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Uranium(V) Incorporation Mechanisms and Stability in Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)Oxides
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ABSTRACT: Understanding interactions between radionuclides and mineral phases underpins site environmental cleanup and waste management in the nuclear industry. The transport and fate of radionuclides in many subsurface environments are controlled by adsorption, redox, and mineral incorporation processes. Interactions of iron (oxyhydr)oxides with uranium have been extensively studied because of the abundance of uranium as an environmental contaminant and the ubiquity of iron (oxyhydr)oxides in engineered and natural environments. Despite this, detailed mechanistic information regarding the incorporation of uranium into Fe(II)-bearing magnetite and green rust is sparse. Here, we present a co-precipitation study in which U(VI) was reacted with environmentally relevant iron(II/III) (oxyhydr)oxide mineral phases. On the basis of diffraction, microscopic, dissolution, and spectroscopic evidence, we show the reduction of U(VI) to U(V) and stabilization of the U(V) by incorporation within the near surface and bulk of the particles during co-precipitation with iron (oxyhydr)oxides. U(V) was stable in both magnetite and green rust structures and incorporated via substitution for octahedrally coordinated Fe in a uranate-like coordination environment. As the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased, a proportion of U(IV) was also precipitated as surface-associated UO2.

INTRODUCTION

Uranium is a problematic contaminant at nuclear sites and is the dominant radionuclide by mass in radioactive wastes destined for geological disposal. Groundwater conditions under both scenarios range from mildly acidic to alkaline and from oxic to anoxic. The oxidation state of uranium significantly controls its mobility. Under oxic conditions, soluble and environmentally mobile U(VI) dominates; under anaerobic conditions, uranium is typically reduced to poorly soluble U(IV). During biological and abiotic reduction of U(VI), U(V) reportedly forms as a transient species. Recent work has also suggested that U(V) can be stabilized at mineral surfaces and during the incorporation of uranium into Fe(II)-bearing magnetite and green rust is sparse. Here, we present a co-precipitation study in which U(VI) was reacted with environmentally relevant iron(II/III) (oxyhydr)oxide mineral phases. On the basis of diffraction, microscopic, dissolution, and spectroscopic evidence, we show the reduction of U(VI) to U(V) and stabilization of the U(V) by incorporation within the near surface and bulk of the particles during co-precipitation with iron (oxyhydr)oxides. U(V) was stable in both magnetite and green rust structures and incorporated via substitution for octahedrally coordinated Fe in a uranate-like coordination environment. As the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased, a proportion of U(IV) was also precipitated as surface-associated UO2.
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form U(IV),\textsuperscript{29} whereas other studies show U(VI) is reduced to U(V) via a one-electron transfer process and then U(V) disproportionate to form U(IV)\textsuperscript{28,30} Previous spectroscopic studies have suggested that U(V) can be stabilized via its incorporation into magnetite within the octahedral sites in a distorted uranate-like coordination, although the exact structural site has not been conclusively determined, and no information about U(V) stabilization in other Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides (e.g., green rust) is currently available.\textsuperscript{8,16,19} Theoretical simulations of incorporation of U into iron (oxyhydr)oxide minerals have provided information about the U(VI) and U(V) coordination environment when substituted for octahedrally coordinated Fe within the magnetite structure.\textsuperscript{21,31} Recently, advances in spectroscopic techniques have also demonstrated that U(IV), U(V), and U(VI) can be distinguished using high-energy resolution fluorescence-detected-X-ray absorption near edge structure (HERFD-XANES) techniques.\textsuperscript{15} Ultimately, if U(V) is incorporated into the structure of Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides after U(VI) reduction, this could prevent disproportionation and lead to long-term immobilization of U(V). However, the pathway and mechanism of U(V) incorporation into magnetite and green rust are either poorly defined or yet to be determined.

Here, we synthesized both magnetite and green rust with U(VI) via a co-precipitation process. We then gained detailed molecular scale insights into the speciation and atomic scale mechanisms of incorporation of U within the mineral structures using state-of-the-art HERFD-XANES spectroscopy in combination with extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy. Synchrotron analysis unequivocally confirmed the reduction of U(VI) to stabilized, octahedrally coordinated U(V), which is incorporated within both magnetite and green rust. This facile stabilization of U(V) within these bulk mineral phases may have important implications for the fate of U in engineered and natural environments where U(V) has typically been considered transient.

