

1 **Risk of hospitalisation with fever following 4CMenB**
2 **vaccination: self controlled case series analysis**

3 Heather Murdoch¹, Lynn Wallace², Jennifer Bishop², Chris Robertson^{3,4},
4 J. Claire Cameron¹

5 ¹Immunisation Team, Health Protection Scotland, National Services Scotland, 5 Cadogan
6 Street, Glasgow, G2 6QE

7 ²Consultancy Services, Information Services Division, National Services Scotland, 5
8 Cadogan Street, Glasgow, G2 6QE

9 ³University of Strathclyde, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 26 Richmond Street,
10 Glasgow G1 1XH,

11 ⁴International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon, France
12

13 ✉ Corresponding author: heather.murdoch@nhs.net

14 Tel: 00 44 141 300 1159

15

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Objective**

3 To investigate a possible association between fever admissions and 4CMenB vaccine

4 **Design**

5 4CMenB is given at 8 and 16 weeks in the first year of life. Self controlled case series using
6 linked routinely collected healthcare data, where the risk period was the 3 days immediately
7 following receipt of a vaccine dose.

8 **Patients**

9 Children aged under one year in Scotland pre- and post-introduction of 4CMenB vaccine

10 **Main outcome measures**

11 Hospitalisations for fever attributable to 4CMenB vaccine

12 **Results**

13 The post-introduction model showed an increased risk in the three days after dose 1 (relative
14 incidence (RI), 10.78; 95% CI, 8.31, 14.00) and dose 3 (RI, 9.80; 95% CI, 7.10, 13.62), with
15 a smaller increased risk after dose 2 (RI, 2.20; 95% CI, 1.27, 3.82). The magnitude of these
16 effects was greater than in the pre-introduction model. The attributable fractions were 90.7%,
17 54.8% and 89.7%, equating to 162, 14 and 84 vaccine attributable cases per 100,000 doses
18 respectively (Table 2).

19 This is equivalent to 103 extra hospitalisations in Scotland annually, based on a birth cohort
20 of 55,100 and extrapolated to 1440 across the UK based on a birth cohort of 777,165.

21 **Conclusion**

22 There is an increased risk of hospital admission with fever within three days of the routine
23 childhood immunisations at 8 and 16 weeks following introduction of 4CMenB vaccine. The
24 results indicate that further understanding of current use of prophylactic paracetamol is

1 needed and that communication to parents and health professionals may need re-examined
2 to reinforce guidance.

3

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Meningococcal disease is a systemic bacterial infection caused by *Neisseria meningitidis*, an
3 organism commonly carried harmlessly in the nasopharynx.[1] In the UK, meningococcal
4 disease serogroup B is responsible for the highest number of cases and remains the main
5 cause of infant deaths from infectious disease.[2] The disease has a rapid onset and even
6 with prompt treatment survivors can be left with serious long term sequelae such as
7 deafness, epilepsy and limb amputations.[3] Vaccination is therefore the most effective
8 control measure. Although recent years have seen a decline in meningococcal disease
9 across the UK, new virulent strains can arise resulting in national outbreaks such as MenW,
10 which prompted introduction of MenACWY vaccine into the adolescent and student
11 programme.[2,4]

12 The 4CMenB vaccine, Bexsero® was introduced in Scotland in September 2015 and offered
13 to all babies born on or after 01 July, 2015 at their routine appointments at 8 weeks, 16
14 weeks and 12-13 months.[5] There was also an initial catch up programme for babies born
15 on or after 1 May 2015 and who were attending for vaccinations at 3 and 4 months. Fever is
16 a known side-effect when administered alongside other routine vaccines, with highest rates
17 within 6 hours. Other side-effects such as irritability, drowsiness and loss of appetite were
18 also reported.[6,7,8] Results of a clinical trial indicated that administration of prophylactic
19 paracetamol significantly reduced reports of fever by 40-50% to around the expected
20 occurrence prior to the introduction of 4CMenB. Reports of other symptoms were also
21 reduced.[9] As a result, the UK Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI)
22 recommended 3 doses of infant paracetamol following vaccinations at 8 and 16 weeks, with
23 the first dose given at the time of the vaccination followed by 2 further doses at 4-6 hour
24 intervals, regardless of whether fever develops.[4]

