# **1** The effects of hydration media on the characteristics of non-ionic surfactant

# 2 vesicles (NISV) prepared by microfluidics

3

Mohammad A. Obeid<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Ibrahim Khadra<sup>1</sup>, Alexander B. Mullen<sup>1</sup>, Rothwelle J. Tate<sup>1</sup>,
Valerie A. Ferro<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, 161
- 7 Cathedral Street, G4 0RE Glasgow, United Kingdom.
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.

9 \*Corresponding author. E-mail Address: <u>mohmad-ali-radi-obid@strath.ac.uk</u>. Tel.:
10 +447512373361

11

12

#### 13 Abbreviations

NISV: Non-ionic surfactant vesicles; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; HEPES: (4-(2hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid); NS: Normal saline; DW: Distilled water;
MPG: Monopalmitin glycerol; Chol: Cholesterol, DCP: Dicetyl phosphate; HPLC: High
Performance Liquid Chromatography, SEM: Scanning electron microscope, RT: Relative
Turbidity; PDI: Polydispersity index; ZP: Zeta potential.

19

20

#### 22 Abstract

Non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NISV) are colloidal particles that provide a useful delivery 23 system for drugs and vaccines. One of the methods that is used for NISV preparation is 24 25 microfluidics in which the lipid components dissolved in organic phase are mixed with an aqueous medium to prepare the particles through self-assembly of the lipids. In this work, we 26 examined the effect of using different types of aqueous media on the characteristics of the 27 NISV prepared by microfluidics. Five aqueous media were tested: phosphate buffered saline, 28 HEPES buffer, Tris buffer, normal saline and distilled water. The resulting particles were tested 29 30 for their physical characteristics and cytotoxicity. The aqueous media were found to have significant effects on the physical characteristics of the particles, as well as their overall 31 stability under different conditions and their cytotoxicity to different human cell lines. Careful 32 33 consideration should be taken when choosing the aqueous media for preparing NISV through microfluidics. This is an important factor that will also have implications with respect to the 34 entrapped material, but which in addition may help to design vesicles for different uses based 35 on changing the preparation medium. 36

#### 37 Key Words

38 Non-ionic surfactant vesicles, Microfluidics, Hydration media, Drug delivery

#### 39 **1. Introduction:**

40 Non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NISV) are synthetic vesicles constructed through the self-41 assembly of hydrated non-ionic surfactants with cholesterol and other additives, into a bilayer 42 structure enclosing an aqueous core. In terms of physical properties and structure, NISV are 43 similar to liposomes, which are the most commonly used lipid particles as drug delivery 44 systems [1]. NISV were first reported by the cosmetic company L'Oréal in the 1980s and since 45 then they have gained in interest as a drug delivery system, as they offer more advantages

compared with liposomes in terms of lipid cost and stability [1, 2]. Non-ionic surfactants are 46 the basic components of NISV. These surfactants are amphiphilic molecules with both a 47 hydrophilic (water soluble) head and hydrophobic (organic soluble) tail with no charged 48 groups in their hydrophilic heads [3]. The bilayer structure of the NISV makes them capable 49 of encapsulating both hydrophilic and hydrophobic substances. Hydrophilic substances are 50 thought to be encapsulated in their aqueous core or adsorbed on the bilayer surface, while 51 52 hydrophobic substances are embedded into the lipophilic domain of the bilayer [3]. Surfactants commonly used to prepare NISV include polyoxyethylene fatty acid esters 53 (Tweens), sorbitan fatty acid esters (Spans), alkyl ethers, and alkyl glyceryl ethers (Brijs) 54 [4]. The most common additive in a NISV formulation is cholesterol, which affects the 55 membrane structure and the physical properties of the vesicles [5] and its most 56 important effect is the modulation of the mechanical strength of the bilayer structure and 57 water permeability [6, 7]. Moreover, cholesterol incorporation tends to enhance drug 58 entrapment efficiency, vesicle stability, and can modulate drug release in the NISV 59 formulations [8, 9]. Other additives include charged molecules to enhance the stability of 60 the NISV formulations during storage and prevent vesicle aggregation by electrostatic 61 repulsion. Dicetyl phosphate (DCP) and phosphatidic acids are used to impart a negative 62 charge on the surface of the NISV, while cationic molecules such as stearylamine and 63 cetylpyridinium chloride are used to provide a positive charge on the vesicles [1, 3]. Due 64 to their potential to carry and encapsulate a variety of drugs, NISV have been widely used 65 to deliver drugs to specific target sites, to control drug release and enhance permeation. 66 They have been investigated as a potential drug delivery system for anticancer [10, 11], 67 anti-inflammatory and anti-infective drugs [12, 13], peptides [14, 15], transdermal drug 68 delivery [16, 17] and gene delivery [18]. 69

