

Non-guideline-recommended prescribing of proton pump inhibitors; implications for the future and reducing over use

Commentary on the recent paper by Mares-Garcia et al

*Brian Godman^{1,2,3}, Joseph Fadare⁴

¹Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: Brian.Godman@ki.se

²Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, UK. Emails: Brian.Godman@strath.ac.uk

³Liverpool Health Economics Centre, Liverpool University Management School, Liverpool University, UK. Email: Brian.Godman@liverpool.ac.uk

⁴Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medicine, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. Email: jofadare@gmail.com

*Corresponding author: Brian Godman, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska Institute, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, SE-141, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: Brian.Godman@ki.se. Tel: +46858581068, Fax +46859581070 and Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, university of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 ORE, United Kingdom. Email: brian.godman@strath.ac.uk

(Accepted for publication – Current Medical Research and Opinion. Please keep CONFIDENTIAL)

Abstract

Drs Godman and Fadare review the paper by Mares-Garcia and colleagues in Spain regarding the extent of non-guideline recommended prescribing (NGRP) of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in ambulatory care. NGRP of PPIs is an increasing public health concern given the implications of overuse of PPIs on infection rates, osteoporosis and chronic kidney disease as well as increasing polypharmacy rates. Costs are less of an issue especially where there are low prices for generic PPIs; the main focus is on improving the quality of PPI prescribing. Encouragingly, Mares-Garcia and colleagues identified key factors associated with NGRP, providing direction to others.

Key words: Guidelines; PPIs; Public Health; prescribing

Main text

Mares-Garcia and colleagues are to be congratulated on their recent paper regarding the non-guideline recommended prescribing (NGRP) of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in ambulatory care in one of the Spanish provinces (Alicante) (1). In addition, documenting the costs associated with NGRP of PPIs, which has typically not been examined before. This is particularly important as most studies assessing the extent of NGRP PPI prescribing have concentrated on hospitals (1); however, the vast majority of PPI prescriptions are for ambulatory care patients.

The authors used a robust approach to examine the extent of PPI NGRP(1). This involved electronic prescribing, which in Spain includes diagnosis information. This combined information helps healthcare professionals, including health authority personnel, assess their prescribing against current guidance as a basis for future improvement. Similar combined information is also available in other Spanish regions as well as in other countries to enhance the future quality of prescribing (2, 3). These combined data sets are particularly helpful in this situation to enable an accurate assessment of NGRP versus perceptions. This is important as compliance with guidelines is variable across countries (4-8), which compliance enhanced by the instigation of multifaceted approaches, reminders and the involvement of key personnel in those developing the guidance (2, 3, 9, 10).

The authors point out that the utilisation of PPIs has increased appreciably in recent years across countries, with PPIs becoming the most prescribed medicine by volume in Spain in recent years. All age groups appear involved with increasing utilisation rates (11). Considerable growth rates in the prescribing of PPIs have been seen in other European countries especially Western European countries (12-14). Despite this appreciably increased utilisation of PPIs in Europe, expenditure has

fallen in recent years and is now lower in a number of countries than before generic PPIs became available. This has been helped by countries encouraging the preferential prescribing of low cost generic PPIs versus patented PPIs with no perceived differences in effectiveness between the various PPIs (12-15). Prices of generic omeprazole have been as low as 2% of pre-patent loss prices in some European countries (12) further lowering expenditure, with low prices also seen in Spain but not as low as 2% of pre-patent loss prices(1).

Unfortunately, these low prices for generic PPIs, as well as lower expenditure in recent years, may well have resulted in health authorities and physicians paying less attention regarding the quality and efficiency of PPI prescribing. This compares with other high volume classes of medicines in ambulatory care where patented products are still available, which appreciably increase costs if preferentially prescribed versus generics with no obvious patient benefits. This included the statins, until generic atorvastatin became available, as well as the angiotensin receptor blockers once generics became available (15, 16). However, savings can only be made if physicians trust the quality of available generics, which is less of an issue in Europe compared with a number of lower and middle income countries (17-19). Physicians in a number of countries, including European countries, are encouraged via health authorities or education starting in medical schools to enhance their prescribing of generics, combating the activities of pharmaceutical companies (14, 20, 21).

