- 1 Title page
- 2 CHALLENGES OF INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL IN SCOTTISH LONG-
- 3 TERM CARE FACILITIES
- 4 **Abbreviated Title:** Challenges of infection control in care homes
- 5 Miss Le Khanh Ngan Nguyen (MPH), Dr. Itamar Megiddo (Ph.D.), and Prof. Susan
- 6 Howick (Ph.D.)
- 7 Affiliations
- 8 Department of Management Science, Cathedral Wing, Strathclyde Business School,
- 9 University of Strathclyde, 199 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, G4 0QU, United Kingdom.
- 10 Corresponding authors
- 11 Ms. Le Khanh Ngan Nguyen
- 12 Email addresses of authors
- 13 Ms. Le Khanh Ngan Nguyen: nguyen-le-khanh-ngan@strath.ac.uk
- 14 Dr. Itamar Megiddo: <u>itamar.megiddo@strath.ac.uk</u>
- 15 Prof Susan Howick: <u>susan.howick@strath.ac.uk</u>
- Word count: 1524 words

Body Text

18

Residents living in long-term care facilities (LTCFs) are at high risk of contracting 19 healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). The unique operational and cultural 20 characteristics of LTCFs and the currently evolving models of healthcare delivery in 21 Scotland create great challenges for infection prevention and control (IPC). Existing 22 23 literature that discusses the challenges of infection control in LTCFs focuses on operational factors within a facility and have not explored the challenges associated with 24 higher levels of management and the lack of evidence to support IPC practices in this 25 setting.¹⁻⁷ This work aims to provide a broader view of challenges faced by LTCFs in the 26 context of the current health and social care models in Scotland. Many of the challenges 27 are also faced in the rest of the UK and internationally. 28 The mismatch between demand and funding for health and social care provided in 29 Scottish LTCFs, which is also confronted in other parts of the UK, is likely to negatively 30 impact the priority of IPC, which is a key element for safe care (Table 1). Most LTCFs in 31 Scotland serve a mix of state-funded and self-funded residents.8 Councils and National 32 Health Service (NHS) boards in Scotland who fund nursing and personal care services 33 34 provided in LTCFs for entitled residents are encountering climbing financial pressures because of an aging population with increasingly complex health and social care 35 needs.⁹ Currently, the shortfall in public funding (UK-wide) for LTCFs is around 5-10%, 36 equivalent to approximately £200-300 million.8 The facilities that are most exposed to 37 local authority funded residents are most affected. As a result, they have to charge self-38 funded residents higher fees to maintain provision of services. Additionally, the shift to 39 more sustainable models of health and social care, which reduce costs, have sufficient 40

staff with the right skills in place, and meet growing demand, is not occurring rapidly enough to address this issue. The agenda of cutting health and social care budgets and the difficulty in agreeing on integrated budgets between councils and NHS boards also obstruct the shift of resources to non-NHS settings such as LTCFs. Furthermore, due to lower thresholds in the financial assessment for eligibility to access publicly funded health and social care, fewer people can benefit from nursing and care services provided in LTCFs. The financial restriction to access timely and appropriate care in LTCFs has led to an increase in avoidable infections and increased uses of NHS services among people aged 65 and over. 10 Due to restricted financial resources, the Scottish government is more likely to prioritise other health and social care needs for the growing aged population than investing to implement improved models of IPC practice. Service providers of LTCF, of which the majority are in the private sector, may also not be keen to prioritize IPC over other nursing and care services that improve resident satisfaction more directly. Significant staffing shortage and high turnover of staff can reduce compliance to IPC practices and make it more difficult and costly to provide IPC training, thereby promoting the spread of HAIs. The 2017 survey data from Scottish Social Care Councils, Care Inspectorate and Scottish Care estimated that the nurse vacancy rate for LTCFs is at 14-20% and two-thirds of the facilities are struggling to recruit nurses as they have to compete with the NHS that offers better terms and conditions and career development opportunities. 11,12 Migration policies, including the decision to retain the Minimum Salary Threshold at £30,000 for applicants seeking a Tier 2 visa and the minimum salary threshold requirement for permanent residence (£35,000) also prevent the recruitment