70 Numerous methods for NISV preparation are reported. The thin-film hydration method (TFH) is simple and widely used. The surfactants and other additives are dissolved in 71 organic solvent in a round-bottomed flask. The organic solvent is then removed using a 72 rotary vacuum evaporator to form a thin film of lipids on the wall of the flask, which is 73 74 then hydrated by the addition of an aqueous solution with or without drug to form multilamellar vesicles [3, 19]. In the reverse-phase evaporation (REV) method, after 75 76 evaporating the organic solvent as in the TFH method, the dried lipid film is purged with nitrogen and the lipids are re-dissolved with a second organic phase of diethyl ether 77 and/or isopropyl ether followed by the addition of aqueous mixture to form large 78 multilamellar vesicles and then the organic solvent is removed under reduced pressure 79 by rotary evaporation [20, 21]. In the organic injection method, the organic solvent 80 containing the dissolved surfactants and other additives is slowly injected through a 81 needle in an aqueous solution to form NISV of various sizes [22]. Other methods include 82 "bubble", sonication and freeze-thaw methods [3]. However, in most of these, the local 83 chemical and/or mechanical environments are not well controlled and the vesicles that 84 are formed are large with considerable size polydispersity, which requires a suitable 85 post-preparation size reduction step e.g. by sonication or extrusion, to obtain small and 86 homogeneous vesicles [23]. More recently, a microfluidic method has been employed for 87 the preparation of lipid-based nanoparticles that uses microfluidic hydrodynamic focusing and 88 has been shown to produce small sized nanoparticles for drug encapsulation [24]. In this 89 method, the surfactants and other additives dissolved in an organic phase, are mixed with an 90 aqueous phase at high flow rates and passed through a precisely defined microchannel at a 91 temperature above the phase transition of the lipids. Factors such as flow rate ratios (FRR) 92 93 between the aqueous and organic phases and the total flow rates (TFR) of both phases can be

94 controlled during the mixing process to prepare homogeneous small particles in a single step95 [25, 26].

All of the above mentioned methods involve the hydration of the surfactant and lipid 96 mixtures with an aqueous phase at elevated temperature, followed by an optional size 97 reduction with some preparation methods [27]. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) is a 98 common buffer used for NISV preparation. It is an ionic buffer composed of sodium chloride, 99 sodium phosphate, and (in some formulations) potassium chloride and potassium phosphate 100 and has a pH range from 5.8-8.0 at 25°C [28]. PBS is the preferred buffer for particle formation 101 because the osmolarity and ion concentrations match those of human body fluids such as blood 102 [29]. Other buffers such as (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) (HEPES), 103 Tris, citrate and carbonate can also be used [30, 31]. NISV can also be prepared using distilled 104 water (DW) as an aqueous media. However, it is imperative to select an optimal buffer system 105 106 for drug encapsulation in NISV. For example, phosphate and citrate buffers are not recommended for components that contain calcium ions, as phosphate forms an insoluble 107 108 calcium phosphate precipitate, while citric acid chelates calcium [32]. Tris buffer is used for 109 the storage of nucleic acids and is suitable for formulating NISV where nucleic acids are being encapsulated. However, Tris can interfere *in vivo* and *in vitro* with copper by chelation and can 110 111 act as a competitive inhibitor to some enzymes [32, 33].

In the present work, we investigated the effect of five different aqueous media on the characteristics of empty NISV. Given that previous work from our lab has investigated the use of NISV for vaccine delivery using a surfactant combination of monopalmitin glycerol (MPG):cholesterol (Chol): dicetyl phosphate (DCP) at a molar ratio of 50:40:10 [34, 35], we have used this formula as a model to examine the various physicochemical aspects of vesicles composed with these lipid components, but prepared using five different aqueous media and using a microfluidic mixing method of preparation. *In vitro* cytotoxicity experiments were

subsequently performed to evaluate the effect of the different formulations resulting from the
use of the different hydrating media on human A375 (skin malignant melanoma), A2780
(ovarian carcinoma) and PNT2 (normal prostate epithelium) cells. **2. Materials and methods**

#### 123 2.1 Materials

MPG was purchased from Larodan Fine Chemicals AB (Sweden). Chol, DCP, resazurin powder, PBS tablets, HEPES buffer solution, Tris buffer solution, sodium hydrochloride (NaCl), serum-free and antibiotic-free medium Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI 1640), L-glutamine, penicillin–streptomycin, and foetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). The human cell lines A375, A2780, and PNT2 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC<sup>®</sup>) and kindly provided by Mrs Louise Young, (University of Strathclyde).