\section{METHODS}

\subsection{Mineral Synthesis and Characterization.}

Uranium-bearing magnetite and green rust were synthesized using a direct co-precipitation method in experiments performed at room temperature in an anaerobic chamber.\textsuperscript{32} In brief, solutions of 0.1 M FeCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.2 M FeCl\textsubscript{3}, 0.3 M HCl, and 0.0126 M U(VI)Cl\textsubscript{6} were mixed for 24 h before mineral precipitation was induced by introduction of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) solution into a N\textsubscript{2}-sparged 28–30% (w/v) NH\textsubscript{4}OH solution (pH 11) that was being continuously stirred over 15 min to a final pH of 9. This led to the instantaneous co-precipitation of the uranium-doped minerals. Four different starting Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios were used to form magnetite and green rust:

$$x = \frac{\text{Fe(II)}}{\text{Fe(III)}} = 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, \text{ or } 2.0$$

After reaction, the solid samples were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to characterize their structure, particle size, and morphology. The distribution of uranium within the iron (oxyhydr)oxide particles was determined using acid dissolution performed as a function of [H\textsuperscript{+}] in solution.\textsuperscript{33} X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS). Uranium L\textsubscript{3} edge XAS spectra were recorded on wet mineral pastes at Diamond Light Source, beamline B18,\textsuperscript{34} in a liquid N\textsubscript{2} cryostat in fluorescent mode using a Ge detector.\textsuperscript{35} Analysis of the EXAFS spectra was performed in Artemis and Athena with details given in the Supporting Information.\textsuperscript{36–40} U M\textsubscript{4} edge HERFD-XANES spectra were recorded at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, beamline ID26,\textsuperscript{34} through the use of an X-ray emission spectrometer\textsuperscript{42,43} and analyzed using iterative transformation factor analysis\textsuperscript{12,44} to determine the proportion of U(IV), U(V), and U(VI) in the samples.

\section{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

Co-precipitation experiments were performed to determine the speciation of uranium following co-precipitation with either magnetite or green rust. The Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides were co-precipitated with U(VI) at starting Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios of 0.5/0.6 to synthesize magnetite and 0.8/2.0 to synthesize green rust (Figure S1). Additionally, transmission electron microscopy showed the magnetite was nanoparticulate, with particle sizes ranging from 1 to 20 nm (Figure S2). Green rust was present as pseudohexagonal plates of approximately 50–600 nm, and energy dispersive X-ray analysis showed chloride was present, indicating green rust chloride formed (Figure S3).

\subsection{Reduction of U(VI) to Stable U(V).}

Uranium M\textsubscript{4} edge HERFD-XANES spectra were used to determine the oxidation state of U associated with the Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide phases (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{19,45,46} The energy of the XANES peak position showed a systematic decrease as the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased (Figure S4) from 3726.5 eV [at 0.5 Fe(II)/Fe(III)] to 3726.3 eV [at 2.0 Fe(II)/Fe(III)]. Comparison with the peak position of the U oxidation state standards [U(VI) = 3726.95 eV, U(V) = 3726.4 eV, and U(IV) = 3725.2 eV] suggests that U(V) dominated in all of the samples. Furthermore, there were no indications of the higher-energy peaks (3728.7 and 3732.0 eV) that are typical of U(VI). However, in the Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 2.0 spectra, the small shoulder on the low-energy side of the XANES spectrum confirms the presence of U(IV) (Figure 1). Iterative trans-
formation factor analysis (ITFA) of the HERFD-XANES data identified the three main components present in the samples (Figure S5) and indicated that at Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5–0.8, 87–96% of U was present as U(V), with the remainder being from U(VI) for Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5 and U(IV) for Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.6 and 0.8 (Table S1). At Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 2.0, U(V) still dominated but the amount of U(VI) increased to approximately 28%. The U L₃ edge XANES also supports stabilization of U(V) with XANES edge positions for all the samples (17169.6–17171.2 eV) between those of U(VI) (17173.4 eV) and U(IV) (17170.3 eV). Additionally, shifts in the U L₃ edge XANES post-edge resonance features to a lower energy relative to that of the uranyl U(VI) standard indicate the formation of a uranlike coordination, consistent with the reported U coordination within magnetite (Figure S6).⁷,¹⁹

**Incorporation of U within Magnetite and Green Rust.** U L₃ edge EXAFS spectra (Figure S7 and Table S2) were analyzed to determine the molecular scale speciation of U associated within the magnetite and green rust mineral phases. For U associated with magnetite [Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5 and 0.6], the best fits confirm 4–4.5 oxygen backscatterers at 2.17(1) Å, indicative of uranlike coordination, consistent with our interpretation of the L₃ edge XANES spectra. The U–O coordination number is lower than would be predicted for an octahedral site (i.e., <6) because of the presence of a proportion of either U(IV)O₂ or U(VI) within the samples. The fitting of Fe shells to the EXAFS was then informed by assuming that incorporation of U into magnetite occurred by substituting U for Fe at an octahedrally coordinated Fe site. The fits confirmed the presence of two U–Fe shells at 3.20(2) and 3.72(2) Å for Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5 and 3.15(2) and 3.69(3) Å for Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.6, showing a systematic and significant increase in the interatomic distance relative to the Fe–Fe distances in magnetite (2.97 and 3.48 Å, respectively). At Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.6, the additional U–O and U–U backscattering shells observed at 2.42(2) and 3.89(2) Å, respectively, reflect the presence of U(IV) supporting the HERFD-XANES data, and assuming an error of ±1 on the coordination number, the proportions of U(IV) are within error [i.e., ±20% U(IV)]. Finally, the presence of oxygen backscatterers at 1.81(2) and 2.43(2) Å in the fit for the Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5 sample suggests a modest contribution from U(VI) in uranyl dioxygenyl coordination. This was confirmed by the ITFA analysis and has previously been attributed to U(VI) adsorbed to magnetite.⁷,¹⁵

For Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.8 and 2.0 samples, the U–O coordination environment in green rust showed differences when compared to the magnetite system, with U–O distances at approximately 1.90(6) and 2.17(1) Å again indicative of uranlike coordination. Similar to the magnetite systems, additional U–O and U–U shells at 2.46(2) and 3.89(1) Å confirm the presence of U(IV)O₂, which is consistent with the HERFD-XANES analysis and previous studies.⁵⁵,⁴⁸ Similar to the magnetite system, fitting of additional Fe shells was informed by the green rust structure and assumes direct substitution of octahedrally coordinated Fe by U. The best fits include six Fe atoms at 3.09(1) and 3.28(2) Å with an additional shell of four Fe atoms at 5.24(3) Å. These fits are consistent with the Fe–Fe distances in green rust, which are 3.19 and 5.52 Å, respectively. The dissolution experiments for the Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5, 0.6, and 0.8 samples (Figure S8) show an initial release of 35–40% of U with minimal Fe dissolution, followed by congruent dissolution of U and Fe. This suggests that 60–65% of the U is distributed within the magnetite and/or green rust particles, with the remaining U being discrete U phases (i.e., UO₂) or near-surface-associated U(V)/U(VI).

Overall, the EXAFS and dissolution data confirm that U(V) is incorporated within octahedral sites in the Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide phases in a uranlike coordination environment. This is consistent with several recent experimental and theoretical reports showing U can directly substitute for octahedrally coordinated Fe in iron (oxyhydr)oxide mineral phases.⁸,¹⁵,¹⁶,¹⁹,³¹ Our work extends these observations for the first time to systems in which U(V) is the dominant species during direct precipitation of both magnetite and green rust.

**Mechanism of Incorporation of U(V) into Magnetite and Green Rust.** Our results show that direct co-precipitation of U(VI) with magnetite and green rust leads to reduction of U(VI) to U(V) and subsequent stabilization within the bulk structure of both minerals. The stabilization of U(V) via incorporation into Fe(II)/Fe(III)-bearing iron (oxyhydr)oxides has been hypothesized in several systems,⁸,¹⁵,¹⁶ with very recent work postulating U(V) stabilization via incorporation into magnetite.³⁹ For the current work, in both systems U(V) is incorporated into the mineral structures via direct substitution of U for octahedrally coordinated Fe. In magnetite, the average U–O bond length is 2.17(1) Å [Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5 and 0.6], consistent both with U(V) in uranlike coordination and with recent atomistic simulations that predict a U–O distance of 2.08 Å for this system.¹⁹,³¹,⁴⁹ The U–Fe interatomic distances derived from the EXAFS data (i.e., 3.15–3.20 and 3.69–3.72 Å) are consistent with those previously published.³⁸ The U–Fe distances are ±0.2 Å longer than the Fe–Fe distances in magnetite, but the distance between the two Fe shells matches that of pure magnetite [±0.5 Å (Table S2)]. Again these values are consistent with U(V) incorporation simulations for the first Fe shell (3.15 Å) in magnetite.³¹ The reason for the increased interatomic distances is unlikely to be the size of the incorporated U(V) [the octahedral crystal radius of U(V) is 0.9 Å, and the radii for Fe(II) and Fe(III) are 0.92 and 0.785 Å, respectively]; rather, strengthened repulsive electrostatic interactions between U(V) and the Fe(II/III) atoms pushed the iron out.³¹ The low coordination numbers of the U–Fe shells (2) relative to that of pure magnetite (6) are likely due to the nanoparticulate nature of magnetite, which leads to a proportion of the U being near-surface-associated.³⁰ This is consistent with the dissolution data that shows 35–40% of U is released with minimal Fe dissolution (Figure S8), suggesting this fraction is near-surface-associated U(V)/U(VI) and UO₂ with the remaining U distributed evenly throughout the particles. The presence of U(V) at the (near) surface of the particles is likely due to the presence of Fe(II), which provides strong reducing conditions, resulting in the reduction of sorbed U to U(VI) surface complexes that can transform into UO₂ particles.

