

Effects of population ageing on the pension system in Belarus*

Katerina Lisenkova ^a and Kateryna Bornukova ^b

^aFraser of Allander Institute, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK; ^bBelarusian Economic Research and Outreach Center (BEROC), Minsk, Belarus

ABSTRACT

Belarus currently has a relatively generous pay-as-you-go pension system, but population aging coupled with recent problems with economic growth will soon make it unsustainable. We build a rich overlapping generation model of Belarusian economy, which shows that without reform the Pension Fund will run into persistent and growing deficit, which will reach 9% of GDP by 2055. We also compute the fiscal projections of several parametric pension reforms, including the reform which will start in 2017. To avoid a deficit without reform, pension benefits would have to be substantially reduced. The increase of retirement age to 65 for both genders has a strong positive effect on sustainability of the pension system and keeps the deficit below 2% of GDP.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 27 January 2016
Accepted 21 March 2017

KEYWORDS

Belarus; pension system;
demography; retirement age;
pension reform

JEL CLASSIFICATION

C68; H55; J26

1. Introduction

After the dissolution of the USSR, the majority of former Soviet Union states experienced increases in mortality (Brainerd & Cutler, 2005; Ellman, 1994) and sharp drops in birth rates (Adsera, 2004; Perelli-Harris, 2008). Belarus was not an exception, although the increase in mortality was less pronounced than in other newly independent states, as the socio-economic changes were less drastic (Grigoriev et al., 2010; Shakhotska, 2007). The health crisis of the 1990s contributed to the decline in the life expectancy, especially among males (Cockerham, 1997; Shakhotska, 2006). In 1999 the male life expectancy at birth was only 62.2 years (World Development Indicators, World Bank, 2015), the lowest for the past 50 years.

In 2000s, economic growth in Belarus picked up. It was pro-poor (Haiduk & Chubrik, 2007), and living standards improved rapidly. As economic uncertainty subdued and incomes grew, both life expectancy and fertility increased. The government also introduced maternity and child benefits, and these policies contributed to an increase in fertility (Amialchuk, Lisenkova, Salnykov, & Yemelyanau, 2014). But these positive developments were not enough to reverse the negative trend in population growth. According to the World Bank, the Belarusian population decreased from 10.2 million in

CONTACT Kateryna Bornukova  bornukova@beroc.by
*BEROC Working Paper Series, WP no. 28.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1991 to 9.5 million people in 2014. According to the UN population projections, it is expected to contract to 8.1 million people by 2050. More importantly for the pension system, the old-age dependency ratio (number of persons of retirement age per 100 workers) will almost double from 43 in 2015 to 82 in 2050 (see Figure 1).

The current pension system in Belarus is a standard one-pillar pay-as-you-go scheme. The retirement age in Belarus is among the lowest in the region: in 2016 it was 55 years for females and 60 for males. The contribution rate of 29%, on the other hand, is among the highest in Eastern Europe (Zviniene & Biletsky, 2011). In 2015 the average pension benefit exceeded the poverty level 2.37 times, and constituted 42% of an average wage (Belstat, 2016).

Low post-war birth rates implied that in the 2000s the cohorts entering retirement were relatively small. This favourable demographic environment allowed for a surplus in the Pension Fund. As old-age dependency worsened, 2013 became the first year with registered Pension Fund deficit. UN population projections suggest that age dependency will continue worsening until 2050 when it stabilizes, and Pension Fund deficits might become unsustainable.

Belarus is currently reforming the pension system: starting from 2017 the retirement age both for males and females will increase half a year each year, until it reaches 58 years for females and 63 for males in 2022. This paper models both the reform, as the pre-reform (55/60 retirement age) scenario. Since none of the scenarios deliver the balanced Pension Fund, as a third scenario we also consider a possible more radical retirement age increase to 65 for both sexes.

Many developed countries face similar challenges as their populations are ageing. A growing body of literature is analysing public pension systems with general equilibrium overlapping generation (OLG) models. De Nardi, Imrohroglu, and Sargent (1999), for instance, study social security reform in the U.S. economy; Diaz-Gimenez and Diaz-Saavedra (2009) study the effects of an increase in retirement age in Spain.




Figure 1. Old-age dependency ratio. Source: 2010-based UN population projections (medium scenario).

