# Peridynamics Review

Ali Javili[a,*], Rico Morasata[a], Erkan Oterkus[b], Selda Oterkus[b]

[a]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey*
[b]*Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Marine Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom*

## Abstract

Peridynamics (PD) is a novel continuum mechanics theory established by Stewart Silling in 2000 [1]. The roots of PD can be traced back to the early works of Gabrio Piola according to dell'Isola et al. [2]. PD has been attractive to researchers as it is a nonlocal formulation in an integral form; unlike the local differential form of classical continuum mechanics. Although the method is still in its infancy, the literature on PD is fairly rich and extensive. The prolific growth in PD applications has led to a tremendous number of contributions in various disciplines. This manuscript aims to provide a concise description of the peridynamic theory together with a review of its major applications and related studies in different fields to date. Moreover, we succinctly highlight some lines of research that are yet to be investigated.

*Keywords:* Peridynamics, Continuum mechanics, Fracture, Damage, Nonlocal elasticity

## 1. Introduction

The analysis of material behavior due to various types of loading and boundary conditions may be carried out at different length scales. At the nanoscale, often Molecular Dynamics (MD) is used to analyze the behavior of atoms and molecules. However, simulation time, integration algorithms and the required computational power are limiting factors to the method's capabilities. On the other hand, at the macroscale, the behavior of a body is usually analyzed using the Classical Continuum Mechanics (CCM) formalism. In CCM, a body is assumed to be a continuum surrounded within its boundary for which the balance laws must be satisfied locally. Traction $t$ on the surface of a material point can be expressed as $t = \sigma \cdot n$ where $\sigma$ is a stress tensor and $n$ represents the unit normal of the surface cut the traction is acting on. Local conservation of linear and angular momentum can be expressed as $\rho(x)\ddot{u}(x,t) = \text{div } \sigma + b(x,t)$ and $\sigma = \sigma^t$, respectively, where $\rho$, $\ddot{u}$ and $b$ correspond to the density, acceleration and body force associated with the material point $x$, respectively. For the past two centuries, these balance equations have been successfully used

---

*Corresponding author.
  Email addresses:* `ajavili@bilkent.edu.tr` (Ali Javili), `rico.morasata@bilkent.edu.tr` (Rico Morasata),
`erkan.oterkus@strath.ac.uk` (Erkan Oterkus), `selda.oterkus@strath.ac.uk` (Selda Oterkus)

to solve many problems in solid mechanics. Despite the fact that these equations are well established, they encounter difficulties when discontinuities such as cracks are present within the body since mathematically, the divergence term yields singular values. Various approaches such as Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) [3, 4] and Cohesive Zone Model (CZM) [5, 6] have been proposed to overcome these issues. The cohesive zone model dates back to the seminal works of Barenblatt [5, 7] and Dudgale [6] and has subsequently been extended in [8–11] among others.



Figure 1: Comparison of MD, CCM and PD. MD (left) analyzes the interactions between discrete entities, i.e., atoms and molecules. These local interactions are governed by the cutoff radius $\delta$. On the other hand, CCM (center) and PD (right) are formulations defined over a continuum body $\mathcal{B}$. The latter is subdivided into points with a finite volume. In CCM, the state of a point is only influenced by its immediate neighbors. However, in PD, a material point $x$ interacts with other material points within a neighborhood $H_x$ delimited by the horizon $\delta$. PD is similar to CCM in the sense that it is still a continuum formulation and also, is similar to MD in the sense that it is nonlocal and borrows certain notions of MD such as the cutoff radius $\delta$ or interactions between points.

PD belongs to the class of nonlocal continuum mechanics formulations. Etymologically the term "peridynamics" is derived from two Greek words $\pi\varepsilon\rho\acute{\iota}$ ("perí-" around) and $\delta\acute{\nu}\nu\alpha\mu\eta$ ("dýnami" force). In PD, a continuum is subdivided into material points occupying volume in space. The core of the peridynamic formulation is that the behavior of each material point is governed by its interaction with other material points located within its neighborhood. In other words, the peridynamic theory is about the interaction forces between material points within a given neighborhood. In contrast to CCM, the governing equation of PD is an integro-differential equation which makes it suitable for problems involving discontinuities in the body. Figure 1 highlights the similarities and dissimilarities among MD, CCM and PD schematically.

There are other continuum formulations such as nonlocal elasticity and strain-gradient theories. Although both are nonlocal formulations, nonlocal elasticity and PD are fundamentally different. Eringen's nonlocal elasticity theory assumes that the stress state at a point depends on the strain state at that point as well as the strain states of all the points within the body [12]. Moreover, the balance of linear momentum is expressed in terms of the divergence of the stress tensor as in the CCM formulation. In contrast, PD precludes spatial derivatives and does not explicitly involve stress and strain. Figure 2 shows that there has been an increasing interest in PD as a novel continuum mechanics formulation.

The main purpose of this paper is to expound the peridynamic theory to researchers of various backgrounds. The main differences between the classical continuum mechanics formulation and the peridynamic theory are highlighted, an overview of the related contributions and applications of PD is presented and the challenges and new perspectives in the development of PD are discussed. Though the peridynamic theory has been formally established by Silling in 2000 [1], dell'Isola et al. [2] claim that Gabrio Piola is the pioneer of higher-gradient and nonlocal continuum models, including PD. They showed that the fundamental ideas behind PD were already presented in Piola's works, who derived nonlocal continuum theories and higher-gradient formulations by means of the virtual work principle. dell'Isola and coworkers indicated that Piola's works have remained obscure and have been overlooked despite of their potentials. On the other hand, there are strong connections yet differences between the peridynamic theory and particle systems [13, 14]. As pointed out by Fried [13], "The starting point of peridynamic theory is a continuum level field equation imposing linear-momentum balance with the essential difference being that the conventional term involving the divergence of the stress is replaced by a nonlocal term in which an integral operator is applied to the displacement field. This operator determines not only the range over which material points interact but also the nature of allowed interactions. Peridynamic theory therefore mixes kinematics, kinetics and constitutive equations from the outset. It seems likely that the conceptual basis for particle mechanics can be adapted to provide an alternative formulation of peridynamic theory in which these ingredients are treated separately".



Figure 2: Number of citations of [1] per year versus year from its birth (2000) till today. The fitted curve is an exponential function and the points represent the data obtained from SCOPUS.

It is worth mentioning that various continuum models in relation to PD have been developed such as a 1D elastic continuum model with long-range forces [15], modified beam and plate equations [16, 17], coupling of local and non-local continuum formulations [18, 19] and atomistic-continuum models [20]. Murdoch [21] attempted to clarify the

misconceptions regarding the notions of particles and long-range forces in the peridynamic theory in [1] from an atomistic perspective. In a related work, Drapaca and Sivaloganathan [22] introduced a new nonlocal continuum model based on fractional derivatives, which encompasses both classical continuum mechanics and nonlocal formulations such as PD.



Figure 3: Extent of peridynamic theory to date. Section 3 elaborates on each field together with a review of the topic and important contributions.

This manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 elaborates on the fundamentals of peridynamics in terms of its governing equation and balance laws. Section 3 discusses related contributions and various applications of PD to a plethora of problems as found in the literature to date, gathered in Fig. 3. Section 4 concludes this work and provides further outlook indicating potential research trends regarding PD. Eventually, a brief guideline for the numerical implementation of PD is given in the Appendix.

## 2. Fundamentals of peridynamics

The peridynamic formulation is based on the interactions within the neighborhood $H_x$ with the distance $\delta$ of a material point at $x$ as shown in Fig. 1. There are two main categories of peridynamic formulation as *bond-based PD* and *state-based PD*. The latter is further divided into two types of *ordinary state-based PD* and *non-ordinary state-based PD*. Obviously, all these variants must satisfy the conservation laws. Throughout this section, the fundamentals of PD are introduced with focus on mechanical problems. More sophisticated and coupled problems can be formulated

in a similar fashion.

## 2.1. Bond-based PD

The peridynamic equation can be understood as an integral expression of the linear momentum balance in CCM. The equation of motion of a material point in an elastic material can be expressed as

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \int_{H_x} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}' - \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}V' + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}' - \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})$ is a pairwise force density vector function and $\boldsymbol{u}$ is the displacement of the material point at $\boldsymbol{x}$. The term "bond" refers to the interaction between the material points at $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}'$. The bond-based PD relies on the assumption of pairwise interactions in which the force density vectors exerted by the material points on one another are equal in magnitude and parallel to the relative position vector in the deformed state. The integral equation (1) is always valid regardless of discontinuities. It can be seen that in Eq. (1), the divergence of the stress tensor in the local form is replaced by the integral of the force density over the neighborhood $H_x$ thereby $\boldsymbol{f}$ contains all the constitutive properties of the material. For instance, for a linear elastic isotropic solid $\boldsymbol{f}$ can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}' - \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}) = c s \frac{\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}}{|\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}|}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{u}$ is the position of the material point in the deformed configuration, $c$ denotes the bond constant and $s$ is the bond stretch defined as

$$s = \frac{|\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}| - |\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}|}{|\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}|}\,. \tag{3}$$

The bond constant $c$ is the peridynamic material parameter and can be expressed in terms of the material constants of CCM. These relations can be established by considering a common parameter used in both approaches. For instance, for a linear isotropic material, the bond constant reads

$$c = \frac{12E}{\pi\delta^4}\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\delta$ is the horizon and $E$ is the elastic modulus in CCM. Note that there is only one peridynamic parameter used in the original (bond-based PD) formulation as opposed to two independent Lamé parameters of CCM for isotropic material behavior. In other words, it is not possible to freely define a Poisson's ratio since the peridynamic formulation automatically captures a constant Poisson's ratio of 0.33 for two-dimensional and 0.25 for three-dimensional

problems, see the original work of Poisson [23] and the more recent study [24], among others. The constraints on material parameters for the bond-based PD are derived in [25].

## 2.2. State-based PD

The original bond-based peridynamic formulation suffers from a limitation on material constants since it assumes that the peridynamic forces between two material points are of equal magnitude and opposite directions. This issue has been addressed by means of a generalized formulation referred to as *state-based PD* [26]. The core of the formulation is a mathematical object called *state* which, to some extent, operates like a mapping. State is basically an array which stores information about a parameter of peridynamic bonds associated with a particular material point. For instance, a force state stores the PD forces pertaining to a bond. State-based PD is such that the response of a material point depends on the deformation of all the material points within its horizon. Based on these new assumptions, the equation of motion of a material point can be written as

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_{H_x} \{\underline{\boldsymbol{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}\rangle - \underline{\boldsymbol{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\langle \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'\rangle\}\,\mathrm{d}V' + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{5}$$

where $\underline{\boldsymbol{T}}\langle\cdot\rangle$ represents the force state.

The state-based PD can be further categorized into *ordinary* or *non-ordinary* state-based PD. Let $\underline{\boldsymbol{M}}$ denote a vector state such that $\underline{\boldsymbol{M}}\langle \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'\rangle$ is a unit vector pointing from the deformed position of $\boldsymbol{x}$ toward the deformed position of $\boldsymbol{x}'$. If a material has a property such that for any deformation there exists a scalar state $\underline{t}$ such that $\underline{\boldsymbol{T}} = \underline{t}\,\underline{\boldsymbol{M}}$, the material is called *ordinary* and *non-ordinary* otherwise, see Fig. 4. In the ordinary state-based PD formulation, although the peridynamic forces between two material points can have different magnitudes, their directions are assumed to be along the bond direction. For instance, for a linear elastic isotropic material, the force state is given by

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}\rangle = \left(\frac{2ad\delta}{|\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}|}\theta(\boldsymbol{x},t) + bs\right)\frac{\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}}{|\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}|}\,, \tag{6}$$

where $a$, $b$ and $d$ are peridynamic parameters and $\theta(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is the peridynamic dilatation term. This assumption can be disregarded in the non-ordinary state-based PD by allowing peridynamic forces in arbitrary directions. By doing so, it is essential to explicitly ensure the conservation of angular momentum since it is no longer fulfilled a priori, in contrast to the bond-based and ordinary state-based formulations. Therefore, for the non-ordinary state-based PD, the following relationship must hold

$$\int_{H_x} \{(\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y}) \times \underline{\boldsymbol{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}\rangle\}\,\mathrm{d}V' = 0\,. \tag{7}$$

6

Figure 4: Illustration of the bond-based (left), ordinary state-based (center) and non-ordinary state-based peridynamic formulations (right). The red and blue points represent two material points, each having its own horizon, represented by the red and blue circle respectively. Each material point interacts with the ones in its horizon, as shown by the grey dashed lines. The difference between the three formulations lies in the magnitude and direction of the interaction forces (green arrows) between the materials points. Bond-based PD can be understood as a particular case of ordinary state-based PD. Similarly, ordinary state-based PD can be understood as a special case of non-ordinary state-based PD.

