

Microfactory – Blend – Compression – Performance test

E. Prasad*, J. Robertson, C. Brown, H. Joliffe, A. Florence and G. Halbert

CMAC Future Manufacturing Research Hub, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Glasgow, UK *for more information contact elke.prasad@strath.ac.uk



- formulations to be explored in-silico (i.e. digital twin)
- Here at CMAC an integrated crystalisation-spherical agglomeration-drying-blending-compression process is being developed (microfactory) to be used to parameterise and develop modelling tools on the g-formulate package
- This work presents some of the activities on the compression component to parameterise and develop a suitable model to enable the process to be explored (i.e. digital twin)

Digital twin

Aims and objectives

The gFormulate model was parameterised with pure component (Fig. 1) and binary mixtures (Fig. 2) compression data of in terms of tablet tensile strength in the absence of porosity (T_0) , bonding capacity (k) and compressibility constant (K) of the Gavi and Reynolds model (2014).

 $T = T_0 e^{-k\epsilon_{tablet}}$ Tensile strength $\varepsilon_{tablet} = 1 - RD_{tablet}$ RD tablet = RD initial $\left(\frac{P}{106}\right)^{\frac{1}{K}}$ Relative density

Volumetric mixing rules

$$\sigma_{T0,mix} = \sum_{i} \sigma_{T0,i} \phi_i$$
$$k_{b,mix} = \sum_{i} k_{b,i} \phi_i$$
$$K_{T,mix} = \sum_{i} K_{T,i} \phi_i$$

Modelling – predictive experimental outcomes (Fig. 2)

- Model fitted reasonably well for single components



Fig. 1: A) Single component and B) binary mixtures (Pharmatose 50M/Avicel PH-101) compression data.



- Over-prediction for the binary mixtures: volumetric mixing rules insufficient for complex solid behaviour
- Tablets with a tensile strength > 1.7 MPa are within the 99% confidence interval (\bigcirc , Fig.2B)

Performance test

Formulated, commercial product releases fastest. Spherical agglomerates release slower than raw material Lovastatin in 20 mg tablets (70% Lactose, 30% Avicel PH-101).

Next steps

Stretch cryst-SA process to provide range of input materials and develop methods to predict model parameters with materials properties Use pure component parameters to design and optimise targeted experiment to validate model, benchmark and develop digital twin approach

Gavi E., and Reynolds G.K., (2014). System model of a tablet manufacturing process. Comput. Chem. Eng. 71, 130-140. Leane M., Pitt K., Reynolds G., and Manufacturing Classification System Group (2015). A proposal for a drug product Manufacturing Classification System (MCS) for oral solid dosage forms. Pharm. Dev. Technol. 20, 12-21.





Activities - HME – 3D printing process stream

PPA 1 – crystal engineering coupled with polymer processing

E. Prasad, E. Bordos, A. Anwar, T. M. Islam, J. Robertson, A. Florence and G. Halbert

CMAC Future Manufacturing Research Hub, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Glasgow, UK



