Effect of Strain Level on The Evolution of Microstructure in a recently developed AD730 Nickel Based Superalloy During Hot Forging
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Abstract

Design and control of microstructure of engineering parts made from nickel based superalloys with superior mechanical properties for high temperature applications, require the parts to be subjected to certain thermo-mechanical processing during forging. This often includes sequential straining and annealing at elevated temperatures followed by subsequent aging heat treatments at lower temperatures. In this study, the effect of strain magnitude on the evolution of microstructure during hot forging of a recently developed AD730 nickel based superalloy has been investigated. Microstructural heterogeneity was observed in a forged material manifested in a form of large non-recrystallized grains within the recrystallized matrix that is observed to be dependent on the level of deformation (i.e. strain magnitude). Analyses of microstructure indicated significant reduction in the fraction of low-angle grain boundaries and substructures with an increase in the applied strain, suggesting higher fraction of recrystallization with higher levels of strains. It was concluded that the lower strain levels were insufficient to provide enough driving force for complete recrystallization throughout the entire microstructure of the forged material.
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Introduction

The safety and integrity of engineering components and structures are dependent on our ability to predict material behaviour on the basis of robust physical principles. While the geometry, final shape and external loads acting on a certain part are vitally important, there are other contributory factors such as unfavourable microstructure, pre-existing defects and flaws affecting performance of a part in service. Unfavourable microstructure such as grain size heterogeneities and non-uniform distribution of microstructural features, originating from the forging operations of the manufacturing process, can be susceptible to crack initiation or favourable to crack propagation and lead to unexpected failures. Hence, a clear understanding of microstructural evolutionary mechanisms during manufacturing processes is crucially important to design a microstructure to withstand the critical environmental and operation conditions.

Critical rotating parts, such as discs used in jet propulsion and power generation plants, acquire their mechanical strength through a combination of thermal and mechanical processing and heat treatments. Manufacturing of turbine discs made from nickel based superalloys often involves sequential processes of forging, quenching, heat treatment and machining. The forging and heat treatment cycles are of considerable importance, determining the microstructural evolution and strengthening mechanisms which allow the material to achieve its required final mechanical properties [1]. AD730 is a class of high strength nickel based superalloy that has recently been developed by Aubert & Duval for turbine disc applications in jet engines which is capable of operating at elevated temperatures (~700°C) whilst remaining comparatively low in cost compared to the most affordable grades such as IN718 or UD720 [2]. The increase in the operation temperature of a gas turbine engine is associated with higher fuel efficiency, which is desirable for commercial airplane manufacturers [3]. There are of course other grades of high strength nickel based superalloys such as René88DT, N18, RR1000 that are capable of operating at high temperatures. However, the powder metallurgy (P/M) manufacturing process used in the production of these alloys makes them uneconomical and also difficult to produce [4].

AD730 is a relatively new alloy developed by Aubert & Duval using a triple melting process route of production [5]. The alloy has been designed to provide higher mechanical strength, creep, and fatigue resistance at elevated operating temperatures in excess of 700 °C. Since this alloy has only been developed recently, comprehensive information on the interrelationships between materials processing, microstructure evolution and characteristics, and mechanical properties are lacking in the open access literature. AD730 is a precipitation hardenable nickel-based superalloy containing significant fractions
of chromium, cobalt, iron, titanium, molybdenum, tungsten and smaller fractions of niobium and aluminium in its chemical composition [4]. The high temperature mechanical strength of this alloy is owing to the precipitating γ’ phase based on Ni₃(Al, Ti) with FCC crystal structure coherent with the γ matrix [6]. First-generation of P/M superalloys (e.g. Rene 95) were designed with γ’ volume fractions about ∼55% and fine grain size of ∼10 μm, to achieve maximum tensile strength [3]. Lower γ’ volume fractions were obtained in the second-generation P/M superalloys (such as Rene 88DT), whereas high γ’ volume fractions were obtained in the third-generation alloys designed (such as ME3 and LSHR) [3]. AD730’s final alloy design was found to have a calculated γ’ fraction of 37% at 700°C, mid-way between Ni30 and Ni33 with 35% and 39%, respectively. The design of AD730 and the results obtained on full scale production are presented elsewhere [4].