Furthermore, in what is referred to as the *correspondence model* [26], the force density vector can be related to stress as

$$\underline{T}(x, t)\langle x' - x \rangle = \underline{w}\langle x' - x \rangle \, P \, K^{-1}(x' - x) \tag{8}$$

with $P$ being the Piola[1] stress tensor and $K$ is the shape tensor defined as

$$K = \int_{H_x} \underline{w}\langle x' - x \rangle (\underline{X}\langle x' - x \rangle \otimes \underline{X}\langle x' - x \rangle) \, dV' \, , \tag{9}$$

where $\underline{X}$ is the position state which contains the relative position of material points associated with a particular bond and the $\underline{w}$ state contains the influence function information that defines the strength of interactions. The correspon-

---

[1] The term Piola stress is adopted instead of the more commonly used first Piola-Kirchhoff stress. Nonetheless, it seems that the term *Piola stress* is more appropriate for this stress measure. Recall, $P$ is essentially the *Piola transform* of the Cauchy stress and ties perfectly to the *Piola identity*. Also historically, Kirchhoff (1824–1877) employed this stress measure after Piola (1794–1850), see also the discussion in [27].

dence material model is such that the peridynamic constitutive model is *calibrated* to yield the same results as the classical model for a given homogeneous deformation. More precisely, the two models are correspondent when the peridynamic and classical strain energy density functions are equal under affine deformations. The deformation gradient $\boldsymbol{F}$ can be approximated in the peridynamic framework as

$$
\boldsymbol{F} = \frac{\int_{H_x} \underline{w}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle (\underline{\boldsymbol{Y}}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \otimes \underline{\boldsymbol{X}}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle)\, \mathrm{d}V'}{\int_{H_x} \underline{w}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle (\underline{\boldsymbol{X}}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \otimes \underline{\boldsymbol{X}}\langle \boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle)\, \mathrm{d}V'}\, ,
\tag{10}
$$

where $\underline{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ is the deformation state containing the relative position of material points in the deformed configuration. As the most general peridynamic formulation, the non-ordinary state-based PD is a practical approach for a variety of problems. However, as Tupek and Radovitzky [28] demonstrated, the formulation of the nonlocal deformation gradient tensor allows unphysical deformation modes including material collapse, matter inter-penetration at discontinuities and zero-energy modes. The latter occur as a result of a weak coupling between a material point and its family [29]. To overcome these limitations, Tupek and Radovitzky [28] proposed an *extended constitutive correspondence formulation* by defining a nonlocal peridynamic strain tensor based on alternative strain measures. To control zero-energy modes, Breitenfeld et al. [29] investigated different techniques which consist of adding another term to Eq. (8). Recently, Silling [30] studied the causes of zero-energy mode instability in a meshfree discretization and established a general criterion for material stability in PD.

### 2.3. PD as a paradigm of continuum mechanics

Since the seminal work of Silling [1], the peridynamic formulation has been of great interest among researchers. Notably, Silling and Lehoucq [31] and Madenci and Oterkus [25] carried out an extensive study of the balance laws, applications and implementations of the peridynamic formulation. Moreover, Ostoja-Starzewski et al. [32] analytically showed the restrictions imposed by the second law of thermodynamics on the pairwise forces for the bond-based PD and the force states for the state-based PD. Recently, Bobaru et al. [33] elaborated on mathematical, numerical and computational issues of PD, along with applications such as dynamic fracture. Aguiar and Fosdick [34] proposed a quadratic free energy function for a simple linear elastic peridynamic material model which accounts for bond stretch and relative bond angles; the model also depends on four material constants, two of which were correlated to elastic constants from classical elasticity in the limit of small horizon. In addition, the authors indicated that their model satisfies the angular momentum balance and is a nonordinary material model. Mitchell et al. [35] proposed an improved version of the ordinary state-based peridynamic formulation referred to as *position-aware linear solid* (PALS) constitutive model which employs two influence functions in order to address surface effects, i.e., the problems due to missing bonds in the vicinity of free surfaces thereby the influence functions are determined by means of Lagrange

multipliers and calibrated at each material point, hence the name "position aware".

For computational efficiency and improved accuracy, variants of the traditional peridynamic formulations have been developed. According to Ren et al. [36], the horizons' radii are kept constant in traditional PD to prevent the loss of accuracy in the results, due to spurious wave reflections and ghost forces between material points. They introduced the *dual-horizon concept* [37] in which the discretization of the domain is analogous to that of the FEM or the finite volume method (dense node distribution in critical regions and coarse distribution elsewhere), thereby reducing the computational cost. In addition to the *constant horizon* in the traditional formulation and the *dual-horizon* PD, Silling et al. [38] presented a *variable horizon* in a peridynamic medium with constant bulk properties. They investigated the anomalies induced by ghost forces and concluded that these irregularities can be minimized by making the horizon a smoothly-varying function. Recently, Queiruga and Moridis [39] investigated the accuracy and convergence properties of state-based peridynamic laws including deformation gradient-based model [26] and dilation-based models [40, 41] with different influence functions for 2D static problems. They observed that the convergence of the dilation state-based models is restricted to specific constant-strain problems and that the deformation gradient model exhibits a linear convergence rate but is unstable. They also noted that convergence is guaranteed with a cubic influence function. Ganzenmüller et al. [42] proposed an improvement to the Prototype Microbrittle Model (PMB) model; a linear-elastic, bond-based peridynamic model with brittle failure. They validated the modified model by analyzing wave propagation in a bar with nonuniform particle discretization and investigated crack propagation in a patch with initial crack. Their results were quantitatively in good agreement with the analytical solutions.

The presence of the length scale parameter $\delta$ in the peridynamic formulation makes it suitable for analyzing material behavior at the microscale as well as the macroscale. Notably, PD has been used to analyze nano-indentation [43] and failure of graphene sheets [44], nanoscale friction and wear properties of amorphous carbon films [45]. Chowdhury et al. [46] developed a 3D state-based micropolar peridynamic model with an additional length scale parameter. They also derived a 1D homogenized micropolar peridynamic beam model. The authors concluded that the incorporation of micropolar effects improves the prediction capabilities of the model and that dimensionally-reduced models significantly reduce computational overhead. Note, when applying a continuum model at the nanoscale, the underlying assumptions of field theories no longer hold. Nonetheless, such continuum models can be used as numerical estimates to approximate the homogenized material response. Obviously, at the nanoscale discrete models such as molecular dynamics are more appropriate and physically acceptable.

Various studies have attempted to establish the connection between PD and classical elasticity. To correlate CCM with PD, Lehoucq and Silling [47] established the notion of peridynamic stress tensor, which is not explicitly present in the PD governing equation. Silling [48] presented a coarsening method for linear elastic peridynamic material

models. In the proposed approach, the material properties are derived at different length scales. Silling indicated that, unlike the rescaling approach [49], the coarsening method accounts for material rearrangement at the small scale in response to the deformation at the large scale. An interesting study was presented by Madenci et al. [50] in which they introduced a peridynamic differential operator that can recast local differential equations into their nonlocal form. The authors demonstrated the robustness and capability of the proposed operator by solving challenging differential equations. Bellido et al. [51] demonstrated that hyperelasticity is the $\Gamma$-limit of the peridynamic macroelastic energy of deformation; the latter being a double integral of the pairwise potential function evaluated over the domain. Seleson et al. [52] analyzed the connections between nearest-neighbor discretizations of PD equilibrium equations, by means of nodal-based quadratures, and finite difference discretizations of the classical Navier–Cauchy equation of static elasticity. They concluded that the PD equations reduce to their classical discrete counterparts under appropriate choices of quadrature weights. Rahman and Foster [53] developed a statistical mechanics framework for the peridynamic theory of solids, which incorporated MD within a PD model. Another topic of interest in the development of PD models is the investigation of nonlinearities in the peridynamic formulation, as described in [54, 55]. Liu and Hong [56] derived the micromoduli of discretized peridynamic models in 1D and 3D. An important consideration in the peridynamic formulation is the handling of boundary conditions, see in particular [57–60].

## 3. Extent of peridynamics

Since the peridynamic formulation can inherently account for discontinuities in the displacement field, PD has been predominantly used for the solution of fracture and damage problems. However, its extent is not restricted to fracture mechanics. This section attempts to present a concise categorization of the PD applications and related contributions in the literature gathered in Fig. 3. Obviously, some categories may overlap.

### 3.1. Static problems

PD has been applied to various static and quasistatic problems. For instance, Dayal and Bhattacharya [61] applied the peridynamic theory to study martensitic phase transformations. They conducted both quasistatic and dynamic analyses of phase boundaries and observed that for the quasistatic responses, the accuracy of the results is highly dependent on the choice of numerical method as well as the incremental load step. Huang et al. [62] presented an extended bond-based peridynamic formulation to investigate the quasistatic response and crack propagation in cantilever beams. Mikata [63] made a significant contribution by investigating analytical solutions of peristatic and peridynamic problems for 1D infinite rod. Furthermore, Zaccariotto et al. [64] applied PD for the solution of static equilibrium problems and static crack propagation problems using an implicit Newton-Raphson method. Also, Buryachenko [65] used PD to study the properties of peristatic bars of random structure.

## 3.2. Multifield problems

To solve complex problems encountered in practice, coupled formulations involving PD have been developed for the analysis of thermal, chemical, diffusion and electrical problems as well as porous flow. In particular, see the pioneering work of Gerstle et al. [66] and the more recent work of Oterkus et al. [57] among others.

### 3.2.1. Heat transfer

The classical heat transfer equations are not applicable to bodies with emerging discontinuities since they involve temperature gradients. This issue motivated Bobaru and Duangpanya [67] to present a peridynamic formulation for 1D transient heat conduction. They also analyzed the convergence to the classical solution in the limit of vanishing horizon, which was then extended to multiple dimensions [68]. Also, Oterkus et al. [57] derived a generalized state-based peridynamic heat transfer model based on the Lagrangian formalism and demonstrated its advantage in handling discontinuities. To account for the effects of thermal state on the deformation of a body, fully-coupled peridynamic thermomechanics were presented in [69]. An important study was carried out by Chen et al. [70] in which, by means of the one-point quadrature, they investigated the effect of the kernel choice on peridynamic solutions for transient heat and mass diffusion. The authors underlined that the one-point quadrature converges to the classical solution in the limit of vanishing horizon.

### 3.2.2. Diffusion

Considering the major issues caused by moisture in different applications, Diyaroglu et al. [71] used a peridynamic model based on the wetness approach to predict moisture concentration and diffusion. Oterkus et al. [57] derived a generalized state-based peridynamic thermal diffusion model which can also be adapted to account for concentration. For various benchmark problems, the results from the model were in good agreement with the analytical solutions. Furthermore, PD provides a suitable platform to study nonlocal diffusion arising in several disciplines such as biology, image processing and particle systems, see [72] among others.

### 3.2.3. Electronics

Device failure due to thermal stresses is a major concern regarding electronic packages. This failure mode stems from the mismatch of material properties at interfaces as well as the presence of geometric discontinuities. In that context, the peridynamic formulation has been applied to investigate crack propagation in multi-layer thin-film structures [73]. Thermomechanical modeling of microelectronic packages has been addressed in [74]. Another crucial issue regarding electronic components is their potential moisture absorption, leading to degradation whereby the peridynamic wetness approach [71] can be utilized to predict moisture concentration in electronic packages.

### 3.2.4. General coupling techniques

In order to accurately simulate coupled processes, various formulations can be merged into a single framework. In particular, the peridynamic formulation has been coupled with different numerical methods. For instance, PD has been implemented within a coupling framework to investigate soil dynamics applicable to geomaterials exhibiting inelastic behavior under high-pressure loads or soil fragmentation due to high strain rates under explosion-induced shock waves. Since soil is essentially a medium in which various particles such as sand and rocks are assembled, conventional FEMs are not suitable to capture the complex dynamic interactions. To address the technical difficulties in the simulation of explosion-induced fragmentation, coupled PD-Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) formulations have been developed [75, 76]. PD has also been applied in the context of electrothermomechanics for instance to model dielectric breakdown in solids [77]. The works of Prakash et al. [78] illustrate the application of electromechanical PD to study composite materials. Specifically, the coupled framework was used to investigate conductivity and piezoresistivity of carbon nanotube reinforced polymer composites and to carry out damage sensing in nanocomposite bonded explosive materials. PD-FEM coupling is an approach aimed to take advantage of both methods [79–82]. The interest in PD can also be understood from the coupling of PD with other formulations like classical elasticity [83], poroelasticity [41, 84], MD [85, 86], finite point method [87] as well as damage models [88].

