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ABSTRACT

A combined theoretical and experimental study on the structure, infrared and UV-Vis data of 2-{(E)-[(3-tert-butyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)imino]methyl}phenol (3), is presented. Theoretical geometry optimization and its IR spectrum were carried out using the Density Functional Theory (DFT), while for the theoretical UV-Vis spectrum, the Time-Dependent DFT (TD-DFT) method was used. The supramolecular analysis of the compound evidenced the presence of π...π interactions between the phenol and pyrazole rings and the presence of C-H...π interactions between the methyl group and the phenyl rings which form chains of molecules parallel to the plane (100).
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1. Introduction
Fused pyrazoles and their derivatives exhibit a wide range of interesting biological properties such as analgesics, anti-inflammatory, antitubercular, antipyretic, antibacterial and anticancer agents.\textsuperscript{1-5} Imines possess the general formula \( R^1R^2C=NR^3 \), they also are named as Schiff bases because they were firstly synthesized by Hugo Schiff in 1864, \textit{via} a condensation reaction method between primary amines and aldehydes or ketones.\textsuperscript{6,7} This family of compounds have widely been studied because of their interesting physicochemical properties related to their structures, this fact, have made them the object of many theoretical and experimental investigations.\textsuperscript{8-9} The most important features of the Schiff bases or their metal complexes it can be counted: their catalytic\textsuperscript{10-12} and biological activities,\textsuperscript{13-15} their application as metal ion sensors,\textsuperscript{16-18} molecular disruptor\textsuperscript{19-22} and also as anti-cancer agents,\textsuperscript{23-24} among others.

On the other hand, structural X-ray diffraction analysis allows the observation of possible specific properties in different molecular systems, from molecular orderings in the solid state. Some crystal systems can define their packing without the presence of hydrogen bonds or other strong intermolecular interactions. A great number of researchers have done their best in the search of a face-to-face \( \pi...\pi \) stacking array in the solid-state packing of organic semiconductors to improve the electrical characteristics of these compounds using excellent intermolecular \( \pi...\pi \) interactions.\textsuperscript{25-26} In this paper, the experimental and calculated spectroscopic properties of the title compound prepared by the reaction of 3-\textit{tert}-butyl-1-phenyl-\( 1H \)-pyrazol-5-amine with salicylaldehyde, are compared and their possible \( \pi...\pi \) and C-H... \( \pi \) interactions are studied.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and Methods

General information

Reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC), using Silica gel aluminum plates (Merck 60 F\textsubscript{254}). Melting point was determined on a Büchi melting point B-450 apparatus. IR spectrum was recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT spectrophotometer series 2000 using KBr disks. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer operating at 400.13 MHz for \( ^1H \) and 100.61 MHz for \( ^{13}C \), using DMSO-\( d_6 \) as solvent and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Chemical shifts (\( \delta \)) are in ppm, coupling constants (\( J \)) are in Hertz (Hz) and the classical abbreviations are used to describe the signal multiplicities. The mass spectrum was obtained on a SHIMADZU-GCMS 2010-DI-2010 spectrometer equipped with a direct inlet probe operating at 70 eV. High resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) was recorded on an Agilent Technologies Q-TOF 6520 spectrometer \textit{via} an electrospray ionization (ESI). Microanalyses was performed on an Agilent elemental analyzer and the values are within 0.4% of the theoretical. The UV–Vis absorption spectrum of compound 3 was obtained in acetonitrile solution at room temperature in the range of 200–600 nm using an UV-Vis 160A SHIMADZU Spectrophotometer. Chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Across, and were used without further purification.
2.2 Synthesis

A sample of the 3-\textit{tert}-butyl-1-phenyl-1\textit{H}-pyrazol-5-amine (1) was prepared as described in the literature.\textsuperscript{27} To a solution of concentrated hydrochloric acid (3.8 mL) in water (33 mL), phenylhydrazine (2.1220 g, 19.62 mmol) and 4,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentanenitrile (1.8502 g, 14.78 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 1 h, then concentrated hydrochloric acid (3.8 mL) was added and the mixture was heated additionally for 1 h. After cooling, crushed ice was added and the mixture was neutralized with concentrated ammonium hydroxide. The resulting solid was filtered under reduced pressure, washed with cold water (3 x 5 mL) and dried at ambient temperature affording the compound 1 as a pale yellow solid.