The hot workability of AD730 in comparison with similar existing alloys (e.g. Waspaloy and U720Li) has been shown to be higher [4]. Long-term aging, in excess of 3000 hours at 750°C, leads to a slight coarsening of the secondary γ’ precipitates and a slight decrease in strength. Tensile and creep properties were found to be significantly higher than those of IN718Plus, and slightly higher than those of U720Li [4]. In an alternative study, the influence of heat treatment at different temperatures and for various duration on γ’ precipitation and the resulting mechanical properties, were investigated [7]. A peak in strength at an aging temperature close to 730 °C was observed. Above this temperature, aging resulted in a slight decrease in tensile strength.

The mechanical strength and high temperature performance of AD730 alloy depends on the size and distribution of microstructural features such as grain size and γ’ precipitates. The forging and heat treatment processes of AD730 involve recrystallization, grain growth, and aging heat treatments for optimising the size and distribution of γ grains and γ’ precipitations, that are not clearly understood. The recrystallization and precipitation phenomena that are functions of deformation level, working temperature and chemical composition, dictate the physical and mechanical properties of nickel based superalloys, and information is limited in this respect for AD730 [8]. The aim of this study is to understand the development of microstructure and the dependency of microstructure evolution on strain magnitude during hot forging of AD730. This paper presents a clear perception of the influence of strain level on the recrystallization and microstructural changes in AD730 during forging operation at 1070 °C.
2. Experimental Procedure

Materials and Processing

The as-received material† was produced through a triple melt-casting and wrought manufacturing method in a form of an industrial billet, by Aubert & Duval. Details about size of the initial billet are a property of the manufacturer and cannot be provided in this manuscript. The nominal chemical composition of the material is presented in Table 1 [5]. The chemistry of AD730 is similar to those of Ni30 and Ni33 [4]. The presence of iron and the control of expensive elements (e.g. cobalt) makes AD730 relatively cheaper, compared to other superalloys [4].

Table 1. Nominal chemical composition of the AD730 provided by the manufacturer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Zr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wt, %</td>
<td>base</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three cylindrical samples, two with identical dimensions of 75 mm in height and 60 mm in diameter, and the other with dimensions of 180 mm in height and 60 mm in diameter were machined from the centre of the initial billet with their axial axes parallel to the cogging direction (CD). In an analogy to the actual forging process, samples were preheated to 1070°C for 1 hour, followed by hot forging in ambient atmosphere with 5 mm/s press upper die speed, and then cooled in air to the room temperature in a controlled manner (i.e. ≈ 1 °C/s). Forging was performed on a hydraulic press‡ with flat dies, being preheated to 800 °C, to different levels of height reductions (i.e. strain level) for different samples. This had resulted in different strain levels of $e = 0.5$, $e = 0.9$, and $e = 3$ for different samples. The forged samples were then sectioned in half, parallel to the CD, followed by microstructure investigations. The areas of observations in this study are regions in the centre of each sample that underwent the highest level of deformation at relatively similar strain rates during the forging process. Information on strain rate and its dependency on the level of deformation (i.e. strain) has been obtained by conducting finite element analyses using mechanical properties for the same material.

† The material used in this study is still in its development stage and hence not considered as a final state material for industrial application.
‡ Forgings were performed at Aubert&Duval facilities in France.
Microstructure Characterization

Microstructural analyses and texture measurements were carried out using optical microscopy (OM), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) based techniques including electron back-scattered diffraction (EBSD) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). For these analyses, samples were prepared using conventional metallographic techniques including grinding and polishing to a mirror finished condition. The samples were then subjected to a final vibratory polishing using colloidal silica suspension. The acquisition of EBSD maps was undertaken using a fully automated HKL-EBSD system interfaced to a FEI Quanta-250 field-emission gun scanning electron microscope, with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and a 100 µm dia. aperture. The acquisition time was set to 40 ms, collecting at least 1 frame for each point. Orientation mappings were performed in the middle of the cross sections precisely in the centre of the forged cylindrical samples along the axial and cogging directions, i.e. in the area of maximum strain, with 1 µm step size covering an area of 2.5 mm × 0.5 mm. Additionally, individual high resolution maps with 0.5 µm step size and 200 µm × 180 µm area each, were obtained from regions containing non-recrystallized grains in the vicinity of the centreline of each sample. In all cases, a minimum of 80% of the scanned areas was indexed. Data were acquired and processed using Channel 5 HKL software, and a standard clean-up procedure was applied. For the data processing, a lower limit boundary-misorientation cut-off of 2° was used, and grain boundaries were divided into low angle grain boundaries (LAB) and high angle grain boundaries (HAB) considering 10° angle as a threshold. Grains were defined as crystallites encompassed by a continuous HAB perimeter. Total number of 32564, 19486, and 16331 grains were indexed for the samples strained for e=0.5, e=0.9, and e=3, respectively. Equivalent grain diameter was calculated by determining the area of each grain using a standard grain reconstruction module of the HKL software.