Zvinienė and Biletsky (2011) build fiscal projections for the pension system of Belarus using the World Bank pension reform options simulation toolkit accounting model (PROST). To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first attempt to model the Belarusian pension system in an OLG framework, taking into account general equilibrium effects of possible reforms and macroeconomic consequences of decreasing working-age population.

We find that under the current arrangements of the public pension scheme with the current replacement rate (average pensions at around 40% of average wage) the Pension Fund deficits increase up to the year 2050. The ongoing reform – the increase of the retirement age to 58/63 – will slow down the build-up of the deficit but will not solve the problem. We also show that it will be necessary to either decrease the replacement rate or increase contributions to keep the Pension Fund afloat. Delaying retirement, in particular for women, is another option, which not only improves the sustainability of the Pension Fund, but also benefits GDP growth by increasing the labour supply.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give an overview of the pension system in Belarus. In Section 3 we briefly describe the model. In Section 4 we describe the calibration of the model to Belarusian macro and micro data. Simulation results and possible reform projections are described in Section 5. Section 6 concludes.

2. The pension system in Belarus today

The current pension system in Belarus was inherited from the Soviet Union. The pension system is redistributive or pay-as-you-go (current generations of workers pay contributions, which are used in the same period to pay pensions to the current retirees).

The retirement age as of 2016 was 60 years for men and 55 years for women. These are among the lowest pension ages in Europe, comparable only to those in Russia and some Central Asian countries (see Table 1 for details). The only reforms of the pension system before 2017 in Belarus were the restrictions of access to work pensions, which are now paid only for those who contributed to the Pension Fund for at least 15.5 years (still very low). The minimum length of contributions is currently under further increase, with the aim to reach 20 years of contributions by 2020. In 2017 the major pension reform started. Each year starting with 2017 the retirement age would be increased half a year, until it reaches 58 years for females and 63 years for males by 2022. If the person does not meet this threshold, she/he is only entitled to the social pension, paid after the age 65 for men and 60 for women. As most developed countries have moved towards the same pension age for both sexes, Belarus remains among the group of transition countries which still have earlier retirement age for women.

The social security contributions are paid to the Fund of the Social Protection of the Population (here the Pension Fund). Total pension contributions are 29% of gross wages, of which only 1% is paid directly by the employee, while the employer pays the rest. The Pension Fund is a separate and independent from the government's budget, and there are mechanisms allowing the Pension Fund surpluses to be directed into the government budget, or for the government budget to finance the deficits of the Pension Fund.

In 2015, the total amount of pensions paid out by the Pension Fund constituted 9.6% of GDP. This level of expenditure is similar to many European and transition countries. 2013

Table 1. Statutory retirement age across countries as of 2011.

Transition countries		Developed countries	
Armenia	63	Australia	67
Azerbaijan	58/63	Austria	65
Belarus	55–58/60–63	Belgium	65
Bulgaria	60/63	Canada	65
Croatia	60/65	Denmark	67
Czech Republic	55–61/62.5	Finland	65
Estonia	60.5/63	France	65
Georgia	60/65	Germany	67
Hungary	62	Greece	65
Kazakhstan	58/63	Iceland	67
Kyrgyz Republic	58/63	Ireland	65/66
Latvia	62	Israel	67
Lithuania	60/62.5	Italy	60/65
Moldova	57/62	Japan	65
Poland	60/65	Netherlands	65
Romania	59/64	New Zealand	65
Russian Federation	55/60	Norway	67
Serbia	60/65	Portugal	65
Slovak Republic	59.5/62	Spain	65
Slovenia	56.3/63	Sweden	65
Turkmenistan	57/62	Switzerland	64/65
Ukraine	55–60/60	U.K.	68
Uzbekistan	55/60	U.S.	67

Source: Pallares-Miralles, Romero, and Whitehouse (2012).

was the first year of the Pension Fund deficit in Belarus – the deficit was quite small, amounting to 0.08% of GDP; in 2015 the deficit grew to 0.39% of GDP.

The replacement rate (the ratio of the average pension to average wage) in Belarus is not high compared to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. The OECD average is 54.5%, while the Belarusian replacement rate was 42% in 2015. The average pension in Belarus in 2015 was 2.806 mln Belarusian roubles, or 177 USD. According to the law, public pensions are indexed to average wage.