Synthesis of compound 3 (see Scheme 1). A mixture of 3-\textit{tert}-butyl-1-phenyl-1\textit{H}-pyrazol-5-amine 1 (0.231 g, 1.075 mmol), salicylaldehyde 2 (0.134 g, 1.093 mmol) and acetic acid (5 drops) was stirred for ten minutes. Until the reaction was complete (TLC control), the yellow solid formed was washed with water (5 x 20 mL) and dried under vacuum to afford compound 3 (0.325 g, 95% yield). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Insert Scheme 1

Anal. Calc. for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{21}N\textsubscript{3}O: C% 75.21; H% 6.63; N% 13.16. Found: C% 75.31; H% 6.59; N% 13.12. M.p. 401.8 K. (MS, 70 eV) m/z (%) 319 (\textit{M}^+ 100), 302 (\textit{M}^+ - 17, 14.58), 304 (\textit{M}^+ - 15, 77.76), 57 (6.61). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}) \nu\textsubscript{Ph-H} 3060.63, \nu\textsubscript{s\textendash}CH\textsubscript{3} 2958.41, \nu\textsubscript{s\textendash}CH\textsubscript{3} 2863.91, \nu\textsubscript{s\textendash}C=N 1604.56. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz) (DMSO-d\textsubscript{6}, \delta in ppm): 1.33 (bs, 9H, H-[12, 13, 14]), 6.69 (bs, 1H, H-9), 6.93 (d, 1H, \textit{J} = 8.4 Hz, H-3); 6.99 (t, 1H, \textit{J} = 7.4 Hz, H-18), 7.41 (m, 1H, \textit{J} = 7.6 Hz, H-4); 7.42 (m, 1H, H-5); 7.54 (t, 2H, \textit{J} = 7.4 Hz, H-17, 19); 7.61 (d, 2H, \textit{J} = 7.8 Hz, H-16, 20); 7.67 (d, 1H, \textit{J} = 7.6 Hz, H-6); 9.12 (bs, 1H, H-7); 11.76 (s, 1H, OH); NMR \textsuperscript{13}C (400 MHz) (DMSO-d\textsubscript{6}, \delta in ppm): 30.7 (C-12), 32.7 (C-11), 91.5 (C-9), 117.1 (C-3), 119.9 (C-18), 120.1 (C-1), 124.5 (C-20), 127.4 (C-4), 129.3 (C-19), 132.4 (C-6), 134.3 (C-5), 139.3 (C-15), 148.7 (C-8), 160.11 (C-2), 162.0 (C-10), 163.1 (C-7), UV-Vis in MeCN, \textit{\lambda}\textsubscript{max} nm, (log \eps), \lambda\textsubscript{1} 197 (5.36), \lambda\textsubscript{2} 219 (4.79), \lambda\textsubscript{3} 233 (4.79), \lambda\textsubscript{4} 276 (4.42), \lambda\textsubscript{5} 308 (4.53), \lambda\textsubscript{6} 324 (4.54), \lambda\textsubscript{7} 357 (4.59), \lambda\textsubscript{8} 381 (4.37).
Note: The assignment of the signals in $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR was performed in relation to figure 1(a).