### 3.3. Complex flow problems

The governing equations of transport phenomena are typically convection-diffusion equations. However, in some natural systems such as porous media, anomalous diffusion occurs. The work of Katiyar et al. [89] presented a state-based PD formulation to simulate the convective transport of a single-phase flow of a fluid in heterogeneous porous media. Motivated by the problem of dessication cracking due to the soil's variable moisture content, Jabakhanji and Mohtar [90] proposed a peridynamic model for transient moisture flow in unsaturated, heterogeneous and anisotropic soils.

It is worth mentioning that Silling et al. [91] proposed an Eulerian peridynamic model for compressible fluids. The bond forces are dependent only on the positions of the material points in the deformed configuration in contrast to the Lagrangian formulation in the classical PD. The proposed model was used to analyze problems involving very large deformations. The authors reported that the behavior of soft materials such as gels can be modeled via a framework combining Lagrangian and Eulerian peridynamic models.

### 3.4. Multiscale problems

There exists a wide range of phenomena and processes whose characterization requires a simultaneous and consistent modeling at multiple spatial and temporal scales. Multiscale modeling is typically used for the analysis of

complex materials such as biomaterials, crystalline materials, polymers and composite materials. Various numerical techniques have been developed to perform multiscale modeling and simulation, amongst them PD is of particular interest here. Askari et al. [92] demonstrated that PD is a viable multiscale material model, suitable for length scales ranging from nano-scale to macro-scale. One of the early applications of PD to multiscale modeling is found in [49], in which adaptive refinement for 1D, later extended to 2D [93], was introduced. It has been shown that the peridynamic horizon $\delta$ is essentially a *length scale*. Bobaru et al. [94] addressed the natural connections between PD and multiscale modeling. Alali and Lipton [95] developed a two-scale evolution equation based on the peridynamic formulation to study the dynamics of heterogeneous media.

The determination of material properties across different length scales is often carried out by means of an atomistic-to-continuum coupling approach. As indicated in [86], the transition from the microscale to the macroscale is challenging since the coupling must be consistent. In that regard, multiscale computational models were developed based on an MD-PD coupling [85, 86, 96]. Talebi et al. [97] introduced an open-source, multiscale modeling software for failure simulation in solids named PERMIX which has an interface to LAMMPS [98]. Besides, Du et al. [99] implemented the two-scale convergence model to study the homogenization of the nonlocal convection-diffusion equation and the state-based PD for heterogeneous media. Xu et al. [100] developed a two-dimensional multiscale implementation of finite element discretization of nonlocal models, see also [101]. It is noted that PD has been used for mesoscale fracture analysis in aggregate materials, specifically in multiphase cementitious composites [102]. Shelke et al. [103] addressed the multiscale estimation of damage in composite materials by means of a nonlocal elastic kernel. For more details on application of PD in the context of multiscale modeling, see [104] among others.

### 3.5. Idealized structures

The behavior of engineering structures under different loadings and constraints can be sufficiently described by imposing suitable conditions on PDEs of classical continuum mechanics resulting in simple models such as the Euler–Bernoulli beam equation, Timoshenko beam equation and the thin plate equation. Generally, the numerical simulation and design of complex engineering structures involve a combination of *idealized* structural elements such as beams, bars, shells, plates and membranes. The advancement of the peridynamic theory has brought a new perspective in continuum mechanics as it recovers the reformulation of established PDEs governing the behavior of idealized structural elements. The pioneering work by Silling and Bobaru [105] presented the peridynamic equations for membranes and fibers used to investigate membrane failure and large deformations in fibers. Later, Diyaroglu et al. [106] derived the PD equations for a Timoshenko beam and Mindlin plate that could account for transverse shear deformations. A peridynamic equation of motion for antiplane shear and torsional deformations in structural elements is presented in [58].

13

See [107, 108] for peridynamic models for beams, [109] for thin plates, [110–113] for fiber networks and [114] for shells, among others.

It is a very challenging task to determine material properties at the microscale and nanoscale, essentially due to the scale effect. In that context, Celik et al. [115] carried out the mechanical characterization of Nickel nanowires via experimental procedures and numerical simulations using FEM and PD, to determine their elastic modulus and yield stress. Also, Reddy et al. [16] presented modified beam and plate theories based on gradient elasticity theories, which account for size effects and geometric nonlinearity. Moreover, they proposed a discrete PD formulation as an alternative to the classical PD whereby the advantages of the discrete PD approach were highlighted. An interesting study by Li et al. [116] presented a peridynamic model for the nonlinear static analysis of truss and tensegrity structures. To understand the relationship between microstructure and mechanical behavior, Le et al. [117] used a state-based PD to analyze the stress distribution in round wires under uniaxial tensile load and investigated the effects of defects on the properties of the wires.

### 3.6. Constitutive models

Different materials can be categorized based on their constitutive behavior such as elasticity, plasticity and viscoelasticity. For the examples of elasticity in PD see the bond-based model proposed by Silling [1], the ordinary state-based model proposed by Silling et al. [26], the non-ordinary state-based model proposed by Aguiar and Fosdick [34] and the higher gradient expansion for linear isotropic peridynamic materials proposed by Silhavy [118], among others. Foster et al. [119] incorporated a viscoplasticity model within the state-based peridynamic framework. The first peridynamic crystal plasticity model was implemented by Sun and Sundararaghavan [120]. Besides, Madenci and Oterkus [59] developed ordinary state-based PD constitutive relations for plastic deformation based on von-Mises yield criteria with isotropic hardening. Viscoelastic material models have been incorporated within the peridynamic framework to simulate the response of viscoelastic materials under point loads [121] as well as mechanical and thermal loads [122].

### 3.7. Numerical techniques

The governing equations of physical phenomena are often solved numerically, essentially due to the complexities of the formulations such as strong coupling, nonlinearity and complex geometries. With proper refinement, numerical methods usually yield approximate solutions with sufficiently high accuracy. For engineering analyses, the finite element method and meshfree methods are among the most common approaches. This section briefly reviews the relationship of such numerical techniques with PD.

### 3.7.1. Meshfree methods

Even though mesh-based approximation techniques such as FEM are widely used in many applications, there are cases in which they may not be well suited. These include complex three-dimensional geometries, domains with discontinuities and transient fluid flow through porous media [41]. It is known that a highly distorted mesh results in large errors and constant remeshing is impractical. The meshfree methods preclude the use of predefined mesh for the domain discretization but instead, a set of nodes are scattered over the domain and its boundaries, see [123, 124] among others. The peridynamic approach bears certain similarities to the meshfree method in the sense that the domain must be discretized into a set of points and not elements.

Silling and Askari [125] elaborated on the numerical implementation of the PD governing equation namely the meshfree discretization, the integration procedures and the boundary conditions. The discretized formulation was then applied to case studies involving dynamic crack growth. Bessa et al. [126] made a significant contribution by establishing a connection between the original state-based peridynamic formulation with other meshfree methods. Furthermore, the authors introduced the Reproducing Kernel Particle Method so as to overcome certain limitations of the original formulation. Regarding the comparison of PD and other meshfree methods, Ganzenmüller et al. [127] investigated the similarities between the meshfree discretizations of PD and SPH. Yaghoobi and Chopeza [128] presented a meshfree method based on the non-ordinary state-based PD to analyze fiber reinforced concrete structures. An interesting study by O' Grady and Foster [129] presented a meshfree implementation of a state-based peridynamic model to analyze the bending and failure of shells, thereby rotational springs are introduced between pairs of peridynamic bonds. Seleson and Littlewood [130] investigated the accuracy and convergence of meshfree static peridynamic simulations.

### 3.7.2. Finite element methods

The finite element method is one of the most important numerical methods in computational mechanics. To optimize computational efficiency and accuracy, the concurrent implementation of FEM and PD has been investigated. A significant contribution by Macek and Silling [131] described the implementation of PD within a FE code, in which the peridynamic bonds were represented by truss elements. Chen and Gunzburger [132] developed continuous and discontinuous Galerkin FEM for the peridynamic model, by means of a variational formulation. In order to reduce the computational cost in peridynamic computations, Wang and Tian [133] proposed a fast Galerkin method with efficient matrix assembly and storage for a peridynamic model. Later, their proposed model was extended by Liu et al. [134] to higher-order discretizations and $hp$ adaptivity. Interesting studies were presented by Du et al. [135, 136] in which an adaptive FEM was developed for nonlocal diffusion equations and peridynamic models by establishing a posteriori error analysis. Tian and Du [137] introduced a nonconforming discontinuous Galerkin FE scheme for nonlocal,

volumetrically-constrained problems associated with some linear nonlocal diffusion and nonlocal peridynamic operators.

A potentially useful and practical technique is the integration of PD within an established FE framework, such as commercial softwares. In that context, Beckmann et al. [138] investigated the damage of a 2D bi-material strip due to thermal loading by means of an implicit FE implementation of PD in Abaqus®. It is worth mentioning the work of Schweitzer and Wu [139], who studied the discretization of the Particle Unity Method, a generalized FEM, with embedded PD enrichment.

Owing to its relative simplicity, attempts have been made to reformulate FEM to tackle challenging problems such as fatigue and damage. Reddy and Srinivasa [140] presented displacement-based finite element models for hyperelastic materials. It was demonstrated that the magnitude of the discretized nodal forces can be expressed in terms of the axial strains along the element edges. The nodal force expressions were then compared with the bond force in PD. Based on this work, Khodabakhshi et al. [141] introduced a nonlocal framework known as graph-based FE to study fracture in brittle materials. It was shown that fracture problems can be solved using FEM if the classical formulation is reformulated into truss-like models, thereby preserving the simplicity of the FEM. Recently, Ren et al. [142] investigated the dynamic fracture process in a 3D elastic solid, based on a discontinuous Galerkin method of the bond-based PD. Aiming to design a numerical framework for the failure analysis of new corrosion-resistant materials, De Meo and Oterkus [143] presented FE implementation of a peridynamic pitting corrosion damage model. Gerstle et al. [144, 145] presented a finite element peridynamic model to analyze the structural behavior of concrete structures. Very recently, PD modeling of diffusion using FE analysis was discussed in detail by [146] whereby the accuracy and capability of this approach is demonstrated through several benchmark problems. See [147–156] for further contributions dealing with PD and finite element techniques.

### 3.8. Material failure

In order to prevent safety risks and casualties, significant effort is put into the investigation and prediction of failure. From the perspective of computational simulation, the eXtended Finite Element Method (XFEM) [157, 158] has been introduced as an extension of the conventional FEM to capture discontinuities. On the other hand, PD inherently provides a suitable framework to predict material failure. Comparisons of PD, XFEM and CZM are presented in [159].

In the context of PD, failure can be categorized depending on the material characteristics and the type of PD formulation used. For instance, for brittle materials such as glass or PMMA and if the bond-based peridynamic formulation is utilized, the failure can depend on a critical stretch parameter. For each step of a peridynamic analysis, the stretches of all bonds are monitored and if any of these bonds exceeds the critical stretch value, then these bonds get

broken and their forces reduce to zero such that the associated material points will no longer interact with each other. The critical stretch parameter has a direct connection to Griffith's failure criterion [3] based on the critical energy release rate. According to the peridynamic theory, a crack emerges when the bonds within a certain region are broken. The local damage state of a material point is quantified as the ratio of the number of broken interactions to the original number interactions associated with it. A novel energy-based failure criterion was proposed by Foster et al. [160] for a rate-dependent plastic material model within PD. Material failure is often categorized into damage, fracture and fatigue discussed in the remainder of this section. Obviously, the three categories are not mutually exclusive. For instance, low-cycle fatigue can be related to crack propagation and fracture.[2]

### 3.8.1. Damage

Considering that experimental tests for damage investigation may not be cost effective, numerical techniques have been developed to provide qualitative as well as quantitative prediction of structural damage. A crucial process used to assess the damage state of a structure during its service life is Structural Health Monitoring (SHM). Ibrahim [162] assessed the recent advances of Structural Life Assessment (SLA) and explained the differences between SLA and SHM in PD. To accurately simulate the material degradation involving discontinuities, Han et al. [88] introduced an adaptive coupling of local continuum damage mechanics and PD. PD has been used for damage prediction in different classes of materials including composite materials [163, 164] and layered heterogeneous materials [165]. Emmrich and Puhst [166] applied PD to investigate the conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions in the case of damage. Considerable effort has been dedicated to the study of damage due to pitting corrosion; a form of localized corrosion generally in the form of cavities. De Meo et al. [167] presented for the first time a numerical multiphysics peridynamic framework for the modeling of adsorbed-hydrogen stress-corrosion cracking, based on the adsorption-induced decohesion mechanism. More recently, De Meo and Oterkus [143] implemented a PD-based pitting corrosion damage model in a commercial FE software, see also [168, 169]. Recently, Jiang et al. [170] applied the peridynamic theory to analyze micro breakage in cemented carbide cutting tool.