25 Suspected Adverse Events Following Immunisation (AEFI) are reported to the UK Medicines
26 and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) via the yellow card scheme. We

1 developed a supplementary method to proactively identify potential AEFI resulting in hospital
2 admission. [10] This has been used to monitor rotavirus, shingles, HPV and more recently
3 4CMenB vaccines. Ongoing monitoring showed a signal for fever admissions corresponding
4 to the start of the 4CMenB programme. Due to inherent time lags in completion of hospital
5 admission data, this pattern of repeating signal became more conclusive after a few months.
6 We therefore decided to investigate, conducting a self-controlled case series analysis
7 (SCCS), using linked routine healthcare data. This method has previously been used to
8 investigate potential AEFI, having advantages including control of sex, location, and
9 underlying health.[11,12,13]

10 **METHODS**

11 **Self controlled case series (SCCS) analysis**

12 SMR01 data is held by Information Services Division (ISD) and unit of analysis is an
13 “episode”. An episode is generated when a patient is discharged; transferred between
14 hospitals or specialties or to a different consultant. Episodes are grouped as continuous
15 inpatient stays (CIS) and each CIS defined as a case.

16 Fever cases were extracted from September 2014 until July 2016 for infants using the ICD10
17 code “R50” and included if recorded in any diagnostic position during the hospital stay. Age
18 was defined as on admission.

19 Vaccination records for all children born between January 2013 and August 2016 were
20 extracted from the Scottish Immunisation & Recall System (SIRS). This study concentrated
21 on vaccinations given at 8, 12 and 16 weeks. DTaP-IPV-Hib records were examined, to
22 enable a consistent comparator pre- and post-introduction of 4CMenB. In Scotland, uptake
23 rates by 12 months of age for complete primary courses of immunisation against DTaP-IPV-
24 Hib are high, with rates around 97%. Uptake of 4CMenB vaccine for the first routine cohort
25 (born July 2015) was 95.7% for one dose and 82.4% for two doses of vaccine at six months

1 of age, rising to 94.5% for two doses at age 12 months [14,15]. Table 1 shows the current
2 routine childhood schedule for infants.

3 **Table 1 Routine childhood immunisation schedule in Scotland (2016)**

Age	Immunisations
8 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• DTaP/IPV/Hib• Pneumococcal (PCV)• Rotavirus• Meningococcal group B (4CMenB)
12 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• DTaP/IPV/Hib• Rotavirus• Meningococcal group C (MenC)*
16 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• DTaP/IPV/Hib• Pneumococcal (PCV)• Meningococcal group B (4CMenB)

4 * MenC was removed from the routine childhood immunisation schedule in September 2016

5 Vaccination records were matched with fever cases using the Community Health Index (CHI)
6 number. Fever cases without a CHI number were excluded, which resulted in one case
7 being excluded. Patients were included whether they had received no, partial or full (three)
8 doses of DTaP-IPV-Hib. Eight fever cases did not match to SIRS records and were included
9 as non-vaccinated patients.

10 Patients were excluded if the time between birth and first dose of DTaP-IPV-Hib was less
11 than 20 days as assumed to be due to inaccurate recording, resulting in exclusion of 5 fever
12 cases.

13 Age in days at time of vaccination and fever admission were calculated and the risk period
14 defined as 3 days post-DTaP-IPV-Hib vaccination. Figure 1 shows an example of a SCCS
15 design of a single patient timeline with 2 fever admissions.

