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Abstract
Comtet introduced the notion of indecomposable permutations in 1972. A permutation is indecomposable if and only if it has no proper prefix which is itself a permutation. Indecomposable permutations were studied in the literature in various contexts. In particular, this notion has been proven to be useful in obtaining non-trivial enumeration and equidistribution results on permutations.

In this paper, we give a complete classification of indecomposable permutations avoiding a classical pattern of length 3 or 4, and of indecomposable permutations avoiding a non-consecutive vincular pattern of length 3. Further, we provide a recursive formula for enumerating $12\cdots k$-avoiding indecomposable permutations for $k \geq 3$. Several of our results involve the descent statistic. We also provide a bijective proof of a fact relevant to our studies.
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1. Introduction

Let \( [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) be the set of permutations of \([n]\). Given \( \pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n \), let \( i_\pi \) denote the smallest index such that \( \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{i_\pi} \) is a permutation of \([i_\pi]\). If \( i_\pi = n \) then \( \pi \) is indecomposable; otherwise, \( \pi \) is decomposable. For example, 23514 is indecomposable, while 31254 is decomposable.

For a permutation \( \pi \) of a set \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \), the reduced form of \( \pi \), denoted \( \text{red}(\pi) \), is the permutation of \([n]\) obtained from \( \pi \) by replacing the \( i \)-th smallest element with \( i \). For example, \( \text{red}(2537) = 1324 \). For any permutation \( \pi \), we have \( \pi = \pi^{(1)}\pi^{(2)}\cdots\pi^{(k)} \) for some \( k \geq 1 \), where \( \pi^{(i)} \) is a permutation such that \( \text{red}(\pi^{(i)}) \) is indecomposable for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). We say that a \( \pi^{(i)} \) is a component of \( \pi \). For example, the permutation 312465 has components 312, 4 and 65.

A (permutation) pattern is a permutation \( \tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k \). We say that a permutation \( \pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \) contains an occurrence of \( \tau \) if there are \( 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n \) such that \( \pi_{i_1} \cdots \pi_{i_k} \) is order-isomorphic to \( \tau \), that is, if the reduced form of \( \pi_{i_1} \cdots \pi_{i_k} \) is \( \tau \). If \( \pi \) does not contain an occurrence of \( \tau \), we say that \( \pi \) avoids \( \tau \). These patterns are referred to as “classical patterns”. For instance, the permutation 315267 contains several occurrences of the pattern 123, for example, the subsequences 356 and 157, while this permutation avoids the pattern 321. A comprehensive introduction to the theory of patterns in permutations can be found in [19].

Other patterns of interest to us are vincular patterns, also known as generalized patterns [1, 23], where some of the elements may be required to be adjacent in the permutation. We underline elements of a given pattern to indicate the elements that must be adjacent in any occurrence of the pattern. For example, the permutation \( \pi = 136254 \) contains four occurrences of the pattern 132, namely, the subsequences 162, 154, 354 and 254 (in each of these occurrences, the elements in \( \pi \) corresponding to 2 and 3 in the pattern stay next to each other). On the other hand, \( \pi \) contains only one occurrence of the pattern 132, namely, 254. If all elements in an occurrence of a pattern are required to stay next to each other, which is indicated by underlying all elements in the pattern, such a pattern is called a consecutive pattern [15]. A classical statistic descent is just an occurrence of the pattern 21. Vincular patterns play an important role in the theory of patterns in permutations and words (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4 in [19] for details).

The notion of indecomposable permutations (also known as irreducible permutations or connected permutations) was introduced by Comtet [9, 10]. Comtet was the first one to show the ordinary generating function for the number \( I_n \) of indecomposable permutations of length \( n \) is

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n x^n = 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{k \geq 0} k! x^k}.
\]

These numbers begin with 1, 1, 3, 13, 71, 461, 3447, 29093, \ldots for \( n \geq 1 \), and
appear as the sequence A003319 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [22].

Indecomposable permutations appear in various contexts in the literature, for example, see [7, 8, 12, 13, 18]. In particular, in [7, Section 4], indecomposable permutations are used to define a bijection between 231- and 321-avoiding permutations (finding various bijections essentially between these sets was the subject of several papers in the literature). Also, indecomposable pattern avoiding permutations are a key object in [8] to find a bijection between permutations in question and $\beta(1,0)$-trees. Corollaries of this result include a number of equidistribution results on permutations, $\beta(1,0)$-trees and certain types of planar maps. Finally, we note that indecomposable pattern avoiding permutations were first studied by Bóna in [3], where essentially 2431-avoiding indecomposable permutations are enumerated (indecomposable permutations are defined up to reverse in [3]) and linked in a bijective way to $\beta(0,1)$-trees.

In this paper, we study interrelations (taking into account the descent statistic, which comes “for free”) between pattern avoiding permutations and their indecomposable counterparts for classical patterns of length 3 and 4, vincular non-consecutive patterns of length 3, and the increasing classical pattern of arbitrary length (patterns of length 2 are trivial). We use our results and known enumeration formulas for pattern avoiding permutations to enumerate indecomposable pattern avoiding permutations. Some of the obtained numbers appear in the OEIS, suggesting a number of bijective questions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce generating functions to be studied in this paper and state known pattern avoiding results to be used. In Section 3, we study indecomposable permutations avoiding classical patterns of length 3 and 4, as well as the classical pattern $12\cdots k$ for $k \geq 3$. In Section 4, we study indecomposable permutations avoiding a vincular non-consecutive pattern of length 3, in particular, presenting a bijective result in Theorem 4.4. Finally, in Section 5, we summarize our enumerative results (see Table 2) and discuss directions of further research.