### 3.8.2. Fracture

Peridynamics has proven to be powerful strategy to capture various types of fracture in materials due to its integro-differential nature with no spatial derivatives. Taking advantage of the peridynamic formulation, Kilic and Madenci [171] predicted crack growth patterns in quenched glass plates. Ha et al. [172] used a bond-based PD to characterize dynamic brittle fracture. In a related work, Shi [173] simulated crack propagation in brittle glasses by

---

[2]For the sake of completeness and in contrast to "material failure", one shall not neglect "structural failure" due to geometrical instabilities such as buckling phenomena. In this regard, Kilic and Madenci [161] investigated the elastic stability of structures by means of PD, in order to determine buckling characteristics of columns under compression and plates under thermal loads.

means of a modified Lennard–Jones potential. Liu and Hong [174] used discretized PD and parallel computing to study brittle and ductile solids. The first study on dynamic fracture using PD has been presented by Silling [175], for further developments see [138, 176–183] among others. In that context, Shojaei et al. [87] coupled finite point method to PD in order to study dynamic fracture. Recently, Madenci et al. [184] predicted crack propagation in isotropic materials under complex loading conditions. Dynamic fracture has also been studied with PD for various types of structures such as polymers [185], fiber networks [186], concrete structures [187], anisotropic materials [188–190], functionally graded materials [191], polycrystalline materials [192] as well as geomaterials [62, 193–196]. It is worth mentioning that Evangelatos and Spanos [197] presented the application of PD to *stochastic fracture modeling*, with a novel spatial discretization of the peridynamic equation.

### 3.8.3. Fatigue

Hu and Madenci [198] presented a fatigue damage model to predict damage in laminates under cyclic loading. The performed numerical simulations were found to be consistent with the experimental fatigue data. Crack nucleation and growth was also investigated in [199] using a peridynamic fatigue model. Zhang et al. [190] developed a PD-based fatigue crack model to analyze fatigue cracking in two-phase composite materials. Their numerical results were consistent with the experimental data.

### 3.9. Impact problems

Silling and Askari [200] pioneered the study on impact by means of PD. The numerical code EMU was used to implement the bond-based PD and solve a set of case studies. Then, using EMU, Demmie and Silling [201] considered the problem of extreme loadings of structures. Their work was extended by Oterkus et al. [202] by presenting an impact damage assessment of building components. The peridynamic theory has been used to study impact damage in composite materials [203], layered glass systems [204, 205] and ceramic materials [206]. Recently, Liu et al. [207] studied impact damage in a beam with an offset notch. Lee et al. [208] presented a modeling framework for the interaction of peridynamic domain and conventional finite elements, in order to effectively analyze impact problem and damage thereof. In a related work, Kosteski et al. [209] introduced the lattice discrete element method to model the impact test of PMMA specimens. It is noted that Demmie and Ostoja-Starzewski [210] elaborated on a computational stochastic PD model which was used to study impact loading in geological materials. See also [211–214] for further contributions on impact and damage using PD.

### 3.10. Composites

Composite materials are used in several applications due to their high strength-to-weight ratio, fatigue resistance and damage tolerance among other properties. In order to save time and costs associated with experimental procedure,

a huge effort is dedicated towards the development of effective computational models for prediction of composites behavior. Regarding the application of PD in composites, Silling [215] demonstrated that nonlocality arises in a homogenized microscale model for layered composites when a smoothed displacement field is chosen. Furthermore, he derived a peridynamic model in which the length scale is dependent on both the geometry and constituent properties. Buryachenko [216] presented a generalization of the general integral equations of micromechanics using a thermoperistatic formulation to obtain the effective properties of composite materials. To study the response of composite laminates over a wide range of impacting velocities, Sun and Huang [203] presented a PD rate-dependent constitutive model combining the prototype microelastic brittle (PMB) model and the viscoelastic Kelvin–Voigt model. They also introduced a new interlayer bond to describe interlayer interactions. Their simulations compared the impact resistance of composite laminates with that of isotropic plates. Kilic et al. [217] showed that PD is applicable to damage prediction in laminated composites and claimed that homogenization would compromise the failure prediction. In a related work, Hu et al. [218] proposed a homogenization-based peridynamic model for fiber-reinforced composite laminates. Their results were in agreement with the analytical data for their benchmark problem. The effectiveness of PD in terms of damage prediction in composite laminates has also been demonstrated in [164, 188, 198, 219, 220].

Askari et al. [163] made a noteworthy contribution by proposing a hybrid continuum/peridynamic model to analyze the degradation of laminated composites termed as *morphing coupling approach*. They indicated that the coupling occurs at the level of the constitutive model in attempts to mitigate the computational cost due to the nonlocal nature of PD. Due to their inhomogeneous nature, composite materials exhibit various failure modes such as matrix cracking, delamination and fiber kinking. Hu et al. [221] proposed a peridynamic model to investigate delamination growth in composite laminates. Diyaroglu et al. [222] predicted the damage behavior of composites subjected to shock loading. Sadowski and Pankowski [223] developed peridynamic models to investigate nanoindentation of ceramic composites. The failure analysis of concrete structures and cementitious composites using PD can be found in [102, 224, 225].

Given the current trends towards nanomaterials, nanocomposites are an innovative class of materials with various industrial applications. Recently, studies have been conducted to estimate their macroscopic properties using the peridynamic theory. For instance, Duzzi et al. [226] used the bond-based PD formulation to estimate the effective Young's modulus as a function of the filling fraction and observed good agreement of their model with experimental data. Han et al. [227] proposed a classical continuum model as well as a hybrid local/nonlocal PD-based model to determine the effective properties of CNT polymer composites using homogenization and concluded that the hybrid model is more effective in capturing local inhomogeneities. Also, Decklever and Spanos [228] proposed a stochastic nonlocal model based on Monte Carlo simulation and the bond-based PD formulation to evaluate the properties of single-walled CNT polymer composites. They reported that their model is computationally efficient in predicting the

mechanical properties and providing a realistic simulation of quasistatic fracture.

### 3.11. Biology

The peridynamic theory has been successfully applied to study various problems related to living tissues. Deng et al. [229] investigated microcrack propagation and healing processes in cortical bone by means of a nonlocal multi-scale field theory with a generalized form of PD. Taylor et al. [230] used PD to model rupture in biomembranes and investigated the transition between two types of floral and fractal spontaneous rupture. The work of Perré et al. [231] addressed the prediction of wood properties based on its cellular structure, by means of meshfree methods and image-based representation.

An interesting approach was implemented by Lejeune and Linder [232] where the dual-horizon PD framework was extended to capture biological growth by changing the interaction distance and force law between particles. With this framework, the authors note that PD is a particularly convenient approach for modeling biological systems on the cellular scale where each node represents a biological cell. The authors focused on using the framework to capture multiscale emergent behavior driven by mechanical interactions. Recently, Lejeune and Linder used PD to investigate the effect of cell division angle on population morphology [233], the buildup of residual stresses in growing monolayers and spheroids [234] and also, the influence of cell shrinkage during cell death on population morphology [235]. Beyond this body of initial studies, which focuses on small populations of growing, proliferating, and dying cells, PD is a promising tool for modeling biological materials on the subcellular, cellular and histological scales because PD readily captures nonlocal forces inherent to many biological systems. Furthermore, complex biological phenomena such as buckling and folding of tissues [236, 237] due to growth are yet to be investigated by PD.

### 3.12. Geomechanics

Geomaterials are susceptible to crack due to fluid pressure. A type of fracture occurring in oil and gas extraction is *hydraulic fracturing*, also known as *fracking*, where fracture is induced in the rock formation to release natural gas and oil. Various numerical methods have been developed to study these phenomena, among which of particular interest here is PD. For instance, Hattori et al. [238] examined various numerical methods, among which PD, to analyze hydraulic fracking in shale rocks. For further details on hydraulic fracturing in the context of PD, see [41, 239–242] among others.

Lai et al. [243] derived the *U-p* peridynamic formulations for fluid-saturated geomaterials and simulated their fragmentation under impulse loads. The *U-p* formulations are equations that describe the behavior of saturated geomaterials, expressed in terms of the displacement $U$ and pore fluid pressure $p$. In the context of renewable energy exploitation, Feng et al. [244] reviewed enhanced geothermal systems (EGS) and mentioned PD as a means

of accurately modeling the multi-physics phenomena involved, namely thermal stresses and hydraulic fracture. As pointed out by Fan and Li [76], several engineering applications require an understanding of the soil response to blast loads. The problem of soil fragmentation under explosive loads was simulated using a PD/SPH coupling approach in [75, 76]. Moreover, the peridynamic theory has been implemented to investigate crack behavior in rock materials [193, 194, 245].

### 3.13. Wave dispersion

The peridynamic formulation has the interesting property that elastic wave dispersion occurs if long-range forces are present and that the peridynamic horizon $\delta$ influences the amount of dispersion. The wave dispersion characteristic of PD was reported by Zingales [246] combining the concepts of lattice dynamics, PD and the Kröner–Eringen nonlocal model to investigate wave propagation in 1D elastic solids, see also [247]. A mathematical study of nonlinear peridynamic wave equations was presented in [248]. Various numerical techniques [249–251] have been developed to analyze wave propagation in PD. Silling [252] analyzed the propagation of solitary, *nondispersive* waves in a nonlinear peridynamic solid. In a related study, Vogler et al. [253] investigated the effect of the microstructure on the planar wave propagation in layered, particulate composite and granular materials. To reduce the wave dispersion in PD, Wildman and Gazonas [254] presented a hybrid method. Also, wave propagation in the context of PD has been studied in other classes of materials such as graphene [255], carbon nanotube [256] and brittle materials [206].

### 3.14. Particle systems

The nature of the peridynamic equation (1) is particularly interesting in the sense that its discretized form is, to some extent, similar to MD. In that regard, Parks et al. [257] incorporated PD within the MD simulator LAMMPS. The authors pointed out that this approach enhanced the capabilities of the MD code towards performing simulations at larger time and length scales. Rahman and Foster [53] incorporated Langevin dynamics in the peridynamic formulation through the fluctuation-dissipation principle. The authors stated that this approach resolved the ambiguity regarding the role of temperature in a peridynamic system. Within the context of statistical mechanics, they showed that a homogenized peridynamic model satisfies the conservation laws of continuum mechanics (mass, momentum and energy). Dai et al. [258] elaborated on the similarity between the state-based PD and the reproducing kernel particle method and applied these techniques to solve dynamic problems in a multiresolution continuum. For further details on discrete systems and their potential connection to PD see [13, 14, 259], among others.

## 4. Concluding remarks

The primary objective of this manuscript is to provide a basic understanding of the peridynamic theory and its main applications to date. Since its formal establishment, the peridynamic theory has found its way to several disciplines collected in Fig. 3. Despite its infancy, the method has been successfully applied to various challenging problems. Given its advantage in handling discontinuities, in contrast to classical continuum mechanics, the peridynamic theory has been of great interest in the scientific community. Since the inherent nonlocality in PD induces high computational cost, further development of the method is required to boost its capabilities. Even though PD has been of particular interest to capture fracture, it has motivated other formulations such as dealing with Large Eddy Simulations [260]. As previously discussed, PD has been applied to simulate flows in porous media and is yet to be extended to turbulent flow analysis. Recently, Motsch and Tadmor [261] presented a mathematical analysis of self-organized dynamics, an instance of which is peridynamic elasticity. Fried [13] and Fosdick [14] have elegantly formulated the mechanics of particle systems which could lead to an alternative PD formulation. Beyond the initial studies in the context of biological applications, which focus on small populations of growing, proliferating, and dying cells, PD is a promising tool for modeling biological materials on the subcellular, cellular and histological scales because it readily captures nonlocal forces inherent to many biological systems.

In summary, this manuscript provides a brief explanation of the peridynamic theory and an extensive account of the literature on the subject. Due to its integro-differential form, the peridynamic theory proves to be a promising alternative to classical continuum mechanics when dealing with discontinuities and nonlocalities. This generic framework is broadly applicable to improve our understanding of the material behavior with a large variety of applications.

Note, the main objective of this manuscript is to provide a brief overview of the literature on peridynamics to date and to categorize them respectively. This should not imply that the authors want to necessarily promote peridynamics nor we believe that peridynamics is an ultimate theory to understand and explain every possible phenomena. On the contrary, we regard peridynamics as a continuum theory suffering from and taking advantages of most underlying assumptions of continuum mechanics. One may even claim that possibly peridynamics, as the whole continuum mechanics, could become obsolete, as discrete Lagrangian models could prove more appropriate and predictive of material behavior. In our opinion, both discrete and continuum approaches have certain advantages and disadvantages and perhaps their utility must be separately assessed only based on the problem of interest.

## Appendix A. Numerical implementation

A typical solution procedure for PD is described by Madenci and Oterkus [25], among others. The peridynamic equation is generally solved numerically by means of a meshfree approach. A generic approach for the numerical

implementation of PD is given in Fig. A.5.