Given the demographic challenges ahead, Belarus needs to reform its pension system. These changes can be parametric – changing only the features of the current pay-as-you-go system – or structural. In this paper, we focus on the sustainability of the current system and the possible parametric reforms.

3. The model

The model used in this paper is designed to analyse the long-term economic implications of demographic change in spirit of Auerbach and Kotlikoff (1987). The exogenous demographic process is superimposed on the model and provides the shock or driving force behind the simulation results. The model is calibrated on the Belarusian data. Below we describe the demographic structure of the model and outline the main features of the production, household and government sectors.

3.1. Demographic structure

The population is divided into 21 generations or age groups (i.e. 0–4, 5–9, 10–14, 15–19, ..., 100–104). Demographic variables, fertility, mortality and net-migration rates are

assumed to be exogenous. Every cohort is described by two indices. The first is t , which denotes time. The second is g , which denotes a specific generation or age group.

The size of the cohort belonging to generation $g + k$ in any period t is given by the following two laws of motion:

$$Po p_{t,g+k} = \begin{cases} Po p_{t-1,g+k+5} fr_{t-1} & \text{for } k = 0, \\ Po p_{t-1,g+k-1} (sr_{t-1,g+k-1} + mr_{t-1,g+k-1}) & \text{for } k \in [1, 20]. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The first equation simply implies that the number of children born at time t (age group $g + k = g$, i.e. age group 0–4) is equal to the size of the first adult age group ($g + k + 5 = g + 5$, i.e. age group 20–24) at time $t - 1$ multiplied by the ‘fertility rate’, fr , in that period.¹ If every couple has two children on average, the fertility rate is approximately equal to 1 and the size of the youngest generation g at time t is approximately equal to the size of the first adult generation $g + 5$ one year before. A period in the model corresponds to five years and a unit increment in the index k represents both the next period, $t + k$, and, for an individual, and a shift to the next age group, $g + k$.

The second law of motion gives the size at time t of any age group, $g + k$, beyond the first generation, as the size of this generation a year ago times the sum of the age-specific conditional survival rate, sr , and the net-migration rate, mr , at time $t - 1$. In this model the fertility rates vary across time, while the survival and net-migration rates vary across time and age. For the final generation (i.e. the age group 100–104 ($k = 20$)), the conditional survival rate is zero. This means that everyone belonging to the oldest age group in any period dies with certainty at the end of the period.

Time variable fertility and time/age-variable net-migration and conditional survival rates are calibrated based on exogenous population projections. This permits a precise modelling of the demographic scenarios of any configuration within the model.

3.2. Production

At any time t , a representative firm hires labour and rents physical capital to produce a single good using a Cobb–Douglas technology. The production function thus reads:

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}, \quad (2)$$

where Y denotes output, K is physical capital, L denotes effective units of labour, A is a scaling factor and α represents the share of physical capital in output. The market in which the representative firm operates is assumed to be perfectly competitive. Factor demands thus follow from the solution to the profit maximization problem:

$$re_t = \alpha A_t \left(\frac{K_t}{L_t} \right)^{\alpha-1}, \quad (3)$$

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) A_t \left(\frac{K_t}{L_t} \right)^\alpha, \quad (4)$$

where re and w denote the rental rate of capital and the wage rate, respectively.

3.3. Household sector

Household behaviour is captured by 21 representative households that interact in an Allais–Samuelson overlapping generations structure representing each of the age groups. Individuals enter the labour market at the age of 20, retire at the age of 65 and die at the latest by the age of 104. Younger generations (i.e. 0–4, 5–9, 10–14 and 15–19) are fully dependent on their parents and play no active role in the model. However, they do influence the public expenditure. An exogenous age/time-variable survival rate determines life expectancy.

Adult generations (i.e. age groups 20–24, 25–29, ..., 100–104) optimize their consumption-saving patterns over time. The household's optimization problem consists of choosing a profile of consumption over the life cycle that maximizes a constant elasticity of substitution inter-temporal utility function, subject to the lifetime budget constraint.