2. Preliminaries

Let $A_n^\sigma$ and $I_n^\sigma$ be the number of $\sigma$-avoiding permutations of $[n]$ and $\sigma$-avoiding indecomposable permutations of $[n]$, respectively. For $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let $A_{n,i}^\sigma$ and $I_{n,i}^\sigma$ be the number of $\sigma$-avoiding permutations of $[n]$ and $\sigma$-avoiding indecomposable permutations of $[n]$ with $i$ descents, respectively. Thus, for $n \geq 1$, we have

\[
A_n^\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_{n,i}^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad I_n^\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{n,i}^\sigma.
\]
Let $A^\sigma(x)$, $A^\sigma(x, q)$, $I^\sigma(x)$ and $I^\sigma(x, q)$ be the generating functions for $A^\sigma_n$, $A^\sigma_{n,i}$, $I^\sigma_n$ and $I^\sigma_{n,i}$, respectively. That is,

$$A^\sigma(x, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^\sigma_{n,i} x^n q^i,$$

$$I^\sigma(x, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I^\sigma_{n,i} x^n q^i,$$

$$A^\sigma(x) = A^\sigma(x, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^\sigma_n x^n \quad \text{and} \quad I^\sigma(x) = I^\sigma(x, 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I^\sigma_n x^n.$$

If for patterns $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$, $A^\sigma_{n,1} = A^\sigma_{n,2}$ for all $n \geq 0$ then $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are Wilf-equivalent.

For a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$, its reverse is the permutation $r(\pi) = \pi_n \pi_{n-1} \cdots \pi_1$ and its complement is the permutation $c(\pi) = (n+1-\pi_1)(n+1-\pi_2) \cdots (n+1-\pi_n)$. For example, if $\pi = 32145$ then $r(\pi) = 54123$ and $c(\pi) = 34521$. The reverse and complement operations are called trivial bijections. It is easy to see that for any pattern $\sigma$, this pattern is Wilf-equivalent to $r(\sigma)$ and $c(\sigma)$. Another useful property of trivial bijections is that their composition preserves the property of being decomposable (and thus the property of being indecomposable), which is easy to see.

One of concerns in this paper is to find interrelations between $I^\sigma(x, q)$ and $A^\sigma(x, q)$ for certain $\sigma$s. We note that throughout this paper we implicitly use the fact that an occurrence of a descent cannot start in one component of a permutation and end in another one.

In the rest of this section we review a number of permutation pattern avoidance results relevant to this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** ([20]). For $\sigma \in S_3$ and $n \geq 0$, $A^\sigma_n = C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$, the $n$-th Catalan number. Thus,

$$A^\sigma(x) = C(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x},$$

the generating function for the Catalan numbers satisfying $xC(x)^2 - C(x) + 1 = 0$. For $n \geq 0$, the Catalan numbers begin with 1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, \ldots, which is the sequence A000108 in the OEIS [22].

The next result links the well-known Bell numbers to pattern avoiding permutations. The Bell numbers begin with 1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, 203, \ldots for $n \geq 0$, and this is the sequence A000110 in the OEIS [22].

**Lemma 2.2** ([6]). When $\sigma \in \{123, 132, 312, 321\}$, for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$A^\sigma_n = B_n,$$

where $B_n$ is the $n$-th Bell number, which is the number of set partitions of $[n]$.

When $\sigma \in \{213, 231\}$, for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$A^\sigma_n = C_n.$$
We next turn our attention to classical patterns of length 4. Table 1 presents three Wilf-equivalence classes in this case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1234,4321, 1243,2134,3421,4312, 1432,2341,3214,4123, 2143,3412</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1342,2431,3124,4213, 1423,2314,3241,4132, 2413,3142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1324,4231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The three Wilf-equivalence classes for pattern avoidance of length 4. Spaces on a line are used to group patterns equivalent via trivial bijections.

\( A_n^{1234} \) for \( n \geq 0 \) begins with 1, 1, 2, 6, 23, 103, \ldots (this is the sequence [22, A005802]), and we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** ([16]). For \( \sigma \in \{1234, 4321, 1243, 2134, 3421, 4312, 1432, 2341, 3214, 4123, 2143, 3412\} \), we have

\[
E(x) := A^\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{6x^2} \left( 1 + 5x - (1 - 9x)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; \frac{64x}{(x-1)(1-9x)^{3/2}} \right).
\]

Moreover, for \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
E_n := A_n^\sigma = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{3k^2 + 2k - 2kn - n + 1}{(k+1)^2(k+2)(n-k+1)}.
\]

An exact enumeration for 1342-avoiding permutations and the corresponding generating function are given by Bóna [3]. The corresponding sequence for \( n \geq 0 \) begins with 1, 1, 2, 6, 23, 103, 512, \ldots (A022558 in [22]) and the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.4** ([3]). For \( \sigma \in \{1342, 2431, 3124, 4213, 1423, 2314, 3241, 4132, 2413, 3142\} \), we have

\[
F(x) := A^\sigma(x) = \frac{32x}{1 + 20x - 8x^2 - (1 - 8x)^{3/2}} = \frac{1 + 20x - 8x^2}{2(1+x)^3} + \frac{(1 - 8x)^{3/2}}{2(1+x)^3}.
\]

Moreover, for \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
F_n := A_n^\sigma = \frac{7n^2 - 3n - 2}{2} (-1)^{n-1} + 3 \sum_{i=2}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} i^{i+1} \frac{(2i-4)!}{i!(i-2)!} \frac{n-i+2}{2}.
\]

However, no formula for \( A_n^{1324} \) is known, only a recurrence relation is discovered [21], and an algorithm for counting the number of 1324-avoiding permutations was given in [11, 17]. For recent developments on the bounds, see [2, 4]. The corresponding sequence for \( n \geq 0 \) begins with 1, 1, 2, 6, 23, 103, 513, 2762, \ldots; see A061552 in [22].
3. Indecomposable permutations avoiding classical patterns

Patterns are permutations, and we distinguish two cases according to whether or not they are decomposable. We start with an easier case.

3.1. Indecomposable patterns

Here we deal with the following patterns:

- \(231, 312, 321\)
- \(2341, 2413, 2431, 3142, 3241, 3412, 4123, 4321, 4132, 4213, 4231, 4312\).

We first establish a property holding for any indecomposable pattern \(\sigma\).