#### 131 **2.2 NISV preparation by microfluidics with different hydration media**

NISV were prepared by employing a microfluidic micromixer as described elsewhere [24]. The 132 hydration media used to prepare the vesicles were PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4), HEPES buffer (10 133 mM, pH 7.4), Tris buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4), 0.9% (w/v) normal saline (NS) and DW. An ethanol 134 solution containing MPG, Chol, and DCP at a molar ratio of 50:40:10 was prepared at a 135 concentration of 10 mg/ml total lipids. The microfluidic apparatus used was the 136 NanoAssemblr<sup>TM</sup> Benchtop<sup>TM</sup> (Precision NanoSystems Inc., Vancouver, Canada) which 137 enables a controlled nanoprecipitation process by hydrodynamic flow, focused through a two-138 channel microfluidic system. 139

For the preparation of empty vesicles, a specific volume of each of the tested hydration media
was mixed with the lipid phase in ethanol at a volumetric flow rate of 3:1 (aqueous: lipid) in
the microfluidic micromixer at a total flow rate of 12 mL/minute (9 mL/minute for the aqueous

phase and 3 mL/minute for the lipid phase) at 50°C. The mixed materials, upon leaving the micromixer outlet, was diluted into an equal volume of the aqueous media used in the preparation in order to reduce the ethanol content in the final preparation to 12.5%. The NISV mixture was then dialysed overnight against 1000 volumes of aqueous media used in the vesicle preparation using SnakeSkin<sup>™</sup> Dialysis Tubing (10,000 Da molecular weight cut off; Thermofisher Scientific, UK) at 25°C.

# 149 2.3 Particle size, polydispersity and charge of NISV prepared with different hydration 150 media

151 Particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential (ZP) were measured with a Zetasizer

Nano-ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK). The measurements were carried out for NISV prepared
in each hydration media at 25°C at a 1/20 dilution. All samples were prepared in triplicate and
the Z<sub>Average</sub>, PDI, and ZP reported.

#### 155 **2.4 Stability of NISV at different temperatures**

Stability of the NISV was evaluated over two months at 4, 25, 37, and 50 °C in controlled
temperature rooms over the duration of the study. Size, PDI and zeta potential were measured
at different time points (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 weeks).

### 159 2.5 Morphological analysis of NISV using scanning electron microscopy

Morphological analysis of the NISV was carried out using a FEI Quanta 250 field emission variable pressure scanning electron microscope (SEM) (FEI, Oregon, USA) equipped with an Everhart–Thornley type detector and running FEI software. Each sample of NISV was diluted 163 1:50 with the media used in the formulation and  $2\mu$ l of each diluted sample was dried on a silicon substrate and placed under vacuum. An accelerating voltage of 5 kV was applied to each sample in high vacuum mode and secondary electron images were collected.

# 166 2.6 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis of cholesterol content 167 of NISV

In order to assess the concentration of the NISV produced and to determine the yield and 168 preparation efficacy, NISV were analysed using HPLC to measure the quantity of cholesterol 169 present post-preparation. HPLC was performed using an Agilent Technologies 1260 Series 170 Liquid Chromatography system controlled by Clarity Chromatography software. The 171 conditions of the run were as follows: mobile phase acetonitrile:methanol:2-propanol; (7:3:1, 172 v/v/v), flow rate 1 mL/min, total run time 10 min; column YMCbasic C18, 250 X 3.0 mm, 173 column temperature 60°C, injection volume 20 µL, detection 205 nm, retention time 1.55 min. 174 A standard curve of Chol  $(31.25 - 1000 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$  was constructed by measuring the area under 175 the curve (AUC). NISV prepared were lysed with isopropyl alcohol (50%, v/v) and then 176 analysed by HPLC as previously described [36]. The Chol concentration was determined by 177 measuring the AUC and calculating the concentration using the equation generated from the 178 standard curve. 179

#### 180 **2.7 Turbidity assay**

To understand NISV behaviour under physiological conditions, the aggregation tendency of 181 the NISV was studied using a turbidity assay [37]. FBS was added to each NISV formulation 182 to a final concentration of 10% (v/v) in each hydration medium. This concentration of FBS was 183 chosen as it is generally used for *in vitro* studies. Turbidity was determined by measuring the 184 absorbance at 298 nm using a HELIOS ALPHA ThermoSpectronic spectrophotometer using 185 serum alone as a background [37]. NISV (625 µg/ml) were incubated at 37 °C and analysed 186 over a 2 h time period. Relative turbidity was calculated by dividing sample absorbance at a 187 specific time by the time zero value incubated in the corresponding hydration buffer used for 188 NISV preparation. 189