Figure A.5: General PD implementation flowchart. ADR stands for Adaptive Dynamic Relaxation.

The solution domain is discretized into a finite number of volumes, each represented by a point located at its center. The peridynamic equation of motion in integral form for elasticity can then be expressed in terms of a finite summation of the form

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\,\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_k, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}_j - \boldsymbol{u}_k, \boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_k)V_j + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}_k, t), \tag{A.1}$$

where $N$ is the number of points inside the horizon of material point $k$. Correction procedures such as volume and surface corrections can be applied to address the problems of intersecting regions and surface effects respectively [25].

As pointed out by Ganzenmüller et al. [42], "Within the context of mesh-free methods, Peridynamics can be classified as a Total-Lagrangian collocation method with nodal integration.". Usually, the domain is discretized into a uniform grid of material points, see also [161]. The idea of adaptive grid refinement was first introduced by Bobaru et al. [49] to analyze the response of a 1D peridynamic bar. Henke and Shanbhag [262] investigated irregular meshes, specifically centroidal Voronoi tessellation, for peridynamic discretization. They observed that careful placement of quadrature points seems to eliminate mesh sensitivity without significant increase in computational cost. Freimanis and Paeglitis [263] studied $\delta$ convergence (material point spacing $h$ is constant but horizon size varies) and observed that the maximum accuracy is reached when $\delta = 3h$ and higher horizon sizes compromise the solution accuracy.

Peridynamic implementation in commercial finite element software still uses meshfree discretization although it employs mass point elements to represent the material points and truss or spring elements for peridynamic interactions [131]. This type of implementation also allows utilization of efficient solvers of commercial finite element software which can significantly reduce the computational time. Computational codes to deal with PD have been developed at Sandia National Laboratories. In particular, Peridigm [264] and the parallel MD code LAMMPS [98] shall be mentioned. It is noted that peridynamic equations are intrinsically compatible for parallel programming applications. The numerical implementation of PD is described in [25] wherein samples codes for numerous benchmark problems are given as well.

The discretization of the governing equations yields a matrix system. Brothers et al. [265] investigated different methods for an efficient construction of the tangent stiffness matrix within Peridigm: forward difference, central difference and automatic differentiation. The tangent stiffness matrix is mainly required for implicit time integration [39] in dynamic problems. Although explicit integration scheme is more straightforward to implement and requires less storage, it is only conditionally stable hence mainly suitable for short-duration analysis. For static problems, the adaptive dynamic relaxation is a common technique which artificially drives the system to reach the steady-state condition by introducing an artificial damping [25, 266]. Kilic and Madenci [161] used a volume integration scheme over hexagonal subdomains into which Gaussian integration points were placed. Yu et al. [267] proposed a new adaptive integration method with systematic domain categorization for various geometries. To address the problem of intersecting regions (cell neighborhood and neighbor cells) in the standard meshfree approach, Seleson [268] proposed one-point quadrature algorithms to improve the accuracy of integration of 2D peridynamic models. Gu et al. [269] used the Galerkin finite element to discretize a microelastic peridynamic material model within a finite bar. To accelerate the convergence of the iterative solver, they utilized fast circulant preconditioners.

The application of boundary conditions requires a special treatment in PD. Silling [1] showed that the variational

24

formulation of the peridynamic equations does not lead to natural boundary conditions, which are traction boundary conditions in the classical theory. Therefore, body forces are prescribed through a layer of finite thickness at the material boundary. On the other hand, displacement and velocity boundary conditions are enforced via a fictitious boundary layer of depth at least equal to the horizon [25, 131].

## References

[1] S. A. Silling, Reformulation of elasticity theory for discontinuities and long-range forces, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 48 (1) (2000) 175–209.

[2] F. dell'Isola, A. D. Corte, R. Esposito, L. Russo, Some cases of unrecognized transmission of scientific knowledge : From Antiquity to Gabrio Piola's peridynamics and generalized continuum theories, Advanced Structured Materials 42 (2016) 77–128.

[3] A. Griffith, The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 221 (582-593) (1921) 163–198.

[4] G. A. Francfort, J.-J. Marigo, Revisiting brittle fracture as an energy minimization problem, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 46 (8) (1998) 1319–1342.

[5] G. I. Barenblatt, The formation of equilibrium cracks during brittle fracture: General ideas and hypotheses, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 23 (3) (1959) 622–636.

[6] D. Dugdale, Yielding of steel sheets containing slits, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 8 (2) (1960) 100–104.

[7] G. I. Barenblatt, The mathematical theory of equilibrium cracks in brittle fracture, Advances in Applied Mechanics 7 (1962) 55–129.

[8] A. Needleman, A continuum model for void nucleation by inclusion debonding, Journal of Applied Mechanics 54 (1987) 525–531.

[9] G. Alfano, M. A. Crisfield, Finite element interface models for the delamination analysis of laminated composites: Mechanical and computational issues, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 50 (7) (2001) 1701–1736.

[10] T. C. Gasser, G. A. Holzapfel, Geometrically non-linear and consistently linearized embedded strong discontinuity models for 3D problems with an application to the dissection analysis of soft biological tissues, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 192 (47-48) (2003) 5059–5098.

[11] K. Park, G. H. Paulino, Cohesive zone models : A critical review of traction-separation relationships across fracture surfaces, Applied Mechanics Reviews 64 (6) (2013) 060802.

[12] A. C. Eringen, Nonlocal continuum field theories, Springer, 2002.

[13] E. Fried, New insights into the classical mechanics of particle systems, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 28 (4) (2010) 1469–1504.

[14] R. Fosdick, A causality approach to particle dynamics for systems, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 207 (2013) 247–302.

[15] G. Failla, A. Santini, M. Zingales, Solution strategies for 1D elastic continuum with long-range interactions: Smooth and fractional decay, Mechanics Research Communications 37 (1) (2010) 13–21.

[16] J. N. Reddy, A. Srinivasa, A. Arbind, P. Khodabakhshi, On gradient elasticity and discrete peridynamics with applications to beams and plates, Advanced Materials Research 745 (2013) 145–154.

[17] J. N. Reddy, A. R. Srinivasa, Non-linear theories of beams and plates accounting for moderate rotations and material length scales, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 66 (2014) 43–53.

[18] G. Lubineau, Y. Azdoud, F. Han, C. Rey, A. Askari, A morphing strategy to couple non-local to local continuum mechanics, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 60 (6) (2012) 1088–1102.

[19] Y. Azdoud, F. Han, G. Lubineau, A Morphing framework to couple non-local and local anisotropic continua, International Journal of Solids and Structures 50 (9) (2013) 1332–1341.

[20] B. Kraczek, S. T. Miller, R. B. Haber, D. D. Johnson, Adaptive spacetime method using Riemann jump conditions for coupled atomistic-continuum dynamics, Journal of Computational Physics 229 (6) (2010) 2061–2092.

[21] A. Murdoch, Physical foundations of continuum mechanics, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[22] C. S. Drapaca, S. Sivaloganathan, A fractional model of continuum mechanics, Journal of Elasticity 107 (2) (2012) 105–123.

[23] S. Poisson, Mémoire sur l'équilibre et le mouvement des corps élastiques, Mém. Acad. Sci. 8 (357) (1829) .

[24] P. H. Mott, C. M. Roland, Limits to Poisson's ratio in isotropic materials—general result for arbitrary deformation, Physica Scripta 87 (5) (2013) 055404.

[25] E. Madenci, E. Oterkus, Peridynamic theory and its applications, Springer, 2014.

[26] S. A. Silling, M. Epton, O. Weckner, J. Xu, E. Askari, Peridynamic states and constitutive modeling, Journal of Elasticity 88 (2) (2007) 151–184.

[27] P. Podio-Guidugli, Primer in elasticity, Journal of Elasticity 58 (1) (2000) 1–104.

[28] M. R. Tupek, R. Radovitzky, An extended constitutive correspondence formulation of peridynamics based on nonlinear bond-strain measures, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 65 (1) (2014) 82–92.

[29] M. S. Breitenfeld, P. H. Geubelle, O. Weckner, S. A. Silling, Non-ordinary state-based peridynamic analysis of stationary crack problems, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 272 (2014) 233–250.

[30] S. A. Silling, Stability of peridynamic correspondence material models and their particle discretizations, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 322 (2017) 42–57.

[31] S. A. Silling, R. B. Lehoucq, Peridynamic theory of solid mechanics, Advances in Applied Mechanics 44 (2010) 73–168.

[32] M. Ostoja-Starzewski, P. N. Demmie, A. Zubelewicz, On thermodynamic restrictions in peridynamics, Journal of Applied Mechanics 80 (1) (2012) 014502.

[33] F. Bobaru, J. T. Foster, P. H. Geubelle, S. A. Silling, Handbook of peridynamic modeling, CRC Press, 2017.

[34] A. R. Aguiar, R. Fosdick, A constitutive model for a linearly elastic peridynamic body, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids 19 (5) (2014) 502–523.

[35] J. Mitchell, S. Silling, D. Littlewood, A position-aware linear solid constitutive model for peridynamics, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 10 (5) (2015) 539–557.

[36] H. Ren, X. Zhuang, T. Rabczuk, Dual-horizon peridynamics: A stable solution to varying horizons, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 318 (2017) 762–782.

[37] H. Ren, X. Zhuang, Y. Cai, T. Rabczuk, Dual-horizon peridynamics, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 108 (2016) 1541–1476.

[38] S. Silling, D. Littlewood, P. Seleson, Variable horizon in a peridynamic medium, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and 10 (5) (2015) 591–612.

[39] A. F. Queiruga, G. Moridis, Numerical experiments on the convergence properties of state-based peridynamic laws and influence functions in two-dimensional problems, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 322 (2017) 97–122.

[40] Q. V. Le, W. K. Chan, J. Schwartz, A two-dimensional ordinary, state-based peridynamic model for linearly elastic solids, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 98 (8) (2014) 547–561.

[41] H. Ouchi, A. Katiyar, J. York, J. T. Foster, M. M. Sharma, A fully coupled porous flow and geomechanics model for fluid driven cracks: a peridynamics approach, Computational Mechanics 55 (3) (2015) 561–576.

[42] G. C. Ganzenmüller, S. Hiermaier, M. May, Improvements to the prototype micro-brittle linear elasticity model of peridynamics, in: Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, Vol. 100, 2013.

[43] A. Ahadi, P. Hansson, S. Melin, Simulating nanoindentation of thin Cu films using molecular dynamics and peridynamics, Solid State Phenomena 258 (2017) 25–28.

[44] E. Oterkus, C. Diyaroglu, N. Zhu, S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Utilization of Peridynamic Theory for Modeling at the Nano-Scale, in: Nanopackaging: From Nanomaterials to the Atomic Scale, 2013, pp. 1–16.

[45] S. Ebrahimi, D. J. Steigmann, K. Komvopoulos, Peridynamics analysis of the nanoscale friction and wear properties of amorphous carbon thin films, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 10 (5) (2015) 559–572.

[46] S. Roy Chowdhury, M. Masiur Rahaman, D. Roy, N. Sundaram, A micropolar peridynamic theory in linear elasticity, International Journal of Solids and Structures 59 (2015) 171–182.

[47] R. B. Lehoucq, S. A. Silling, Force flux and the peridynamic stress tensor, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 56 (4) (2008) 1566–1577.

[48] S. A. Silling, A coarsening method for linear peridynamics, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 9 (6) (2011) 609–622.

[49] F. Bobaru, M. Yang, F. Alves, S. A. Silling, E. Askari, J. Xu, Convergence, adaptive refinement, and scaling in 1D peridynamics, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 77 (6) (2009) 852–877.

[50] E. Madenci, A. Barut, M. Futch, Peridynamic differential operator and its applications, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 304 (2016) 408–451.

[51] J. C. Bellido, C. Mora-Corral, P. Pedregal, Hyperelasticity as a Γ-limit of peridynamics when the horizon goes to zero, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 54 (2) (2015) 1643–1670.

[52] P. Seleson, Q. Du, M. L. Parks, On the consistency between nearest-neighbor peridynamic discretizations and discretized classical elasticity models, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 311 (2016) 698–722.

[53] R. Rahman, J. T. Foster, Peridynamic theory of solids from the perspective of classical statistical mechanics, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 437 (2015) 162–183.

[54] E. Emmrich, D. Puhst, Survey of existence results in nonlinear peridynamics in comparison with local elastodynamics, Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics 15 (4) (2015) 483–496.

[55] T. Mengesha, Q. Du, Characterization of function spaces of vector fields and an application in nonlinear peridynamics, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications 140 (2016) 82–111.

[56] W. Liu, J. W. Hong, Discretized peridynamics for linear elastic solids, Computational Mechanics 50 (5) (2012) 579–590.