**Lemma 3.1.** If \(\sigma\) is an indecomposable pattern, then

\[ I_\sigma(x, q) = 1 - \frac{1}{A_\sigma(x, q)}. \]

**Proof.** For any permutation \(\pi\), an occurrence of \(\sigma\) cannot start in one component and end in another one, which would contradict \(\sigma\) being irreducible. Similarly, a descent cannot start in one component and end in another one. Hence, the generating function for \(\sigma\)-avoiding permutations with \(k\) components is \([I_\sigma(x, q)]^k\) and

\[ A_\sigma(x, q) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (I_\sigma(x, q))^k = \frac{1}{1 - I_\sigma(x, q)}, \]

where “1+” corresponds to the empty permutation. This gives the desired result. \(\square\)

Combining Lemma 3.1 \((q = 1)\) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following theorem, which can also be derived, e.g. from considerations in [7].

**Theorem 3.2.** For \(\sigma \in \{312, 321, 231\}\), we have

\[ I_\sigma(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2}. \]

Thus, for \(n \geq 1\), \(I_n^\sigma = C_{n-1}\), the \(n-1\)-th Catalan number.

Combining Lemma 3.1 \((q = 1)\) and Lemma 2.4 we obtain the following theorem established in [3].

**Theorem 3.3.** For \(\sigma \in \{2431, 4213, 3241, 4132, 2413, 3142\}\), we have

\[ I_\sigma(x) = \frac{-1 + 12x + 8x^2 + (1 - 8x)^{3/2}}{32x}. \]

The initial values for \(I_n^\sigma\) in this case are 1, 1, 3, 12, 56, 288, 1584, 9152, . . . for \(n \geq 1\), and this is the sequence A000257 in the OEIS [22].
Similarly, one can combine Lemma 3.1 \((q = 1)\) and Lemma 2.3 to obtain a formula for \(I^\sigma(x)\), where \(\sigma \in \{4321, 3421, 4312, 2341, 4123, 3412\}\). The initial values for \(I_n^\sigma\) in this case are 1, 1, 3, 12, 56, 289, 1603, 9391, \ldots for \(n \geq 1\), and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

However, we cannot obtain a formula for \(I_{4231}^\sigma(x)\) using Lemma 3.1 because no formula is known for \(A_{4231}(x)\). The initial values for \(I_{4231}^\sigma\) are 1, 1, 3, 12, 56, 289, 1604, 9415, \ldots for \(n \geq 1\), and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

3.2. Decomposable patterns

The patterns here we deal with are 123, 132, 213 and 1234, 1243, 1324, 1342, 1423, 1432, 2134, 2143, 2314, 3124, 3214.

3.2.1. Decomposable patterns of length 3

Pattern 123. We first give a description of 123-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \(\pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_n\), where \(\pi^{(1)}\) is the component in \(\pi\) formed by the elements in \(\{1, \ldots, i_\pi\}\). Then \(\pi\) is a 123-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if

\[
\pi^{(1)} = i_\pi(i_\pi - 1) \cdots 1, \pi' = n(n - 1) \cdots (i_\pi + 1) \text{ and } 1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1.
\]

**Proof.** The backward direction is straightforward to see since no occurrence of the pattern 123 can start in \(\pi^{(1)}\).

For the forward direction, since \(\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n\) is decomposable, one must have \(1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1\). If \(\pi_i < \pi_j\) for some \(1 \leq i < j \leq i_\pi\) then \(\pi_i \pi_j \pi_n\) is an occurrence of the pattern 123; contradiction. If \(\pi_i < \pi_j\) for some \(i_\pi + 1 \leq i < j \leq n\) then \(\pi_1 \pi_i \pi_j\) is an occurrence of the pattern 123; contradiction. Thus, we obtain the desired result.

Next we derive a relation between \(I_{123}^\sigma(x, q)\) and \(A_{123}^\sigma(x, q)\), which will give formulas for \(I_{123}^\sigma(x)\) and \(I_{123}^\sigma_n\). The initial values for \(I_{123}^\sigma_n\) for \(n \geq 1\) begin with 1, 1, 3, 12, 56, 289, 1604, 9415, \ldots This sequence does not appear in the OEIS [22].

**Theorem 3.5.** We have that

\[
A_{123}^\sigma(x, q) = I_{123}^\sigma(x, q) + \frac{x^2}{(1 - xq)^2} + 1, \tag{2}
\]

\[
I_{123}^\sigma(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x} - \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^2} - 1, \tag{3}
\]
and for \( n \geq 1 \),
\[
I_{n}^{123} = C_n - (n - 1). \quad (4)
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.4, the generating function for all 123-avoiding decomposable permutations is
\[
\sum_{i \geq 1} x^i q^{i-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} x^j q^{j-1} = \frac{x^2}{(1-xq)^2}.
\]
Since any 123-avoiding permutation is either indecomposable, or decomposable, or the empty permutation, we obtain the relation given by (2). Letting \( q = 1 \) in (2) and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain (3). Finally, by Lemma 2.1 and the fact that
\[
\frac{x^2}{(1-xq)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1)x^n,
\]
we obtain (4). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We note that (4) appears in Proposition 9 in [14].

**Patterns 132 and 213.** We begin with a description of 132-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( \pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in S_n \), where \( \pi^{(1)} \) is the component in \( \pi \) formed by the elements in \{1, \ldots, i_\pi\}. Then \( \pi \) is a 132-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if \( \pi^{(1)} \) is a 132-avoiding decomposable permutation, \( 1 \leq i_\pi \leq n-1 \), and \( \pi' = (i_\pi + 1)(i_\pi + 2) \cdots n \).

**Proof.** The backward direction is easy to see since an occurrence of the pattern 132 cannot start in \( \pi^{(1)} \) in this case.

For the forward direction, since \( \pi \) is decomposable, we have \( 1 \leq i_\pi \leq n-1 \). Moreover, since \( \pi \) is 132-avoiding, \( \pi^{(1)} \) must be 132-avoiding. Finally, if \( \pi_i > \pi_j \) for \( i_\pi + 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) then \( \pi_1 \pi_i \pi_j \) is an occurrence of the pattern 132, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Next we find a relation between \( A^\sigma(x, q) \) and \( I^\sigma(x, q) \) for \( \sigma \in \{132, 213\} \), which will give us formulas for \( I^\sigma(x) \) and \( I_n^\sigma \). The initial values for \( I_n^{132} = I_n^{213} \) for \( n \geq 1 \) begin with 1, 1, 3, 9, 28, 90, 297, 1001, \ldots, which is the sequence A000245 in [22].