#### 190 **2.8** Cytotoxicity of NISV evaluated using a number of human cell lines

NISV were assessed for cytotoxicity on three different cell lines (A375, A2780, and PNT2). 191 Each cell line was seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  per well in 100µl and 192 incubated for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 100% humidity in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented 193 with 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% (v/v) L-glutamine and 1% (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin. After 24 h, 194 cells were treated with different concentrations of NISV (9.77-1250 µg/mL). Dimethyl 195 sulphoxide (DMSO) was used as a positive kill control and one column per plate contained 196 197 untreated cells and medium. Each of the hydration buffers without the particles was also included to ensure that the media itself are not toxic. The plates were then incubated for a 198 further 24 h and then 20 µl of resazurin (0.1 mg/ml) was added to each well and incubated for 199 a further 24 h. Resazurin is bio-reduced by viable cells from blue into a pink resorufin product, 200 which indicates the presence of metabolically active cells and results in both a colorimetric and 201 fluorometric change. After 24 h, the quantity of resorufin was measured on a SpectraMax M5 202 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 560 nm - 590 nm. The absorbance 203 reading at this wavelength is directly proportional to the number of metabolising cells in the 204 205 medium. In this study, cell viability was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the positive control (i.e., untreated cells): 206

207 % Cell viability = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Absorbance of cells treated with NISV at }\lambda ex = 560 \text{ nm}, \lambda em = 590 \text{ nm}}{\text{Absorbance of untreated cells }\lambda ex = 560 \text{ nm}, \lambda em = 590 \text{ nm}}\right) \times 100$$

#### 208 **2.9 statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed in triplicate and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess statistical significance. Tukey's multiple comparison test and t-test was performed for paired comparisons. The statistical analysis was performed using Minitab software version 17. A value of p< 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Graphs were produced using OriginPro 2015.

#### 215 **3. Results and Discussion**

NISV composed of MPG:Chol:DCP at a molar ratio of 50:40:10 were prepared using microfluidic mixing by changing the aqueous media used in formulating the particles. The production of NISV through microfluidic mixing is based on rapid and controlled mixing of two miscible fluids (aqueous and solvent) in a microchannel [25]. The objective of this work was to assess the effects of the aqueous media on the physicochemical properties of the resultant particles. Five different hydration media were studied.

#### 222 **3.1 Effect of hydration buffer on the particles size and PDI**

Changing the hydration media altered the size of the NISV significantly (Figure 1). The 223 smallest particles were formed using Tris, followed by DW and HEPES with particle sizes of 224 60.96  $\pm 0.36$  nm (p < 0.05), 71.83  $\pm 0.44$  nm (p < 0.05), and 74.10  $\pm 0.51$  nm (p < 0.05), 225 respectively. The largest particle size was obtained with NS (168.40  $\pm$  2.26 nm, p < 0.05) 226 followed by PBS (166.10  $\pm$  1.23 nm, p < 0.05). The PDI of these particles showed that all the 227 formulations, had a narrow size distribution with values of  $0.027 \pm 0.003$  (NS),  $0.054 \pm 0.010$ 228 229 (PBS),  $0.060 \pm 0.030$  (Tris),  $0.091 \pm 0.010$  (HEPES) and  $0.180 \pm 0.010$  for DW with the value of PDI for particles prepared with DW that was significantly different than the others (p < p230 0.05). This difference in the particle sizes could be attributed to the ion components of each 231 media. NS and PBS showed similar sizes, while DW, HEPES and Tris were grouped together. 232 The similarity between PBS and NS could be attributed to the NaCl ions, which are the major 233 component in both buffers [38]. HEPES and Tris buffers and DW resulted in smaller particles, 234 but within the same range, so the effects of the ionic components of the HEPES and Tris might 235 have no significant effects as the sizes from both buffers were close to the particles prepared 236 with DW. In drug delivery, small particle sizes (<200 nm) are preferred for drug permeability 237

238 and tumour targeting as nanoparticles in this size range tend to accumulate passively in tissues with leaky or abnormal architecture blood vessels (i.e. tumour and inflamed tissues) after 239 intravenous administration in a phenomenon known as enhanced permeability and retention 240 (EPR) [39]. Moreover, it has been reported that larger particles are more rapidly removed from 241 the circulation than smaller particles due to the lower uptake by the reticuloendothelial system 242 (RES) of smaller particles [40]. He et al. reported that in vitro macrophage uptake of larger 243 particles was higher compared with smaller counterparts [41]. These factors directly affect the 244 biodistribution and circulation time of NISV [42]. Therefore, the size of the NISV has 245 246 significant implications on their efficacy when used as a drug delivery system. Here, although the aqueous buffers resulted in different sizes, the formulations were all below 200 nm, which 247 makes them suitable for tumour-targeted drug delivery. 248



Figure 1: Size and PDI of NISV prepared using microfluidics with five different hydration media. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3) as measured by DLS, <sup>\*\*</sup>p <0.05 indicates significant difference in size compared with the DW formulation.

