfaces,
 Biofouling, 30, 823-835 (2014).
- 442 20. Martinac, B.: Mechanosensitive ion channels: molecules of mechanotransduction,
 443 Journal of Cell Biology, 117, 2449-2460 (2004).
- Persat, A., Nadell, C. D., Kim, M. K., Ingremeau, F., Siryaporn, A., Drescher, K.,
 Wingreen, N. S., Bassler, B. L., Gitai, Z., and Stone, H. A.: The mechanical world of bacteria, Cell, 161, 988-997 (2015).
- Hazan, Z., Zumeris, J., Jacob, H., Raskin, H., Kratysh, G., Vishnia, M., Dror, N.,
 Barliya, T., Mandel, M., and Lavie, G.: Effective prevention of microbial biofilm
 formation on medical devices by low-energy surface acoustic waves, Antimicrob
 Agents Chemother, 50, 4144-4152 (2006).
- 451 23. Murphy, M. F., Edwards, T., Hobbs, G., Shepherd, J., and Bezombes, F.: Acoustic
 452 vibration can enhance bacterial biofilm formation, J Biosci Bioeng (2016).
- 453 24. Childs, P. G., Boyle, C. A., Pemberton, G. D., Nikukar, H., Curtis, A. S.,
 454 Henriquez, F. L., Dalby, M. J., and Reid, S.: Use of nanoscale mechanical stimulation
 455 for control and manipulation of cell behaviour, Acta Biomater, 34, 159-168 (2016).
- A56 25. Nikukar, H., Reid, S., Tsimbouri, P. M., Riehle, M. O., Curtis, A. S., and Dalby,
 M. J.: Osteogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells by nanoscale mechanotransduction,
 ACS Nano, 7, 2758-2767 (2013).
- 459 26. Curtis, A. S., Reid, S., Martin, I., Vaidyanathan, R., Smith, C. A., Nikukar, H.,
 460 and Dalby, M. J.: Cell interactions at the nanoscale: piezoelectric stimulation, IEEE
 461 Trans Nanobiosci, 12, 247-254 (2013).

- 462 27. Nikukar, H., Childs, P. G., Curtis, A. S. G., Martin, I. W., Riehle, M. O., Dalby,
 463 M. J., and Reid, S.: Production of nanoscale vibration for stimulation of human
 464 mesenchymal stem cells, J Biomed Nanotechnol, 12, 1478-1488 (2016).
- Pemberton, G. D., Childs, P., Reid, S., Nikukar, H., Tsimbouri, P. M., Gadegaard,
 N., Curtis, A. S., and Dalby, M. J.: Nanoscale stimulation of osteoblastogenesis from
 mesenchymal stem cells: nanotopography and nanokicking, Nanomedicine (Lond), 10,
 547-560 (2015).
- Tsimbouri, P. M., Childs, P. G., Pemberton, G. D., Yang, J., Jayawarna, V.,
 Orapiriyakul, W., Burgess, K., González-García, C., Blackburn, G., Thomas, D.,
 and other authors: Stimulation of 3D osteogenesis by mesenchymal stem cells using
 a nanovibrational bioreactor, Nature Biomedical Engineering, 1, 758-770 (2017).
- 473 30. Miles, A. A., Misra, S. S., and Irwin, J. O.: The estimation of the bactericidal power
 474 of the blood, J Hyg (Lond), 38, 732-749 (1938).
- 475 31. Erlandsen, S. L., Kristich, C. J., Dunny, G. M., and Wells, C. L.: High-resolution
 476 Visualization of the Microbial Glycocalyx with Low-voltage Scanning Electron
 477 Microscopy: Dependence on Cationic Dyes, Journal of Histochemistry and
 478 Cytochemistry, 52, 1427-1435 (2004).
- 479 32. Bradford, M. M.: A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding, Analytical biochemistry, 72, 248-254 (1976).
- 482 33. Masuko, T., Minami, A., Iwasaki, N., Majima, T., Nishimura, S.-I., and Lee, Y. C.:
 483 Carbohydrate analysis by a phenol–sulfuric acid method in microplate format,
 484 Analytical Biochemistry, 339, 69-72 (2005).
- 485 34. Bordi, C. and de Bentzmann, S.: Hacking into bacterial biofilms: a new therapeutic challenge, Ann Intensive Care, 1, 19 (2011).
- 487 35. Rasamiravaka, T., Labtani, Q., Duez, P., and El Jaziri, M.: The formation of
 488 biofilms by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a review of the natural and synthetic compounds
 489 interfering with control mechanisms, Biomed Res Int, 2015, 759348 (2015).
- 490 36. Persat, A., Inclan, Y. F., Engel, J. N., Stone, H. A., and Z., G.: Type IV pili mechanochemically regulate virulence factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, PNAS, 492 112, 7563–7568 (2015).
- 493 37. Maier, B., Potter, L., So, M., Long, C. D., Seifert, H. S., and Sheetz, M. P.: Single
 494 pilus motor forces exceed 100 pN, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 99, 16012-16017 (2002).
- 495 38. Purevdorj, B., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P.: Influence of hydrodynamics and cell signaling on the structure and behavior of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms, Appl Environ Microbiol, 68, 4457-4464 (2002).
- 498 39. Ghafoor, A., Hay, I. D., and Rehm, B. H.: Role of exopolysaccharides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation and architecture, Appl Environ Microbiol, 500 77, 5238-5246 (2011).