**Theorem 3.7.** For \( \sigma \in \{132, 213\} \), we have that
\[
A^\sigma(x, q) = 1 + \frac{1}{1-x} I^\sigma(x, q), \quad (5)
\]
\[
I^\sigma(x) = (1-x) \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4x}}{2x} - 1 \right), \quad (6)
\]
\[
I_1^\sigma = 1, \text{ and for } n \geq 2,
\]
\[
I_n^\sigma = C_n - C_{n-1}. \quad (7)
\]
Proof. Let \( \sigma = 132 \). By Lemma 3.6, the generating function for all 132-avoiding decomposable permutations is \( I^{132}(x,q) \sum_{j \geq 1} x^j = \frac{x}{1-x} I^{132}(x,q) \). Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have

\[
A^{132}(x,q) = 1 + I^{132}(x) + \frac{x}{1-x} I^{132}(x,q)
\]

giving (5) for \( \sigma = 132 \). Letting \( q = 1 \) in (5) and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

\[
I^{132}(x) = (1-x)(A^{132}(x) - 1)
\]

giving (6) for \( \sigma = 132 \). From (6), \( I_1^{132} = 1 \) and, for \( n \geq 2 \) (7) follows for \( \sigma = 132 \).

Finally, since the composition of reverse and complement preserves the property of being irreducible, and this composition applied to 132 gives 213, we have that (5), (6) and (7) hold for \( \sigma = 213 \). This completes the proof.

Note that (7) follows directly from Lemma 3.6. Indeed, in a decomposable 132-avoiding permutation, the largest element \( n \) must be the rightmost element, and the number of such permutations is \( C_{n-1} \), while the number of all 132-avoiding permutations of length \( n \) is \( C_n \). Also, note that (7) appears in Proposition 9 in [14].

3.2.2. Decomposable patterns of length 4

Recall that when applying the composition of reverse and complement, the property of being decomposable is retained. Applying that composition to 2143, 1324 and 1234 yields the original pattern. For the remaining eight decomposable patterns this operation gives

\[
I^{2314}(x,q) = I^{1423}(x,q), \ I^{3124}(x,q) = I^{1342}(x,q),
\]

\[
I^{3214}(x,q) = I^{1432}(x,q), \text{ and } I^{2134}(x,q) = I^{1243}(x,q).
\]

Thus, we only need to consider seven decomposable patterns of length 4.

Patterns 2314 and 3124. We start with a description of 2314-avoiding and 3124-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( \pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in \mathcal{S}_n \), where \( \pi^{(1)} \) is a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, i_\pi\} \). Then \( \pi \) is a 2314-avoiding (resp., 3124-avoiding) decomposable permutation if and only if \( \pi^{(1)} \) is 231-avoiding (resp., 312-avoiding) and \( \pi' \) is 2314-avoiding (resp., 3124-avoiding).
Proof. For the backward direction, because $\pi^{(1)}$ is 231-avoiding (resp., 312-avoiding), at most two elements in a possible occurrence of the pattern 2314 (resp., 3124) can be in $\pi^{(1)}$. But then $\pi'$ contains an element smaller than an element in $\pi^{(1)}$, which is impossible, and thus $\pi$ is 2314-avoiding (resp., 3124-avoiding).

For the forward direction, since $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ is decomposable, we have $1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. Also, clearly $\pi'$ is 2314-avoiding (resp., 3124-avoiding). Now, if $\pi^{(1)}$ would contain an occurrence of the pattern 231 (resp., 312) then together with $\pi_n$ it would form an occurrence of the pattern 2314 (resp., 3124); contradiction. Thus $\pi^{(1)}$ is 231-avoiding (resp., 312-avoiding). This completes the proof.

The sequence for $I_{n}^{2314}$ and $I_{n}^{3124}$ for $n \geq 1$ begin with 1, 1, 3, 13, 65, 350, 1979, 11612, . . . , which does not appear in the OEIS [22].

**Theorem 3.9.** We have

\[ A_{2314}(x, q) = 1 + I_{2314}(x, q) + I_{231}(x, q) \left( A_{2314}(x, q) - 1 \right) \quad (8) \]

and

\[ A_{3124}(x, q) = 1 + I_{3124}(x, q) + I_{312}(x, q) \left( A_{3124}(x, q) - 1 \right). \quad (9) \]

Further, for $\sigma \in \{2314, 3124\}$, we have that

\[ I_\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 - 4x} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{32x}{1 + 20x - 8x^2 - (1 - 8x)^{3/2}} - 1 \right), \]

with $I_\emptyset = 1$, and for $n \geq 2$,

\[ I_n^{\sigma} = F_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} C_i F_{n-1-i}, \]

where $F_n$ is defined in Lemma 2.4.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, the generating function for 2314-avoiding decomposable permutations is $I_{231}(x, q)(A_{2314}(x, q) - 1)$, where “−1” corresponds to excluding the empty permutation as a possibility for $\pi'$. Similarly, the generating function for 3124-avoiding decomposable permutations is $I_{312}(x, q)(A_{3124}(x, q) - 1)$.

Note that each $\sigma$-avoiding permutation is either the empty permutation, or an indecomposable permutation or a decomposable one. This observation shows (8) and (9).

Let $q = 1$ in (8). Combing this with Lemma 2.4 and (1), we obtain

\[ I_{2314}(x) = (1 - I_{231}(x)) \cdot (A_{2314}(x) - 1) \]

\[ = (1 - xC(x)) (F(x) - 1) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 - 4x} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{32x}{1 + 20x - 8x^2 - (1 - 8x)^{3/2}} - 1 \right). \]
Hence, by (11), we have that \( I_n^{314} = 1 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \),

\[
I_n^{314} = F_n + C_{n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i F_{n-1-i}
\]

\[
= F_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} C_i F_{n-1-i}.
\]

It is straightforward to provide essentially the same derivations for the case of 3124-avoiding indecomposable permutations, which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Pattern 3214.** We begin with a description of 3214-avoiding decomposable permutations. Our proof of the next lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8 and thus is omitted.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let \( \pi = \pi(1) \pi' \in S_n \), where \( \pi(1) \) is a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, i_\pi\} \). Then \( \pi \) is a 3214-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if \( \pi(1) \) is 321-avoiding and \( \pi' \) is a 3214-avoiding.