The inter-temporal preferences of an individual born at time t are given by

$$U = \frac{1}{1 - \theta} \sum_{k=4}^{20} \left\{ \prod_{l=4}^k \left[\frac{1}{1 + \rho_{g+l}} \right] \prod_{m=0}^k sr_{t+m,g+m} ((C_{l+k,g+k})^{1+\theta}) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where C denotes consumption and θ represents the inverse of the constant inter-temporal elasticity of substitution. Parameter ρ is the pure rate of time preference, and is age-variable.² Future consumption is also discounted at the unconditional survival rate, $\prod_k sr_{t+k,g+k}$, which is the probability of survival up to the age $g+k$ and period $t+k$. It is the product of the age/time-variable conditional survival rate, $sr_{t+k,g+k}$, between periods $t+k$ and $t+k+1$ and ages $g+k$ and $g+k+1$.

The household is not altruistic, i.e. it does not leave intentional bequests to children. It insures its future via a perfect annuity market, as described theoretically by Yaari (1965, case C) and implemented in an OLG context by Börsch-Supan, Ludwig, and Winter (2006).

The household's dynamic budget constraint takes the following form:

$$HA_{t+1,g+1} = \frac{1}{sr_{t,g}} \times [Y_{t,g}^L (1 - \tau_t^L - Ctr_t) + Pens_{t,g} + (1 + r_t [1 - \tau_t^K]) HA_{t,g} - [1 + \tau_t^C] C_{t,g}], \quad (6)$$

where HA is the level of household assets, r is the rate of return on physical assets, τ^K is the effective tax rate on capital, τ^L the effective tax rate on labour, τ^C the effective tax rate on consumption, Ctr is the contribution rate to the public pension system, Y^L is the labour income, $Pens$ is the level of pension benefits.

The intuition behind the term $1/sr$ is that the assets of those who die during period t are distributed equally between their surviving peers. Therefore, if the survival rate at time t in age group g is less than one, then at time $t+1$ everyone in their group has more assets. This is the mathematical description of the perfect annuity market.

Labour income is defined as

$$Y_{t,g}^L = w_t EP_{t,g} LS_{t,g}, \quad (7)$$

where LS is the exogenously given supply of labour. It is assumed that labour income depends on the individual's age-specific productivity. In turn, it is assumed that these age-specific productivity differences are captured in age-earnings profiles. These

productivity profiles are quadratic functions of age:

$$EP_{t,g} = \gamma + (\lambda)g - (\psi)g^2, \quad \gamma, \lambda, \psi \geq 0, \tag{8}$$

with parametric values estimated from micro data (as discussed in the calibration section). Differentiating the household utility function, subject to its lifetime budget constraint, with respect to consumption yields the following first-order condition for consumption, commonly known as Euler equation:

$$C_{t+1,g+1} = \left[\frac{[1 + [1 - \tau^K]r_{t+1}]}{(1 + \rho_t)} \right]^{1/\theta} C_{t,g}. \tag{9}$$

It is important to note that, since survival probabilities are present in both the utility function and the budget constraint, they cancel each other out and are not present in the Euler equation.

3.4. Investment and asset returns

The law of motion for the capital stock, K_{stock} , is:

$$K_{stock}_{t+1} = Inv_t + (1 - \delta)K_{stock}_t, \tag{10}$$

where Inv represents investment, δ is the depreciation rate of capital.

Capital markets are assumed to be fully integrated. This implies that financial capital is undifferentiated from physical capital, so that the no arbitrage condition holds:

$$1 + r_t = re_t + (1 - \delta), \tag{11}$$

where r and re denote the net and gross rates of return to physical capital, respectively.

3.5. Government sector

The Government's budget constraint reads:

$$\sum_g Pop_{t,g} \{(\tau_t^l + Ctr_t)w_t EP_{t,g} LS_{t,g} + \tau^c C_{t,g} + r_t HA_{t,g}\} = Gov_t + \sum_g Pop_{t,g} Pens_{t,g}, \tag{12}$$

where Gov is public consumption. The left-hand side of the constraint contains the government revenues. The right-hand side represents different categories of government expenditure, including transfers to households and pension benefits. Note that the pension programme in our model is a part of the overall government budget, and transfers from the general government budget finance the Pension Fund deficits, which is defined as the difference between the total pension contributions collected and the total pension benefits paid out.

Public expenditures per person (GEPC) are fixed per person and hence total expenditure (Gov) depends only on the size of the total population (TPop).

$$Gov_t = TPop_t GEPC. \tag{13}$$

In the simulations presented in this paper, we use the wage tax rate, τ_t^l , as the only endogenous policy variable that adjusts in every period to achieve a balanced government

budget. Wage tax does not generate efficiency distortions, given the absence of an endogenous labour–leisure decision.