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

of HCWs from overseas to fill the workforce gaps in LTCFs. 11 HCWs working in this setting, even those with many years of post-qualification experiences, often earn less than £30,000. Additionally, the possibility of limited European Union migration following Brexit may exacerbate the pressure of scarce HCWs, both in general and in LTCFs, by a projected shortfall of more than 70,000 nursing and social care workers by 2025.¹³ The shortage of HCWs, which causes heavier workload, increased time pressure, and stress, is associated with lower compliance to IPC interventions and standards and the resulting increased spread of HAIs. 14,15 Nurse shortage has also been considered as one of the main factors that constrain healthcare facilities' capability to handle possible future threats such as outbreaks and epidemics.3 In addition, the insufficient number of HCWs in LTCFs hinders the implementation of many IPC procedures such as screening and surveillance. The perception of unsafe working conditions in LTCFs caused by staffing shortfalls also impedes the retention of qualified HCWs in this setting, worsening the current situation. 15 Besides the staffing shortage, high turnover rates of HCWs in LTCFs and the reliance on temporary employees can undermine efforts to implement IPC policies and provide IPC education and training to HCWs in this setting. The annual turnover rate of 33.8% for nursing and care workers in LTCFs, is substantially higher than the rate of 6.4% for NHS staff. 12,16 These high staffing turnover rates imply that LTCFs would have to bear additional costs to provide more frequent inservice training sessions on IPC practices and ensure that new staff are familiar with the facility's IPC practice protocols and annual IPC programs. The heterogeneity of LTCFs and their resident populations makes it difficult and complicated to establish regional or national guidelines for IPC approaches in this

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

setting. The heterogeneity in ownership across Scottish LTCFs¹⁷ creates variations in services provided, operational structures, business plans, and budgets which affect the development of annual IPC programs in LTCFs. Although some NHS Boards across Scotland had set IPC guidelines and policies prior to the introduction of the Final Standards for infection control in LTCFs in 2005, they were not consistent and regulatory substances were not established.¹⁷ The Standards focus on addressing the operational structures and processes in LTCFs with the provision of audit tools for selfauditing in order to support effective IPC, rather than providing direct guidance on the best IPC practices in this setting. 18 Nonetheless, a period of almost 15 years of implementation has not guaranteed consistency in compliance with the Final Standards. In fact, compliance rates to the Standards remain low. For example, Standard 2 requires that LTCFs have an Infection Control Group that endorses all IPC policies/quidelines/procedures and provides advice and support for implementing and monitoring the progress of annual IPC programs. However, a low compliance rate to Standard 2 was evident as internal or external infection control committees were available in less than a third of LTCFs (27.5%).¹⁷ Clearly, there is no easy solution for IPC in this setting and the establishment of the Finals Standards is just a starting point Most evidence that guides IPC practice and decisions implemented in LTCFs is adapted from IPC validated in hospitals, despite evidence in one setting not directly translating to the other. For example, the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual (NIPCM) is a practice guide mandatory for Scottish NHS employees to follow in order to reduce the risk of HAIs.¹⁹ Although it is considered as the best IPC practice in LTCFs, the suitability and practicality of this manual and the extent to which staff in this setting