[57] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, A. Agwai, Peridynamic thermal diffusion, Journal of Computational Physics 265 (2014) 71–96.

[58] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamics for antiplane shear and torsional deformations, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 10 (2015) 167–193.

[59] E. Madenci, S. Oterkus, Ordinary state-based peridynamics for plastic deformation according to von Mises yield criteria with isotropic hardening, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 86 (2016) 192–219.

[60] R. A. Wildman, G. A. Gazonas, A perfectly matched layer for peridynamics in two dimensions, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 7 (8-9) (2012) 765–781.

[61] K. Dayal, K. Bhattacharya, Kinetics of phase transformations in the peridynamic formulation of continuum mechanics, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 54 (9) (2006) 1811–1842.

[62] D. Huang, G. Lu, P. Qiao, An improved peridynamic approach for quasi-static elastic deformation and brittle fracture analysis, International

Journal of Mechanical Sciences 94-95 (2015) 111–122.

[63] Y. Mikata, Analytical solutions of peristatic and peridynamic problems for a 1D infinite rod, International Journal of Solids and Structures 49 (21) (2012) 2887–2897.

[64] M. Zaccariotto, F. Luongo, G. Sarego, U. Galvanetto, Examples of applications of the peridynamic theory to the solution of static equilibrium problems, Aeronautical Journal 119 (1216) (2015) 677–700.

[65] V. A. Buryachenko, Effective thermoelastic properties of heterogeneous thermoperistatic bar of random structure, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 13 (1) (2015) 55–71.

[66] W. Gerstle, S. Silling, D. Read, V. Tewary, R. Lehoucq, Peridynamic simulation of electromigration, Computers, Materials and Continua 8 (2) (2008) 75–92.

[67] F. Bobaru, M. Duangpanya, The peridynamic formulation for transient heat conduction, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 53 (19-20) (2010) 4047–4059.

[68] F. Bobaru, M. Duangpanya, A peridynamic formulation for transient heat conduction in bodies with evolving discontinuities, Journal of Computational Physics 231 (7) (2012) 2764–2785.

[69] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, A. Agwai, Fully coupled peridynamic thermomechanics, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 64 (1) (2014) 1–23.

[70] Z. Chen, F. Bobaru, Selecting the kernel in a peridynamic formulation: A study for transient heat diffusion, Computer Physics Communications 197 (2015) 51–60.

[71] C. Diyaroglu, S. Oterkus, E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, S. Han, Y. Hwang, Peridynamic wetness approach for moisture concentration analysis in electronic packages, Microelectronics Reliability 70 (2017) 103–111.

[72] X. Tian, Q. Du, Analysis and comparison of different approximations to nonlocal diffusion and linear peridynamic equations, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 51 (6) (2013) 3458–3482.

[73] A. Agwai, I. Guven, E. Madenci, Crack propagation in multilayer thin-film structures of electronic packages using the peridynamic theory, Microelectronics Reliability 51 (12) (2011) 2298–2305.

[74] B. Kilic, E. Madenci, Peridynamic theory for thermomechanical analysis, IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging 33 (1) (2010) 97–105.

[75] B. Ren, H. Fan, G. L. Bergel, R. A. Regueiro, X. Lai, S. Li, A peridynamics–SPH coupling approach to simulate soil fragmentation induced by shock waves, Computational Mechanics 55 (2) (2014) 287–302.

[76] H. Fan, S. Li, A Peridynamics-SPH modeling and simulation of blast fragmentation of soil under buried explosive loads, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 318 (2017) 349–381.

[77] R. A. Wildman, G. A. Gazonas, A dynamic electro-thermo-mechanical model of dielectric breakdown in solids using peridynamics, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 10 (5) (2015) 613–630.

[78] N. Prakash, G. D. Seidel, Electromechanical peridynamics modeling of piezoresistive response of carbon nanotube nanocomposites, Computational Materials Science 113 (2016) 154–170.

[79] B. Kilic, E. Madenci, Coupling of peridynamic theory and the finite element method, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 5 (5) (2010) 707–733.

[80] E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, O. Weckner, S. Silling, P. Bogert, A. Tessler, Combined finite element and peridynamic analyses for predicting failure in a stiffened composite curved panel with a central slot, Composite Structures 94 (3) (2012) 839–850.

[81] U. Galvanetto, T. Mudric, A. Shojaei, M. Zaccariotto, An effective way to couple FEM meshes and Peridynamics grids for the solution of static equilibrium problems, Mechanics Research Communications 76 (2016) 41–47.

[82] J. Lee, S. E. Oh, J.-W. Hong, Parallel programming of a peridynamics code coupled with finite element method, International Journal of

Fracture 203 (1-2) (2017) 99–114.

[83] P. Seleson, S. Beneddine, S. Prudhomme, A force-based coupling scheme for peridynamics and classical elasticity, Computational Materials Science 66 (2013) 34–49.

[84] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, E. Oterkus, Fully coupled poroelastic peridynamic formulation for fluid-filled fractures, Engineering Geology 225 (2017) 19–28.

[85] Q. Tong, S. Li, Multiscale coupling of molecular dynamics and peridynamics, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 95 (2016) 169–187.

[86] R. Rahman, J. T. Foster, A. Haque, A multiscale modeling scheme based on peridynamic theory, Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 12 (3) (2014) 223–248.

[87] A. Shojaei, T. Mudric, M. Zaccariotto, U. Galvanetto, A coupled meshless finite point/Peridynamic method for 2D dynamic fracture analysis, International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 119 (2016) 419–431.

[88] F. Han, G. Lubineau, Y. Azdoud, Adaptive coupling between damage mechanics and peridynamics: A route for objective simulation of material degradation up to complete failure, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 94 (2016) 453–472.

[89] A. Katiyar, J. T. Foster, H. Ouchi, M. M. Sharma, A peridynamic formulation of pressure driven convective fluid transport in porous media, Journal of Computational Physics 261 (2014) 209–229.

[90] R. Jabakhanji, R. H. Mohtar, A peridynamic model of flow in porous media, Advances in Water Resources 78 (2015) 22–35.

[91] S. A. Silling, M. L. Parks, J. R. Kamm, O. Weckner, M. Rassaian, Modeling shockwaves and impact phenomena with Eulerian peridynamics, International Journal of Impact Engineering 107 (2017) 47–57.

[92] E. Askari, F. Bobaru, R. B. Lehoucq, M. L. Parks, S. A. Silling, O. Weckner, Peridynamics for multiscale materials modeling, in: Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 125, 2008.

[93] F. Bobaru, Y. D. Ha, Adaptive refinement and multiscale modeling in 2D peridynamics, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 9 (6) (2011) 635–660.

[94] F. Bobaru, Peridynamics and multiscale modeling, Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 9 (6) (2011) 7–9.

[95] B. Alali, R. Lipton, Multiscale dynamics of heterogeneous media in the peridynamic formulation, Journal of Elasticity 106 (1) (2012) 71–103.

[96] R. Rahman, J. T. Foster, Bridging the length scales through nonlocal hierarchical multiscale modeling scheme, Computational Materials Science 92 (2014) 401–415.

[97] H. Talebi, M. Silani, S. P. A. Bordas, P. Kerfriden, T. Rabczuk, A computational library for multiscale modeling of material failure, Computational Mechanics 53 (5) (2014) 1047–1071.

[98] Sandia National Laboratories, LAMMPS users manual (2003).

[99] Q. Du, R. Lipton, T. Mengesha, Multiscale analysis of linear evolution equations with applications to nonlocal models for heterogeneous media, ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis 50 (5) (2016) 1425–1455.

[100] F. Xu, M. Gunzburger, J. Burkardt, A multiscale method for nonlocal mechanics and diffusion and for the approximation of discontinuous functions, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 307 (2016) 117–143.

[101] F. Xu, M. Gunzburger, J. Burkardt, Q. Du, A multiscale implementation based on adaptive mesh refinement for the nonlocal peridynamics model in one dimension, Multiscale Modeling and Simulation 14 (1) (2016) 398–429.

[102] A. Yaghoobi, M. Chorzepa, S. Kim, S. A. Durham, Mesoscale fracture analysis of multiphase cementitious composites using peridynamics, Materials 10 (2) (2017) 162.

[103] A. Shelke, S. Banerjee, T. Kundu, U. Amjad, W. Grill, Multi-scale damage state estimation in composites using nonlocal elastic kernel: An

experimental validation, International Journal of Solids and Structures 48 (7-8) (2011) 1219–1228.

[104] A. P. Jivkov, J. R. Yates, Elastic behaviour of a regular lattice for meso-scale modelling of solids, International Journal of Solids and Structures 49 (22) (2012) 3089–3099.

[105] S. A. Silling, F. Bobaru, Peridynamic modeling of membranes and fibers, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 40 (2-3) (2005) 395–409.

[106] C. Diyaroglu, E. Oterkus, S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamics for bending of beams and plates with transverse shear deformation, International Journal of Solids and Structures 69–70 (2015) 152–168.

[107] J. O'Grady, J. Foster, Peridynamic beams: A non-ordinary, state-based model, International Journal of Solids and Structures 51 (18) (2014) 3177–3183.

[108] C. Diyaroglu, E. Oterkus, S. Oterkus, An Euler–Bernoulli beam formulation in an ordinary state-based peridynamic framework, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids (2017) `doi:10.1177/1081286517728424`.

[109] M. Taylor, D. J. Steigmann, A two-dimensional peridynamic model for thin plates, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids 20 (8) (2015) 998–1010.

[110] P. Isaksson, An implicit stress gradient plasticity model for describing mechanical behavior of planar fiber networks on a macroscopic scale, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 77 (8) (2010) 1240–1252.

[111] P. Isaksson, R. Hagglund, Acoustic emission assisted fracture zone analysis of cellulose fibre materials, Journal of Composite Materials 47 (22) (2012) 2865–2874.

[112] P. Isaksson, P. J. J. Dumont, S. Rolland Du Roscoat, Crack growth in planar elastic fiber materials, International Journal of Solids and Structures 49 (13) (2012) 1900–1907.

[113] P. Isaksson, R. Hägglund, Strain energy distribution in a crack-tip region in random fiber networks, International Journal of Fracture 156 (1) (2009) 1–9.

[114] S. R. Chowdhury, P. Roy, D. Roy, J. N. Reddy, A peridynamic theory for linear elastic shells, International Journal of Solids and Structures 84 (2016) 110–132.

[115] E. Celik, I. Guven, E. Madenci, Simulations of nanowire bend tests for extracting mechanical properties, Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics 55 (3) (2011) 185–191.

[116] H. Li, H. Zhang, Y. Zheng, L. Zhang, A peridynamic model for the nonlinear static analysis of truss and tensegrity structures, Computational Mechanics 57 (5) (2016) 843–858.

[117] Q. V. Le, W. K. Chan, J. Schwartz, Two-dimensional peridynamic simulation of the effect of defects on the mechanical behavior of Bi2 Sr2CaCu2Ox round wires, Superconductor Science and Technology 27 (11) (2014) 115007.

[118] M. Silhavy, Higher gradient expansion for linear isotropic peridynamic materials, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids 22 (2017) 1483–1493.

[119] J. T. Foster, S. A. Silling, W. W. Chen, Viscoplasticity using peridynamics, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 81 (10) (2010) 1242–1258.

[120] S. Sun, V. Sundararaghavan, A peridynamic implementation of crystal plasticity, International Journal of Solids and Structures 51 (19) (2014) 3350–3360.

[121] O. Weckner, N. A. Nik Mohamed, Viscoelastic material models in peridynamics, Applied Mathematics and Computation 219 (11) (2013) 6039–6043.

[122] E. Madenci, S. Oterkus, Ordinary state-based peridynamics for thermoviscoelastic deformation, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 175 (2017) 31–45.

[123] M. Griebel, M. A. Schweitzer (Eds.), Meshfree methods for partial diff erential equations VIII, 8th Edition, Springer, 2017.

[124] J. S. Chen, M. Hillman, S. W. Chi, Meshfree methods: progress made after 20 years, Journal of Engineering Mechanics 143 (4) (2017) 04017001.

[125] S. A. Silling, E. Askari, A meshfree method based on the peridynamic model of solid mechanics, Computers and Structures 83 (17-18) (2005) 1526–1535.

[126] M. A. Bessa, J. T. Foster, T. Belytschko, W. K. Liu, A meshfree unification: Reproducing kernel peridynamics, Computational Mechanics 53 (6) (2014) 1251–1264.

[127] G. C. Ganzenmüller, S. Hiermaier, M. May, On the similarity of meshless discretizations of Peridynamics and Smooth-Particle Hydrodynamics, Computers and Structures 150 (2015) 71–78.

[128] A. Yaghoobi, M. G. Chorzepa, Meshless modeling framework for fiber reinforced concrete structures, Computers and Structures 161 (2015) 43–54.

