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Abstract—Semi-supervised binary classifier learning is a fundamental machine learning task where only partial binary labels are observed, and labels of the remaining data need to be interpolated. Leveraging on the advances of graph signal processing (GSP), recently binary classifier learning is posed as a signal restoration problem regularized using a graph smoothness prior, where the undirected graph consists of a set of vertices and a set of weighted edges connecting vertices with similar features. In this paper, we improve the performance of such a graph-based classifier by simultaneously optimizing the feature weights used in the construction of the similarity graph. Specifically, we start by interpolating missing labels by first formulating a boolean quadratic program with a graph signal smoothness objective, then relax it to a convex semi-definite program, solvable in polynomial time. Next, we optimize the feature weights used for construction of the similarity graph by reusing the smoothness objective but with a convex set constraint for the weight vector. The reposed convex but non-differentiable problem is solved via an iterative proximal gradient descent algorithm. The two steps are solved alternately until convergence. Experimental results show that our alternating classifier / graph learning algorithm outperforms existing graph-based methods and support vector machines with various kernels.

I. INTRODUCTION

In semi-supervised binary classifier learning [1], missing labels of a dataset need to be interpolated given a small subset of observed binary labels. In practical scenarios, labeling is often an expensive and/or tedious task, whereas unlabelled data can often be easily and cheaply obtained, e.g., social media. Thus, semi-supervised classifier learning is of practical importance as data volume grows.

Leveraging on the advance of graph signal processing (GSP) [2]–[5], recent works [6], [7] pose binary classifier learning as a signal restoration problem on graphs, where the undirected graph consists of a set of nodes (each associated with a feature vector) and a set of weighted graph edges connecting similar nodes in the high-dimensional feature space. A graph smoothness prior is typically adopted to regularize the ill-posed signal restoration problem [8]–[12]. While the above formulation results in the smoothest binary classifier signal with respect to the graph while being consistent to the observed partial labels, a strong assumption is implicitly made that the underlying graph is sufficiently informative in reflecting inter-node similarities based on feature distance for binary classification. Thus, one can potentially improve classifier performance if the graph construction and the classifier graph-signal restoration are optimized jointly, leading to an even smaller objective function value. This is the main idea of our paper.

Our alternating binary classifier and graph learning method consists of two iterative steps. We first formulate a boolean quadratic program (BQP) to predict missing labels where the binary classifier is assumed smooth with respect to a fixed similarity graph computed based on inter-node weighted feature distances. We then relax the NP-hard problem to a convex semi-definite program (SDP) [13], so that the optimization can be efficiently solved [14]. Next, keeping the classifier signal fixed, we optimize the set of feature weights using the same signal smoothness objective, subject to a convex set constraint for the weights. We reformulate the problem into an unconstrained one and solve it using a proximal gradient (PG) method [15]. The two steps are executed iteratively until convergence. Experimental results show that our alternating binary classifier and graph learning method outperforms existing graph-based methods and support vector machines (SVM) with various kernels.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. We review related graph learning work in Section II. We discuss our proposed method in Section III. We evaluate the proposed method on several datasets and compare it with existing graph-based methods and SVMs with various kernels in Section IV. Finally, we conclude in Section V.

II. RELATED WORKS

The idea of alternately restoring the binary classifier signal and learning the feature weights in the similarity graph was first studied in [6]. Specifically, [6] formulated the feature graph update problem as a quadratic program and solved it via Lagrangian relaxation, and the feature weights were learned using a Newton’s descent method. However, the selection of the Lagrange multiplier grossly affects the performance and is difficult to set. In addition, Newton’s descent method requires
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is NP-hard due to the constraint graph signal restoration works \([8]–[12]\). The problem (2) is minimizing the objective
\[
 \text{where } \hat{x} \text{ vector } [2]–[5]
\]
also preserves the smoothness of the classifier signal with \([18]\), that aims to learn a Mahalanobis matrix which yields small distances for relatively similar nodes and large distances for relatively dissimilar nodes. In contrast, our PG-based feature weight update scheme not only promotes distance similarity and dissimilarity among observed graph nodes, but also preserves the smoothness of the classifier signal with respect to the graph.