The initial values \( I_n^{3214} \) for \( n \geq 1 \) begin 1, 1, 3, 13, 65, 351, 1999, 11872, \ldots and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

**Theorem 3.11.** We have

\[
A^{3214}(x, q) = 1 + I^{3214}(x, q) + I^{321}(x, q) \left(A^{3214}(x, q) - 1\right). \tag{13}
\]

Moreover, for \( E(x) \) and \( E_n \) defined in Lemma 2.3, we have

\[
I^{3214}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4x} + 1\right) (E(x) - 1),
\]

\( I_1^{3214} = 1 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \)

\[
I_n^{3214} = E_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} C_i E_{n-1-i}.
\]

**Proof.** We can proceed similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.9 to prove (13). Further, assuming that \( q = 1 \) in (13), one can apply Lemma 2.3 and (1), to obtain

\[
I^{3214}(x) = (1 - I^{321}(x)) \cdot (A^{3214}(x) - 1)
\]

\[
= (1 - xC(x)) (E(x) - 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4x} + 1\right) (E(x) - 1).
\]

From the last derivation, the formula for \( I_n^{3214} \) holds. \( \square \)
Pattern 2143. We begin with a description of 2143-avoiding decomposable permutations.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in S_n$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, i_\pi\}$. Then $\pi$ is a 2143-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:

- $\pi^{(1)} = 1$ and $\pi'$ is 2143-avoiding.
- $2 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$, $\pi^{(1)}$ is 2143-avoiding and $\pi' = (i_\pi + 1)(i_\pi + 2) \cdots n$.

Proof. For the forward direction, since $\pi$ is decomposable, we have $1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. There are two cases to consider:

- $i_\pi = 1$. It is clear that $\pi^{(1)} = 1$ in this case does not affect $\pi'$, so $\pi'$ must be a 2143-avoiding permutation of $\{2, \ldots, n\}$.
- $2 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. Since $\pi^{(1)}$ is indecomposable of length at least 2, there exist $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq i_\pi$ such that $\pi_{j_1} > \pi_{j_2}$. But then to avoid an occurrence of the pattern 2143 involving $\pi_{j_1}$ and $\pi_{j_2}$, $\pi'$ must be the increasing permutation $(i_\pi + 1)(i_\pi + 2) \cdots n$.

The backward direction is easy to see using similar considerations as above. 

The initial values $I_{2143}^n$ for $n \geq 1$ are $1, 1, 3, 13, 330, 10758, \ldots$, and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

Theorem 3.13. We have

$$A^{2143}(x, q) = 1 + I^{2143}(x, q) + x \left( A^{2143}(x, q) - 1 \right) + \frac{x}{1 - x} \left( I^{2143}(x, q) - x \right). \tag{14}$$

Moreover, for $E(x)$ and $E_n$ defined in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$I^{2143}(x) = (1 - x)^2 E(x) + 2x - 1,$$

$I_{2143}^1 = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$I_{2143}^n = E_n - 2E_{n-1} + E_{n-2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.12, (14) follows. Further, letting $q = 1$ in (14) and using Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$I^{2143}(x) = (1 - x)^2 A^{2143}(x) + 2x - 1 = (1 - x)^2 E(x) + 2x - 1,$$

from which the formula for $I_{2143}^n$ follows. 

\qed
Pattern 2134. We first give a description of 2134-avoiding decomposable permutations.

Lemma 3.14. Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in S_n$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, i_\pi\}$. Then $\pi$ is a 2134-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:

- $\pi^{(1)} = 1$ and $\pi'$ is 2134-avoiding.
- $2 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$, $\pi^{(1)}$ is 213-avoiding, and $\pi' = n(n-1)(i_\pi+1)$.

Proof. For the forward direction, since $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ is decomposable, we have $1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. There are two cases to consider:

- $i_\pi = 1$. It is clear that $\pi^{(1)}$ does not affect the rest of the permutation, and $\pi'$ must be a 2134-avoiding permutation of $\{2, \ldots, n\}$.

- $2 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. Then $\pi^{(1)}$ must be a 213-avoiding permutation, or else it would form an occurrence of the pattern 2134 with $\pi_n$. Moreover, since $\pi^{(1)}$ is indecomposable, there exist $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq i_\pi$ such that $\pi_{j_1} > \pi_{j_2}$. But then to avoid an occurrence of the pattern 2134 involving $\pi_{j_1}$ and $\pi_{j_2}$, $\pi'$ must be the decreasing permutation $n(n-1)(i_\pi+1)$.

The backward direction is not difficult to see using the considerations above. \qed

Initial values for $I_n^{2134}$ are 1, 1, 3, 13, 67, 369, 2117, 12578, ... for $n \geq 1$, and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

Theorem 3.15. We have

$$A^{2134}(x, q) = 1 + I^{2134}(x, q) + x \left(A^{2134}(x, q) - 1\right) + \frac{x}{1-xq} (I^{213}(x, q) - x). \quad (15)$$

Moreover, for $E(x)$ and $E_n$ defined in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$I^{2134}(x) = (1-x)E(x) - xC(x) - 1 + 2x + \frac{x^2}{1-x},$$

$I_1^{2134} = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$I_n^{2134} = E_n - E_{n-1} - C_{n-1} + 1.$$
Proof. The identity (15) follows from Lemma 3.14. Further, setting $q = 1$ in (15), and applying Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 2.3, one has

$$I_{2134}^{1324}(x) = (1 - x)A_{2134}(x) - (1 - x) - \frac{x}{1 - x}I_{213}(x) + \frac{x^2}{1 - x}$$

$$= (1 - x)A_{2134}(x) - (1 - x) - x(C(x) - 1) + \frac{x^2}{1 - x}$$

$$= (1 - x)E(x) - xC(x) + 2x + \frac{x^2}{1 - x} - 1.$$ 

From this, we have the desired formula for $I_{2134}$. 

Pattern 1324. We first give a description of 1324-avoiding decomposable permutations.