16 The analysis was based on each case of fever, rather than just the first case for each
17 patient, consistent with the study methodology. The rationale was that the risk after each

1 vaccine dose at 8, 12 and 16 weeks would differ due to the differences in vaccination
2 schedule; therefore each dose was assigned a different risk factor (1, 2 and 3). The
3 observation period (the rest of the year) was assumed to have the same “risk” and therefore
4 coded as 0 (Figure 1).

5 The data were split into pre- and post-4CMenB vaccine introduction, from September 2014
6 to August 2015 and September 2015 to June 2016 respectively, and the RIs for each time
7 period calculated. In the pre-4CMenB vaccination period the RIs are for the DTaP-IPV-Hib
8 doses while in the post-4CMenB vaccination period for DTaP-IPV-Hib /4CMenB doses.
9 Comparison of the two sets of RIs demonstrates the impact of the 4CMenB vaccine.

10 The relative incidence compares the period 3 days after vaccination for an individual to all
11 days not included in that period for the same individual. Age was included as a covariate,
12 taking different values every 30 days. The analysis was carried out as a conditional logistic
13 regression, stratified by individual, with covariates of age group and whether or not the
14 individual was in or out of the exposure period. The age group effect was used to control
15 adjust for the different hospitalisation rates in for example, months 0-6 compared to months
16 6-12, and so the effect of the exposure period takes into account the known differences in
17 hospitalisation rates associated with age. Age was restricted to those who were under 1 year
18 at admission.

19 The case series analysis was carried out in R using the clogit function in the survival
20 package to account for the repeated observations on the subjects and an offset of the
21 logarithm of the interval length to account for the exposure period. The attributable fractions
22 were calculated using the relative incidence $(RI-1)/RI \times 100$ for each risk period of 3 days
23 after each dose of DTaP-IPV-Hib. This was applied to calculate the attributable number of
24 cases and a rate calculated using the relevant mid-year population estimates. Confidence
25 intervals were obtained using a parametric bootstrap approach where the log relative risks
26 were sampled from a normal distribution and the numbers of cases from Poisson

1 distributions, centred at the observed values. Medians and upper and lower 2.5%
2 percentiles are reported from the 10,000 bootstrap simulations.

3 **Analysis of admissions for seizures including febrile seizures**

4 SMR01 data was extracted for admissions for all cause seizures including febrile seizures
5 (R56, G40, G41) within 3 days of the routine child vaccinations at 8, 12 and 16 weeks and
6 compared pre- and post-vaccine introduction.

7 **Analysis of lumbar puncture procedures**

8 SMR01 data was extracted for admissions which included lumbar puncture procedures (LP)
9 using OPCS Classification of Interventions and Procedures version (OPCS4) codes
10 'A553','A558','A559' from 2011 to 2016 within 3 days of the routine child immunisations at 8,
11 12 and 16 weeks and compared pre- and post-vaccine introduction.

12 **Analysis of Length of Stay**

13 Length of stay was calculated from the extracted SMR01 data within 3 days of the routine
14 childhood vaccinations at 8, 12 and 16 weeks and compared pre- and post-vaccine
15 introduction.

16 **Ethics**

17 The investigation received approval from the NHS National Services Scotland Privacy
18 Advisory Committee.

19 **RESULTS**

20 **Self controlled case series analysis**

21 A total of 1435 fever cases were identified; 670 before 4CMenB introduction and 765
22 following introduction of the programme (Table 2).

23 **Table 2 Number of fever cases pre- and post-4CMenB vaccine introduction**

	Pre-4CMenB	Post-4CMenB
--	------------	-------------

	(Sep 2014 to Aug 2015)	(Sep 2015 to Jun 2016)
Risk Period	No of cases	
0 (observation)	625	606
1 (dose1)	27	95
3 (dose2)	3	14
5 (dose3)	15	50
Total	670	765

1

2 In the pre-introduction model, there was a significantly increased risk in the three days after
3 both dose 1 and dose 3 (RI, 3.01; 95% CI: 1.99, 4.53, and RI, 2.51; 95% CI: 1.47, 4.27),
4 (Table 3). The attributable fractions were 66.8% and 60.1% respectively. Calculation of
5 vaccine attributable cases, based on an approximate vaccine uptake of 95%, was equivalent
6 to 33 (95% CI: 20, 49) and 16 (95% CI: 7, 28) cases per 100,000 doses respectively. Dose 2
7 was not associated with an increased risk of fever admission (RI, 0.43; 95% CI: 0.14, 1.36).