3. Computational study for compound 3

All the theoretical calculations were determined in gas phase and in the approximation of the isolated molecule, using GaussView05 program and Gaussian09 program package. The molecular optimization, harmonic vibrational frequency, NMR and energy studies were calculated by ab initio computational methods Hartree-Fock (HF) and Density Functional Theory (DFT), using hybrid density functional B3LYP with the 6–311++G (d,p) basis, without any obstacle for the geometry. Theoretical vibrational spectra of compound 3 were interpreted by means of potential energy distributions (PEDs) using VEDA 4 program. Vibrational frequencies and bond lengths found at the DFT methods, yield better results when compared the experimental values with those obtained by Hartree-Fock methods.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Single crystal X-ray diffraction study

4.1.1 Crystal Data: $C_{12}H_{21}N_3O$ (M = 319.40 g/mol): monoclinic, space group P 2$_1$/c (No. 14), $a = 11.4508(8)$ Å, $b = 14.0285(8)$ Å, $c = 11.7440(8)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.0$, $\beta = 115.667(8)$, $\gamma = 90.0$, $V = 1700.4(2)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 4$, $T = 123(2)$ K, $\mu$ (MoK$_\alpha$) = 0.079 mm$^{-1}$, $D_{cal}= 1.248$ Mg/m$^3$, 8142 reflections measured ($3.483^\circ \leq \Theta \leq 26.999^\circ$), index range: $-14 \leq h \leq 10$, $-15 \leq k \leq 17$, $-14 \leq l \leq 14$, 3700 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0288$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0483$) which were used in all calculations. Refinement method: Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$. The final $R_1$ was 0.0474 ($I > 2\sigma(I))$ and $wR_2$ was 0.1177 (all data). Largest diff. peak and hole 0.238 and -0.222 e.Å$^{-3}$

4.1.2 Data Collection and Refinement Details: Diffraction data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur E diffractometer using CrysAlis PRO, using graphite monochromated MoK$_\alpha$ radiation (0.71073 Å). The corrected data were solved by direct methods with SHELXS-2014 and refined by full-matrix methods on $F^2$ with SHELXL-2014. All H-atoms, were positioned at geometrically idealized positions, C—H= 0.9500 Å, C—H= 0.9800 Å for methyl, and they were refined using a riding model approximation with Uiso(H) = 1.2 and 1.5 $U_{eq}$(parent atom). H1H atom was found from the Fourier maps and its coordinates were refined freely. Correctness of the model was confirmed by low residual peaks (0.238) and holes (-0.222 e.Å$^{-3}$) in the final difference map.

4.2 Molecular Geometry

The optimized structure parameters of compound 3 calculated by HF and DFT methods listed in Table 1 are in accordance with atom numbering given in Figure 1.
The central segment, C1–C7–N1–C8 (r.m.s. deviation = 0.0159 Å), is rotated by 4.58 (15)°, 4.20 (18)° and 53.76 (6)° to the phenol (A), pyrazole (B) and phenyl (C) rings, respectively. These values show an approximate planarity between phenolic (A) and pyrazole (B) rings respectively, thus obtaining a higher conjugation in that region of the molecule. The properties of planarity and conjugation are broken when trying to include the third ring of the molecule.

4.3 Supramolecular behavior

At supramolecular level, classical hydrogen bond interactions and other common C-H...X (X= O, N) hydrogen bond contacts are not detected in compound 3, whereas π...π and C-H...π interactions are observed. In the literature a similar compound, the 3-tButyl-5-((4-methoxybenzylidene)amino)-1-phenylpyrazole (CSD refcode VAGYOS)34, with the presence of C-H...π interaction, is reported. The central segment C1-C7-N1-C8 in compound 3, presents approximate planarity with the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings but does not observe planarity with the phenyl (A) ring. This planarity, possibly induces the phenol and pyrazole rings to orient themselves face to face in crystalline growth. This approach allows π...π interactions to become more effective. Recent studies have considered π...π non-binding interactions as responsible for crystal growth.35-36 Analysis of the crystalline growth of compound 3 shows that, effectively, it is developed into face to face π...π and C-H...π stacking.

The facing phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings observe a distance of 3.771 Å, between their centroid positions Cg1...Cg2 (i= 2-x,1-y,-z) and they are interwoven with other molecules by C-H...π interactions. The latter interaction is carried out between one of the carbons (C13) of the tert-butyl group and the phenol (A) ring of the neighboring molecule, showing a C-H...Cg3 (ii = x,1/2-y,1/2-z) with a distance of 3.535 Å. These interactions are shown running parallel to (100)
The overlapping of the rings is accentuated by the highly π delocalized resonant contributor “3” in which the phenolic moiety (A) acquires a partial positive charge while the pyrazole moiety (B) acquire the negative one, as shown in Scheme 2.