#### **3.2.** The effect of the hydration media on the charge of the resultant NISV

Particles prepared with DW had the highest absolute value of zeta potential (-76.83  $\pm$  0.81 mV) 254 followed by the particles prepared with Tris (-57.4  $\pm$  3.33 mV), HEPES (-51.87  $\pm$  1.18 mV), 255 NS (-33.2  $\pm$  2.46 mV) and PBS (-30.63  $\pm$  2.06 mV) as shown in Figure 2. The effect of the 256 hydration media on the total charge of the resultant particles could also be attributed to the ions 257 present in the buffers. The surface charge of NISV gives rise to electrostatic repulsion among 258 the nanoparticles, improving the stability of the dispersion system [43]. Zeta potential is an 259 important factor that confers stability on the nanoparticles and higher values ensure that the 260 particles will repel each other and resist aggregation [44]. Particles with zeta potential values 261 that are < -30 mV or >+30 mV would both be stable dispersions as these values are considered 262 high enough to prevent particle aggregation [43]. Although each of the media examined 263 264 resulted in particles with different charge, all of them had a zeta potential < -30 mV, which means that they would be stable regardless of the type of the aqueous media used in their 265 preparation. 266



Figure 2: ZP for NISV prepared with microfluidics using five different aqueous media. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3) measured by DLS, <sup>\*\*</sup>p <0.05 indicates significant difference in size compared with the DW formulation.

#### 272 **3.3 Stability of NISV at different storage temperatures**

268

273 Vesicle stability was assessed by monitoring changes in size (Figure 3) and PDI (data not shown) of the particles over time, to predict their swelling, aggregation or precipitation 274 characteristics. For NISV prepared with DW, the particle size showed a slight decrease in the 275 first two weeks and then remained stable throughout the study when stored at 4, 25, and 37 °C 276 with no significant change in the particle size (p > 0.05). However, for the particles stored at 277 50°C, there was a significant (p < 0.05) increase in the particle size during the study, which 278 increased from 71.8  $\pm$  0.4 nm at time zero to 101.1  $\pm$  0.4 nm at the end of the study. NISV 279 prepared with HEPES buffer was stable at the four different temperatures with no significant 280 (p > 0.05) increase in particle size during the study. NISV prepared with NS were stable in 281 terms of size and PDI when stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C with no significant (p >0.05) change. When these 282

283 particles were stored at 25 and 37°C, they showed an increase in size during the first week and then remained stable for the rest of the storage duration. However, NISV prepared with NS and 284 stored at 50°C increased significantly (p < 0.05) in size from 168.4 ± 2.26 to 208.77 ± 1.89 nm 285 at the end of the study with no significant (p > 0.05) increase in the PDI. Particles prepared with 286 PBS remained stable with no significant (p > 0.05) change in the particle size regardless of the 287 storage temperature. For NISV prepared using Tris buffer, the particles remained stable at 4 288 and  $25^{\circ}$ C with no significant (p >0.05) change in particles size. When these particles were 289 stored at 37 °C, the size increased significantly (p < 0.05) in the first week from 60.69 ±0.36 290 nm to  $66.84 \pm 0.14$  nm and then remained stable for the rest of the storage duration. For 291 particles prepared with Tris and stored at 50 °C, there was a significant (p < 0.05) increase in 292 particles size from 60.96  $\pm$ 0.36 to 76.18  $\pm$  0.39 nm at the end of the storage. These stability 293 results of the formed particles showed that the type of the hydration media used to prepare the 294 NISV might have an effect on particle stability. This effect has been shown to be more obvious 295 at elevated temperatures. All the formulations were stable at 4, 25, and 37 °C with no change 296 in the particles size and PDI. At 50°C storage conditions, the particles prepared with DW, NS, 297 and Tris increased significantly in terms of size. At elevated temperatures, lipid vesicles 298 undergo a phase transition which affects their permeability and increase the fluidity of the lipid 299 bilayers [30]. Different studies have reported the effects of the temperature and the dispersion 300 media on nanoparticle stability. Some consider the increase in the temperature as an energy 301 input, attributing this effect to the change in the crystalline structure on the particles' 302 components or zeta potential which might affect the particle size during storage [45, 46]. 303

304

305



Figure 3: Stability of NISV prepared with microfluidics using five different hydration media,
stored at 4, 25, 37 and 50 °C. The data represents the mean ± SD (n=3) measured by DLS.

## 327 **3.4 SEM imaging of NISV**

The morphology of the NISV was analysed by scanning electron microscopy (Figure 4). NISV were shown to have an almost spherical shape as seen in some of the images and apparent smooth surface regardless of the media used in their preparation. The SEM images confirmed the differences in the sizes between the particles (Figure 4). Figure 4E showed some nonspherical large aggregates as a result of the high concentration of the particles being examined.



348 Figure 4. Representative scanning electron micrographs of NISV prepared with (A) HEPES,

(B) Tris, (C) DW, (D) NS and (E) PBS (Magnification ×40,000). Salt crystals were observed
in the NS micrograph as a cuboid structures (figure 4 D). Figure 4 (E) showed some large non
spherical aggregates as a result of the high concentration of the particles examined.