8 The post-introduction model showed a greater increased risk in the three days after both
9 dose 1 (RI, 10.78; 95% CI: 8.31, 14.00) and dose 3 (RI, 9.80; 95% CI: 7.10, 13.62) with a
10 smaller increase risk after dose 2 (RI, 2.20; 95% CI: 1.27, 3.82), compared to the pre-
11 introduction model. The attributable fractions were 90.7%, 54.8% and 89.7%, equivalent to
12 162 (95% CI: 129, 195), 14 (95% CI: 5, 25) and 84 (95% CI: 62, 109) vaccine attributable
13 cases per 100,000 doses respectively (Table 3).

Table 2 Relative incidence of fever admission and attributable cases (per 100,000 vaccinations) in the 3 days following vaccination with DTaP-IPV-Hib dose pre- and post-introduction of 4CMenB

Model and dose	Pre-4CMenB vaccine introduction			Post-4CMenB vaccine introduction		
	No of events	RI (95% CI)	Attributable cases per 100,000 vaccinations (95% CI)	No of events	RI (95% CI)	Attributable cases per 100,000 vaccinations (95% CI)
Dose 1	27	3.00 (1.99, 4.53)	33 (29, 49)	95	10.78 (8.31, 14.00)	162(129, 195)
Dose 2	3	0.43 (0.14, 1.36)	-6 (-44, 1)	14	2.20 (1.27, 3.83)	14 (5, 25)
Dose 3	15	2.51 (1.47, 4.27)	16 (7, 28)	50	9.80 (7.06, 13.60)	84 (62, 109)

1 The total number of additional hospitalisations was estimated by comparing attributable
2 cases before and after the introduction of 4CMenB vaccine. Therefore following dose 1 the
3 attributable cases would be 128/100,000 (95% CI: 93, 165), which in a birth cohort of 55,100
4 (Scotland 2015) equivalent to 68 (95% CI: 49, 90) hospitalisations, extrapolated to 940 (95%
5 CI: 680, 1210) in the UK (UK, 2015). Similarly, for dose 3 the attributable cases would be 67
6 (95% CI: 43, 94) per 100,000 equating to 35 extra hospitalisations in Scotland (95% CI: 22,
7 50) and 490 (95% CI: 680, 1210) in the UK. Therefore combining doses 1 and 3 together this
8 would amount to 103 (95% CI: 80,126) extra hospitalisations in Scotland per year or 1440
9 (95% CI: 1120, 1770) across the UK.

10 **Seizures including febrile seizures**

11 The proportions of seizure cases within 3 days of the routine childhood immunisations at 8,
12 12 and 16 weeks were compared pre- and post-vaccine introduction. There were 12/765
13 (1.57%) cases pre- introduction period (Sep 2013 to August 2015) compared to 8/374
14 (2.13%) in the post- period (Sep 2015 to August 2016) (P=0.49).

15 **Lumbar punctures**

16 The proportions of LPs within three days of routine childhood immunisations at 8, 12 and 16
17 weeks were compared pre- and post-vaccine introduction. There were 17/520 (3.27%) in the
18 pre-introduction period compared to 22/408 in the post-introduction period (5.39%) (p=0.11),
19 which is not statistically significant. However as the absolute numbers are very low this may
20 need monitored further.