### III. Alternating Binary Classifier and Graph Learning

Given noise-free partial binary labels, we aim to alternately: 1) interpolate the remaining labels via SDP given a fixed similarity graph; and 2) compute the “best” feature weights in the similarity graph given a restored binary classifier signal. We alternately solve the two steps until convergence. We discuss the two steps in order next.

#### A. Binary Classifier Learning via SDP

We first construct an undirected graph \(G = \{V, E, A\}\) with positive edge weights, where \(G\) consists of a set of \(N\) vertices \(V\), a set of edges \(E\) connecting \(V\), and a set of edge weights in a weighted adjacency matrix \(A\). An edge weight \(w_{i,j}\) in \(A\) between nodes \(i\) and \(j\) is computed as the Gaussian of the negative weighted feature distance square:
\[
w_{i,j} = \exp \left\{- \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k (f_k(i) - f_k(j))^2 \right\},
\]
where \(c_k\) is the weight for the \(k\)-th feature, and \(f_k(i)\) is the \(k\)-th feature value for node \(i\).

We define a degree matrix \(D\) whose \(i\)-th diagonal entry is \(D_{i,i} = \sum_{j \neq i} w_{i,j}\). Subsequently, we define a combinatorial graph Laplacian matrix \([2]–[5] \mathcal{L} \equiv D - A\). Given a label vector \(x\) with partial labels, we now formulate a two-way partition problem as follows \([14]\):
\[
 \begin{aligned}
 \min_{x} & \quad x^T \mathcal{L} x \\
 \text{s.t.} & \quad x_i^2 = 1, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \\
 & \quad x_i = \tilde{x}_i, \quad i \in F, \quad F \subset \{1, \ldots, N\},
 \end{aligned}
\]
where \(\tilde{x}_i\) in subset \(F\) are the observed noise-free binary labels. Minimizing the objective \(x^T \mathcal{L} x\) means that signal \(x\) should be smooth with respect to the graph \(G\), as done in previous graph signal restoration works \([8]–[12]\). The problem (2) is also known as a boolean quadratic program (BQP) \([13]\), which is NP-hard due to the constraint \(x_i^2 = 1\), i.e., \(x_i \in \{-1, 1\}\).

Since \(x^T \mathcal{L} x = \text{tr}(x^T \mathcal{L} x) = \text{tr}(\mathcal{L} x x^T)\), we define \(X = x x^T\), where \(X\) is a rank-one symmetric positive semi-definite (PSD) matrix. We now define a symmetric matrix \(M = [X; x x^T]\). From classical linear algebra, we know that \(M\) is PSD if: i) sub-matrix \(X\) is PSD, and ii) the Schur complement \(M/X\) of sub-matrix \(X\) of matrix \(M\) is PSD. \(X\) is indeed PSD if \(X = xx^T\). Schur complement \(M/X = X - xx^T = 0\) if \(X = xx^T\), and hence requiring \(X\) and Schur complement \(M/X\) to be PSD is a necessary but not sufficient condition for \(X = xx^T\). Thus the problem (2) can be written into a relaxed version as follows:
\[
 \begin{aligned}
 \min_{X} & \quad \text{tr}(\mathcal{L} X) \\
 \text{s.t.} & \quad X_{ii} = 1, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \\
 & \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \quad \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \\
 & \quad \text{rank}(X) = 1,
 \end{aligned}
\]
where \(\text{tr}(\cdot)\) is the trace operator. The rank constraint \(\text{rank}(X) = 1\) still causes (3) to be non-convex. If we relax this constraint, then (3) without rank constraint \(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}\) is convex but non-differentiable. We thus employ proximal gradient descent \([15]\) to solve (6).
In particular, we first compute the gradient of \( g(c) \):

\[
\nabla g(c) = \left[ -\sum_{i,j} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k F_k(i,j) \right\} F_i(i,j) d_{i,j} \right] ;
\]

where \( F_k(i,j) \) is a function of the data points. The projected points or points in \( S \) with a convergence rate \( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{L} \) are determined to minimize \( g(c) \).

