

the roof...”. We deliberately selected short answers, rather than whole sentences or paragraphs, to simulate the main question answering task in which short answers are preferred. Thus, what we were trying to do was investigate interaction with a good questions answering system.

Ciqa response

QUESTION: What financial relationships exist between Google and its advertisers?

DESCRIPTION: The analyst is interested in learning the methods Google uses to display its ads on the Internet, and how it charges customers for the ads. The analyst wishes to know approximate costs and the volume of advertising dollars that are being generated.

Answer 1: *Inflated amounts charged to advertisers by the search engines have prompted litigation. At least one disgruntled advertiser recently sued Google and Yahoo, claims they have been overcharged by the search engines.*

Is this a good answer to the topic description? yes no need more information to decide

Was this one of the answers you expected? yes no had no expected answer

Given this answer from a search, would you? accept this answer read the document look for a better answer

Answer 2: *Google will not divulge much information to advertisers. Google defends the practice, saying it does not want to provide*

Is this a good answer to the topic description? yes no need more information to decide

Was this one of the answers you expected? yes no had no expected answer

Given this answer from a search, would you? accept this answer read the document look for a better answer

Answer 3: *Google will sell \$6.1 billion in ads, nearly double what it sold last year, according to Anthony Noto, an analyst at Goldman Sachs.*

Is this a good answer to the topic description? yes no need more information to decide

Was this one of the answers you expected? yes no had no expected answer

Given this answer from a search, would you? accept this answer read the document look for a better answer

Answer 4: *"Each [Google] advertiser pays what it's worth to them,"said Greg Stuart, CEO of the Interactive Advertising Bureau.*

Is this a good answer to the topic description? yes no need more information to decide

Was this one of the answers you expected? yes no had no expected answer

Given this answer from a search, would you? accept this answer read the document look for a better answer

Answer 5: *In the pay-per-click model, advertisers on Google's network selected certain keywords to identify the topic of their ads.*

Is this a good answer to the topic description? yes no need more information to decide

Was this one of the answers you expected? yes no had no expected answer

Given this answer from a search, would you? accept this answer read the document look for a better answer

Answer 6: *Google expects to add 372,000 advertiser accounts over the next four years*

Figure 1: Answer form

The content of the answers were either

1. **topical** answers. These are answers that simply attempt to answer the question by providing a neutral answer on the main topic of the question. In Figure 1, topical answers are “*In the pay-per-click model, advertisers on Google's network selected certain keywords to identify the topic of their ads.*” and “*Google expects to add 372,000 advertiser accounts over the next four years.*” which answers either the question expressed in the topic Question field or a sub-question from the topic Description field (as shown at the topic of Figure 1).
2. **persuasive** answers. These are answers that also attempt to answer the question but contain emotive words or phrases similar to those that might be used in newspapers or advertising to grab a reader’s attention. In Figure 1, persuasive answers are “*Inflated amounts charged to advertisers by the search engines have prompted litigation...*” and “*Google will not divulge much information to advertisers. Google defends the practice, saying it does not want to provide*”

3. **authoritative** answers. These are answers which contain a named source for the answer provided, either a person or organisation. Examples in Figure 1 are “*Google will sell \$6.1 billion in ads, nearly double what it sold last year, according to Anthony Noto, an analyst at Goldman Sachs.*” And “*Each [Google] advertiser pays what it's worth to them,"said Greg Stuart, CEO of the Interactive Advertising Bureau.*” Where the authoritative sources are Greg Stuart and Anthony Noto and, by reference, Goldman Sachs and the Interactive Advertising Bureau.

For each topic we created 6 answers, two of each type, which were presented to the assessor. The ordering between types of answer was rotated across forms. The content of the answers was created manually: for each topic one author browsed the general Web and extracted appropriate answers so that each answer reflects, as far as possible, genuine answers. Where there were few answers on the Web we created new answers based on the answers we found.

Answers may have slightly different lengths, e.g. the authoritative answers may be longer to include the source of the answers, and the types of answers may not be mutually exclusive. However, as far as possible, we tried to create good answers of each type that allowed us to compare the effect of answer type against assessor characteristics.

Assessor characteristics were gathered through HTML interaction forms, essentially questionnaires given to the assessors. Each assessor was given two forms, described separately in sections 3 and 4.

3. Preference form

Our first form elicited information on an assessor’s preference for concrete versus abstract information, Figure 2. We asked a series of questions on the assessor’s preference for art, literature, companions (artists, filmmakers, authors vs. scientists and politicians), or gifts.

We classified answers into reflecting two types of preference: preference for concrete, tangible items (such as non-fiction books and film, realistic art, practical gifts) and preference for abstract items with a stronger emotional appeal (such as fiction literature, genre films, abstract art and people who are artistic rather than practical). The assessor choices are given below¹ in Table 1.

¹ Naturally we are not making value judgments about these choices. Rather we are reflecting that different people have different tastes and preferences which may affect what information they require. As stated at the start of each form assessors could choose not to answer any of these questions.

Ciga response

The following questions are to help us understand how you might like information presented. You can omit any question you feel uncomfortable answering.

Question 1: Which type of books do you prefer reading

fiction nonfiction happy to read either

Question 2: Which is your favorite genre of film?

comedy biopics action documentary historical horror romance



Image 1:



Image 2:



Image 3:



Image 4:

Question 3: Which of these images best describes your preference in art

1 2 3 4

Question 4: Which of these images is your least favorite

1 2 3 4

Question 5: Which of these people would you most like to have dinner with?

