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Distributed PLL-based Control of Offshore Wind Turbines Connected with Diode-Rectifier based HVDC Systems

Lujie Yu, Rui Li, and Lie Xu, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—A distributed PLL-based frequency control is proposed in this paper for offshore wind turbine converters connected with diode-rectifier based high-voltage-direct-current (HVDC) systems. The proposed control enables a large number of wind turbines to work autonomously to contribute to the offshore AC frequency and voltage regulation. The proposed control also provides automatic synchronization of the offline wind turbines to the offshore AC grid. Stability of the proposed frequency control is analyzed using root locus method. Moreover, an active dc voltage control of the onshore modular multilevel converter (MMC) is proposed to ride-through onshore AC fault, where the onshore MMC converter quickly increases the dc voltage by adding additional submodules in each phase, in order to rapidly reduce wind farm active power generation so as to achieve quick active power re-balance between the offshore and onshore sides. Thus the overvoltage of the submodule capacitor is alleviated during the onshore fault, reducing the possibility of system disconnection. Simulation results in PSCAD verify the proposed control strategy during start-up, synchronization and under onshore and offshore fault conditions.

Index Terms—diode-rectifier based HVDC, distributed PLL-based frequency control, offshore wind power integration, onshore AC fault, synchronization of wind turbine converters

I. INTRODUCTION

In Europe, onshore wind farms have already been well developed, and more attention has been drawn to offshore sites. Many large offshore wind farms will be developed in the near future, thus reliable and efficient technology for transmitting the offshore wind power is one of the main development focuses. High voltage direct current (HVDC) technology has been identified as a preferred choice for long-distance offshore wind power transmission [1]-[7].

To date, voltage-source-converter based HVDC (VSC-HVDC) links have been successfully used in offshore wind farm connection projects, while line-commutated-converter based HVDC (LCC-HVDC) links are largely used for bulky power transmission in strong onshore ac grids. Recently, connection of offshore wind farm using diode-rectifier based HVDC (DR-HVDC) systems where diode rectifiers are used at the offshore wind farm site and connected to a conventional MMC at onshore has received noticeable interests [8]-[15]. This approach was firstly suggested in [8]-[11], and has been further developed in industry for offshore wind farm connection [12], [13]. The main benefits of DR-HVDC when compared to VSC-HVDC are lower investment and space requirement, higher efficiency and robustness. As reported in [12], [13], compared with VSC-HVDC for wind farm connection, the volume and transmission losses are reduced by 80% and 20% respectively, while the total cost can be reduced by 30%.

However, the uncontrolled diode-rectifier is unable to control offshore frequency and voltage as VSC-HVDC systems do. Thus, in DR-HVDC connected offshore wind farms, wind turbine (WT) converters have to control the offshore ac voltage and frequency. In [8], a voltage and frequency control is proposed, while communication for a centralized voltage control is required and all the wind turbines start-up from the beginning, with the synchronization process not being addressed. The fault ride-through performance and the operation with reduced diode rectifier filter are analyzed in [10], [11] using the same control strategy. In [13], umbilical ac cables are used to start-up the unidirectional DR-HVDC and a reactive power frequency droop control is presented. With no inner current loop for the WT converter, the dynamic response of the system during fast transients has yet to be approved. A fixed frequency control suggested in [14] can maintain a stable offshore frequency and can be a potential solution for synchronization, but global positioning system (GPS) is required for each WT. In fact, for the converters used in the power system and industry, phase-locked loop (PLL) is a much simpler and more efficient tool for system synchronization [16], [17].