Lemma 3.16. Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \in S_n$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, i_\pi\}$. Then $\pi$ is a 1324-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if $\pi^{(1)}$ is 132-avoiding and $\pi'$ is 213-avoiding.

Proof. For the forward direction, since $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ is decomposable, we have $1 \leq i_\pi \leq n - 1$. Moreover, $\pi^{(1)}$ is 132-avoiding, or else, along with $\pi_n$ an occurrence of the pattern 1324 would be formed. Also, $\pi'$ is 213-avoiding or else, along with $\pi_1$ an occurrence of the pattern 1324 would be formed. The backward direction is not difficult to see. 

Initial values for $I_{n}^{1324}$ are 1, 1, 3, 13, 69, 2355, 14363, ..., and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

Theorem 3.17. We have

$$A_{1324}(x, q) = 1 + I_{1324}(x, q) + I_{132}(x, q) \left(A_{213}(x, q) - 1\right). \tag{16}$$

Also,

$$I_{1324}(x) = A_{1324}(x) - 1 - (1 - x)(C(x) - 1)^2.$$ 

Moreover, $I_{1}^{1324} = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$I_{n}^{1324} = A_{n}^{1324} - C_{n+1} + 3C_n - 2C_{n-1}.$$
Proof. The identity (16) follows from Lemma 3.16. Further, setting $q = 1$ in (16) and applying Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$I_{1324}^{1324}(x) = A_{1324}^{1324}(x) - 1 - I_{213}^{132}(x)(A_{1324}^{213}(x) - 1)$$

$$= A_{1324}^{1324}(x) - 1 - (1 - x)(C(x) - 1)^2$$

$$= A_{1324}^{1324}(x) - 1 - (1 - x)\left[\frac{C(x) - 1}{x} - 2C(x) + 1\right].$$

Hence, $I_{1324}^{1324} = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$I_{n}^{1324} = A_{n}^{1324} - (C_{n+1} - C_{n}) + 2(C_{n} - C_{n-1})$$

$$= A_{n}^{1324} - C_{n+1} + 3C_{n} - 2C_{n-1}.$$ 

This completes the proof. \[\square\]

Pattern 1234. Decomposable 1234-avoiding permutations can be described as follows.

Lemma 3.18. Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)}\pi' \in S_{n}$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, i_{\pi}\}$. Then $\pi$ is a 1234-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:

- $\pi^{(1)} = i_{\pi}(i_{\pi} - 1) \cdots 1$, $\pi'$ is 123-avoiding and $1 \leq i_{\pi} \leq n - 1$, or
- $\pi^{(1)} \neq i_{\pi}(i_{\pi} - 1) \cdots 1$ is 123-avoiding, $\pi' = n(n - 1) \cdots (i_{\pi} + 1)$, and $3 \leq i_{\pi} \leq n - 1$.

Proof. Since $\pi$ is 1234-avoiding, then $\pi^{(1)}$ must be 123-avoiding, or else there would be an occurrence of the pattern 1234 involving an element in $\pi'$. Thus, the longest increasing sequence in $\pi^{(1)}$ is at most of length 2. There are two cases to consider.

- $\pi^{(1)} = i_{\pi}(i_{\pi} - 1) \cdots 1$. Then, clearly, $\pi'$ must be 123-avoiding.
- The longest increasing subsequence in $\pi^{(1)}$ is exactly of length 2. But then, since $\pi^{(1)}$ is indecomposable, we have $i_{\pi} > 2$ and $\pi'$ must be 12-avoiding, that is, $\pi' = n(n - 1) \cdots (i_{\pi} + 1)$.

This completes the proof. \[\square\]

Initial values for $I_{n}^{1234}$ are $1, 1, 3, 13, 69, 400, 2390, 14545, \ldots$ for $n \geq 1$, and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].
Theorem 3.19. We have
\[ A^{1234}(x, q) = 1 + I^{1234}(x, q) + \frac{x}{1 - xq} \left( A^{123}(x, q) - 1 \right) + \frac{x}{1 - xq} \left( I^{123}(x, q) - \frac{x}{1 - xq} \right). \] (17)

Also, for \( E(x) \) defined in Lemma 2.3,
\[ I^{1234}(x) = E(x) - \frac{2x}{1 - x} C(x) + \frac{x^3}{(1 - x)^3} + \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^2} + \frac{2x}{1 - x} - 1. \]

Moreover, \( I_1^{1234} = 1 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \) and for \( E_n \) defined in Lemma 2.3,
\[ I_n^{1234} = E_n - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i + \frac{1}{2} \left( n^2 - n - 4 \right). \]

Proof. The identity (17) follows from Lemma 3.18. Further, setting \( q = 1 \) in (17), and applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and Theorem 3.5, we have
\[ I^{1234}(x) = A^{1234}(x) - 1 - \frac{x}{1 - x} \left( A^{123}(x) - 1 \right) - \frac{x}{1 - x} \left( I^{123}(x) - \frac{x}{1 - x} \right) \]
\[ = E(x) - 1 - \frac{x}{1 - x} \left( 2C(x) - 2 - \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^2} - \frac{x}{1 - x} \right) \]
\[ = E(x) - \frac{2x}{1 - x} C(x) + \frac{x^3}{(1 - x)^3} + \frac{x^2}{(1 - x)^2} + \frac{2x}{1 - x} - 1. \]

Hence, it follows that \( I_1^{1234} = 1 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \)
\[ I_n^{1234} = E_n - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_i + \frac{1}{2} \left( n^2 - n + 4 \right) \]
\[ = E_n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

3.2.3. Pattern 12⋯k with \( k \geq 3 \)

Here we consider patterns of the form 12⋯k, where \( k \geq 3 \), which generalizes our considerations for patterns 123 and 1234. First, we give a description of 12⋯k-avoiding decomposable permutations in the following lemma, whose proof is trivial and thus is omitted.

Lemma 3.20. If \( \pi = \pi^{(1)} \pi' \) is a 12⋯k-avoiding decomposable permutation of length \( n \), where \( \pi^{(1)} \) is a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, i_n\} \). Then there exists \( m, 1 \leq m \leq k - 2 \), such that the longest increasing subsequence in \( \pi^{(1)} \) is exactly of length \( m \) and \( \pi' \) is 12⋯(k − m)-avoiding.
Now we can enumerate $12 \cdots k$-avoiding indecomposable permutations.