- 40. Lecuyer, S., Rusconi, R., Shen, Y., Forsyth, A., Vlamakis, H., Kolter, R., and
 502 Stone, H. A.: Shear stress increases the residence time of adhesion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Biophys J, 100, 341-350 (2011).
- Forsberg, K. J., Reyes, A., Wang, B., Selleck, E. M., Sommer, M. O., and Dantas,
 G.: The shared antibiotic resistome of soil bacteria and human pathogens, Science, 337,
 1107-1111 (2012).
- Howard, S. J. and Arendrup, M. C.: Acquired antifungal drug resistance in
 Aspergillus fumigatus: epidemiology and detection, Med Mycol, 49 Suppl 1, S90-95
 (2011).
- 510

512 Figure 1 – Nanovibrational stimulation apparatus. Example of a typical set-up with 35mm

- 513 diameter Petri dishes attached. The Petri dish is mounted on an aluminium disc, which
- 514 provides support to the Petri dish allowing uniform displacement across the entire surface
- area. The Petri dish with aluminium disc is then attached to the piezo then to the large
- aluminium block underneath, this ensures that the expansion of the piezo results in upwardsmovement of the Petri dish.
- 518
- 519 Figure 2 Amplitude response to driving potential and frequency with peak force estimation.
- 520 (A) Petri dish amplitude as a function of piezo driving potential. Interferometry was performed 521 at a range of frequencies measured at the surface of the Petri dish. Measured amplitudes were
- 522 linearly correlated to driving potential (B) The maximum force exerted due to acceleration as
- 523 a result of nanovibrational stimulation was calculated using Newton's second law, based on 524 the maximum amplitude measured by interferometry for each frequency, calculated from 525 interferometry data. Data are mean \pm SD. n = 3.
- 526

527 Figure 3 – *P. aeruginosa* biomass formation is dependent on both frequency and time of

- application of nanovibrational stimulation. (A) The effect of altering the frequency of the
- 529 nanovibrational stimulus was performed and the resultant final biomass at 24 h was
- 530 quantified by CV assay. Frequencies of 200 Hz through to 4 kHz were effective in reducing
- biomass formation at 24 h. (B) Nanovibrational stimulation at 1 kHz was applied at specified
 periods after inoculation (0, 2, 4 & 6 h) as indicated on the graph. Resultant biomass at 24 h
- was quantified by CV assay. A significant reduction in biomass formed was observed when
 nanovibrational stimulation was applied at 0 & 2 h post inoculation but not at 4 & 6 h port
- inoculation. Data are mean \pm SD. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test, *** p < 0.001, p < 0.05, n = 3.
- 537

Figure 4 - Biofilm formation kinetic of *P. aeruginosa* 10332 with (dashed) and without nanovibrational stimulation. *P. aeruginosa* 10332 was inoculated in LB broth at 5 x 10⁴ CFU/mL and 2 mL seeded to each Petri dish on the nanokicking set-up. Nanokicking set-up was incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A Petri dish was removed at the respective time points, washed with 1x PBS, and crystal violet staining performed. Bound CV was desaturated with 80% v/v ethanol and 100 µl transferred to a 96 well flat bottom microtitre plate (n = 6). Data are mean \pm SD. Unpaired student t-test, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, n = 3.

545

546 Figure 5 – Microbial count determination disrupted biofilm and supernatants.

547 Nanovibrational stimulation at a frequency of 1 kHz was applied after inoculation (0 h).

- 548 Biofilms were disrupted by combination of sonication and cell scraping. Disrupted biofilm
- 549 was resuspended in 1 mL PBS and Miles and Misra CFU counts performed. Resultant
- 550 CFU/mL for biofilms were then adjusted to CFU/cm². (circle) = Control, (square) =
- stimulated 1 kHz. Data are mean \pm SD, n = 3.
- 552

553 Figure 6 – Nanovibrational stimulation alters *P. aeruginosa* 10332 biofilm architecture.

- Representative images obtained on EVOS[®] FL all in one microscope. Syto9 (green live) and PI (dead - red) images obtained at same fluorescent intensity and combined (merged). (A) Unstimulated controls, scale bar = 400 μm (B) 1 kHz stimulation non-uniform biofilm formation was observed, scale bar 400 μm (C) 1 kHz stimulation, unusual biofilm features were observed, scale bar = 200 μm.
- 559
- 560

- 561 Figure 7 SEM evaluation of control and 1 kHz nanovibrational stimulated *P. aeruginosa*
- 562 10332 biofilms. Representative SEM images of *P. aeruginosa* 10332. Control biofilm (A)
- 563 centre of Petri dish and (B) edge of Petri dish. Nanovibrationally stimulated biofilm 1 kHz
- 564 (C) centre of Petri dish, (D) edge of Petri dish. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$. 565
- 566 Figure 8 Nanovibrational stimulation significantly reduces the protein and carbohydrate
- 567 content of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm ECM. Quantification of protein and carbohydrate content of
- 568 control and stimulated (1 kHz) P. aeruginosa biofilms performed by Bradford assay and
- 569 phenol-sulfuric acid assay respectively. Data are mean \pm SD, *** p < 0.0001, n = 3.
- 570











596 597 598 Figure 7