Therefore, in this paper, a new distributed PLL-based frequency control is proposed for the operation of the WT converters connected with DR-HVDC system. Unlike in [8]-[11], communication is not required for the proposed distributed PLL-based frequency control. And a major difference of the proposed method in relation to the control method in [14] is that the synchronization tool of the proposed control is based on PLL, which is much simpler and more efficient than GPS used in [14]. In this paper, automatic synchronization of offline wind turbines to the network is studied in detail, which has not been analyzed before. Furthermore, the onshore modular multilevel converter has not been properly studied in all the previous DR-HVDC research.
work, especially the onshore fault ride through performance of the system. This paper proposes an active dc voltage control of the onshore modular multilevel converter (MMC), which can quickly re-balance the active power in the DR-HVDC system during the onshore fault and alleviate the overvoltage of the onshore MMC submodule capacitors during the fault without the use of communication.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The DR-HVDC structure and basic control are presented in Section II. In Section III, the proposed distributed PLL-based frequency control is described and its stability is analyzed. The proposed active MMC DC voltage control for onshore ac fault ride-through operation is presented in Section IV. Simulation results are presented in Section V to validate the proposed control and finally Section VI draws conclusions.

II. DR-HVDC

A. Structure

The considered offshore wind farm connected with DR-HVDC is shown in Fig. 1, which consists of five WT clusters. Each cluster is made up of four WT strings with ten fully rated converter based WTs. The diode-rectifier is made up of a 12-pulse bridge, whose DC sides are connected in series by two 6-pulse bridges while the ac sides are connected in parallel through a star-star and a star-delta connected transformers respectively. The onshore MMC with half-bridge submodules controls the dc voltage of the DR-HVDC link.

B. Basic control of WT line-side converters with DR-HVDC

1) Control requirements

When connected with DR-HVDC, the WT line-side converters have to work as grid-forming converters rather than grid-feeding converters. In addition to balancing transmitted active power between WT and offshore network, line-side converters also need to establish the offshore network frequency and voltage [8], [9]. The control requirements of the line-side converters are as follows:

- Offshore ac voltage control. During the start-up and normal operation, the offshore network voltage should be controlled. In addition, over-voltage should be limited during transient conditions, e.g., onshore ac fault.
- Active power control. The line-side converters need to transmit active power based on WT operation requirement, e.g., maximum power point tracking.
- Reactive power sharing control. Reactive power needs to be shared among WT converters while reactive current circulation among them is avoided.
- Frequency control. The line-side converters need to regulate the frequency of offshore network and ensure offline WTs can easily synchronize to the offshore ac grid. Fig. 2 shows the overall structure of the system control for the WT line-side converters. The detailed functions and designs of the individual control block will be described in the following sections.

2) Inner current control

The inner current loop has been widely used for controlling VSC with the benefit of fast response and current limiting during external ac faults. For the converter circuit shown in Fig. 2, the VSC current loop dynamics in the dq reference frame in which the q-axis component of the VSC filter bus voltage \( U_F \) is approximately 0, are expressed as

\[
R_l i_{ld} + L \frac{di_{ld}}{dt} = u_{cd} - u_{fd} + \omega L i_{ld} \tag{1}
\]

\[
R_l i_{dq} + L \frac{di_{dq}}{dt} = u_{cq} - u_{fq} - \omega L i_{dq} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the offshore network. With proportional-integral (PI) regulators, the current control loop is illustrated in Fig. 2.

3) Voltage control

As shown in Fig. 2, the voltage dynamics of the WT line-side converter in the dq reference frame are described as

\[
C \frac{du_{fd}}{dt} = i_{fd} - \alpha C u_{Fq} \tag{3}
\]

\[
C \frac{du_{Fq}}{dt} = i_{Fq} - \alpha C u_{fd} \tag{4}
\]

By regulating the output current of the converter, the voltage at the VSC filter capacitor terminal \( U_F \) can be controlled to follow its reference. The control diagram of the voltage loop.
can be seen in Fig. 2. The output dq current limits are set according to the converter current rating.

4) Active power control

As the dc voltage of the DR-HVDC link is controlled at the rated value by the onshore MMC, the transmitted active power is largely determined by the dc voltage produced by the diode rectifier ($U_{dc}$, Fig. 2) [9]. The dc voltage produced by the diode rectifier is given as

$$U_{dc} = 2(1.35U_{off} - \frac{3}{\pi}X_{L_k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $X$ is the reactance of the diode rectifier transformer. Thus, the active power transmitted from the wind farm to the onshore HVDC is determined by the offshore ac grid voltage $U_{off}$ or VSC filter capacitor terminal voltage $U_F$. Therefore, a WT active power control loop can be implemented as shown in Fig. 2 whose output is the amplitude of the d-axis voltage reference, i.e., magnitude of the produced offshore ac voltage. $U_o$ is the ac voltage set point of the offshore WT converters.

