Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Computational and Mathematical Methods in Science and Engineering, CMMSE 2017 4–8 July, 2017.

Mathematical Modelling the Spread of Zika and Microcephaly in Brazil.

Yanfeng Liang¹ and David Greenhalgh¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

 $emails: \verb"yanfeng.liang@strath.ac.uk", david.greenhalgh@strath.ac.uk"$

Abstract

In this paper we look at a non-age-structured model for the spread of the Zika Virus and Microcephaly in Brazil. We first outline the non-seasonal differential equation model, and discuss parameter values and their estimation. Then we talk about the basic reproduction number and details of the calculation of the number of Microcephaly cases. Next we estimate how the model can be made more realistic by introducing seasonality into the mosquito population. Finally we consider sensitivity of the results to the mosquito biting rate.

Key words: Zika, Brazil, Microcephaly, Aedes Aegypti mosquito, basic reproduction number, differential equation model, seasonality. MSC 2000: AMS codes 92B15, 92C60, 92D30.

1 Extended Abstract

1.1 Non-seasonal Model

The Zika virus is spread by the same species of mosquito, namely the Aedes Aegypti (A. Aegypti), as Dengue. Zika is a member of the virus family Flaviviridae. The first discovery of the Zika virus was in 1947, however despite being around for a while, Zika has not received much attention until recently when it has been discovered that it is associated with Microcephaly which is a serious birth defect in newborns, caused if women are infected with Zika during pregnancy. Most importantly there is still no vaccine to prevent the Zika virus. Apart from causing severe birth defects to newborn babies, infected individuals can also experience fever, rash and joint pain. As a result, in this paper we will use an existing

NON-AGE-STRUCTURED MODEL FOR ZIKA

Parameter values	Biological meanings	Values
a	A. Aegypti biting rate	$0.5 \times 7/\text{week} [1, 5]$
	Probability of transmission of Zika when	0.10 - 0.75 [1]
b	an infectious mosquito bites a susceptible	
	human	
	Probability of transmission of Zika when	0.30 - 0.75 [3]
c	a susceptible mosquito bites an infectious	
	human	
N _H	Human population in Brazil in 2015	207,848,000 [12]
μ_H	Per capita human mortality rate in Brazil	$1/(75 \times 52)$ /week [12]
γ	Per capita human recovery rate	7/6/week [5]
μ_v	Per capita mortality rate for A. Aegypti	$0.025 \times 7/\text{week}$ [9]
N_v	A. Aegypti population	$1.5 \times N_H \ [4, \ 6]$
τ	Zika extrinsic incubation period	8.2/7 weeks [5]

Table 1: Parameter values given in Equation (1).

time-delayed mathematical model for Dengue mentioned in [9] to analyse the dynamical behaviour for the Zika virus, in Brazil, as well as estimating the future expected number of cases of Microcephaly due to Zika.

The definitions of the parameter values used in the differential equation model and their corresponding values are given in Table 1. The model that we are working with is given as follows:

$$\frac{dS_{H}(t)}{dt} = -abI_{v}(t)\frac{S_{H}(t)}{N_{H}} - \mu_{H}S_{H}(t) + \mu_{H}N_{H},
\frac{dI_{H}(t)}{dt} = abI_{v}(t)\frac{S_{H}(t)}{N_{H}} - (\mu_{H} + \gamma)I_{H}(t), \quad \frac{dR_{H}(t)}{dt} = \gamma I_{H}(t) - \mu_{H}R_{H}(t), \quad (1)
\frac{dS_{v}(t)}{dt} = -acS_{v}(t)\frac{I_{H}(t)}{N_{H}} - \mu_{v}S_{v}(t) + \mu_{v}N_{v},
\frac{dL_{v}(t)}{dt} = acS_{v}(t)\frac{I_{H}(t)}{N_{H}} - \mu_{v}L_{v}(t) - acS_{v}(t - \tau)\frac{I_{H}(t - \tau)}{N_{H}}e^{-\mu_{v}\tau},
\frac{dI_{v}(t)}{dt} = acS_{v}(t - \tau)\frac{I_{H}(t - \tau)}{N_{H}}e^{-\mu_{v}\tau} - \mu_{v}I_{v}(t),$$