This is now changing., As Mares-Garcia and colleagues pointed out, there is now increasing scrutiny regarding the prescribing of PPIs in ambulatory care, especially in Western countries, with concerns regarding their over use. Potential over use of PPIs was seen in their study, with 122 patients older than 60 out of 302 patients studied having no obvious reason for being prescribed PPIs; similarly 70 patients under 60 had no risk factors for upper GI bleeding (1). This is perhaps not surprising since many physicians prescribe PPIs prophylactically for elderly patients with conditions such as osteoporosis without adequate risk stratification (22, 23). Over use of PPIs can increase the extent of polypharmacy, leading to concerns with adherence and drug: drug interactions (24, 25). In addition, increasing the risk of infection, osteoporosis (11, 26-31) and chronic kidney disease (32, 33), although there is some controversy (34). These concerns have resulted in calls to educate both ambulatory care physicians and specialists on appropriate PPI use (35). This includes using the lowest effective dose where PPIs cannot be avoided and reducing prescribing generally in low risk patients (31, 35).

Encouragingly, Mares-Garcia and colleagues identified key factors associated with NGRP of PPIs. Identifying such risk factors can help researchers and health authorities target efficiently at-risk prescribers of PPIs and subsequently implement targeted preventative educational programmes. . The alternative is to restrict prescribing of PPIs to targeted indications, with 100% co-payments for non-reimbursed indications. In for instance in Lithuania, PPIs have a 50% co-payment for reimbursement, with reimbursement restricted to patients with reflux oesophagitis, duodenal ulcers, or for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication (36). This appreciably reduced their reimbursed prescribing to one twentieth to one thirtieth of that seen among Western European, although higher utilisation rates are seen once self-purchasing is included (12, 36, 37). However, prescribing restrictions are not always possible, with a combination of physician education, agreed quality targets and financial incentives equally effective in achieving changes in physician prescribing behaviour (13, 14, 37, 38). This is the suggested approach in this situation.

Finally, whilst the authors highlight the acquisition costs of NGRP, this is perhaps less of an issue among Western countries if the cost of PPIs dispensed is Euro1/ month as seen for instance in the Netherlands (12). As discussed, the higher public health priority surrounds the appropriateness of PPI prescribing and the clinical implications associated with their over use as highlighted by the authors in their introduction (1). This includes patients with osteoarthritis as well as those with multiple co-morbidities (1).

The authors conclude that their analysis provides good insight into current PPI prescribing and associated factors with NGRP in Spain. Their analysis can help guide future prescribing of PPIs in ambulatory care in Spain and generally among Western countries, with all physicians beholden to improve the judicious use of these medicines.

Conflicts of interest and funding

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest. The write-up of this manuscript was in part funded by the Karolinska Institutet.