III. DISTRIBUTED PLL-BASED FREQUENCY CONTROL

Due to the uncontrollability of the diode rectifier, the system needs to ensure that large numbers of WTs work autonomously to provide frequency control of the offshore system. To tackle this problem, a distributed PLL-based frequency control is proposed for each turbine, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In addition to the frequency controllability, the proposed control ensures plug-and-play capability providing automatic synchronization of the offline WTs and minimum impact during disconnection of some of the turbines with the offshore ac network. Such issues have not been considered previously [8,9].

A. Principle of distributed PLL-based frequency control

In the existing voltage control for isolated converter based networks, the voltage amplitude of the network is regulated by the d-axis voltage reference $U_{Fdc}$ while the q-axis reference $U_{Fqr}$ is normally set at zero. On the other hand, the PLL takes $U_{Fq}$ as the input and regulates the frequency output to ensure the q-axis voltage $U_{Fq}$ to zero, as shown in Fig. 3. For example if the measured $U_{Fq}$ is slightly larger than zero for a short time, the detected frequency of the voltage vector will increase, as shown in Fig. 4 and (7)

$$\omega = k_p U_{Fq} + k_i \int U_{Fq} \, dt + \omega_b$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Since the frequency and phase angle measured by the PLL will also drive (synchronize) the output of the converter for the offshore ac network, the frequency of the offshore system will increase under such conditions. This indicates that the q-axis voltage reference $U_{Fqr}$ can be used to control the ac frequency and thus an additional PLL-based frequency loop is proposed to generate the desired $U_{Fqr}$, as shown in Fig. 2 and expressed as

$$U_{Fqr} = k_f (\omega_{ref} - \omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

When $\omega < \omega_{ref}$ (i.e., $U_{Fqr} > 0$), the PLL-based frequency control produces a positive $U_{Fqr}$ feeding to the ac voltage controller, as seen in Fig. 2. The voltage and current loops ensure the converter produce the required $U_{Fq}$ according to its reference value produced by the frequency loop. Consequently the frequency measured by the PLL is increased (due to $U_{Fq} > 0$) until becoming identical to the reference ($\omega = \omega_{ref}$). Similarly, when $\omega > \omega_{ref}$ (i.e., $U_{Fqr} < 0$), the proposed frequency control produces a negative $U_{Fq}$ so the frequency is reduced accordingly. Such frequency control can be implemented at each line-side converter of the WTs and is able to operate autonomously to contribute to the overall frequency regulation of the offshore ac network.

Fig. 5 shows the frequency regulation of the proposed PLL-based frequency control enabled at 0.4 s for a 5 MW converter (parameters seen in Table I). For case 1, the initial frequency is higher than the reference frequency of 50 Hz whereas for case
In (9), \( G(s) \) is the open loop transfer function and is written as

\[
G(s) = \frac{k_f (as^3 + bs^2 + cs + d)}{T_{PWM}s^5 + s^4 + cs^3 + fs^2 + gs^2 + hs}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
b &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
c &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
d &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
e &= k_{p}; \\
f &= k_{i} + k_{p}\omega_{n}; \\
g &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}; \\
h &= k_{i}\omega_{n};
\end{align*}
\]

For the line-side converter of a 5MW WT converter with parameters listed in Table I, the PI parameters of the current loop, voltage loop, and PLL are \( (k_p=1256 \ \Omega, k_i=98696 \ \Omega/s) \); \( (k_{p}=251 \ \Omega^{-1}, k_{i}=15775 \ \Omega^{-1}s^{-1}) \); and \( (k_p=223.21 \ \text{rad.}/(s\Omega), k_i=7042.5 \ \text{rad.}/(s\Omega)) \) respectively. \( L \) and \( C \) are listed in Table I. \( T_{PWM} \) is half of VSC switching period of 0.5 ms. From (9)-(11), the corresponding root locus is shown in Fig. 7.