The fraction of recrystallized grains was estimated by applying the grain reconstruction function of the HKL software using defined parameters followed by measurements of the internal average misorientation angle within grains. If the average angle in a grain exceeded the minimum angle to define a subgrain (i.e. θe=2°), the grain was classified as being “deformed”. Some grains consisted of subgrains with internal misorientation under θe; however the misorientation from subgrain to subgrain was above θe. In that case, the grain was considered as “substructure”. All the remaining grains falling out with the above categories were classified as “recrystallized”.

Elemental analyses of the forged samples were carried out using an Oxford Instrument energy-dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDS) interfaced to the same
SEM as that of the EBSD acquisition. These analyses were performed on various zones of the samples, including areas with non-recrystallized grains, to investigate the variation of chemical compositions across these regions. Chemical compositions in two main regions including the internal areas of non-recrystallized grains and the surrounding regions (i.e. recrystallized matrix) were analysed and compared.

Following the EBSD and EDS acquisitions, the surface was etched with a mixture of HNO$_3$ and HCl, with a 3:6 ratio, for 5 seconds and inspected by OM. Metallographic studies including γ'-particle size across the surfaces of all samples, forged to different levels of strain, were carried out using an Olympus GX51 inverted optical microscope, and a Leica STP 6000 microscope equipped with an image analysis software. The ImageJ software was used for thresholding and also for the calculation of γ’ particle’s size and distribution. At least 5 optical micrographs were analysed for each microstructure.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructure

Figure 1 shows the SEM micrographs of the as-forged material deformed for different levels of strain. In these micrographs, various microstructural features including recrystallized grains, grain boundaries, twins, grains with significantly larger sizes, and γ’ particles can be observed. The large grains with abnormal grain sizes, highlighted by arrows in Figure 1, are the areas that are not recrystallized during the forging process.

![Micrographs](image)

Figure 1. SEM micrographs of the as-forged microstructures deformed for different levels of strain a) e = 0.5, b) e = 0.9, and c) e = 3.

The observed γ’ precipitates with an average size of 1.5-2 µm (see Figure 2) are uniformly distributed in the as-forged microstructures with a small tendency towards the proximity of the grain boundaries; no areas densely populated or those entirely depleted of precipitation were observed.
Figure 1. (a-b) Image analysis used for calculation of γ’ precipitates size and distribution, and (c) plots of γ’ precipitates size distribution in the as-forged AD730 material after different levels of strain.

Figure 3 shows the orientation image maps (OIM) using inverse pole figure (IPF) colouring with respect to the CD from the highly strained zones of the as hot-forged samples, illustrating the microstructures after three different levels of deformation.

Figure 3. EBSD IPF colouring maps with respect to the CD of the as hot-forged microstructures deformed to strain levels of (a) e = 0.5, (b) e = 0.9, and (c) e = 3.

It is apparent that the as-forged microstructures have bimodal grain distributions consisting of near-equiaxed recrystallized grains dominating the microstructures, and large non-recrystallized grains having a lower frequency of
occurrence with increased strain level. The average grain size of the equiaxed recrystallized grains are 3.8 µm, 5 µm and 5.4 µm respectively for the samples with 0.5, 0.9 and 3 strain levels, and those of the non-recrystallized grains are 65 µm, 54 µm and 38 µm, respectively.