Both (A) and (B) moieties interacts favorably in a head (+)-to-tail (-) fashion in the supramolecular structure, acquiring a good tendency to form face-to-face π...π interactions, as shown in Fig. 2. These latter interactions were visualized using the Hirshfeld surface, Fig. 3(a). On the surface can be observed red spots that show the regions of interaction with other molecules, specifically between the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings. The absence of other stronger interactions, such as hydrogen bonds, can be seen in Fig. 3(b) where the interaction matrix does not show the characteristic claws or peaks for these kind of bonds.

The most important contributions of intermolecular contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces were, H...H (61.3%), C...H (17.8%), N...H (6.1%), C...C (6.3%) (Fig. 3(b)).

4.4 Vibrational analysis

The application of the Fourier transform in certain techniques of analysis, enabled the IR spectroscopy to emerge and be placed at the present time as one of the most used analysis tools for the characterization of materials. The FT-IR spectrum has been recorded and its vibrational assignment is presented for compound 3 for the first time. In this section we will discuss the IR characteristic bands and their assignments based on the comparison between the solid IR experimental state spectrum and the results obtained through the use of the DFT/B3LYP/6-311G++(d,p) model. The experimental and calculated spectra are shown in Fig. 4. The scale factor used with the theoretical values was 0.9619. For the assignment of the
frequencies it was used VEDA 4,\textsuperscript{30} with its corresponding PED% value. According to VEDA 4, theoretical spectrum have good agreement with the experimental FT-IR one.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4a}
\caption{Figure 4(a)}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4b}
\caption{Figure 4(b)}
\end{figure}

4.4.1 Hydroxyl group vibrations

The hydroxyl O-H stretching (vOH), is generally a strong and broad band, that can be observed in a region around 3500 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{39} Our experimental results show a vOH band at 3120 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and it is similar to values shown by other authors.\textsuperscript{40-41} Calculated value is obtained at 3195 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The difference between these values emerges as a result of which the calculations do not consider the interaction generated by the iminic nitrogen over the phenolic hydrogen generating a relative strong intramolecular hydrogen bond. The frequency due to O-H in-plane bending vibration, in general, is located in the region 1150–1250 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The experimental spectrum shows a medium-strong FT-IR band at 1121 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and the calculated value is 1128 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The frequency due to O-H out-of-plane bending vibration is located in general in the region 650-770 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Our results show a band at 775 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and its calculated value at 781 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

4.4.2 C-H vibrations

The aromatic C-H stretching vibrations are in general observed in the region 3000–3100 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. In compound 3, a C-H stretching vibration of the rings (A, B and C) is observed at 3059 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. This is in agreement with experimental assignment 3040–3089 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{42} Three calculated frequencies for C-H symmetric mode, C-H asymmetric mode in phenol (A) ring and C-H asymmetric mode in phenyl (C) ring at 3071 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, 3065 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 3064 cm\textsuperscript{-1} respectively, were assigned. The 2958 cm\textsuperscript{-1} band is assigned to CH\textsubscript{3} asymmetric mode, while the weak band at 2862 cm\textsuperscript{-1} correspond to the CH\textsubscript{3} symmetric mode. Calculated stretching bands at 2968 and 2907 cm\textsuperscript{-1} were assigned for these vibrations, respectively. These values are in a good agreement with other reported studies.\textsuperscript{43}

4.4.3 C=N vibrations

The stretching vibration band C=N is observed in the region 1500-1620 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{44-45} A strong band observed at 1570 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the FTIR spectrum is assigned to C=N stretching vibration mode. Calculated stretching band at 1582 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, is assigned to this vibration.