#### 352 **3.5 HPLC analysis of NISVS prepared with different hydration media**

The total Chol content in the NISV formulations was measured using HPLC. Figure 5 shows a 353 typical standard curve and the total Chol concentration calculated from it for each formulation 354 shown in Figure 6. The theoretical Chol concentration was 129.05 µg/ml and it was expected 355 that the concentration post-preparation to be close to this. However, after preparation using 356 different media, the calculated concentrations were significantly (p < 0.05) lower than the 357 theoretical one for particles prepared with HEPES, PBS, NS and DW. Only particles prepared 358 with Tris buffer had a cholesterol concentration that was not significantly (p > 0.05) different 359 360 from the theoretical one. For particles prepared with PBS and NS, the Chol concentrations were close to each other with no significant (p > 0.05) difference in the calculated concentration. 361 Moreover, the concentration for NISV prepared with HEPES and DW was almost the same for 362 both formulations with no significant (p > 0.05) difference and this is the same for the particle 363 size for these two formulations. This indicates that the type of hydration media had a significant 364 effect on the apparent Chol concentration recovered. It is worth noting that the Chol 365 concentration was calculated based on the AUC at the retention time of 1.55 min, but there 366 were some peaks just before and after this time (data not shown) and this might explain the 367 difference between the theoretical and actual concentration after preparation as we expect that 368 some interaction occurred between cholesterol and the ions in the buffers that resulted in 369 different separation times. We are currently studying these effects and the possible interaction 370 between the NISV components and the different ions that form each hydration media. 371



Figure 5. Cholesterol standard curve prepared by measuring the AUC of various cholesterol





Figure 6. Calculated cholesterol concentrations after preparing NISV with microfluidics using different hydration media compared to the theoretical concentration. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3) measured by HPLC, <sup>\*\*</sup>p <0.05 significant decrease in Chol concentration compared with the theoretical concentration.

388

#### 390 **3.6 Turbidity assay**

The interaction of the different NISV with FBS was then examined in an attempt to predict the 391 stability of these particles when exposed to physiological conditions by calculating the RT of 392 each formulation when incubated with 10% (v/v) FBS. The turbidity assay measures the degree 393 of light scattering through a sample with suspended particles. Turbidity depends mainly on the 394 concentration of the suspended particles, the size distribution of the particles in the liquid phase 395 and the difference in the refractive index between the particles and the suspending medium [47, 396 48]. Microbiological instability or increase in the particle size of the suspended particles as a 397 398 result of aggregation will result in an increase in the RT of the liquid [46]. All the NISV showed good stability in terms of RT over two hours at 37°C (Figure 7). This can be seen with the 399 minimal increase of the RT for all formulations with time, bearing in mind that this increase 400 401 was not significant (p > 0.05). This result suggests that all the aqueous media used to prepare the NISV were effective in preventing particle aggregation when incubated with 10% (v/v) 402 FBS. 403



Figure 7. Relative turbidity (RT) of the NISV prepared with PBS, NS, HEPES, Tris and DW and incubated at 37°C with 10% v/v FBS. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

#### 407 **3.7** Cytotoxicity studies

Finally, we studied the formulations on the viability of two cancer cell lines (A375, A2780) 408 and a normal PNT2 cell line. Figure 8 shows the cytotoxicity of the formulations on the cells 409 and Table 2 shows the calculated  $EC_{50}$ . Cell viability measurements showed that regardless of 410 the media used to prepare the NISV, all the cell lines were 100% viable at a total lipid 411 concentration of 78.1 µg/ml and below. We observed a media-dependent toxicity on the A375 412 cell line. The type of the media used to prepare the particles had a significant (p < 0.05) effect 413 on the viability of these cells as there was a significant difference between the  $EC_{50}$  of each 414 formula. When the media alone was tested on these cells, they were not toxic and the cells were 415 100% viable (data not shown). The media-dependent toxicity on the A375 cells was probably 416 due to the difference in the particle size or surface charge in each formulation which would 417 418 affect its cellular uptake and the subsequent impact on viability [41]. Moreover, it has been reported that the particle size, shape and surface chemistry all have effects on cellular 419 internalisation and intracellular trafficking [49]. Since each formulation resulted in different 420 particle characteristics in term of size and charge, this might be the reason for the difference in 421 the cell viability for the A375 cells. Different cell types have different sensitivities and 422 423 nanomaterial interactions with cells depends on the colloidal forces and the dynamic biophysicochemical interactions between the cells and the particles [50]. These effects of the 424 type of the hydration media used for particles preparation on the cellular viability needs to be 425 426 investigated more extensively and consideration given to this phenomenon by researchers. For the other cell lines (A2780 and PNT2), although there were differences between the  $EC_{50}$  for 427 each formulation, they were not significant and the  $EC_{50}$  for each formulation was close to the 428 429 others, taking into consideration that the media alone were not toxic to any of these cell lines.