21 **Length of Stay**

22 Most cases had a short length of stay of either '0' or '1' day. Following 4CMenB introduction,
23 the increase was observed in short length of stays particularly with the '0' day stays
24 outnumbering the '1' day stays approx 2:1. Following Dose 1 there were 13/27 (48.1%) of
25 cases of <1 day length of stay pre-4CMenB introduction compared to 48/95 (50.5%)

- 1 (p=0.83). Following dose 3, there were 8/15 (53.3%) cases of <1 day length of stay pre-
- 2 4CMenB compared to 26/51 (50.9%) post-4CMenB (p=0.87).

1 **DISCUSSION**

2

3 This study has demonstrated the usefulness of proactively monitoring hospital admission
4 data for potential AEFI and the ability to further investigate by linking to vaccination records
5 using CHI.

6 The results have shown a significant increased risk of hospital admission with fever within
7 three days of the routine childhood immunisation schedule at 8 and 16 weeks (dose 1 and 3)
8 following introduction of 4CMenB. There was also a small but significant risk following
9 vaccinations at 12 weeks post-introduction of 4CMenB. This is likely related to the catch-up
10 campaign at the start of the programme as admissions mainly occurred in the first couple of
11 months of the programme.[5] The results indicated that markers of severity such as all
12 convulsions, including febrile, and length of stay were not significantly increased consistent
13 with clinical trial findings.[8]

14 To provide context, we estimated the number of additional hospitalisations by comparing
15 attributable risk pre- and post-introduction of 4CMenB vaccine as equivalent to 103 extra
16 hospitalisations annually in Scotland and 1,440 across the UK.

17 Analysis of lumbar puncture procedures was also carried out pre- and post-4CMenB
18 vaccine. There is currently insufficient evidence that an observed increase is associated with
19 4CMenB however as the numbers are low this may need monitored further. This is
20 particularly relevant as current guidance from NICE on management of fever in under 5s
21 recommends lumbar puncture is carried out on all infants aged 1-3 months with fever who
22 appear unwell.[16]

23 An increased risk of fever admissions prior to the introduction of the 4CMenB following
24 vaccinations at 8 and 16 weeks (dose 1 and 3) was observed. This timing coincides with
25 pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) administration. Fever is mentioned as a potential

1 adverse reaction for PCV with an increased level observed in clinical trials.[17] However the
2 rates of admission were significantly higher following the introduction of 4CMenB vaccine.

3 The increased risk of fever associated with 4CMenB vaccine when given along with other
4 routine vaccinations is a known adverse event.[8] However results of a phase 2 randomised
5 controlled trial indicated that giving prophylactic paracetamol reduced post-vaccination
6 reactions including fever down to pre-4CMenB rates, without impacting on
7 immunogenicity.[9] Therefore the JCVI recommended prophylactic paracetamol at the time
8 of the vaccinations followed by a further 2 doses.[4,5] Adherence to this recommendation is
9 not known. It is possible, particularly given the very young age of infants at the time of the
10 first vaccination, that there may be some reluctance to give paracetamol before a fever is
11 actually evident, which would reduce its impact. It is therefore unclear if any of these
12 hospitalisations were avoidable. This is further strengthened by an initial analysis of
13 community prescribing data from September 2015 until the October 2016 which showed
14 approximately 1400 prescriptions were written by pharmacists for paracetamol 120mg/5ml
15 liquid per month in Scotland, as part of a public health service for prophylaxis of post
16 4CMenB fever.[4,18] This is lower than expected given the high uptake of 4CMenB and size
17 of the birth cohort (approximately 5000 births per month). It is not known however if this
18 represents a real under use of paracetamol or whether parents are not getting prescriptions
19 as they already hold stocks or purchase elsewhere. Recent studies have shown a rise in
20 infants presenting to Accident and Emergency departments and consulting with general
21 practitioners for fever despite recommendation for prophylactic use of paracetamol.[19,20]

22 There may also be other factors which led to the increased risk of fever admissions post-
23 introduction, including parental awareness of fever as a known side effect of 4CMenB
24 vaccine, which we are unable to quantify.