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

comply have neither been examined nor reported. Additionally, this manual only covers basic IPC practices such as hand hygiene, safe management of equipment and environment, and the use of personal protective equipment but other IPC measures such as surveillance, screening, and decolonization are not included. Effectiveness of IPC interventions, programs, and program components have not been rigorously evaluated in LTCFs^{20,21} due to challenges of conducting research in this setting.²² IPC strategies and policies used in hospitals may not be appropriate or effective to address the distinct problems of HAIs in an LTCF environment which serves as both a healthcare setting and a residential home because of the difference in infrastructure, management and culture between LTCFs and acute care settings. For example, isolation and contact precautions are considered effective and commonly used IPC interventions in hospitals, however, they may not be preferable measures in LTCFs where social interaction is important for resident welfare. 23,24 Additionally, residents in LTCFs are not only at as high a risk of contracting HAIs from HCWs as patients in acute care settings but also via frequent contacts in communal areas with other residents and visitors. As a consequence, interventions such as hand hygiene that target HCWs alone may not be sufficiently effective to control the spread of HAIs but require the active participation of residents and visitors. Prevention and control of HAIs in LTCFs is complicated and faces several challenges. Although they have been discussed in the context of the Scottish health and social care system, the rest of the UK and other countries across the globe are facing similar challenges. Apart from the barriers caused by unique operational and cultural

characteristics of LTCFs, other issues that challenge IPC in this setting originate from

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

gaps in knowledge and resources which the entire Scottish health and social care system confronts and cannot be addressed by individual facilities. Therefore, a broad picture of challenges in IPC in this setting is useful to find effective solutions that can both improve IPC practices and uphold the comfort and quality of life for LTCF residents.

- 139 Acknowledgments:
- 140 Financial support: This work was funded by the University of Strathclyde as part of
- 141 LKNN's doctoral project.

143

142 **Conflict of interest:** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this relevant.

References

- 145 1. Yoshikawa TT, Reyes BJ, Ouslander JG. Sepsis in Older Adults in Long-Term
- 146 Care Facilities: Challenges in Diagnosis and Management. *Journal of the*
- 147 American Geriatrics Society. 2019.
- 148 2. Dumyati G, Stone ND, Nace DA, Crnich CJ, Jump RL. Challenges and strategies
- for prevention of multidrug-resistant organism transmission in nursing homes.
- 150 Current infectious disease reports. 2017;19(4):18.
- 151 3. Travers J, Herzig CT, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, et al. Perceived barriers to
- infection prevention and control for nursing home certified nursing assistants: a
- 153 qualitative study. *Geriatric Nursing*. 2015;36(5):355-360.
- 4. Mavrodaris A, Sibal B, McKerr C, Hawker J. Outbreak of acute respiratory
- infection in a care home for the vulnerable elderly: investigation, management
- and challenges. *Public health.* 2014;128(10):944-947.
- 157 5. Montoya A, Mody L. Common infections in nursing homes: a review of current
- issues and challenges. *Aging health.* 2011;7(6):889-899.
- 6. Longo DR, Young J, Mehr D, Lindbloom E, Salerno LD. Barriers to timely care of
- acute infections in nursing homes: a preliminary qualitative study. *Journal of the*
- 161 American Medical Directors Association. 2002;3(6):360-365.
- 7. Nicolle LE. Preventing infections in non-hospital settings: long-term care.
- 163 Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2001;7(2):205.
- 164 8. CMA. Care homes market study: Final report. London: Competition & Markets
- 165 Authority;2017.

- 9. Audit Scotland. Changing models of health and social care. Edinburgh: Audit
- Scotland; 2016. Available from: http://www.audit-
- scotland.gov.uk/uploads/docs/report/2016/nr_160310_changing_models_care.pd
- 169 <u>f</u>.
- 170 10. Thorlby R, Starling A, Broadbent C, Watt T. What's the problem with social care,
- and why do we need to do better? UK: The Health Foundation, the Institute for
- Fiscal Studies, The King's Fund and the Nuffield Trust;2018.
- 173 11. Shortage Occupations List 2018/9 Call for Evidence: Scottish Government
- 174 Response for Health and Social Care. Edinburgh: The Scottish
- Government;2019.
- 176 12. Thorlby R, Starling A, Broadbent C, Watt T. What's the problem with social care,
- and why do we need to do better? *London: The King's Fund.* 2018.
- 13. Dayan M. General election 2017: Getting a Brexit deal that works for the NHS.
- 179 *Nuffield Trust Briefing.* 2017:2017-2005.
- 180 14. Burnett E. Effective infection prevention and control: the nurse's role. *Nursing*
- 181 Standard (2014+). 2018;33(4):68.
- 182 15. Stone PW, Clarke SP, Cimiotti J, Correa-de-Araujo R. Nurses' working
- conditions: implications for infectious disease. *Emerging infectious diseases.*
- 184 2004;10(11):1984.
- 185 16. Scotland A. Scotland's NHS Workforce. The current picture. *Edinburgh Retrieved*
- 186 December. 2017;1:2017.
- 187 17. National Services Scotland. National Point Prevalence Survey of Healthcare
- Associated Infection and Antimicrobial Prescribing in Long Term Care Facilities,