3.6. Market and aggregation equilibrium conditions

Perfect competition is assumed in all markets. The equilibrium condition in the goods market requires that the Belarus' output be equal to aggregate absorption, which is the sum of aggregate consumption, investment and government spending:

$$Y_t = \sum_g P_o p_{t,g} C_{t,g} + Inv_t + Gov_t. \quad (14)$$

Labour market clearing requires that the demand for labour be equal to the supply:

$$L_t = \sum_g P_o p_{t,g} L S_{t,g} E P_g. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, the units of capital accumulated up to period t must equal the units of capital demanded by the representative firm in that period:

$$Kstock_t = K_t. \quad (16)$$

In the same vein, equilibrium in the financial market requires total stock of private wealth accumulated at the end of period t to be equal to the value of the total stock of capital accumulated at the end of period t :

$$\sum_g P_o p_{t,g} H A_{t,g} = Kstock_t. \quad (17)$$

4. Calibration

The model is calibrated using 2013 data for Belarus where available. The data for the demographic baseline shock are taken from the 2010-based medium population projections produced by the United Nations Population Division (United Nations, 2010). Population projections are used for the calibration of the fertility and survival rates used in the model.

The data on public finances and GDP components are taken from the National Accounts. The effective labour income, capital and consumption tax rates are calculated from the corresponding government revenue categories and calibrated tax bases. Data on total amount of pensions are taken from the Pension Fund budget. Based on this information, the effective pension contribution rate and the average size of pension benefits can be calculated. The average pension per person is obtained by dividing the total amount of pension benefits by the total number of people of pension age. In a baseline scenario, males start receiving pension benefits at the age of 60 and females at the age of 55.

The source of the labour market data is the Belarusian Household Budget Survey 2013 (BHBS). Two labour market characteristics are derived from the data: age-specific employment rates and age-specific productivity profiles. The latter are estimated via the use of quadratic age-earning regressions.

Figure 2 shows employment rates by age and sex. Employment is very high – in the region of 90% – until the pension age, at which point it plummets. As expected, female




Figure 2. Employment rates by age and sex.

employment rates begin to fall five years earlier than male because of lower state pension age.

In the baseline scenario we assume that there is total factor productivity (TFP) growth of 1.5% per year for the next 100 years.³ The (five-year) inter-temporal elasticity of substitution is set to 1.25. The number is calibrated to the range of values reported in Havranek, Horvath, Irsova, and Rusnak (2015) and Havránek (2015), with the adjustment corresponding to the five-year period length in our model. The wage rate is a numeraire. Other model parameters are calibrated.

The calibration procedure is a sequence of three steps.

In the *first step* using the information on GDP, capital and labour earnings we calculate the scaling parameter in the production function and the capital income share.

The *second step* is the most challenging one since it involves equations describing the household's optimization problem, the equilibrium conditions in the assets and goods markets and the government budget constraint. In particular, the rate of time preference is solved endogenously during the calibration procedure in order to generate plausible consumption and capital ownership profiles for each age group. Capital ownership profiles must also satisfy the equilibrium condition on the asset market.

The *third and final step* uses the calibration results of the first three steps to verify that the model is able to replicate the observed data corresponding to the initial equilibrium. Only when the initial equilibrium is perfectly replicated by the calibration solution can the model be used to evaluate the consequences of exogenous shocks/policy experiments.

5. Simulations and results

In all scenarios presented below, population projections provide the main shock to the model. In addition we make assumptions about the pace of economic growth and the configuration of the pension system.

Pay-as-you-go pension systems can be described by three broadly defined characteristics: level of contributions, level of benefits and number of retired. Our model inevitably has to simplify many complexities of the Belarusian pension system. We use three parameters as proxies for the above-mentioned characteristics of the pension system: contribution rate, replacement rate (ratio of average pension to average wage) and state pension age. By adjusting them we can see what effect this will have on the future stability of the pension system. Since the current contribution rate at 29% of labour income is already one of the highest in Eastern Europe (Zviniene & Biletsky, 2011), we do not consider any scenarios that require a further increase.

5.1. Baseline scenario

For the baseline scenario, we use the UN medium population projections for Belarus for 2010–2110. After 2110, population size and age-sex structure are assumed to stay constant. We assume TFP growth of 1.5% per year over the next 100 years and 0% thereafter. Pension age for females/males stays at 55/60 years. This can be thought of as a status-quo scenario, as we do not change the current parameters of the pension system.