From Figure 3 it can be observed that the fraction of recrystallized zones with equiaxed grains is increased significantly with an increase in the strain level at the expense of the large non-recrystallized grains with strong lattice disorientations. Despite a substantial decrease in the fraction of non-recrystallized grains with an increase in strain level, they can still be observed in the microstructure with comparatively smaller sizes. Although the increased strain level has resulted in a lower frequency of occurrence (i.e. numbers) of the large non-recrystallized zones, the average grain size in the recrystallized zones is increased. This can be seen in Figure 4 showing the plots of γ grain size distribution (i.e. including twin boundaries) for all the three as-forged microstructures. Apart from two peaks at ≈ 2-3 µm and ≈ 5 µm related to almost equiaxed grains presented in the microstructure (see Figure 3), the distribution is characterised with a long tail related to the large areas of abnormal/non-recrystallized grains with average size of ≥ 30 µm, surrounded by HABs. The maximum size of the observed non-recrystallized grains is 129 µm, 78 µm, and 45 µm respectively for the samples strained for 0.5, 0.9 and 3. The presence of clusters of very fine grains (≈ 2 µm) is evidence of the progress of discontinuous dynamic/static recrystallization (DRX/SRX) at the forging temperature and during the controlled cooling period after forging [9]. It is apparent that a lower level of deformation does not provide sufficient strain energy to trigger recrystallization across the entire microstructure (see Figure 3a). Even if the strain energy was sufficient in some areas to initiate recrystallization, the magnitude was not high enough to enhance subsequent grain growth. In the non-recrystallized zones, the strain energy that is manifesting itself in the form of lattice disorientations, must have been below the threshold energy required for recrystallization. In contrast, with increasing the level of deformation (i.e., e=0.9 and e=3) the threshold energy for recrystallization has been achieved in wider areas (i.e. fewer recrystallized zones). Also, the higher strain levels have provided enough energy for grain growth following recrystallization since the average grain size in the recrystallized regions of the microstructure deformed to strain level of 3 was 5.4 µm, as opposed to 3.8 µm average grain size of the microstructure deformed to 0.5 strain level.
Figure 4. Distributions of $\gamma$ grain size in the as-forged AD730 material after different levels of strain.

In support of the above observations, Figure 5 shows the EBSD colour maps of the as-forged microstructures with the fractions of recrystallized, non-recrystallized and deformed zones presented in different colours. The variations in the fraction of these zones as a function of applied deformation level are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 5. EBSD maps of the as-forged microstructures deformed to (a) $e = 0.5$, (b) $e = 0.9$ and (c) $e = 3$ strains, with the recrystallized and non-recrystallized zones highlighted in different colours. HABs, LABs and twin boundaries (i.e. $\Sigma 3$) are shown by solid black lines, thin grey lines and red lines, respectively. Note that the substructures (i.e. LAB’s) are primarily associated with the non-recrystallized zones.
Figure 6. Dependency of the fraction of recrystallized grains on strain level in the as-forged AD730 material.

Figure 6 and Table 2 show that an increase in the deformation level from \( e = 0.5 \) to \( e = 3 \) has resulted in \( \approx 30\% \) more recrystallized fraction (i.e. from 62 \% to 88 \%), and significantly lower fractions of sub-structures and deformed zones that are associated with the non-recrystallized regions. Progress in recrystallization with an increase in strain was observed in previous studies \([10, 11]\); it was shown that some of the original grain boundaries exhibited local bulges, some of which appeared to have been transformed into fine equiaxed grains. This effect was apparent even after a relatively small deformation (\( e \approx 0.5 \)). It became more pronounced with higher strain levels when the fraction of fine equiaxed grains increased noticeably, and a “necklace” microstructure has developed \([9]\).

Table 2. Fraction of recrystallized material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain level</th>
<th>Recrystallized fraction, %</th>
<th>Substructured material, %</th>
<th>Deformed material, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( e = 0.5 )</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e = 0.9 )</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e = 3 )</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The large non-recrystallized zones that were found with higher frequency in the material deformed for lowest level of strain (i.e. \( e = 0.5 \)) were surrounded by high angle grain boundaries with a significant lattice disorientation (i.e. inside these zones) manifested in form of large fractions of LABs (see Figure 7 for an example). This is an indication of the existence of strain energy left in the material. This suggests that the strain energy induced during forging was not high enough to overcome the threshold strain required for the recrystallization to commence. From these observations, it can be seen that the threshold applied...
strain for the start of recrystallization throughout the microstructure is \( e \approx 1-1.5 \), bearing in mind that the strain experienced by each grain is not necessarily identical to the applied effective strain due to strain localisation in polycrystalline materials. This is because some grains are favourably orientated to the direction of the applied load and hence undergo more deformation than those oriented in other directions and vice versa [9]. Hence, in the material with low effective strain, the number of grains with strains below the threshold strain for recrystallization is higher.