As illustrated in Fig. 7, the PLL-based frequency control is stable when \( k_f < 0.057 \) in this case. Although the increase of \( k_f \) leads to fast frequency control response, the damping is reduced.

The closed loop transfer function function is thus expressed as

\[
H(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)}.
\]

In (9), \( G(s) \) is the open loop transfer function and is written as

\[
G(s) = \frac{k_f (as^3 + bs^2 + cs + d)}{T_{PWM}s^5 + s^4 + cs^3 + fs^2 + gs^2 + hs}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
b &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
c &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
d &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p}; \\
e &= k_{p}; \\
f &= k_{i} + k_{p}\omega_{n}; \\
g &= k_{i}\omega_{n}\omega_{p} + k_{p}\omega_{n}; \\
h &= k_{i}\omega_{n};
\end{align*}
\]

For the line-side converter of a 5MW WT converter with
Two different methods (passive and active) for increasing the dc voltage during an onshore fault will be further described in the following sections.

B. Passive MMC dc voltage control

With the passive MMC dc voltage control, the dc voltage is directly linked to the submodule capacitor voltage in which the total number of inserted submodules in the upper and lower arms in each phase (N) keeps constant, even during the onshore fault. The relationship between the increase of dc link voltage (△Udc) and the submodule capacitor voltage increase (△Uc) can be expressed as

\[
\Delta U_{dc} = N \Delta U_c \quad (12)
\]

where submodule capacitor voltage increase is caused by the energy unbalance between offshore generation and onshore transmission. The increase of the dc link voltage, in turn, reduces the offshore transmitted active power until the active power is re-balanced between the offshore and onshore sides.

For the DR-HVDC system with offshore ac voltage limit control, there is an intrinsic negative feedback loop after onshore fault that the unbalanced active power can always be automatically driven to 0. The surplus energy at each WT is dealt with as part of WT fault ride through requirement.

C. Active MMC dc voltage control

As discussed before, faster dc voltage increase leads to faster active power re-balance. Thus, the energy absorbed by the MMC submodule capacitors can be reduced alleviating excessive overvoltage of the submodules. Based on this observation, an active MMC dc voltage control is proposed to automatically increase the dc voltage reference after the onshore fault, and the increase of the dc voltage and submodule voltage can be expressed as

\[
\Delta U_{dc} = k N \Delta U_c, \quad k > 1 \quad (13)
\]

A limiter on \( \Delta U_{dc} \) can be used in the proposed control to limit the HVDC link over-voltage in an acceptable range. After the dc link voltage hits the limit, the number of inserted submodules per phase starts to decrease with the increase of the submodule capacitor voltage. In this way, the HVDC link voltage is regulated at the pre-set maximum value to ensure safe operation of the HVDC link.

After the fault clearance, the onshore ac voltage restores and more active power can be exported from the MMC to the onshore grid. The DR-HVDC system and offshore wind generation can recover quickly.

The proposed control also works in normal operation (as \( \Delta U_c = 0 \) and \( \Delta U_{dc} = 0 \)) and does not have any negative influence on the normal operation. The passive control shown in Section IV B is a specific case for the proposed active control where \( k \) is set at unity, as depicted by (12) and (13). Hence, no fault detection, control mode switching or communication is required for the proposed control.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