Due to assumed technological progress, in the baseline scenario GDP in Belarus increases almost 2 times over the next 50 years. To illustrate the effect of population ageing, Figure 3 shows GDP, GDP per person and inputs of production relative to the scenario with the same TFP growth but without ageing. Due to the changes in age structure by 2060, GDP, labour and capital supply decline by 41%, 43% and 37% correspondingly. GDP per person during the same period declines by 16% and stabilizes after that.

Projecting the level of pension benefits into the future requires making certain assumptions. Pension benefits are usually indexed to take into account improvements in standards of living. Indexation rules can be very elaborate, but two that are often used are indexation to wages or GDP growth. They produce drastically different outcomes in terms of sustainability of the pension system and living standards of the retired.




Figure 3. GDP and inputs of production, relative to scenario without ageing.




Figure 4. Effects of the change in indexation rule.

Figure 4(a) illustrates the difference between the two types of indexation by showing the amount of total pension benefits as a share of GDP. In 2013, total pension benefits amounted to 9% of GDP. If pensions are indexed to wages, then by 2050 the total pension bill would reach 18% of GDP. But if pensions are indexed to GDP, they would increase to only 12% of GDP.

If contributions are not increased in line with the pension bill, this leads to a corresponding deficit in the Pension Fund (Figure 4(b)). If pension benefits are indexed to wages, by 2050 the deficit reaches 9% of GDP. If, on the other hand, pensions are indexed to GDP, deficit peaks at 3% of GDP in 2050 and by the end of the century almost disappears.

Different indexation rules have consequences not only for the financing of the pension system, but also for the relative living standards for the retired. Currently the pensions are indexed to wages, although the president can change the indexation rule with the Decree. Figure 4(c) shows changes in replacement rate – ratio of average pension to average wage – under different indexation rules. If pensions are indexed to wages, it essentially means that replacement rate stays constant. If they are indexed to the growth rate of GDP, however, this means that relative living standards of pensioners are deteriorating – replacement rate decreases from 43% in 2013, to just 28% in 2050.

5.2. Increase in the state pension age

The previous section demonstrated that the status quo will result in a large Pension Fund deficit. This can be mitigated by using different indexation rules, but at a cost of a




Figure 5. Employment rates under different scenarios.

significant reduction in the living standards of the retirees. In this section we will explore the effect of another policy option – an increase in the state pension age. Currently Belarus has one of the lowest state pension ages in the world – 55 years for females and 60 for males. We look at two scenarios of state pension age increase. The first one is increase of female state pension age from 55 to 58 and of male state pension age from 60 to 63 by 2022 (by half a year every year). The second scenario is further increase in the state pension age for both sexes to 65 by 2026 for males and by 2036 for females.

In our model, labour supply is exogenous, and we cannot explore the changes in the labour supply behaviour due to the retirement age increase. But we do take into account that employment rates are declining with age even before the retirement (according to the household survey data) and we assume that the rate of decline after the increase of the pension age will be similar to what is observed now in pre-pension ages. Figure 5 that shows assumed age-specific employment rates in different scenarios.

Figure 6(a) demonstrates the effect of these changes on old-age dependency ratio. The dependency ratio changes in the case of an increase in the state pension age for two reasons: the number of working people increases, and the number of pensioners decreases due to later retirement.

With falling dependency ratio, funding the pension system becomes much easier. Figure 6(b) shows the size of the Pension Fund deficit. If pension age is not increased, by 2055 the deficit reaches 9% of GDP. If pension age is increased by three years for both sexes, the deficit in 2055 decreases to 6.6% of GDP. If the state pension age for both sexes is increased to 65 years, the deficit at its peak in 2060 is only 3% of GDP and later converges to 2% of GDP.

5.3. Welfare analysis

The presented results concentrate on the effects of changes to pension system on major macroeconomic indicators and on the public finances. This choice was made because




Figure 6. Effects of increasing the retirement age.

these are the variables that are often the focus of the public debate on pensions. However, economists often evaluate the efficacy of policies in terms of their impact on welfare. A formal model featuring household optimization provides an opportunity to calculate the welfare levels reached by the different cohorts. Because households are optimizing across their lifecycle which lasts 80 years (from the age 20–24 until the age 100–104), we have a small number of cohorts with complete life cycles. We compare welfare of cohorts born between 1990 and 2010 under three proposed reforms – change in the indexation rule, and two scenarios of the retirement age increase – relative to the scenario with current pension age and indexation to wages. The results are presented in Figure 7.