The proximal mapping \( \text{prox}_{I_S}(u) \) for the indicator function \( I_S(u) \) is an Euclidean projection onto the convex set \( S \), i.e.,

\[
\text{prox}_{I_S}(u) = \arg\min_{x \in S} \frac{1}{2} \| u - x \|_2^2
\]

\[
= P_S(u) = \begin{cases} P_T(u), & \text{if } 1^T P_T(u) \leq C \\ P_T(u - \alpha \cdot 1), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

(8)

where \( P_T(u) = (\min\{\max\{u_k, 0\}, C\})_{k=1}^K \) and \( \alpha \) is any positive root of \( 1^T P_T(u - \alpha \cdot 1) = C \) [19].

See Fig. 1 for examples of the above projection of a given point onto the intersection \( S \) of \( U \) and \( T \) when \( K = 2 \).

Fig. 1. Illustration of \( \text{prox}_{I_S}(u) \) using (8) when \( K = 2 \), where the given points that suffice \( 1^T P_T(u) \leq C \) are marked in black, and the ones that suffice \( 1^T P_T(u) > C \) are marked as green and magenta circles. For \( u_1, u_2 \) marked as a magenta circle, the resulting point \( (u_1 - \alpha, u_2 - \alpha) \) is the nearest point in \( S \) that are closest to the given points marked in red.

The PG algorithm can thus be written as:

\[
c^{t+1} = P_S(c^t - t \nabla g(c^t)).
\]

One can use a fixed step size \( t^{t+1} = t \in (0, 2/L) \) to solve (6) with a convergence rate \( O(1/t) \), where \( L \) is a Lipschitz constant that requires computation of the Hessian of \( g(c) \) [15], [20], [21]. In practice, to avoid a large computation cost, we select a step size small enough to satisfy the Lipschitz smoothness of the objective function.

IV. EXPERIMENTATION

We evaluate our proposed scheme on a small Human Quiet Stance (HQS) dataset [22] with 5 classes, 50 features and 270 samples, where the features are extracted from the time series trajectories of twelve body parts. We also use the following small datasets in KEEL repository [23]: appendicitis (2 classes, 7 features, 106 samples), wine (3 classes, 13 features, 178 samples), and tae (3 classes, 5 features, 151 samples), led7digit (10 classes, 7 features, 500 samples).

We solve the semi-definite relaxed version of (3) for binary classifier learning using CVX, a package for specifying and solving convex programs [24], [25], and heuristically set \( C = 1000 \) for the convex set \( S \) in (8) for feature graph learning. All experiments were performed in Matlab R2017a on an i5-7500, 8GB of RAM, Windows 10 PC.

We compare our proposed method with SVMs with five different kernels: linear, quadratic, polynomial, Gaussian radial basis function (RBF) and multilayer perceptron (MLP). For optimal performance, we set polynomial kernel order 3, RBF kernel scaling factor 10, and two MLP kernel parameters \( p_1 = 0.001, p_2 = -0.001 \) in tanh(\( p_1 w \cdot z + p_2 \)). We also evaluate the following graph-based methods: 1) SVM-based classifier signal restoration without PG-based feature weight update, and 2) a quadratic-based classifier signal restoration formulation \( \min_{x} x^T L x \) s.t. \( x_i = \hat{x}_i, i \in F \). We adopt one against one multi-class classification strategy [26] on datasets with more than two classes for all evaluated methods. Note that neural-network-based methods, e.g., [27], are not evaluated since they generally require large training dataset.