The system similar to the one shown in Fig. 1 is simulated in PSCAD/EMTDC environment to verify the proposed control of the DR-HVDC system with parameters listed in Table I. Detailed model is used for the diode rectifier, and equivalent MMC model from PSCAD library containing 256 submodules per arm is used for the onshore converter [18]-[20]. The wind farm model includes the followings: ten 5 MW WTs representing one WT string, an aggregate 150 MW WT (equivalent to 3 WT strings), an aggregate 200 MW WT (equivalent to a WT cluster with 4 strings) and an aggregate 600 MW WT (equivalent to 3 WT clusters). WTs' mechanical parts and generate-side converters are not modelled and the line-side converters are connected to constant dc voltage sources [8], [9], and use detailed switching models.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR-HVDC link</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>1000 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dc voltage</td>
<td>±120 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-pulse diode rectifier</td>
<td>Transformer (Y/Y/Δ)</td>
<td>66/261.8/261.8 kV, 0.18 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leakage inductance</td>
<td>0.3 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reactive power compensation</td>
<td>8000 mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onshore MMC</td>
<td>Submodule capacitance</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submodule number per arm N</td>
<td>2.5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arm inductance</td>
<td>0.067 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transformer (Y/Δ)</td>
<td>400/330 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT converters</td>
<td>Rating of individual WT</td>
<td>5 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rating of a WT string</td>
<td>5 MW×10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rating of a WT cluster</td>
<td>200 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transformer (Y/Δ)</td>
<td>0.69/66 kV, 0.08 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leakage inductance</td>
<td>0.1 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter capacitor C</td>
<td>0 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter resistance</td>
<td>0.15 pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Converter reactance L</td>
<td>2 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ac cable length between two 5 MW WTs</td>
<td>0.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>5 km, 10 km, 20 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested DR-HVDC SYSTEM</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Table II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At 10 s, the breaker of the aggregate 150 MW WT (equivalent to 3 WT strings) is closed and the corresponding WT filter and transformer are energized. At 10.2 s, the aggregate 150 MW is enabled and synchronized with the offshore network. At 11 s, the breaker of the aggregate 200 MW WT (equivalent to a WT cluster) is closed to energize the corresponding WT filter and transformer. At 11.2 s, the aggregate 200 MW WT is enabled and synchronized with the offshore network. As can be seen in Figs. 8 (a), (b), (g) and (h), the synchronization is very smooth even with such large lumped converter and the offshore ac voltage and frequency are well controlled.

At 12 s, the breaker of the diode rectifier is closed. Thus, the diode rectifier, transformer and the 150 MVar filter are energized. From 12.5 s to 12.8 s, first WT starts power production, ramping active power from 0 to its rated value of 5 MW as seen in Fig. 8 (c). After the conduction of the diode rectifier, the offshore ac voltage increases to 0.9 pu in order to transmit active power. From 13 s to 13.3 s and 13.5 s to 13.8 s, WT2 and WT3 increase active power production to its rated value respectively. From 14-14.3 s, WT4-WT10 increase active power production to rated value at the same time. After 14.3 s, all the ten WTs in this string operate at the rated active power, during the WT connection and synchronization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0s</td>
<td>Breaker BRK1 (seen in Fig. 1) is closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-0.5s</td>
<td>WT1 establishes ac voltage and frequency, operating in islanded mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0s</td>
<td>Breaker BRK2 closed, filter and transformer of WT2 energized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2s</td>
<td>WT2 enabled, operating in islanded mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9s</td>
<td>Breaker BRK10 closed, filter and transformer of WT10 energized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2s</td>
<td>WT10 enabled, operating in islanded mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10s</td>
<td>Breaker of aggregate 150 MW WT closed, filter and transformer of WT energized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2s</td>
<td>Aggregate 150 MW WT enabled, operating in islanded mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11s</td>
<td>Breaker of aggregate 200 MW WT closed, filter and transformer of WT energized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2s</td>
<td>Aggregate 200 MW WT enabled, operating in islanded mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12s</td>
<td>Diode rectifier and transformer energized and connected, 150 MVar filter energized and connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5-12.8s</td>
<td>WT1 starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-13.3s</td>
<td>WT2 starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5-13.8s</td>
<td>WT3 starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-14.3s</td>
<td>WT4-WT10 starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5-14.8s</td>
<td>Aggregate 150 MW WT starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-15.3s</td>
<td>Aggregate 200 MW WT starts power production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5s</td>
<td>Breaker of aggregate 600 MW WT closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-16.3s</td>
<td>Aggregate 600 MW WT enabled and increase power production to 300 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5s</td>
<td>A 150 MVar diode rectifier filter added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-17.3s</td>
<td>Aggregate 600 MW WT increases power production from 300 MW to 600 MW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with offshore frequency being regulated at around 50 Hz and reactive power well shared among WTs (-1.8 MVar each).