In all three reform scenarios, welfare is higher than in status quo scenario. Scenarios with increase in pension age lead to faster and higher improvement in welfare compared with scenario with different indexation rule. Scenario with different indexation also gradually leads to higher welfare, despite much lower pension benefits. The reason for this is rational behaviour of the households, which foresee lower pension benefits and increase




Figure 7. Welfare changes after different pension reforms, % change relative to baseline.

their savings to compensate for that. Higher savings lead to higher level of capital and ultimately higher level of output and consumption. This option in a sense is equivalent to partial substitution of public pension for private defined contribution pensions, which is often discussed as an alternative pension reform option. Another interesting point about this policy scenario is that cohorts born before 1990, which already started their working career by the time the policy change is introduced, will face lower lifetime welfare. We cannot show them on this chart since we do not have their complete life cycles (period before 2010 is not modelled). The reason for this lower welfare is that their optimization choice (which they made before the new policy was introduced) becomes sub-optimal after the policy change.

6. Concluding remarks

The process of population ageing presents a serious challenge for the Belarusian economy. Our estimates suggest that it will result in a 16% drop from potential per capita GDP by 2050. But one of the most urgent consequences of population ageing is the persistent deficit in the pension system.

The Pension Fund has been in the deficit since 2013, but our results suggest that deficits will grow in the future and, under the current pension system, it will reach 9% of GDP annually by 2055. We estimate the possible effects of two parametric reforms: decreases in replacement rate and increases in retirement age.

We find that retirement age increase would be very effective, as it works not only through the decrease in pension payments, but also via increases in the labour supply and GDP. If retirement age for both males and females is increased to 65 years, the Pension Fund deficit will not exceed 3% of GDP. Increasing the retirement age by three years, as currently planned, will keep the deficit below 7% of GDP.

We did not look at the scenarios that involve an increase in pension contribution rate, because it is already one of the highest in the region. Without a substantial increase in retirement age or an increase in contributions, the replacement rate will have to decrease. This will result in 34% reduction in the living standards of retirees relative to workers by 2055.

Notes

1. Most of the post-Soviet countries experience changes in the fertility behavior, with the first births happening later (see, e.g. Melihovs (2014) about the Latvian experience, or Shakhotska (2007) on Belarus). We are using existing population projections to calibrate a simplified 'fertility rate' used in this model. It would be possible to disaggregate it and use age-specific fertility rates but this would not make any difference to the demographic shock and hence our results.
2. Several empirical studies show that individuals have the age-variable rate of time preference (Attanasio, Banks, Meghir, & Weber, 1999; Bishai, 2004; Trostel and Taylor, 2001). Introducing age-variable rate of time preference allows us to replicate observed bell-shaped consumption profile. For detailed discussion, see Georges, Lisenkova, Mérette, and Zhang (2016).
3. Since pensions are indexed to the growth of wages, the TFP growth does not affect the share of pension expenditure in GDP. Hence, the assumption on TFP growth does not affect the results regarding the financial stability of the Pension Fund. We made simulations with 1% growth as well, and the outcome was not significantly different.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes on contributors

Katerina Lisenkova is Head of Economic Modelling at the Fraser of Allander Institute since 2016. Her research interests are in the areas of population economics, demographic change, public finance, economics of migration, regional economics, economics of education and macroeconomic modelling. Previously, Katerina worked at the National Institute of Economic and Social Research (NIESR) where she was leading a team developing and using the National Institute General Equilibrium model of Ageing (NiAGE).

Kateryna Bornukova is Academic Director at BERO. Her research interests are macroeconomics of labour supply, female labour force participation, economic growth and transition. Kateryna has also been a consultant for the UN and the World Bank.