447

Figure 8. Figure 8 cytotoxicity of the NISV prepared with PBS, NS, HEPES, Tris and DW on
(A) A375, (B) A2780 and (C) PNT2 cell lines. The data represents the mean ± SD (n=3).

#### 452

### 453 **4. Conclusion**

In this paper, we report for the first time that the aqueous media used to prepare NISV by 454 microfluidics had a significant effect on the physiochemical characteristics of the resultant 455 particles. These findings provide strong evidence that the type of the media used to prepare 456 NISV by microfluidics has significant effects on particle size, distribution and surface charge. 457 The type of the media used should be taken into consideration in order to modulate these 458 characteristics of the formed particles. This is an important factor that will also have 459 implications with respect to the entrapped material as the media can be chosen based on the 460 compatibility with the intended drug to be encapsulated which in addition may help to design 461 vesicles for different uses based on changing the preparation medium. Our future aim is to use 462 NISV to therapeutically target cancer cells, therefore, establishing cytotoxicity of the drug 463 delivery system alone and effect of the preparation media on the NISV cytotoxicity, while the 464 media wee not cytotoxic on their own was also an important finding. 465

#### 466 Acknowledgments

467 The authors would like to acknowledge the Jordanian Ministry of Higher Education and468 Scientific Research and Yarmouk University in Jordan for funding this work.

469

470

471

474

## 475 **References**

- Marianecci, C., et al., *Niosomes from 80s to present: the state of the art.* Advances in colloid
   and interface science, 2014. **205**: p. 187-206.
- 478 2. HANDJANI-VILA, R., et al., *Dispersions of lamellar phases of non-ionic lipids in cosmetic*479 *products.* International journal of cosmetic Science, 1979. 1(5): p. 303-314.

480 3. Moghassemi, S. and A. Hadjizadeh, *Nano-niosomes as nanoscale drug delivery systems: an illustrated review*. Journal of Controlled Release, 2014. **185**: p. 22-36.

- 4824.Paecharoenchai, O., et al., Nonionic surfactant vesicles composed of novel spermine-derivative483cationic lipids as an effective gene carrier in vitro. AAPS PharmSciTech, 2014. 15(3): p. 722-484730.
- 4855.Nasseri, B., Effect of cholesterol and temperature on the elastic properties of niosomal486membranes. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2005. **300**(1): p. 95-101.
- 487 6. Pozzi, D., et al., *Effect of cholesterol on the formation and hydration behavior of solid-*488 *supported niosomal membranes.* Langmuir, 2009. **26**(4): p. 2268-2273.
- Fraile, R., et al., Formulation of Span 80 niosomes modified with SDS for lactic acid entrapment.
  Desalination and Water Treatment, 2015. 56(13): p. 3463-3475.
- 491 8. Shilpa, S., B. Srinivasan, and M. Chauhan, *Niosomes as vesicular carriers for delivery of proteins*492 *and biologicals*. International Journal of Drug Delivery, 2011. **3**(1).
- 493 9. Biswal, S., et al., *Vesicles of non-ionic surfactants (niosomes) and drug delivery potential.* Int J
  494 Pharm Sci Nanotechnol, 2008. 1(1): p. 1-8.
- Tavano, L., et al., *Doxorubicin loaded magneto-niosomes for targeted drug delivery*. Colloids
  and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2013. **102**: p. 803-807.
- 49711.Pawar, S. and P. Vavia, Glucosamine anchored cancer targeted nano-vesicular drug delivery498system of doxorubicin. Journal of drug targeting, 2016. 24(1): p. 68-79.
- 499 12. El-Ridy, M.S., et al., *Niosomes as a potential drug delivery system for increasing the efficacy*500 *and safety of nystatin.* Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 2011. **37**(12): p. 1491501 1508.
- 502 13. Zidan, A.S., Z. Rahman, and M.A. Khan, *Product and process understanding of a novel pediatric* 503 *anti-HIV tenofovir niosomes with a high-pressure homogenizer*. European Journal of
   504 Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2011. 44(1): p. 93-102.
- 50514.Huang, Y., et al., PEGylated synthetic surfactant vesicles (Niosomes): novel carriers for<br/>oligonucleotides. Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine, 2008. 19(2): p. 607-614.
- 50715.Pardakhty, A., J. Varshosaz, and A. Rouholamini, *In vitro study of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether*508*niosomes for delivery of insulin.* International journal of pharmaceutics, 2007. **328**(2): p. 130-509141.
- 510 16. Manosroi, A., et al., *In vitro and in vivo skin anti-aging evaluation of gel containing niosomes*511 *loaded with a semi-purified fraction containing gallic acid from Terminalia chebula galls.*512 Pharmaceutical biology, 2011. 49(11): p. 1190-1203.
- 17. Rungphanichkul, N., et al., *Preparation of curcuminoid niosomes for enhancement of skin permeation.* Die Pharmazie-An International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2011. 66(8):
   p. 570-575.
- 51618.Vyas, S., et al., Non-ionic surfactant based vesicles (niosomes) for non-invasive topical genetic517immunization against hepatitis B. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2005. 296(1): p. 80-51886.