Tables I-V present the classification error rates based on inverse \( q \)-fold cross-validation (CV) and \( q \)-fold CV, which show that our SDP binary classifier scheme outperforms all competing methods except for HQS dataset, where potential noise in the time series body part trajectories results in noisy extracted features and limits the classification performance of all evaluated methods. In practice, one can use our SDP binary classifier scheme (Graph-SDP) for efficient computation compared to the quadratic formulation (Graph-quadratic) given the fact that the former approximates the latter, as can be seen from Tables I, III, IV and V.

| TABLE I | CLASSIFICATION ERROR RATES ON HQS. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| inverse \( q \)-fold CV | \( q = 9 \) | \( q = 8 \) | \( q = 7 \) | \( q = 6 \) | \( q = 5 \) |
| SVM-linear | 1.80% | 1.31% | 1.12% | 1.18% | 1.18% |
| SVM-quadratic | 3.43% | 3.04% | 2.76% | 2.44% | 2.44% |
| SVM-RBF | 3.01% | 2.65% | 2.53% | 2.27% | 2.27% |
| SVM-MLP | 1.22% | 1.28% | 0.96% | 1.12% | 1.12% |
| Graph-quadratic | 3.33% | 3.37% | 3.64% | 3.50% | 3.50% |
| Proposed | 1.15% | 1.27% | 0.94% | 0.94% | 0.94% |

| TABLE II | CLASSIFICATION ERROR RATES ON APPENDICITIS. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| inverse \( q \)-fold CV | \( q = 9 \) | \( q = 8 \) | \( q = 7 \) | \( q = 6 \) |
| SVM-linear | 22.81% | 21.31% | 21.19% | 21.86% |
| SVM-quadratic | 25.85% | 25.76% | 25.20% | 20.83% |
| SVM-RBF | 22.96% | 23.69% | 25.41% | 27.00% |
| SVM-MLP | 25.50% | 24.28% | 21.76% | 16.27% |
| Graph-quadratic | 19.81% | 19.81% | 19.81% | 19.81% |
| Proposed | 17.09% | 15.70% | 14.78% | 14.90% |

V. CONCLUSION

Leveraging on the advance of the graph signal processing, the semi-supervised binary classifier learning problem can be
posed as a graph-based signal restoration problem regularized by a widely-used graph smoothing prior. In this paper, we proposed a novel graph-based semi-supervised learning method for classification that alternatively restores the binary classifier signal and learn the feature weights in the similarity graph. We do this by first predicting the remaining labels given an initial graph via a convex SDP formulation that is a relaxation of the NP-hard BQP, and then compute the optimal feature weights in the similarity graph given the restored binary classifier signal via an unconstrained formulation solved using PG. Experimental results confirm that our alternating binary classifier and graph learning method outperforms existing graph-based methods and SVM’s with various kernels.

**APPENDIX A**

**CONVEXITY OF g(c)**

**Proof:** If we denote \( h_{i,j}(c) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k F_k(i,j) \), then

\[
g(c) = \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c)) d_{i,j}. \]

Since \( h_{i,j}(\beta a + (1 - \beta)b) = \beta h_{i,j}(a) + (1 - \beta)h_{i,j}(b) \), \( \forall a, b \in S, \forall \beta \in [0, 1] \), \( h_{i,j}(c) \) is both convex and concave.

Since the function \( \exp(h_{i,j}(c)) \) is a composition of 1, \( h_{i,j}(c) : R^K \rightarrow R \) and 2, \( w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c)) : R \rightarrow R \), where \( w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c)) \) is twice differentiable and \( w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c)) \geq 0 \), besides, such operation, namely ‘composition with scalar functions’, preserves convexity [14], thus \( w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c)) \) is convex.

Next, since \( w_{i,j}(h_{i,j}(c))'s \) are convex functions and \( d_{i,j}'s \) are nonnegative scalars, and \( g(c) \) is a nonnegative weighted sum, where the operation ‘nonnegative weighted sums’ preserves convexity [14], thus \( g(c) \) is convex.
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