During 14.5-14.8 s, the generated active power from the aggregate 150 MW WT increases from 0 to 150 MW, as shown in Fig. 8 (e). Meanwhile, the diode rectifier reactive power consumption grows to 33 MVar, as shown in Fig. 8 (j). During 15-15.3 s, the generated active power from the aggregate 200 MW WT increases from 0 to 200 MW with the diode rectifier reactive power consumption of 97 MVar. As a result, the reactive power absorbed by the WTs decreases accordingly to achieve the offshore network reactive power balance, as shown in Figs. 8 (d) and (f). When these WTs operate at the full rated power (at t=15.3 s in Fig. 8), the total transmitted active power is 400 MW, as shown in Fig. 8 (i) and the offshore voltage $U_{off}$ is increased to 0.94 pu with frequency largely remaining at 50 Hz.

At 15.5 s, the breaker of the aggregate 600 MW WT (equivalent to 3 WT clusters) is closed and the corresponding WT filter and transformer are energized. During 16-16.3 s, its output power is increased from 0 to 300 MW. Meanwhile, all the wind turbines start to export reactive power to compensate the increased reactive power consumption by the diode rectifier (227 MVar). At 16 s, a 150 MVar filter is added. During 16.3-17.3 s, the aggregate 600 MW WT further increases active power from 300 MW to 600 MW.

From 17.3 s, the DR-HVDC system operates at the rated active power with dc current and onshore active power at rated value, as shown in Figs. 8 (l) and (k). The offshore voltage $U_{off}$ is now 1 pu, with the total harmonic distortion (THD) at around 1% and frequency controlled at around 50 Hz. 400 MVar reactive power is consumed by the diode rectifier. As can be seen from Figs. 8 (d) and (f), under steady state, the reactive power are shared equally (in per unit terms with respect to their respective rated power). During the whole start-up and WT connection process, the dc voltage is well controlled by the onshore MMC, as shown in Fig. 8 (m). The THD of the offshore voltage $U_{off}$ is lower than 1.5% throughout the wind power range of 0 to 1 pu, as shown in Table III.

As seen from Fig. 8, the offshore network shows an excellent behavior during the start-up and synchronization. Offline WT converters synchronize seamlessly with the offshore network during the connection process, and the overall offshore network frequency is also well controlled by the distributed WT converters.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power (pu)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power (pu)</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.9</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>1.06%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.84%</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Onshore fault ride-through operation**

The performance of the active dc voltage control during a solid onshore fault is compared to that of the passive dc voltage control method and the simulation results are shown in Fig. 9.

During normal operation, both control methods operate satisfactorily. At 0.05 s, a solid three-phase onshore fault occurs at the transformer primary side and the onshore ac voltage rapidly decreases to 0, as shown in Fig. 9 (a). The onshore transmitted active power quickly reduces to 0 as shown in Fig. 9 (h), while the WT and diode rectifier still try to transmit the generated active power, as shown in Figs. 9 (f) and (g). During the fault, the active current of the MMC is reduced using a voltage dependent current order limit (VDCOL) while its reactive current is increased [21]. This unbalanced active power leads to the charge of MMC submodule capacitors and consequently their voltages (shown as the average value of all the submodules) increase as shown in Fig. 9 (e).

With the conventional passive dc voltage control method, both the average submodule voltage of the 6 arms and the dc voltage increase from 1.0 pu to 1.29 pu in 0.04s, as shown in Figs. 9 (d) and (e). The increase of the dc voltage reduces the power output from the WT and transmitted to dc by the offshore diode rectifier as can be seen in Figs. 9 (f) and (g). When the dc
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