Neil Armstrong President Kennedy Woody Allen
 Andy Warhol Mark Twain Martin Luther King
 none of them

Question 6: Which would you rather receive as a gift?

something practical something beautiful

Question 7: Which would you rather hear?

a good joke an interesting story

Thank you for completing the form, now please press submit to record your answers!

Figure 2: Persuasive form

Our original intention was to classify assessors into assessors who may be more interested in persuasive, rather than topical, answers. However, as is seen in Table 1, nearly all assessors fell into one group – those preferring more concrete information.

Concrete	Abstract
Non-fiction books (3 ²)	Fiction books (1)
Biopic, documentary, historical (4)	Comedy, horror, romance, action (2)
Favourite art: Photo-art, realism/impressionism (6)	Favourite art: Abstract (0)
Least favourite art: Abstract (6)	Least favourite art: Photo-art, realism/impressionism (0)
Neil Armstrong, President Kennedy, Martin Luther King (4)	Woody Allen, Mark Twain, Andy Warhol (2)
Practical gift (6)	Beautiful gift (0)
Interesting story (4)	Good joke (2)

Table 1: Assessor preferences

² We had an ‘either’ category which 2 assessors selected.

4. Authority form

Our second form elicited information on an assessor's preference for sources of information, Figure 3. We presented a series of 5 scenarios which we felt would be understandable and answerable by the assessors. Each scenario posed a question which required the assessor to choose which source of information they would use to answer the question.

These sources were of three types:

1. **personal experience.** These sources reflected the assessor's own personal experience. Here we did not *assume* any personal experience but suggested a method by which the assessor could gain personal experience. For example, Scenario 1 (labelled Question 1 in Figure 3) suggests that the assessor could visit the new neighbourhood; Scenario 2 suggests they could take a course of Vitamin C. In this category what is important is the assessor answers the question based on their own impressions, beliefs or experience rather than trusting or seeking another source.
2. **knowledgeable personal contact.** These sources also reflect personal experience but the personal experience belongs to named people who have more experience than the assessor. For example a trusted family physician may have more experience of vitamin supplements and knowledge upon which to base his/her recommendation. Similarly, recent graduates of a university can give more information than can be obtained in a single visit.
3. **formal information source.** The final source was information from formal sources such as Police Departments, the FDA, or a University. In this category we do not assume that the information sources are necessarily neutral.

Ciqa response

The following questions are to help us understand how you might like information presented. You can omit any question you feel uncomfortable answering.

Question 1: You are planning to relocate to Florida. You have identified a suitable neighbourhood but want to be reassured that the neighbourhood is safe. Which of these would best help you decide?

- visiting the neighbourhood at different times
- the advice of a friend who lives in the neighbourhood
- Florida State crime statistics

Question 2: You have heard that vitamin C supplements offer good prevention from the common cold virus. What would most likely persuade you of the truth of this claim?

- advice from your family physician
- trying vitamin C supplements for a month
- evidence from the Food and Drug Administration

Question 3: A young relative has been offered places at two prestigious Universities. What would recommend to help him decide where he should study?

- reading carefully the literature from, and about, both Universities
- talking to recent graduates of each universities
- visiting the universities and speaking to staff

Question 4: A friend has asked you to accompany her to the new Simpson's movie. She has already seen, and enjoyed, the movie but you have heard mixed reports. What is most likely to help you decide whether or not to see the film?

- reading several reviews in newspapers
- watching trailers from the movie
- your friend's positive review

Question 5: You are considering learning Italian as a hobby. How would you most prefer to learn to speak this language?

- spending time in Italy and picking it up as you go
- taking a formal course at a college
- learning one-to-one with a native Italian speaker

Thank you for completing the form, now please press submit to record your answers!

Figure 3: Authority form

scenario	personal experience	knowledgeable personal contact	formal information source
1	2	2	2
2	1	0	4
3	2	2	2
4	1	1	3
5	1	3	2
Total	7	8	13

Table 2: Assessors preferences for information source

As with the preference form, section 3, we see a distinct preference – in this case for formal information sources, Table 2. This category accounts for almost half the answers given by the assessors.

5. Results

Our original intention was to classify assessors according to which might be more attracted to persuasive answers (those who prefer more abstract items) or those who might prefer more authoritative answers (those who prefer formal information sources). However, as noted above the assessors did not split into groups of sufficient size to allow such an analysis.

We do present in Table 3 how the assessors judged the answers of different types and their predicted next action for each answer (accept the answer, reject and move to a better answer, or read the document). Topical answers appeared to be the best type of surrogates in the sense that assessors appeared to find it easier to judge these answers with low use of the *need more information* category and more answers being accepted without requiring further analysis. Persuasive answers, on the other hand, had higher rates of rejection (move and poor categories). Both authoritative and persuasive answers had higher rates of read decision, in particular the use of a named authority in the answer seemed to lead to the assessor choosing to read a document instead of simply accepting the answer.

	good	poor	need more information to decide	accept	move	read
topical answers	44	10	5	41	6	12
persuasive answers	34	14	12	29	10	19
authoritative answers	38	10	11	30	8	22

Table 3: Distribution of answer quality and predicted next action for answer types