1 The results indicate that further understanding of current use of prophylactic paracetamol is
2 needed and that communication to parents and health professionals may need re-examined
3 to reinforce guidance.

4 **LIMITATIONS**

5 There are a number of limitations to this study. To include as much data as possible in the
6 post-introduction cohort, we did not limit admissions by date of birth. Therefore we may not
7 capture every patient's first full year i.e. patient could be born in Feb 2016, admitted April
8 2016 and may or may not have had their vaccines. The post-4CMenB model was limited
9 including a full year's data due to inherent delay in SMR01 completion at the time of
10 analysis. In addition, we only looked at fever hospitalisations and were not able to assess
11 impact on other areas including primary care consultations and attendances at A&E.
12 Furthermore, we were only able to look at a relatively short period of time following vaccine
13 introduction. However, none of these should alter the overall result of the study, but rather
14 increase its power as further data accumulates.

15 **CONCLUSION**

16 There is an increased risk of admission to hospital with fever within three days of the routine
17 childhood immunisation schedule at 8 and 16 weeks following the introduction of 4CMenB
18 vaccine. Other markers for potential severity such as seizures including febrile have not
19 significantly increased. There is a need for more information on paracetamol use among
20 parents and care givers. Current communication to parents and health professionals on the
21 importance of the use of prophylactic paracetamol may also need re-examined.

22

23 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

24 We gratefully acknowledge the Child Health Team, Information Services Division (ISD) for
25 provision of the SIRS data and William Malcolm, Pharmaceutical Advisor, HPS and Helen

1 Watson, Information Analyst ISD for the preliminary prescribing data. We are also grateful to
2 all across NHS Scotland who contribute to high quality data recording and provision.

3

4 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

5 None declared

6 **FUNDING**

7 This study received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or
8 not-for-profit sectors.

9 **What is already known on this topic**

10 **Fever occurs at increased levels following 4CMenB vaccination, leading to**
11 **prophylactic paracetamol being recommended for doses at 8 and 16 weeks.**

12 **Adherence to this recommendation is not known.**

13

14 **What this study has added**

15 **There are an estimated extra 1,440 hospitalisations for fever associated with 4CMenB**
16 **vaccine each year across the UK.**

17 **Parents and vaccine providers should be reminded about the importance of following**
18 **paracetamol recommendations, not waiting until fever develops.**