It is also noticeable (see Figure 7) that the boundaries of non-recrystallized grains exhibit local bulges (highlighted by arrows in Figure 7) indicating the progress of recrystallization from neighbouring grains. The formation of these grain boundary bulges followed by appearance of very fine grains on these boundaries satisfies the definition of discontinuous recrystallization controlled by nucleation and growth due to the migration of boundaries [9]. The appearance of equiaxed grains along the original grain boundaries of non-recrystallized zones (see Figure 7), constructing a “necklace”-type structure, is a reminiscent of discontinuous recrystallization [12].

The EBSD results showed also that some segments of LABs accumulated a misorientation in excess of 10° and transformation to HABs was observed. In other words, the continuous recrystallization (CDRX) also took place in present case. CDRX is associated with subgrain rotation leading to transformation of LABs into HABs, and was recently observed in hot deformed nickel superalloys [13-16]. However, the strain level was insufficient to complete this process.

![Figure 7](image-url)

Figure 7. An example of EBSD map of a non-recrystallized zone in the as-forged material deformed for \( e = 0.5 \) strain. HABs, LABs and \( \Sigma 3 \) are shown by solid black lines, thin grey lines and red lines, respectively.

A reduction in number and also the size of the non-recrystallized grains with an increase in strain level is an evidence of necessity of sufficient strain level
to overcome the required strain threshold in every pre-forged grain to complete recrystallization throughout the entire microstructure. Hence this is why the material with highest applied strain acquired a rather more homogeneous microstructure compared to those of the materials with lower strain levels.

The results of elemental analyses carried out by EDS on different zones of the as-forged microstructures, including the recrystallized matrix and non-recrystallized grains, are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Elemental analysis of the as-forged material using EDS technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Weight, %</th>
<th>e = 0.5</th>
<th>e = 0.9</th>
<th>e = 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recrystallized zone</td>
<td>Non-recrystallized zone</td>
<td>Recrystallized zone</td>
<td>Non-recrystallized zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases, no significant difference can be observed between the measured chemical compositions of the recrystallized matrix and those of the large non-recrystallized grains. These analyses show that the recrystallization behaviour has not been influenced by the chemical composition, and it is likely that the local strain level is more influential.

3.2. Misorientation Angle Distribution

Figure 8 shows the plots of misorientation angle distribution for all the three as-forged microstructures. Their high resolution EBSD maps highlighting the grain boundaries of different characteristics with different colours are shown in Figure 9. The misorientation angle distribution plots for these microstructures
show similar trends, all with two large peaks, one around 2-5° misorientation angle and the other close to 60° (highlighted by arrows in Figure 8a). The peak around 2-5° misorientation angle is due to the high fractions of LABs and substructures in the as-forged materials with that of the \( e = 0.5 \) strain level being the highest. This is consistent with the observations presented earlier as the LABs are mainly associated with the non-recrystallized zones and the frequency of occurrence of these zones were the highest in the microstructure with the lowest strain (i.e. \( e = 0.5 \)). The other peak in the misorientation angle distribution plots correspond to the 60° (\( \Sigma 3 \)) misorientation, that is a characteristic of twin boundaries [17]. The increase in the fraction of \( \Sigma 3 \) twin boundaries with higher level of strain (see Figure 8a) is due to twinning mechanism taking place during forging as similar to those observed in thermo-mechanically processed materials [18, 19] with low stacking fault energy. A significant fraction of the \( \Sigma 3 \) twin boundaries, highlighted in red in Figure 9, are straight lines, dividing the grains into sub-grains, which is a characteristic of coherent twin boundaries with \{111\} boundary plane. On the other hand, a significant fraction of \( \Sigma 3 \) boundaries are not in form of straight lines, but rather in forms of bulged boundaries and small segments (see Figure 9). In this case \( \Sigma 3 \) boundary is the incoherent type of twin having the \{112\} boundary plane, that is highly mobile.