References

1. Mares-Garcia E, Palazon-Bru A, Martinez-Martin A, Folgado-de la Rosa DM, Pereira-Exposito A, Gil-Guillen VF. Non-guideline-recommended prescribing of proton pump inhibitors in the general population. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2017 Apr 19:1-5.
2. Gustafsson LL, Wettermark B, Godman B, Andersen-Karlsson E, Bergman U, Hasselstrom J, et al. The 'wise list'- a comprehensive concept to select, communicate and achieve adherence to recommendations of essential drugs in ambulatory care in Stockholm. *Basic & clinical pharmacology & toxicology.* 2011;108(4):224-33.
3. Bjorkhem-Bergman L, Andersen-Karlsson E, Laing R, Diogene E, Melien O, Jirlow M, et al. Interface management of pharmacotherapy. Joint hospital and primary care drug recommendations. *European journal of clinical pharmacology.* 2013;69 Suppl 1:73-8.
4. Donnellan C, Sweetman S, Shelley E. Health professionals' adherence to stroke clinical guidelines: a review of the literature. *Health policy.* 2013;111(3):245-63.
5. Taba P, Rosenthal M, Habicht J, Tarien H, Mathiesen M, Hill S, et al. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of clinical practice guidelines: A cross-sectional survey among physicians in Estonia. *BMC health services research.* 2012;12:455-.
6. Fitzgerald, L Lethaby, Cikalo M, Glanville J, Wood H. Review of Systematic Reviews Exploring the Implementation/Uptake of Guidelines. *Yorh Health Economics Consortium.* Available at URL: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph56/evidence/evidence-review-2-431762366>
7. Francke AL, Smit MC, de Veer AJE, Mistiaen P. Factors influencing the implementation of clinical guidelines for health care professionals: A systematic meta-review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making.* 2008;8:38
8. Van Spall HG, Shanbhag D, Gabizon I, Ibrahim Q, Graham ID, Harlos K, et al. Effectiveness of implementation strategies in improving physician adherence to guideline recommendations in heart failure: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ open.* 2016;6(3):e009364.
9. Barton S. Using clinical evidence. *BMJ.* 2001;322(7285):503-4.
10. Bero LA, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Harvey E, Oxman AD, Thomson MA. Closing the gap between research and practice: an overview of systematic reviews of interventions to promote the implementation of research findings. *The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Review Group. BMJ.* 1998;317(7156):465-8.
11. Freedberg DE, Lamousé-Smith ES, Lightdale JR, Jin Z, Yang Y-X, Abrams JA. Use of Acid Suppression Medication is Associated With Risk for *C. difficile* Infection in Infants and Children: A Population-based Study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2015;61(6):912-7.
12. Woerkom M, Piepenbrink H, Godman B, Metz J, Campbell S, Bennie M, et al. Ongoing measures to enhance the efficiency of prescribing of proton pump inhibitors and statins in The Netherlands: influence and future implications. *Journal of comparative effectiveness research.* 2012;1(6):527-38.
13. Godman B, Wettermark B, van Woerkom M, Fraeyman J, Alvarez-Madrado S, Berg C, et al. Multiple policies to enhance prescribing efficiency for established medicines in Europe with a particular focus on demand-side measures: findings and future implications. *Frontiers in pharmacology.* 2014;5:106.
14. Godman B, Baker A, Leporowski A, Morton A, Baumgärtel C, Bochenek T, Fadare J et al. Initiatives to increase the prescribing of low cost generics; the case of Scotland in the international context *Medical Research Archives.* 2017;5(3):1-34.
15. Godman B, Shrank W, Andersen M, Berg C, Bishop I, Burkhardt T, et al. Comparing policies to enhance prescribing efficiency in Europe through increasing generic utilization: changes seen and global implications. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research.* 2010;10(6):707-22.
16. Moon JC, Godman B, Petzold M, Alvarez-Madrado S, Bennett K, Bishop I, et al. Different initiatives across Europe to enhance losartan utilization post generics: impact and implications. *Frontiers in pharmacology.* 2014;5:219.
17. Hassali MA, Wong ZY, Alrasheedy AA, Saleem F, Mohamad Yahaya AH, Aljadhey H. Perspectives of physicians practicing in low and middle income countries towards generic medicines: a narrative review. *Health policy.* 2014;117(3):297-310.
18. Hassali MA, Alrasheedy AA, McLachlan A, Nguyen TA, Al-Tamimi SK, Ibrahim MI, et al. The experiences of implementing generic medicine policy in eight countries: A review and