The incorporation of U(V) into green rust [Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.8 and 2.0] again occurs via direct substitution for octahedrally coordinated Fe within the sheet structure of the layered double hydroxide. In addition, the dissolution data indicate that 35–40% of U is present as a discrete phase or is near-surface-associated, which is consistent with the presence of UO₂ in the XAS, formed via the process stated above, and some near-surface-associated U(V). The EXAFS data indicate that the U(V) local coordination is different compared to that of magnetite (Figure S7). Indeed, the best fit for these samples confirmed two oxygens at ±1.9 Å and a shell of four equatorial
oxygen at 2.17 Å, again consistent with a uranate-like coordination environment (Table S2). This difference in U(V)–O coordination relative to that of magnetite is presumably due to the layered structure of the green rust and similar to that observed for incorporation of U(V) into other layered iron (oxy)hydroxides (i.e., lepidocrocite). Here, incorporation of U(V) within isolated octahedral sheets evidently provides significantly less steric constraint on the U(V) octahedron relative to U(V) in the highly constrained cubic spinel structure of magnetite. The variation in the axial and equatorial U–O bond lengths in the U(V) incorporated within green rust clearly leads to local distortion of the green rust octahedral sheet and splitting of U–Fe distances relative to the Fe–Fe distances in green rust (Table S2). Overall, the best fit indicates three or four Fe–O octahedral adjacent to the short (1.9 Å) U–O axial oxygens and approximately two Fe–O octahedra adjacent to the long (2.17 Å) U–O equatorial oxygen distances (Figure 2). This is consistent with the U(V) being present in a distorted octahedral environment and is presumably due to the enhanced flexibility of the octahedral sheet in green rust compared to octahedral sites within cubic magnetite.

The novel incorporation of U(V) in green rust is in contrast to the results of previous sorption studies in which U(IV) surface complexes or UO$_2$(OH) was observed as the reaction end product. The observed energy shift of the U(V) $M_4$ edge HERFD-XANES main peak position (Figure 1) for different Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios (Figure S4) from 3726.5 eV [Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5] to 3726.3 eV [Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 2.0] may relate to the different strengths of the covalent bond between the U and neighboring atoms. Electronic structure calculations (Figure S9) show a clear difference in the distribution of density of states for U incorporated into the octahedral site of magnetite relative to green rust. The hybridization between U $f$ states and Fe $d$ states above the Fermi level is much stronger for U incorporated into green rust than for U incorporated into magnetite. This may be associated with a stronger, more covalent, U bond in green rust compared to the U bond in the magnetite structure.

Mechanism of U(V) Stabilization. Our results suggest that U(V) incorporation during magnetite and green rust formation occurs via a two-step process (abstract graphic). First, we suggest that U(VI) is adsorbed to the surface of the growing Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxy)hydroxide nanoparticles and undergoes reduction to U(V) via one-electron transfer. Second, during rapid crystal growth, the U(V), which is compatible with the Fe octahedral site in both magnetite and green rust, becomes incorporated within the structure. These steps are consistent with those proposed for U(V) incorporation during goethite formation; however, further research is required to determine the exact nature of each step in the process. Recent work has highlighted that disproportion of U(V) can occur on the magnetite surface, implying that stabilization of structural U(V) may occur only during rapid crystal growth. Under these conditions, isolation of U(V) within the mineral structure may prevent its disproportionation to U(IV) and U(VI). Indeed, U(V) is reportedly stable in magnetite for up to 550 days, suggesting that incorporated U(V) may be stable over extended time periods. Furthermore, steric constraints seem likely to favor U(V) stabilization in these systems as U(IV) strongly prefers larger coordination environments (e.g., $n = 8$). Indeed, steric constraints may be the most crucial factor in stabilizing U(V) as both magnetite and green rust are electrically conductive, and therefore, physical isolation of U(V) alone seems unlikely to prevent disproportionation.

Implications for U Speciation and the Fate of U in the Environment. Here, we have shown the reduction of U(VI) to U(V) followed by incorporation into both magnetite and green rust is the dominant process during the direct precipitation of these mineral phases. The potential for U(V) incorporation processes to be dominant in these environmentally relevant phases is certainly highlighted in this and other very recent work and has important implications, which have not been fully explored, for understanding and predicting U mobility in engineered and natural environments. Clearly, the extent of incorporation of U(V) into Fe(II)/Fe(III) (oxy)hydroxides forming under contaminated land and geodisposal conditions needs to be fully quantified. Incorporation of U(V) into mineral phases commonly present in contaminated environments may offer a more resilient species for oxidative remobilization compared to uraninite and adsorbed U(IV), which are readily oxidized to soluble U(VI) in many environmental systems. The incorporation of U(V) into magnetite and green rust offers a promising prospect for optimizing its incorporation in a range of engineered settings, while the stability of U(V) in these systems clearly warrants further investigation.
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