**Corollary 3.21.** We have

$$I_{12\cdots k}(x, q) = A_{12\cdots k}(x, q) - \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} \left( I_{12\cdots (m+1)}(x, q) - I_{12\cdots m}(x, q) \right) \cdot \left( A_{12\cdots (k-m)}(x, q) - 1 \right) - 1.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.20, we have

$$A_{12\cdots k}(x, q) = 1 + I_{12\cdots k}(x, q) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} \left( I_{12\cdots (m+1)}(x, q) - I_{12\cdots m}(x, q) \right) \cdot \left( A_{12\cdots (k-m)}(x, q) - 1 \right),$$

from which the result follows. \qed

For example, when $k = 3$, we have

$$A_{123}(x) = 1 + I_{123}(x) + \left( I_{12}(x) - I_1(x) \right) \cdot \left( A_{12}(x) - 1 \right) = 1 + I_{123}(x) + \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{x}{1-x},$$

and hence

$$I_{123}(x) = A_{123}(x) - 1 - \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x} - 1 - \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2},$$

which coincides with Theorem 3.5. Note that we used the facts that $I_{1}(x) = 0$ and $A_{12}(x) - 1 = I_{12}(x) = x + x^2 + \cdots = \frac{x}{1-x}$.

When $k = 4$, we have

$$A_{1234}(x) = 1 + I_{1234}(x) + \left( I_{12}(x) - I_1(x) \right) \cdot \left( A_{123}(x) - 1 \right) + \left( I_{123}(x) - I_{12}(x) \right) \cdot \left( A_{12}(x) - 1 \right),$$

and hence

$$I_{1234}(x) = E(x) - \frac{x}{1-x} \left( 2C(x) - 1 - \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{x}{1-x} \right) - 1,$$

which coincides with Theorem 3.19. Note that we used the fact that $A_{123}(x) = C(x)$.

### 4. Indecomposable permutations avoiding vincular non-consecutive patterns of length 3

For a pattern of the form $abc$, its reverse complement gives a pattern of the form $xyz$. Thus, since the composition of reverse and complement preserves the property of being indecomposable, we only need to consider six cases of vincular patterns of
length 3, which are 123, 132, 213, 231, 312, and 321. Two of these cases can be reduced to classical pattern-avoidance.

Indeed, it was shown in [6] that a permutation avoids the pattern 213 if and only if it avoids the pattern 213. Applying the complement operation, this implies that a permutation avoids 231 if and only if it avoids 231. Thus, $I_n^{213} = I_n^{213}$ and $I_n^{231} = I_n^{231}$ and Theorems 3.7 and 3.2 can be applied, respectively.

**Pattern 123.** We first give a description of 123-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)}\pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, \iota_\pi\}$. Then $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ is a 123-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if $\pi^{(1)} = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{\iota_\pi-1} 1$ and $\pi' = n(n-1) \cdots (i_\pi + 1)$ for $1 \leq \iota_\pi \leq n-1$, where $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_{\iota_\pi-1}$ is a 123-avoiding permutation of $\{2, 3, \ldots, i_\pi\}$.

**Proof.** Since $\pi$ is decomposable, we have $1 \leq i_\pi \leq n-1$. It is clear that $\pi^{(1)}$ is a 123-avoiding indecomposable permutation. We claim that $\pi_{i_\pi} = 1$, since otherwise $1, \pi_{i_\pi}, \pi_{i_\pi} + 1$ will form the pattern 123. Further, clearly $\pi_{i_\pi+1} > \pi_{i_\pi+2} > \cdots > \pi_n$, or else there would be an occurrence of the pattern 123 involving 1.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that if $\pi^{(1)}$ and $\pi'$ satisfy the conditions then $\pi$ is 123-avoiding. This completes the proof.

Initial values for $I_n^{123}$ for $n \geq 1$ are 1, 1, 3, 11, 43, 179, 801, . . . and this sequence is not in the OEIS [22].

**Theorem 4.2.** We have

$$A^{123}(x, q) = 1 + I^{123}(x, q) + \frac{x}{1 - xq} \left[ (A^{123}(x, q) - 1) xq + x \right]. \quad (18)$$

Also,

$$I^{123}(x) = \frac{1 - x - x^2}{1 - x} B(x) - 1,$$

where $B(x)$ is the generating function for the Bell numbers.

Moreover, $I_1^{123} = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$I_n^{123} = B_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} B_i.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1, the generating function for 123-avoiding decomposable permutations is $\frac{1 - x - x^2}{1 - x} \left[ (A^{123}(x, q) - 1) xq + x \right]$, hence (18) follows.

Letting $q = 1$ in (18), we have

$$I^{123}(x) = \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - x} \right) A^{123}(x) - 1.$$
Combining with Lemma 2.2, we obtain that
\[ I_{123}(x) = \frac{1 - x - x^2}{1 - x} B(x) - 1. \]
Together with the fact that \( \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{i \geq 0} x^i \), we have \( I_{123}^{1} = 1 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \),
\[ I_{n}^{123} = B_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} B_i. \]
This completes the proof.

Pattern 132. We first give a description of 132-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \pi = \pi(1)\pi' \in S_n \), where \( \pi(1) \) is a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, i_{\pi}\} \). Then \( \pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \) is a 132-avoiding decomposable permutation if and only if \( \pi^{(1)} \) is 132-avoiding and \( \pi' = i_{\pi}(i_{\pi}+1) \cdots n \) for \( 1 \leq i_{\pi} \leq n-1 \).

**Proof.** If \( \pi \) is 132-avoiding, then clearly \( \pi^{(1)} \) and \( \pi' \) are both 132-avoiding. Moreover, we must have \( \pi_{i+1} < \pi_{i+2} < \cdots < \pi_n \), or else there would be an occurrence of the pattern 132 involving 1.

On the other hand, it is clear that if \( \pi^{(1)} \) and \( \pi' \) satisfy the given conditions then \( \pi \) is 132-avoiding, which completes the proof.