[129] J. O'Grady, J. Foster, A meshfree method for bending and failure in non-ordinary peridynamic shells, Computational Mechanics 57 (6) (2016) 921–929.

[130] P. Seleson, D. J. Littlewood, Convergence studies in meshfree peridynamic simulations, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 71 (11) (2016) 2432–2448.

[131] R. W. Macek, S. A. Silling, Peridynamics via finite element analysis, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 43 (15) (2007) 1169–1178.

[132] X. Chen, M. Gunzburger, Continuous and discontinuous finite element methods for a peridynamics model of mechanics, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 200 (9-12) (2011) 1237–1250.

[133] H. Wang, H. Tian, A fast Galerkin method with efficient matrix assembly and storage for a peridynamic model, Journal of Computational Physics 231 (23) (2012) 7730–7738.

[134] Z. Liu, A. Cheng, H. Wang, An hp-Galerkin method with fast solution for linear peridynamic models in one dimension, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 73 (7) (2017) 1546–1565.

[135] Q. Du, L. Ju, L. Tian, K. Zhou, A posteriori error analysis of finite element method for linear nonlocal diffusion and peridynamic models, Mathematics of Computation 82 (284) (2013) 1889–1922.

[136] Q. Du, L. Tian, X. Zhao, A convergent adaptive finite element algorithm for nonlocal diffusion and peridynamic models, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 51 (2) (2013) 1211–1234.

[137] X. Tian, Q. Du, Nonconforming discontinuous Galerkin methods for nonlocal variational problems, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 53 (2) (2015) 762–781.

[138] R. Beckmann, R. Mella, M. R. Wenman, Mesh and timestep sensitivity of fracture from thermal strains using peridynamics implemented in Abaqus, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 263 (2013) 71–80.

[139] A. Schweitzer, S. Wu, Numerical integration of on-the-fly-computed enrichment functions in the PUM, in: M. Griebel, M. A. Schweitzer (Eds.), Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations VII, Vol. 100, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, pp. 247–267.

[140] J. Reddy, A. Srinivasa, On the force–displacement characteristics of finite elements for elasticity and related problems, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 104 (2015) 35–40.

[141] P. Khodabakhshi, J. N. Reddy, A. Srinivasa, GraFEA: a graph-based finite element approach for the study of damage and fracture in brittle materials, Meccanica 51 (12) (2016) 3129–3147.

[142] B. Ren, C. T. Wu, E. Askari, A 3D discontinuous Galerkin finite element method with the bond-based peridynamics model for dynamic brittle failure analysis, International Journal of Impact Engineering 99 (2017) 14–25.

[143] D. De Meo, E. Oterkus, Finite element implementation of a peridynamic pitting corrosion damage model, Ocean Engineering 135 (2017)

76–83.

[144] W. Gerstle, N. Sau, S. Silling, Peridynamic modeling of concrete structures, Nuclear Engineering and Design 237 (12-13) (2007) 1250–1258.

[145] W. Gerstle, N. Sau, N. Sakhavand, On peridynamic computational simulation of concrete structures, American Concrete Structure, ACI Special Publication (2009) 245–264.

[146] C. Diyaroglu, S. Oterkus, E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamic Modeling of Diffusion by Using Finite-Element Analysis, IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Technology 7 (11) (2017) 1823–1831.

[147] A. Sadeghirad, D. L. Chopp, X. Ren, E. Fang, J. Lua, A novel hybrid approach for level set characterization and tracking of non-planar 3D cracks in the extended finite element method, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 160 (2016) 1–14.

[148] A. Norouzzadeh, R. Ansari, Finite element analysis of nano-scale Timoshenko beams using the integral model of nonlocal elasticity, Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures 88 (2017) 194–200.

[149] H. Wang, D. Yang, S. Zhu, A Petrov-Galerkin finite element method for variable-coefficient fractional diffusion equations, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 290 (2015) 45–56.

[150] N. Richart, J. F. Molinari, Implementation of a parallel finite-element library: Test case on a non-local continuum damage model, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 100 (2015) 41–46.

[151] W. Liu, Q. D. Yang, S. Mohammadizadeh, X. Y. Su, An efficient augmented finite element method for arbitrary cracking and crack interaction in solids, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 99 (6) (2014) 438–468.

[152] W. Liu, Q. D. Yang, S. Mohammadizadeh, X. Y. Su, D. S. Ling, An accurate and efficient augmented finite element method for arbitrary crack interactions, Journal of Applied Mechanics 80 (4) (2013) 041033.

[153] M. Zingales, M. Di Paola, G. Inzerillo, The finite element method for the mechanically basedmodel of non-local continuum, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 86 (13) (2011) 1558–1576.

[154] A. A. Pisano, A. Sofi, P. Fuschi, Finite element solutions for nonhomogeneous nonlocal elastic problems, Mechanics Research Communications 36 (7) (2009) 755–761.

[155] V. P. Nguyen, G. D. Nguyen, C. T. Nguyen, L. Shen, D. Dias-da-Costa, A. El-Zein, F. Maggi, Modelling complex cracks with finite elements: a kinematically enriched constitutive model, International Journal of Fracture 203 (1-2) (2017) 21–39.

[156] M. Naderi, N. Iyyer, 3D modeling of arbitrary cracking in solids using augmented finite element method, Composite Structures 160 (2017) 220–231.

[157] N. Moës, J. Dolbow, T. Belytschko, A finite element method for crack growth without remeshing, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 46 (1) (1999) 131–150.

[158] T. P. Fries, T. Belytschko, The extended/generalized finite element method: An overview of the method and its applications, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 84 (2010) 253–304.

[159] A. Agwai, I. Guven, E. Madenci, Predicting crack propagation with peridynamics: A comparative study, International Journal of Fracture 171 (1) (2011) 65–78.

[160] J. Foster, S. A. Silling, W. Chen, An energy based failure criterion for use with peridynamic states, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 9 (6) (2011) 675–688.

[161] B. Kilic, E. Madenci, Structural stability and failure analysis using peridynamic theory, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 44 (8) (2009) 845–854.

[162] R. A. Ibrahim, Recent advances of structural life assessment and related problems, Springer Proceedings in Physics 168 (2015) 1–27.

[163] A. Askari, Y. Azdoud, F. Han, G. Lubineau, S. Silling, Peridynamics for analysis of failure in advanced composite materials, in: P. Camanho, S. Hallett (Eds.), Numerical modelling of failure in advanced composite materials, Woodhead Publishing, 2015, pp. 331–350.

[164] H. Yi-le, Y. Yin, H. Wang, Peridynamic analytical method for progressive damage in notched composite laminates, Composite Structures 108 (1) (2014) 801–810.

[165] J. Jung, J. Seok, Fatigue crack growth analysis in layered heterogeneous material systems using peridynamic approach, Composite Structures 152 (2016) 403–407.

[166] E. Emmrich, D. Puhst, A short note on modeling damage in peridynamics, Journal of Elasticity 123 (2) (2016) 245–252.

[167] D. De Meo, C. Diyaroglu, N. Zhu, E. Oterkus, M. A. Siddiq, Modelling of stress-corrosion cracking by using peridynamics, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 41 (15) (2016) 6593–6609.

[168] Z. Chen, F. Bobaru, Peridynamic modeling of pitting corrosion damage, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 78 (2015) 352–381.

[169] Z. Chen, G. Zhang, F. Bobaru, The influence of passive film damage on pitting corrosion, Journal of the Electrochemical Society 163 (2) (2016) C19–C24.

[170] H. Jiang, L. He, L. Fan, G. Zhan, Numerical analysis method of cemented carbide turning tool's micro breakage based on peridynamic theory, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 88 (5-8) (2017) 1619–1628.

[171] B. Kilic, E. Madenci, Prediction of crack paths in a quenched glass plate by using peridynamic theory, International Journal of Fracture 156 (2) (2009) 165–177.

[172] Y. D. Ha, F. Bobaru, Characteristics of dynamic brittle fracture captured with peridynamics, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 78 (6) (2011) 1156–1168.

[173] Y. Shi, Creating atomic models of brittle glasses for in silico mechanical tests, International Journal of Applied Glass Science 7 (4) (2016) 464–473.

[174] W. Liu, J.-W. Hong, Discretized peridynamics for brittle and ductile solids, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 89 (8) (2012) 1028–1046.

[175] S. A. Silling, Dynamic fracture modeling with a meshfree peridynamic code, Computational Fluid and Solid Mechanics (2003) 641–644.

[176] S. A. Silling, O. Weckner, E. Askari, F. Bobaru, Crack nucleation in a peridynamic solid, International Journal of Fracture 162 (1-2) (2010) 219–227.

[177] Y. D. Ha, F. Bobaru, Studies of dynamic crack propagation and crack branching with peridynamics, International Journal of Fracture 162 (1-2) (2010) 229–244.

[178] F. Bobaru, W. Hu, The meaning, selection, and use of the peridynamic horizon and its relation to crack branching in brittle materials, International Journal of Fracture 176 (2) (2012) 215–222.

[179] D. Dipasquale, M. Zaccariotto, U. Galvanetto, Crack propagation with adaptive grid refinement in 2D peridynamics, International Journal of Fracture 190 (1-2) (2014) 1–22.

[180] R. Lipton, Cohesive dynamics and brittle fracture, Journal of Elasticity 124 (2) (2016) 143–191.

[181] R. Panchadhara, P. A. Gordon, R. Panchadhara, P. A. Gordon, Application of peridynamic stress intensity factors to dynamic fracture initiation and propagation, International Journal of Fracture 201 (1) (2016) 81–96.

[182] X. Zhou, Y. Wang, X. Xu, Numerical simulation of initiation, propagation and coalescence of cracks using the non-ordinary state-based peridynamics, International Journal of Fracture 201 (2) (2016) 213–234.

[183] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamic modeling of fuel pellet cracking, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 176 (2017) 23–37.

[184] E. Madenci, K. Colavito, N. Phan, Peridynamics for unguided crack growth prediction under mixed-mode loading, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 167 (2016) 34–44.

[185] J. Lee, J. W. Hong, Dynamic crack branching and curving in brittle polymers, International Journal of Solids and Structures 100-101 (2016) 332–340.

[186] F. Bobaru, Influence of van der Waals forces on increasing the strength and toughness in dynamic fracture of nanofibre networks: a peridynamic approach, Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering 15 (5) (2007) 397–417.

[187] D. Huang, G. Lu, Y. Liu, Nonlocal peridynamic modeling and simulation on crack propagation in concrete structures, Mathematical Problems in Engineering 2015 (2015) .

[188] W. Hu, Y. D. Ha, F. Bobaru, Peridynamic model for dynamic fracture in unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 217-220 (2012) 247–261.

[189] M. Ghajari, L. Iannucci, P. Curtis, A peridynamic material model for the analysis of dynamic crack propagation in orthotropic media, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 276 (2014) 431–452.

[190] G. Zhang, Q. Le, A. Loghin, A. Subramaniyan, F. Bobaru, Validation of a peridynamic model for fatigue cracking, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 162 (2016) 76–94.

[191] Z. Cheng, G. Zhang, Y. Wang, F. Bobaru, A peridynamic model for dynamic fracture in functionally graded materials, Composite Structures 133 (2015) 529–546.

[192] D. De Meo, N. Zhu, E. Oterkus, Peridynamic modeling of granular fracture in polycrystalline materials, Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology 138 (4) (2016) 041008.

[193] Y. Wang, X. Zhou, X. Xu, Numerical simulation of propagation and coalescence of flaws in rock materials under compressive loads using the extended non-ordinary state-based peridynamics, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 163 (2016) 248–273.

[194] X. P. Zhou, Y. D. Shou, Numerical simulation of failure of rock-like material subjected to compressive loads using improved peridynamic method, International Journal of Geomechanics 17 (3) (2017) 04016086.

[195] Y. D. Ha, J. Lee, J. W. Hong, Fracturing patterns of rock-like materials in compression captured with peridynamics, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 144 (2015) 176–193.

[196] J. Lee, Y. D. Ha, J. W. Hong, Crack coalescence morphology in rock-like material under compression, International Journal of Fracture 203 (1-2) (2017) 211–236.

[197] G. I. Evangelatos, P. D. Spanos, A collocation approach for spatial discretization of stochastic peridynamic modeling of fracture, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 6 (7-8) (2011) 1171–1195.

[198] Y. Hu, E. Madenci, Peridynamics for fatigue life and residual strength prediction of composite laminates, Composite Structures 160 (2017) 169–184.

[199] S. A. Silling, A. Askari, Peridynamic model for fatigue cracks, Tech. Rep. 18590, Sandia National Laboratories (2014).

[200] S. A. Silling, E. Askari, Peridynamic modeling of impact damage, in: ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference, Problems Involving Thermal Hydraulics, Liquid Sloshing, and Extreme Loads on Structures, Vol. 489, 2004, pp. 197–205.