When the fraction of annealing twins (\( \Sigma 3 \)) is above a certain level, the mobile twins will interact with each other during grain boundary migration. This is known as multiple twinning which consists of both the adjoining and dissociation of grain boundaries, where the boundaries are described within the framework of coincidence site lattice model (CSL) [20]. Based on this mechanism, the interaction of two \( \Sigma 3 \) boundaries leads to the formation of either \( \Sigma 1 \) or \( \Sigma 9 \). On the other hand, if two of the boundaries involved in a triple junction are \( \Sigma 3 \) and \( \Sigma 9 \), the third boundary can be either \( \Sigma 3 \) or \( \Sigma 27 \) [20]. Accordingly, the \( \Sigma 3 \) regeneration relies on the engagement of mobile grain boundary and a twin that enhances the \( \Sigma 3 \) boundaries in the network during and immediately following recrystallization stage [21-23]. On these bases, the misorientation plots shown in Figure 8 suggest that higher strain levels have resulted in enhanced multiple twinning, manifested in forms of increased fraction of \( \Sigma 3 \) twin boundaries and also generation of \( \Sigma 9 \) twin variants with 39° misorientation angle (see Figure 8b and Table 5). These observations suggest that twinning is one of the major deformation mechanisms during forging of AD730 and consumes a large portion of strain energy delivered into the material [24]. This is a common feature of materials with FCC crystal structure and low stacking fault energy [25].
Figure 8. a) Misorientation angle distributions, b) the distributions of boundaries of different characteristics, as a function of deformation level during forging, and c) misorientation perturbations along twin boundaries.

Figure 9. High-resolution EBSD maps of the as-forged AD730 material after different levels of deformation: (a) $e = 0.5$, (b) $e = 0.9$ or (c) $e = 3$. 
The reduction in the peak of the low (2-5°) to moderate (10-20°) misorientation angles, as well as the shift of the peak to lower angles with higher strain levels (highlighted on Figure 8a), indicate the lower frequency of the non-recrystallized zones with increased deformation. Elimination of the LABs by migrating grain boundaries led to a decrease in total LABs fraction (Table 4) with a simultaneous increase in the HABs, in particular 25-55° (dotted arrow on Figure 8a). This is in a good agreement with the observations made by optical microscopy and OIM. Similar behaviour was observed in a hot isostatically processed nickel based superalloy [26].

Table 4. Dependency of the volume fraction of grain boundaries of different characteristics on the applied strain level in the as-forged AD730 microstructures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain level</th>
<th>HAB fraction, %</th>
<th>LAB fraction, %</th>
<th>Σ3 (within Brandon interval), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e = 0.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e = 0.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e = 3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Evolution of twins (Σ3) and twin variants (Σ9 and Σ27) volume fractions as functions of strain level in the as-forged AD730 microstructures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain level</th>
<th>Σ3, %</th>
<th>Σ9, %</th>
<th>Σ27, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e = 0.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e = 0.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e = 3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting to make a comparison between the fractions of mechanical and annealing twins in the microstructure. It is widely accepted that the misorientation across the boundaries of the mechanical twins may deviate significantly from the ideal twin–matrix misorientation due to the deformation induced crystallographic rotations of the twin and the matrix from their initial orientations [27]. On the other hand, the misorientation across the boundaries of annealing twins is expected to be closer to the ideal 60° rotation about a <111> direction [28, 29]. Thus, the deviation from the ideal Σ3 misorientation may be used as a criterion to separate mechanical twins from annealing twins [28, 29].
The EBSD data were analysed in terms of the misorientation perturbations along the twin boundaries (within the Brandon interval) for the as-forged samples deformed for different levels of strains, and the results are shown in Figure 8c. Misorientation perturbation is a deviation of boundary misorientation from ideal misorientation relationship which in the case of coherent twin boundary is 60° around <111>. It is seen that perturbations from the ideal twin misorientation were within the typical accuracy of EBSD (∼ 2°) for all cases. This observation indicates that the formation of annealing twins was prevalent in all the analysed strain range. This is in a good agreement with the above mentioned discontinuous recrystallization process accompanied by annealing twinning [9].