with initial conditions $S_H(0)$, $I_H(0)$, $R_H(0)$, $S_v(0)$, $L_v(0)$ and $I_v(0)$, where $S_H(t)$, $I_H(t)$ and $R_H(t)$ respectively represent the susceptible, infected and recovered individuals for humans, while $S_v(t)$, $L_v(t)$ and $I_v(t)$ respectively represent the susceptible, latent and infected mosquitoes. Note that $N_H = S_H + I_H + R_H$ denotes the total human population size and $N_v = S_v + L_v + I_v$ represents the total A. Aegypti population size where both populations

YANFENG LIANG AND DAVID GREENHALGH

are constant. Although the Zika virus and Dengue are spread by the same transmission route and thus some parameter values would remain the same, parameters such as the transmission probabilities between humans and A. Aegypti mosquitoes which are defined as b and c in Equation (1) may vary. Therefore one of the aims in this project is to use the least squares estimation technique and the real Zika virus data from Brazil given in [5] to estimate these two values.

We assume that a single Zika infected human enters the disease free population at some time t_0 , where $t_0 < t_1$ and t_1 is the first time when we have available Zika data values in Brazil obtained from [5] as the first week in 2015. We have estimated t_0 and hence $S_H(t_1), I_H(t_1), R_H(t_1), S_v(t_1), L_v(t_1)$ and $I_v(t_1)$, used as simulation starting values, by least squares. The basic reproduction number for our delayed Zika model given in [10] is defined as

$$R_0 = \frac{ma^2 bc e^{-\mu_v \tau}}{\mu_v (\mu_H + \gamma)},\tag{2}$$

where all the parameter values are defined as in Table 1. For a in the range 0.7 - 3.5/week we get R_0 in the range 1.27 - 11.01/week.

1.2 Numerical Solutions

Once all the parameter values are obtained and estimated, we use R to solve the differential equations given in Equation (1) and produced simulations which illustrate the number of susceptible, infected and recovered individuals over both a short time period, to represent the immediate future, and over a long time period, to represent what happens when the endemic equilibrium has been reached.

We focus on analysing the effect of pregnant women infected with Zika virus during their first trimester as various reports (e.g. [2, 8]) suggest that pregnant women who are infected with the Zika virus during the first trimester have a much higher risk of their babies developing Microcephaly as opposed to those who are infected with Zika in their second or third trimesters. We have obtained an estimated expected future number of cases of Microcephaly due to pregnant women infected with Zika during their first trimester both in the short and in the long term.

1.3 Model With Seasonality

It is well-known that the life cycle of A. Aegypti is influenced by many environmental factors such as rainfall and temperature (e.g. [7, 11, 13]). As a result, in order to fully capture the behaviour of the A. Aegypti mosquitoes under the influence of environmental factors and its effect on the number of Microcephaly cases, later on we decided to improve on our model by adding seasonality into the birth function of A. Aegypti mosquitoes. Similarly, with this seasonality model, we use the least squares estimation technique to parameter estimate new values of b and c, and thus calculate the future expected number of cases of Microcephaly both in the short and long term due to pregnant women being infected in their first trimester.

1.4 Results

For both models, numerical simulations are produced to illustrate the spread of the Zika virus over a period of time and the future expected number of cases of Microcephaly as a result of the Zika virus are calculated. The suggested value of a = 3.5/week for the parameter a, the A. Aegypti biting rate, is high compared to the other values in the literature. So we discuss the sensitivity of our results to different values of this parameter. We will later extend the results to an age-structured model.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the EPSRC and the University of Strathclyde for support for this work under the EPSRC Global Challenges Research Fund Institutional Award 2016 (EPSRC grant reference number EP/P511055/1) and the British Council, Malaysia for funding from the Dengue Tech Challenge (Application Reference DTC 16022). DG is grateful to the Science Without Borders Program, Brazil, for a Special Visiting Fellowship (CNPq grant 30098/2014-7), with Professor E. Massad, Department of Legal Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, and to the Leverhulme Trust for support from a Leverhulme Research Fellowship (RF-2015-88).