19

20

21

22

Reference List

- 1
2
3 (1) Christensen H, May M, Bowen L, et al. Meningococcal carriage by age: a systematic
4 review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010 Dec;10(12):853-61.
- 5 (2) Ladhani SN, Ramsay M, Borrow R, et al. Enter B and W: two new meningococcal
6 vaccine programmes launched. *Arch Dis Child* 2016 Jan;101(1):91-5.
- 7 (3) Viner RM, Booy R, Johnson H, et al. Outcomes of invasive meningococcal serogroup
8 B disease in children and adolescents (MOSAIC): a case-control study. *Lancet*
9 *Neurol* 2012 Sep;11(9):774-83.
- 10 (4) Public Health England. Meningococcal: the green book, chapter 22. PHE 2016
11 September 20 [cited 2016 Oct 31];Available from: URL:
12 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/meningococcal-the-green-book-chapter-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/meningococcal-the-green-book-chapter-22)
13 [22](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/meningococcal-the-green-book-chapter-22)
- 14 (5) Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates. Introduction of
15 meningococcal group B (Men B) vaccination programme in 2015/16 CMO(2015)17.
16 SGHD 2015 October 1 [cited 2016 Nov 21];Available from: URL:
17 www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/cmo/CMO%282015%2911.pdf
- 18 (6) Prymula R, Esposito S, Zuccotti GV, et al. A phase 2 randomized controlled trial of a
19 multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (I). *Hum Vaccin Immunother*
20 2014;10(7):1993-2004.
- 21 (7) Santolaya ME, O'Ryan ML, Valenzuela MT, et al. Immunogenicity and tolerability of a
22 multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B (4CMenB) vaccine in healthy
23 adolescents in Chile: a phase 2b/3 randomised, observer-blind, placebo-controlled
24 study. *Lancet* 2012 Feb 18;379(9816):617-24.
- 25 (8) Vesikari T, Esposito S, Prymula R, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of an
26 investigational multicomponent, recombinant, meningococcal serogroup B vaccine
27 (4CMenB) administered concomitantly with routine infant and child vaccinations:
28 results of two randomised trials. *Lancet* 2013 Mar 9;381(9869):825-35.
- 29 (9) Prymula R, Siegrist CA, Chlibek R, et al. Effect of prophylactic paracetamol
30 administration at time of vaccination on febrile reactions and antibody responses in
31 children: two open-label, randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2009 Oct
32 17;374(9698):1339-50.
- 33 (10) Murdoch H, McFadden M, Smith-Palmer A, et al. Active monitoring of potential
34 adverse immunisation events with hospital admission data and linked analysis in
35 Scotland. *The Lancet* 2014 Nov 19;384:S10.
- 36 (11) Cameron JC, Walsh D, Finlayson AR, et al. Oral polio vaccine and intussusception: a
37 data linkage study using records for vaccination and hospitalization. *Am J Epidemiol*
38 2006 Mar 15;163(6):528-33.

- 1 (12) Whitaker HJ, Hocine MN, Farrington CP. The methodology of self-controlled case
2 series studies. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2009 Feb;18(1):7-26.
- 3 (13) Wilson K, Hawken S, Kwong JC, et al. Adverse events following 12 and 18 month
4 vaccinations: a population-based, self-controlled case series analysis. *PLoS One*
5 2011;6(12):e27897.
- 6 (14) Health Protection Scotland. HPS Weekly Report. HPS 2016 March 1 [cited 2017 Jun
7 12];Available from: URL:
8 <http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/resp/wrdetail.aspx?id=67129&wrtype=9>
- 9 (15) Information Services Division. Childhood Immunisation Statistics Scotland, Quarter
10 and year ending 31 December 2016. ISD 2017 March 28Available from: URL:
11 <http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Child-Health/Immunisation/>
- 12 (16) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Fever in under 5s: assessment and
13 initial management. NICE 2013 May 22 [cited 2016 Dec 5];Available from: URL:
14 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg160>
- 15 (17) Public Health England. Pneumococcal: the green book, chapter 25. PHE 2016
16 December 4 [cited 2016 Nov 21];Available from: URL:
17 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pneumococcal-the-green-book-chapter-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pneumococcal-the-green-book-chapter-25)
18 [25](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pneumococcal-the-green-book-chapter-25)
- 19 (18) Scottish Government HQaSD. Community Pharmacy: Public Health Service
20 Provision of prophylactic antipyretic (paracetamol) following the Meningococcal
21 Group B vaccine; and
22 other childhood vaccinations. SG 2015 September 28 [cited 2017 Mar 20];Available from:
23 URL: [http://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/pca/PCA2015\(P\)25.pdf](http://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/pca/PCA2015(P)25.pdf)
- 24 (19) Nainani V BJSM. Presentation to Accident and Emergency following immunization
25 with capsular group B meningococcal vaccine. 20th International Pathogenic
26 Neisseria Conference, 4th-9th September 2016.Manchester, United Kingdom . 4-9-
27 2016. 14-6-2017.
- 28 Ref Type: Abstract
- 29 (20) Harcourt SMRBCCHLSEAJSGE. Infant fever trends following the launch of the
30 meningococcal B vaccine in the UK. International Society for Disease Surveillance
31 2016 Conference Abstracts . 6-12-2016. 14-6-2017.
- 32 Ref Type: Abstract
33
34