4.4.4 C-O vibrations
The stretching vibration band C-O is observed in the region 970-1250 cm\(^{-1}\). A strong band observed at 1281 cm\(^{-1}\) in the FTIR spectrum was assigned to C-O stretching vibration mode. Calculated stretching band at 1262 cm\(^{-1}\), was assigned to this vibration. See Fig. 5(a) and 5(b).

**4.5 UV-Vis**

The most important orbitals in a molecule are its frontier molecular orbitals that determine how the molecule interacts with other species. A molecule with a small HOMO-LUMO energy gap is more polarizable and is generally related to a high chemical reactivity or a low kinetic stability. HOMO is the orbital that acts as an electron donor and LUMO is the orbital that acts as an electron acceptor. The electronic transition energies were calculated using the Time Dependent Density Function Theory (TD-DFT), with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set optimized structure in acetonitrile solvent.

The measured values of absorption wavelengths \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) in compound 3, are at 235 and 363 nm, and they can be assigned to \(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) transition. UV-Vis spectrum, and are in good agreement with the experimental data. The calculated UV-Vis absorption bands were obtained at 236.88 nm, 238.97 nm and 365.04 nm. From Fig. 6 (a) and 6 (b) it can be seen that the HOMO is uniformly distributed in the central segment and over the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings. From Figure 6a and 6b, it can be seen that HOMO is uniformly distributed on the central segment and on the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings and that this distribution is partial on the phenyl (C) ring and on the tert-butyl group, whereas LUMO [Fig. 6a] is distributed similarly to HOMO except for its presence on the tert-butyl group and partially showing its distribution on the pyrazole (B) ring. The LUMO+3 is distributed over the phenol (A) and phenyl (C) rings and partially over the central segment [Fig. 6b]. In the HOMO-1 a uniform distribution is observed on the pyrazole (B) and phenyl (C) rings. This orbital also shows a partial distribution on the phenol (A) ring and a null distribution on the central segment. LUMO+1 shows a partial distribution over the central segment [Fig. 6c] and a substantial increase over the phenyl (C) ring as shown in 6(c).
5. Conclusions

Single crystal X-ray crystallography clearly showed the structural conformation of compound 3. The planar behavior of the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) aromatic rings with the central segment C1-C7-N1-C8 became evident. The supramolecular analysis evidenced the presence of π...π interactions between the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings, which in turn are related by inversion centers. Additionally, the presence of C-H...π interactions between the methyl group and the phenyl (C) ring, which acts as junction to form chains of molecules parallel to the plane (100). These interactions were evidenced using Hirshfeld's surface theory, clearly showing the interactions between the phenol (A) and pyrazole (B) rings. The absence of other interactions, different from those analyzed, was evidenced in the fingerprint. The values obtained in the HOMO-LUMO gaps of the present study show a good stability of the molecule as well as relative chemical reactivity. Other spectroscopic techniques (¹H and ¹³C NMR) were used to confirm the results of the present study. Calculations performed by DFT using hybrid methods, type B3LYP, have shown their goodness in establishing excellent approximations with the experimental parameters. The above was demonstrated by the calculations performed on within this work in relation to IR or-and UV-Vis spectroscopy.
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Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of compound 3 obtained by X-ray diffraction, with thermal ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. (b) Calculated geometric parameters for compound 3 by using the DFT/B3LYP, 6−311++G (d,p) basis set.
Figure 2. (a) Packing diagram of the title molecule parallel to (100). Dotted lines represent π...π and C-H...π interactions. (b) Interactions between Cg₁...Cg₂ and C-H...π Cg₃.
Figure 3. (a) $dnorm$ mapped on Hirshfeld surface for visualizing the intercontacts. (b) Fingerprint H...H. $di$ is the closest internal distance from a given point on the Hirshfeld surface and $de$ is the closest external contacts.
Figure 4. (a) Experimental FT-IR spectrum of compound 3. (b) Theoretical IR spectrum of compound 3.
Figure 5. (a) Experimental (CH$_3$CN solvent) and (b) calculated UV-Vis spectra of compound 3.
Figure 6. The HOMOs and LUMOs surfaces and energy values for compound 3.