- 2017. Glasgow: Health Protection Scotland. 2017. Available from:
- 190 https://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/web-resources-container/national-point-prevalence-
- 191 <u>survey-of-healthcare-associated-infection-and-antimicrobial-prescribing-in-long-</u>
- term-care-facilities-2017/.
- 193 18. NHS Scotland. Infection control in adult care homes: Final standards. In.
- 194 Edinburgh: Scottish Executive; 2005.
- 195 19. National infection prevention and control manual. In: NHS, ed. Scotland: Health
- 196 Protection Scotland; 2015.
- 197 20. Hughes C, Tunney M, Bradley MC. Infection control strategies for preventing the
- transmission of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in nursing
- homes for older people. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews.*
- 200 2013(11):Cd006354.
- 201 21. Uchida M, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, Smith PW, Larson E. Infection prevention in
- long-term care: a systematic review of randomized and nonrandomized trials.
- Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2013;61(4):602-614.
- 204 22. Lam HR, Chow S, Taylor K, et al. Challenges of conducting research in long-term
- care facilities: a systematic review. *BMC geriatrics*. 2018;18(1):242.
- 206 23. Furuno JP, Krein S, Lansing B, Mody L. Health care worker opinions on use of
- isolation precautions in long-term care facilities. *American journal of infection*
- 208 *control.* 2012;40(3):263-266.
- 209 24. Cohen CC, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, Herzig CT, et al. Infection prevention and
- control in nursing homes: a qualitative study of decision-making regarding
- isolation-based practices. *BMJ quality* & safety. 2015;24(10):630-636.

Table

212

213

214

Table 1: Summary of the challenges of infection prevention and control, the causes and impacts of these challenges in Scottish long-term care facilities

Challenges	Causes	Impacts	
		mpacte	
Mismatch	Aging population with	 Low priority for improving 	
between demand	increasingly complex health	infection prevention and	
and funding for	and social care needs	control (IPC) practices over	
health and social	Delay in shifting to more	other nursing and care	
care.	sustainable models of health	services	
	and social care	Restricted access to publicly	
	Reduced health and social	funded health and social	
	care budgets	care, leading to increases in	
	Difficulty in shifting resources	avoidable infections	
	from the NHS to non-NHS		
	settings		
Staffing shortage	Competition with the NHS for	Heavier workload, increased	
	staff	time pressure, leading to low	
	 Migration policies for 	compliance to IPC standards	
	healthcare workers	and measures	
	• Brexit	 Reduced capability to handle 	
		threats such as outbreaks or	
		epidemics	

High turnover of	•	Less attractive working terms	•	Less familiar with the
staff		and conditions and career		facilities' IPC protocols and
		development opportunities		programs, resulting in lower
		compared with the NHS's		compliance
		offers	•	Requiring more frequent IPC
	•	Perceived unsafe working		education and training,
		conditions due to staffing		associated with increasing
		shortage		costs
Difficulty in	•	Heterogeneity of long-term	•	Inconsistency in IPC
establishing		care facilities (LTCFs) and		practices across LTCFs
regional or		their resident populations		
national	•	Lack of evidence for effective)	
guidelines for IPC)	IPC practice in LTCFs		
	•	Guidance on IPC practices in	l	
		hospitals are not		
		transferrable to LTCFs		

 LTCF: Long-term care facility
IPC: Infection Prevention and Control