3.3. Texture

The EBSD maps using IPF colouring presented in Figure 3 for all the as-forged microstructures show no particular dominant orientation. The inverse pole figures (IPF) of all microstructures with respect to the forging direction are shown in Figure 10. These data are derived from the EBSD maps containing ∼ 10000-30000 grains, depending on the level of strain. Figure 10 also provides the standard IPF texture of copper, having FCC crystal structure, deformed to 100% by compression, calculated by Taylor’s model [30] for the aid of comparison. In an attempt to provide an additional insight into the recrystallization process, the microstructure in the EBSD maps were partitioned into recrystallized matrix and non-recrystallized zones. The relevant textural data are shown in Figure 10.

It can be seen that the measured textures are fairly weak with the peak intensity being ∼ 1.1-1.3 (see Figure 10a-c) which are nearly random. This effect is obviously attributable to the recrystallization process as well as to the extensive annealing twinning, discussed earlier in the preceding paragraphs. Nevertheless, a pronounced clustering of crystallographic orientations near to the <102> pole at relatively high strains is worthy of comment (shown on Figure 10b-c). This agrees reasonably well with theoretical predictions (Figure 10d) of texture evolution for copper with FCC crystal structure calculated based on Taylor’s model, and perhaps provides evidence that the {hkl}<102> texture tends to form during the strain. Moreover, a weak peak near <001> at true strain of ∼ 3 has also been noted (see Figure 10c); this may indicate a development of {100}<001> “cube” texture which is often observed in recrystallized metals with cubic crystal structure [31].

Of particular interest was the observation that crystallographic orientations of the non-recrystallized areas remained unchanged at relatively high strains of e = 0.9 - 3 and were close to the {hkl}<102> (Figure 10b-c). As explained earlier, the strain energy applied during forging was not enough to trigger onset of
Recrystallization in these zones and as a result they stay unchanged throughout the forging process. The non-recrystallized areas may be eliminated by increasing the strain level or changing strain path (i.e. different forging directions). Among other routes which might be influential on the recrystallization process, an increase in deformation strain, and an increase in the forging temperature could be mentioned. All of these possible solutions for the problem of non-recrystallized areas will provide an additional energy and might promote gradual involvement of non-recrystallized grains in recrystallization process. A similar approach was applied to IN718 and In718Plus alloys during multiple forging [32]. It was observed that with decreasing strain rate, the average size of the recrystallized grains increased slightly, and a simultaneous increase was observed in the volume of the recrystallized regions. The volume fraction of recrystallized grains decreased with reducing deformation temperature [32]. In a recent report, it was suggested that 1.3 mm/mm is a minimum strain required to achieve 100% recrystallization in V207M nickel base superalloy subjected to isothermal forging [33]. However, the results of this study suggest that similar level of strain (i.e., $e = 1-1.5$) is not enough to achieve 100% recrystallized grains in the forged AD730. As explained earlier, even the highest level of strain (i.e. $e = 3$) investigated during the course of this study has not resulted in 100% recrystallized microstructure.

Figure 10. a-c) Inverse pole figures of the as-forged AD730 microstructures deformed for different levels of strain, and d) theoretically calculated IPF of 100% compressed Cu (fcc) [30].
4. Summary

In this study, a detailed analysis of the evolution of microstructure in the recently developed as-forged AD730 nickel based superalloy deformed for different levels of strains (i.e. \( e = 0.5 \), \( e = 0.9 \), and \( e = 3 \)), has been carried out. Due to the recent development of the alloy, knowledge and representative data on the interrelationships between materials processing, mechanical properties and microstructure characteristics, is limited in the literature compared to well-developed grades of high strength nickel based superalloys. The major observations of this work are concluded as follows:

- For all levels of applied strain, areas of large non-recrystallized grains were observed, but with lower frequency of occurrence and smaller average sizes with increased strain level.
- No significant difference was found between the chemical compositions of the non-recrystallized zones and that of the recrystallized matrix.
- The area fraction of recrystallized grains increased with increasing the strain level. Twinning occurred in all microstructures resulted from different strain levels investigated in this study.
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