References

- M. ANDRAUD, N. HENS, C. MARAIS AND P. BEUTELS, Dynamic epidemiological models for Dengue transmission: a systematic review of structural approaches, PLoS one 7(11) (2012) e49085.
- [2] S. CAUCHEMEZ, M. BESNARD, P. BOMPARD, T. DUB, P. GUILLEMETTE-ARTUR, D. EYROLLE-GUIGNOT, H. SALJE, M. D. V. KERKHOVE, V. ABADIE, C. GAREL, A. FONTANET AND H. MALLET, Association between Zika Virus and Microcephaly in French Polynesia, 2013-2015: A retrospective study, The Lancet 387(10033) (2016) 2125-2132.
- [3] E. CHIKAK AND H. ISHIKAWA, A Dengue transmission model in Thailand considering sequential infections with all four serotypes, J. Inf. Develop. Countries 3(09) (2009) 711-722.

YANFENG LIANG AND DAVID GREENHALGH

- [4] CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, Surveillance and control of Aedes Aegypti and Aedes Albopictus in the United States, 16 pages. Vancouver, 2017. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/resources/vector-control.html, last accessed on 20th March 2017.
- [5] N. M. FERGUSON, Z. M. CUCUNUB, I. DORIGATTI, G. L. NEDJATI-GILAN, C. A. DONNELLY, M. G. BASEZ, P. NOUVELLET AND J. LESSLER, *Countering the Zika epidemic in Latin America*, Science **353(6297)** (2016) 353–354.
- [6] D. FOCKS, Ν. ALEXANDER AND E. VILLEGAS, Multicountry study of Aedes Aegypti pupal productivity survey methodology: findings and recommendations. UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Disease (TDR), (2006), retrieved from http://www.who.int/tdr/publications/documents/aedes_aegypti.pdf, lastaccessed on 20th March 2017.
- [7] J. LIU-HELMERSSON, H. STENLUND, A. WILDER-SMITH AND J. ROCKLV, Vectorial capacity of Aedes Aegypti: effects of temperature and implications for global Dengue epidemic potential, PLoS one **9(3)** (2014) e89783.
- [8] M. A. JOHANSSON, L. MIER-Y-TERAN-ROMERO, J. REEFHUIS, S. M. GILBOA AND S. L. HILLS, Zika and the risk of of Microcephaly, New Eng. J. Med. 375(1) (2016) 1-3.
- [9] E. MASSAD, F. A. B. COUTINHO, M. N. BURATTINI AND M. AMAKU, Estimation of R₀ from the initial phase of an outbreak of a vector-borne infection, Trop. Med. Int. Health 15(1) (2010) 120–126.
- [10] E. MASSAD, F. A. B. COUTINHO, M. N. BURATTINI AND L. R. LOPEZ, The risk of Yellow Fever in a Dengue-infested area, Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 95 (2001) 370–374.
- [11] H. S. RODRIGUES, M. T. MONTEIRO AND D. M. TORRES, Seasonality effects on Dengue: basic reproduction number, sensitivity analysis and optimal control, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 39(16) (2016) 4671–4679.
- [12] WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, *Countries: Brazil*, 2017 Retrieved from http://www.who.int/countries/bra/en/, last accessed on 20th March 2017.
- [13] S. WIWANITKIT AND V. WIWANITKIT, Predicted pattern of Zika Virus infection distribution with reference to rainfall in Thailand, Asian Pacif. J. Trop. Med. 9(7) (2016) 719–720.