ORCID

Katerina Lisenkova  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0264-9797>

Kateryna Bornukova  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9534-0953>

References

- Adsera, A. (2004). Changing fertility rates in developed countries. The impact of labor market institutions. *Journal of Population Economics*, 17(1), 17–43.
- Amialchuk, A., Lisenkova, K., Salnykov, M., & Yemelyanau, M. (2014). Economic determinants of fertility in Belarus. *Economics of Transition*, 22(3), 577–604.
- Attanasio, O., Banks, J., Meghir, C., & Weber, G. (1999). Humps and bumps in lifetime consumption. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 17(1), 22–35.
- Auerbach, A., & L. Kotlikoff. (1987). Evaluating fiscal policy with a dynamic simulation model. *American Economic Review*, 77(2), 49–55.
- Belstat. (2016). Социальное положение и уровень жизни населения Республики Беларусь, Статистический сборник, Национальный статистический комитет Республики Беларусь.
- Bishai, D. M. (2004). Does time preference change with age? *Journal of Population Economics*, 17(4), 583–602.
- Börsch-Supan, A., Ludwig, A., & Winter, J. (2006). Ageing, pension reform and capital flows: A multi-country simulation model. *Economica*, 73(292), 625–658.
- Brainerd, E., & Cutler, D. M. (2005). Autopsy on an empire: Understanding mortality in Russia and the former Soviet Union. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(1), 107–130.
- Cockerham, W. (1997). The social determinants of the decline of life expectancy in Russia and Eastern Europe: A lifestyle explanation. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 38(2), 117–130.
- De Nardi, M., Imrohorglu, S., & Sargent, T. J. (1999). Projected U.S. demographics and social security. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 2(3), 575–615.
- Diaz-Gimenez, J., & Diaz-Saavedra, J. (2009). Delaying retirement in Spain. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 12(1), 147–167.
- Ellman, M. (1994). The increase in death and disease under 'Katastroika'. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 18(4), 329–355.
- Georges, P., Lisenkova, K., Mérette, M., & Zhang, Q. (2016). *An overlapping generations computable general equilibrium (OLG-CGE) model with age-variable rate of time preference* (NIESR discussion paper no. 458).
- Grigoriev, P., Shkolnikov, V., Andreev, E., Jasilionis, D., Jdanov, D., Meslé, F., & Vallin, J. (2010). Mortality in Belarus, Lithuania, and Russia: Divergence in recent trends and possible explanations. *European Journal of Population*, 26(3), 245–274.

- Haiduk, K., & Chubrik, A. (2007). *Pro-poor growth? Factors determining standards of living in Belarus* (in Russian) (IPM Research Center Working paper series, WP 07/02).
- Havránek, T. (2015). Measuring intertemporal substitution: The importance of method choices and selective reporting. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 13(6), 1180–1204.
- Havranek, T., Horvath, R., Irsova, Z., & Rusnak, M. (2015). Cross-country heterogeneity in intertemporal substitution. *Journal of International Economics*, 96(1), 100–118.
- Melihovs. (2014). *Forecasting natural population change: Case of Latvia* (Discussion paper of the Bank of Latvia, no. 3).
- Pallares-Miralles, M., Romero, C., & Whitehouse, E. (2012). International patterns of pension provision II: A worldwide overview of facts and figures. World Bank. 214pp.
- Perelli-Harris, B. (2008). Family formation in Post-Soviet Ukraine: Changing effects of education in a period of rapid social change. *Social Forces*, 87(2), 767–794.
- Shakhotska, L. (2006). Смертность как один из вызовов демографической безопасности Республики Беларусь // Вопросы статистики, № 8. Retrieved from <http://demoscope.ru/weekly/2008/0327/analit01.php>
- Shakhotska, L. (2007). Снижение рождаемости как главный из вызовов демографической безопасности Республики Беларусь. Вопросы статистики, № 10. Retrieved from <http://demoscope.ru/weekly/2008/0327/analit02.php>
- Trostel, P. A., & Taylor, G. A. (2001). A theory of time preference. *Economic Inquiry*, 39(3), 379–395.
- United Nations. (2010). *World population prospects: The 2012 revision, online database*. Retrieved from <http://esa.un.org/wpp/Excel-Data/population.htm>
- World Bank. (2015). *World development indicators*. Retrieved from <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators>
- Yaari, M. (1965). Uncertain lifetime, life insurance, and the theory of the consumer. *Review of Economic Studies*, 32(2), 137–150.
- Zvinienne, A., & Biletsky, S. (2011). *Fiscal projections for pension system of Belarus*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2011/05/16281971/fiscal-projections-pension-system-belarus>