- recommendations for a successful promotion of generic medicine use. *Saudi pharmaceutical journal*. 2014;22(6):491-503.
19. Fadare JO, Adeoti AO, Desalu OO, Enwere OO, Makusidi AM, Ogunleye O, et al. The prescribing of generic medicines in Nigeria: knowledge, perceptions and attitudes of physicians. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2016;16(5):639-50.
 20. Godman B, Bishop I, Finlayson AE, Campbell S, Kwon HY, Bennie M. Reforms and initiatives in Scotland in recent years to encourage the prescribing of generic drugs, their influence and implications for other countries. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2013;13(4):469-82.
 21. Nguyen TA HM, McLachlan A. Generic medicines policies in the Asia Pacific region: ways forward. *WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health* 2013;2(1):72-4.
 22. Vanderstraeten G, Lejeune TM, Piessevaux H, De Bacquer D, Walker C, De Beleyr B. Gastrointestinal risk assessment in patients requiring non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for osteoarthritis: The GIRANO study. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine*. 2016;48(8):705-10.
 23. Chan FK, Graham DY. Review article: prevention of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug gastrointestinal complications--review and recommendations based on risk assessment. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. 2004;19(10):1051-61.
 24. Patterson SM, Cadogan CA, Kerse N, Cardwell CR, Bradley MC, Ryan C, et al. Interventions to improve the appropriate use of polypharmacy for older people. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2014(10):Cd008165.
 25. Markovic-Pekovic V, Skrbic R, Petrovic A, Vlahovic-Palcevski V, Mrak J, Bennie M, et al. Polypharmacy among the elderly in the Republic of Srpska: extent and implications for the future. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2016;16(5):609-18.
 26. Prag C, Prag M, Fredlund H. Proton pump inhibitors as a risk factor for norovirus infection. *Epidemiology and infection*. 2017:1-7.
 27. Kvistholm Jensen A, Simonsen J, Ethelberg S. Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors and the Risk of Listeriosis: A Nationwide Registry-based Case-Control Study. *Clinical infectious diseases*. 2017;64(7):845-51.
 28. Lambert AA, Lam JO, Paik JJ, Ugarte-Gil C, Drummond MB, Crowell TA. Risk of Community-Acquired Pneumonia with Outpatient Proton-Pump Inhibitor Therapy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PloS one*. 2015;10(6):e0128004.
 29. Bavishi C, Dupont HL. Systematic review: the use of proton pump inhibitors and increased susceptibility to enteric infection. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics*. 2011;34(11-12):1269-81.
 30. Jacob L, Hadji P, Kostev K. The use of proton pump inhibitors is positively associated with osteoporosis in postmenopausal women in Germany. *Climacteric*. 2016;19(5):478-81.
 31. Andersen BN, Johansen PB, Abrahamsen B. Proton pump inhibitors and osteoporosis. *Current opinion in rheumatology*. 2016;28(4):420-5.
 32. Arora P, Gupta A, Golzy M, Patel N, Carter RL, Jalal K, et al. Proton pump inhibitors are associated with increased risk of development of chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrology*. 2016;17:112.
 33. Lazarus B, Chen Y, Wilson FP, Sang Y, Chang AR, Coresh J, et al. Proton Pump Inhibitor Use and Risk of Chronic Kidney Disease. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2016;176(2):238-46.
 34. Tleyjeh IM, Bin Abdulhak AA, Riaz M, Alasmari FA, Garbati MA, AlGhamdi M, et al. Association between Proton Pump Inhibitor Therapy and Clostridium difficile Infection: A Contemporary Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PloS one*. 2012;7(12):e50836.
 35. Savarino V, Dulbecco P, de Bortoli N, Ottonello A, Savarino E. The appropriate use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs): Need for a reappraisal. *European journal of internal medicine*. 2017;37:19-24.
 36. Garuoliene K, Godman B, Gulbinovic J, Schiffers K, Wettermark B. Differences in utilization rates between commercial and administrative databases: implications for future health-economic and cross-national studies. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2016;16(2):149-52.
 37. Bennie M, Godman B, Bishop I, Campbell S. Multiple initiatives continue to enhance the prescribing efficiency for the proton pump inhibitors and statins in Scotland. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2012;12(1):125-30.
 38. Voncina L, Strizrep T, Godman B, Bennie M, Bishop I, Campbell S, et al. Influence of demand-side measures to enhance renin-angiotensin prescribing efficiency in Europe: implications for the future. *Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research*. 2011;11(4):469-79.