Initial values for \( I_{123}^n \) for \( n \geq 1 \) are 1, 1, 3, 10, 37, 151, 674, \ldots, which are essentially the sequences A005493 and A138378 in the OEIS that have several combinatorial interpretations. In particular, this sequence counts 132-avoiding permutations that end with a rise, that is, with an occurrence of the pattern 12, which leads us to the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** For \( n \geq 2 \), the number of 132-avoiding indecomposable permutations in \( S_n \) is equal to that of 132-avoiding permutations in \( S_n \) that end with a rise.

**Proof.** Let \( I \) and \( R \) be the first and the second sets, respectively, in the statement of the theorem. We provide a recursive bijection \( f \) from \( I \) to \( R \) proving the theorem with the base case \( f(21) = 12 \).

The set of 132-avoiding permutations can be subdivided into three disjoint subsets:

- \( S_1 \), all 132-avoiding permutations ending with 1;
- \( S_2 \), all 132-avoiding permutations ending with \( n \);
• $S_3$, all other 132-avoiding permutations.

It is straightforward to see that the elements to the right of 1 in a 132-avoiding permutation must be in increasing order. But then in $S_3$, $n$ must be to the left of 1. Thus, a permutation in $S_3$ belongs to both $I$ and $R$ and we map it to itself. Further, it is easy to see that $S_1$ is a subset of $I$ but it is disjoint from $R$, while $S_2$ is a subset of $R$ but it is disjoint from $I$. For a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{n-1} \in S_1$ we define its image recursively as

$$f(\pi) = f((\pi_1 - 1) \cdots (\pi_{n-1} - 1))n.$$  

The map $f$ described by us is easy to see to be a bijection. This completes the proof.

Next we enumerate 132-avoiding indecomposable permutations.

**Theorem 4.5.** We have

$$A^{132}(x, q) = 1 + I^{132}(x, q) + \frac{x}{1-x} I^{132}(x, q).$$  

(19)

Also,

$$I^{132}(x) = (1 - x)B(x) - 1,$$

where $B(x)$ is the generating function for the Bell numbers. Moreover, $I^{132}_n = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$

$$I^{132}_n = B_n - B_{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.3, the generating function for 132-avoiding decomposable permutations is $\frac{x}{1-x} I^{132}(x, q)$ from which (19) follows.

Letting $q = 1$ in (19) and combining with Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$I^{132}(x) = (1 - x)A^{132}(x) - 1 = (1 - x)B(x) - 1.$$  

Hence, it follows that

$$I^{132}_n = B_n - B_{n-1}$$

for $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof. □

**Patterns 312 and 321.** We first give a description of 312-avoiding and 321-avoiding decomposable permutations.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $\pi = \pi^{(1)}\pi' \in S_n$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, i_{\pi}\}$. Then $\pi$ is 312- (resp., 321-)avoiding if and only if $\pi^{(1)}$ and $\pi'$ are both 312- (resp., 321-)avoiding.
Initial values for \(I_{n}^{312} = I_{n}^{321}\) for \(n \geq 1\) are 1, 1, 2, 6, 22, 92, 426, . . ., and this is the sequence A074664 in the OEIS [22] that has several combinatorial interpretations. In particular, this sequence counts the number of irreducible set partitions of \([n]\), which can be easily seen from the bijections in [6]. For more information on irreducible set partitions, see [5].

**Theorem 4.7.** We have

\[
I_{n}^{312}(x) = I_{n}^{321}(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{B(x)}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(312\) is an irreducible pattern, Lemma 3.1 can be applied to obtain

\[
A_{n}^{312}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - I_{n}^{312}(x)}.
\]

The desired result now follows from Lemma 2.2. \(\Box\)

5. Concluding remarks

The notion of indecomposable permutations proved to be useful in various contexts, e.g. in obtaining non-trivial enumeration and equidistribution results on permutations [8].

In this paper, we gave a compete classification of indecomposable permutations avoiding a classical pattern of length 3 or 4, and of indecomposable permutations avoiding a non-consecutive vincular pattern of length 3; see Table 2 for a summary of these results. Also, we provided a recursive formula for enumerating \(12\cdots k\)-avoiding indecomposable permutations for \(k \geq 3\) (see Corollary 3.21). The descent statistic is taken into account in several of our results.

A natural direction of further research is in extending our studies of indecomposable permutations to other patterns, e.g. vincular patterns of length 4. Also, one can look at avoiding more than one pattern at the same time. Other statistics can be included in enumerative results.

Finally, one can establish a number of bijective results linking pattern avoiding indecomposable permutations to other structures (Theorem 4.4 is one such example). For instance, the sequence A005493 in the OEIS [22] has many interesting combinatorial interpretations that one could try to link in a bijective way to \(132\)-avoiding indecomposable permutations.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$I^\sigma(x)$</th>
<th>OEIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312, 321, 231</td>
<td>$\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2}$</td>
<td>A000108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132, 213</td>
<td>$(1-x)\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x} - 1\right)$</td>
<td>A000245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>$\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x} - \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} - 1$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2431, 4213, 3241, 4132, 2413, 3142</td>
<td>$\frac{-1 + 12x + 8x^2 + (1-8x)^{3/2}}{32x}$</td>
<td>A000257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2314, 3124</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1-4x} + 1\right) \left(\frac{32x}{1+20x-8x^2-(1-8x)^{3/2}} - 1\right)$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3214</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1-4x} + 1\right) (E(x) - 1)$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2143</td>
<td>$(1-x)^2E(x) + 2x - 1$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2134</td>
<td>$(1-x)E(x) - xC(x) - 1 + 2x + \frac{x^2}{1-x}$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1324</td>
<td>$A^{1324}(x) - 1 - (1-x)(C(x) - 1)^2$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234</td>
<td>$E(x) - \frac{2x}{1-x}C(x) + \frac{x^3}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{2x}{1-x} - 1$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>$\frac{1-x-x^2}{1-x}B(x) - 1$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>$(1-x)B(x) - 1$</td>
<td>A005493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312, 321</td>
<td>$1 - \frac{1}{\mu(x)}$</td>
<td>A138378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: A summary of the avoidance results in this paper. The definitions of the functions $E(x)$, $C(x)$, $B(x)$ and $A^{1324}(x)$ can be found in Section 2 and Theorem 4.2.
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