[201] P. N. Demmie, S. A. Silling, An approach to modeling extreme loading of structures using peridynamics, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 2 (10) (2007) 1921–1945.

[202] E. Oterkus, I. Guven, E. Madenci, Impact damage assessment by using peridynamic theory, Central European Journal of Engineering 2 (4) (2012) 523–531.

[203] C. Sun, Z. Huang, Peridynamic simulation to impacting damage in composite laminate, Composite Structures 138 (2016) 335–341.

[204] F. Bobaru, Y. D. Ha, W. Hu, Damage progression from impact in layered glass modeled with peridynamics, Central European Journal of Engineering 2 (4) (2012) 551–561.

[205] W. Hu, Y. Wang, J. Yu, C. F. Yen, F. Bobaru, Impact damage on a thin glass plate with a thin polycarbonate backing, International Journal of Impact Engineering 62 (2013) 152–165.

[206] P. Diehl, A. Schweitzer, Simulation of wave propagation and impact damage in brittle materials using peridynamics, in: M. Mehl,

M. Bischoff, M. Schäfer (Eds.), Recent Trends in Computational Engineering - CE2014: Optimization, Uncertainty, Parallel Algorithms, Coupled and Complex Problems, Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 251–265.

[207] N. Liu, D. Liu, W. Zhou, Peridynamic modelling of impact damage in three-point bending beam with offset notch, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 38 (1) (2017) 99–110.

[208] J. Lee, W. Liu, J. W. Hong, Impact fracture analysis enhanced by contact of peridynamic and finite element formulations, International Journal of Impact Engineering 87 (2016) 108–119.

[209] L. E. Kosteski, I. Iturrioz, A. P. Cisilino, R. Barrios D'Ambra, V. Pettarin, L. Fasce, P. Frontini, A lattice discrete element method to model the falling-weight impact test of PMMA specimens, International Journal of Impact Engineering 87 (2016) 120–131.

[210] P. N. Demmie, M. Ostoja-Starzewski, Local and nonlocal material models, spatial randomness, and impact loading, Archive of Applied Mechanics 86 (1-2) (2016) 39–58.

[211] C. H. Lee, A. J. Gil, O. I. Hassan, J. Bonet, S. Kulasegaram, A variationally consistent Streamline Upwind Petrov Galerkin Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics algorithm for large strain solid dynamics, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 318 (2017) 514–536.

[212] M. Omidvar, M. Iskander, S. Bless, Response of granular media to rapid penetration, International Journal of Impact Engineering 66 (2014) 60–82.

[213] T. L. Warren, S. J. Hanchak, K. L. Poormon, Penetration of limestone targets by ogive-nosed VAR 4340 steel projectiles at oblique angles: Experiments and simulations, International Journal of Impact Engineering 30 (10) (2004) 1307–1331.

[214] J. P. Borg, T. J. Vogler, Mesoscale calculations of the dynamic behavior of a granular ceramic, International Journal of Solids and Structures 45 (6) (2008) 1676–1696.

[215] S. A. Silling, Origin and effect of nonlocality in a composite, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 9 (2) (2014) 245–258.

[216] V. A. Buryachenko, Some general representations in thermoperistatics of random structure composites, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 12 (4) (2014) 331–350.

[217] B. Kilic, A. Agwai, E. Madenci, Peridynamic theory for progressive damage prediction in center-cracked composite laminates, Composite Structures 90 (2) (2009) 141–151.

[218] W. Hu, Y. D. Ha, F. Bobaru, Modeling dynamic fracture and damage in a fiber-reinforced composite lamina with peridynamics, International Journal for Multiscale Computational Engineering 9 (6) (2011) 707–726.

[219] E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamic theory for damage initiation and growth in composite laminate, Key Engineering Materials 488-489 (1) (2012) 355–358.

[220] E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, Peridynamic analysis of fiber-reinforced composite materials, Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures 7 (1) (2012) 47–84.

[221] Y. L. Hu, N. V. De Carvalho, E. Madenci, Peridynamic modeling of delamination growth in composite laminates, Composite Structures 132 (2015) 610–620.

[222] C. Diyaroglu, E. Oterkus, E. Madenci, T. Rabczuk, A. Siddiq, Peridynamic modeling of composite laminates under explosive loading, Composite Structures 144 (2016) 14–23.

[223] T. Sadowski, B. Pankowski, Peridynamical modelling of nanoindentation in ceramic composites, Solid State Phenomena 254 (2016) 55–59.

[224] F. Shen, Q. Zhang, D. Huang, Damage and failure process of concrete structure under uniaxial compression based on peridynamics modeling, Mathematical Problems in Engineering 2013 (2013) 1–5.

[225] A. Yaghoobi, M. G. Chorzepa, Fracture analysis of fiber reinforced concrete structures in the micropolar peridynamic analysis framework, Engineering Fracture Mechanics 169 (2017) 238–250.

[226] M. Duzzi, M. Zaccariotto, U. Galvanetto, Application of peridynamic theory to nanocomposite materials, Advanced Materials Research

1016 (2014) 44–48.

[227] F. Han, Y. Azdoud, G. Lubineau, Computational modeling of elastic properties of carbon nanotube/polymer composites with interphase regions. Part II: Mechanical modeling, Computational Materials Science 81 (2014) 652–661.

[228] J. Decklever, P. Spanos, Nanocomposite material properties estimation and fracture analysis via peridynamics and Monte Carlo simulation, Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics 44 (2015) 77–88.

[229] Q. Deng, Y. Chen, J. Lee, An investigation of the microscopic mechanism of fracture and healing processes in cortical bone, International Journal of Damage Mechanics 18 (5) (2009) 491–502.

[230] M. Taylor, I. Gözen, S. Patel, A. Jesorka, K. Bertoldi, Peridynamic modeling of ruptures in biomembranes, PLoS ONE 11 (11) (2016) e0165947.

[231] P. Perré, G. Almeida, M. Ayouz, X. Frank, New modelling approaches to predict wood properties from its cellular structure: image-based representation and meshless methods, Annals of Forest Science 73 (1) (2016) 147–162.

[232] E. Lejeune, C. Linder, Modeling tumor growth with peridynamics, Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology 16 (4) (2017) 1141–1157.

[233] E. Lejeune, C. Linder, Quantifying the relationship between cell division angle and morphogenesis through computational modeling, Journal of Theoretical Biology 418 (2017) 1–7.

[234] E. Lejeune, C. Linder, Modeling mechanical inhomogeneities in small populations of proliferating monolayers and spheroids, Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology.

[235] E. Lejeune, C. Linder, Understanding the relationship between cell death and tissue shrinkage via an agent-based model, Journal of Biomechanics 7 (2018) 9–17.

[236] A. Javili, B. Dortdivanlioglu, E. Kuhl, C. Linder, Computational aspects of growth-induced instabilities through eigenvalue analysis, Computational Mechanics 56 (2015) 405–420.

[237] E. Kuhl, Unfolding the brain, Nature Physics 12 (6) (2016) 533–534.

[238] G. Hattori, J. Trevelyan, C. E. Augarde, W. M. Coombs, A. C. Aplin, Numerical simulation of fracking in shale rocks: current state and future approaches, Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering 24 (2) (2017) 281–317.

[239] D. Z. Turner, A non-local model for fluid-structure interaction with applications in hydraulic fracturing, International Journal for Computational Methods in Engineering Science and Mechanics 14 (5) (2013) 391–400.

[240] S. Nadimi, I. Miscovic, J. McLennan, A 3D peridynamic simulation of hydraulic fracture process in a heterogeneous medium, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 145 (2016) 444–452.

[241] F. Wu, S. Li, Q. Duan, X. Li, Application of the method of peridynamics to the simulation of hydraulic fracturing process, Springer Proceedings in Physics 188 (2017) 561–569.

[242] R. Panchadhara, P. A. Gordon, M. L. Parks, Modeling propellant-based stimulation of a borehole with peridynamics, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 93 (2017) 330–343.

[243] X. Lai, B. Ren, H. Fan, S. Li, C. T. Wu, R. A. Regueiro, L. Liu, Peridynamics simulations of geomaterial fragmentation by impulse loads, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 39 (12) (2015) 1304–1330.

[244] Y. Feng, X. Chen, X. F. Xu, Current status and potentials of enhanced geothermal system in China: A review, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 33 (2014) 214–223.

[245] X. P. Zhou, X. B. Gu, Y. T. Wang, Numerical simulations of propagation, bifurcation and coalescence of cracks in rocks, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 80 (2015) 241–254.

[246] M. Zingales, Wave propagation in 1D elastic solids in presence of long-range central interactions, Journal of Sound and Vibration 330 (16)

(2011) 3973–3989.

[247] S. N. Butt, J. J. Timothy, G. Meschke, Wave dispersion and propagation in state-based peridynamics, Computational Mechanics 60 (2017) 725—738.

[248] H. R. Beyer, B. Aksoylu, F. Celiker, On a class of nonlocal wave equations from applications, Journal of Mathematical Physics 57 (6) (2014) 062902.

[249] Z. P. Bazant, W. Luo, V. T. Chau, M. A. Bessa, Wave dispersion and basic concepts of peridynamics compared to classical nonlocal damage models, Journal of Applied Mechanics 83 (11) (2016) 111004.

[250] R. Rahman, J. T. Foster, Onto resolving spurious wave reflection problem with changing nonlocality among various length scales, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 34 (2016) 86–122.

[251] V. V. Nishawala, M. Ostoja-Starzewski, M. J. Leamy, P. N. Demmie, Simulation of elastic wave propagation using cellular automata and peridynamics, and comparison with experiments, Wave Motion 60 (2016) 73–83.

[252] S. A. Silling, Solitary waves in a peridynamic elastic solid, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 96 (2016) 121–132.

[253] T. J. Vogler, J. P. Borg, D. E. Grady, On the scaling of steady structured waves in heterogeneous materials, Journal of Applied Physics 112 (12) (2012) 123507.

[254] R. A. Wildman, G. A. Gazonas, A finite difference-augmented peridynamics method for reducing wave dispersion, International Journal of Fracture 190 (1-2) (2014) 39–52.

[255] A. Martowicz, W. J. Staszewski, M. Ruzzene, T. Uhl, Peridynamics as an analysis tool for wave propagation in graphene nanoribbons, in: Proceedings of SPIE, Vol. 9435, 2015.

[256] F. Kaviani, H. R. Mirdamadi, Wave propagation analysis of carbon nano-tube conveying fluid including slip boundary condition and strain/inertial gradient theory, Computers and Structures 116 (2013) 75–87.

[257] M. L. Parks, R. B. Lehoucq, S. J. Plimpton, S. A. Silling, Implementing peridynamics within a molecular dynamics code, Computer Physics Communications 179 (11) (2008) 777–783.

[258] Z. Dai, M. A. Bessa, S. Li, W. K. Liu, Particle method modeling of nonlocal multiresolution continua, in: M. Griebel, M. A. Schweitzer (Eds.), Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations VII, Vol. 100, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, pp. 43–60.

[259] P. Podio-Guidugli, On the modeling of transport phenomena in continuum and statistical mechanics, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series S 10 (6) (2017) 1393–1411.

[260] L. G. Margolin, Finite scale theory: The role of the observer in classical fluid flow, Mechanics Research Communications 57 (2014) 10–17.

[261] S. Motsch, E. Tadmor, Heterophilious dynamics enhances consensus, SIAM Review 56 (4) (2014) 577–621.

[262] S. F. Henke, S. Shanbhag, Mesh sensitivity in peridynamic simulations, Computer Physics Communications 185 (1) (2014) 181–193.

[263] A. Freimanis, A. Paeglitis, Mesh sensitivity in peridynamic quasi-static simulations, Procedia Engineering 172 (2017) 284–291.

[264] M. L. Parks, D. J. Littlewood, J. A. Mitchell, S. A. Silling, Peridigm users' guide, Sandia National Laboratories (September 2012).

[265] M. D. Brothers, J. T. Foster, H. R. Millwater, A comparison of different methods for calculating tangent-stiffness matrices in a massively parallel computational peridynamics code, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 279 (2014) 247–267.

[266] B. Kilic, E. Madenci, An adaptive dynamic relaxation method for quasi-static simulations using the peridynamic theory, Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics 53 (3) (2010) 194–204.

[267] K. Yu, X. J. Xin, K. B. Lease, A new adaptive integration method for the peridynamic theory, Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering 19 (4) (2011) 045003.

[268] P. Seleson, Improved one-point quadrature algorithms for two-dimensional peridynamic models based on analytical calculations, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 282 (2014) 184–217.

[269] X. M. Gu, T. Z. Huang, X. L. Zhao, W. R. Xu, H. B. Li, L. Li, Circulant preconditioned iterative methods for peridynamic model simulation, Applied Mathematics and Computation 248 (2014) 470–479.