- 519 19. Mahale, N., et al., *Niosomes: novel sustained release nonionic stable vesicular systems—an*520 *overview*. Advances in colloid and interface science, 2012. **183**: p. 46-54.
- 521 20. Laouini, A., et al., *Preparation, characterization and applications of liposomes: state of the art.*522 Journal of colloid Science and Biotechnology, 2012. 1(2): p. 147-168.
- 523 21. Marwa, A., et al., *Preparation and in-vitro evaluation of diclofenac sodium niosomal*524 *formulations.* International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 2013. 4(5): p.
  525 1757.
- 526 22. Akbarzadeh, A., et al., *Liposome: classification, preparation, and applications.* Nanoscale
  527 research letters, 2013. 8(1): p. 1.
- 528 23. Mozafari, M.R., *Nanomaterials and nanosystems for biomedical applications*. 2007: Springer
   529 Science & Business Media.
- 53024.Belliveau, N.M., et al., Microfluidic synthesis of highly potent limit-size lipid nanoparticles for531in vivo delivery of siRNA. Molecular Therapy—Nucleic Acids, 2012. 1(8): p. e37.
- 532 25. Lo, C.T., et al., *Controlled self-assembly of monodisperse niosomes by microfluidic* 533 *hydrodynamic focusing.* Langmuir, 2010. **26**(11): p. 8559-8566.
- 534 26. Carugo, D., et al., *Liposome production by microfluidics: potential and limiting factors.*535 Scientific reports, 2016. 6.
- 536 27. Uchegbu, I.F. and S.P. Vyas, *Non-ionic surfactant based vesicles (niosomes) in drug delivery.*537 International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 1998. **172**(1): p. 33-70.
- 53828.Morris, M.C., et al., A peptide carrier for the delivery of biologically active proteins into539mammalian cells. Nature biotechnology, 2001. **19**(12): p. 1173-1176.
- 54029.Dulbecco, R. and M. Vogt, *Plaque formation and isolation of pure lines with poliomyelitis*541*viruses.* The Journal of experimental medicine, 1954. **99**(2): p. 167-182.
- 542 30. Mozafari, M., *Nanoliposomes: preparation and analysis.* Liposomes: Methods and Protocols,
  543 Volume 1: Pharmaceutical Nanocarriers, 2010: p. 29-50.
- 544 31. Dua, J., A. Rana, and A. Bhandari, *Liposome: methods of preparation and applications*. Int J
  545 Pharm Stud Res, 2012. **3**: p. 14-20.
- 546 32. Mohan, C., *Buffers*. 2003.
- 547 33. Stoll, V.S. and J.S. Blanchard, *Buffers: Principles and Practice1*. 1990.
- 54834.Bennett, E., A.B. Mullen, and V.A. Ferro, *Translational modifications to improve vaccine*549*efficacy in an oral influenza vaccine.* Methods, 2009. **49**(4): p. 322-327.
- 35. Gebril, A.M., et al., Assessment of the antigen-specific antibody response induced by mucosal administration of a GnRH conjugate entrapped in lipid nanoparticles. Nanomedicine:
  552 Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine, 2014. 10(5): p. 971-979.
- 36. Oh, H., T. Shin, and E. Chang, *Determination of cholesterol in milk and dairy products by high- performance liquid chromatography.* Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 2001.
  14(10): p. 1465-1469.
- 37. Zhang, Y. and T.J. Anchordoquy, *The role of lipid charge density in the serum stability of cationic lipid/DNA complexes.* Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes, 2004.
  1663(1): p. 143-157.
- S159 S18. Claessens, M., et al., *Charged lipid vesicles: effects of salts on bending rigidity, stability, and size.* Biophysical journal, 2004. **87**(6): p. 3882-3893.
- 56139.Kohli, A.G., et al., Designer lipids for drug delivery: From heads to tails. Journal of Controlled562Release, 2014. 190: p. 274-287.
- 40. Buyens, K., et al., *Liposome based systems for systemic siRNA delivery: stability in blood sets*the requirements for optimal carrier design. Journal of controlled release, 2012. **158**(3): p. 362370.
- He, C., et al., *Effects of particle size and surface charge on cellular uptake and biodistribution of polymeric nanoparticles.* Biomaterials, 2010. **31**(13): p. 3657-3666.
- 56842.Wang, H., et al., Folate-PEG coated cationic modified chitosan-cholesterol liposomes for<br/>tumor-targeted drug delivery. Biomaterials, 2010. **31**(14): p. 4129-4138.

- 43. Lu, Q., et al., *Preparation and physicochemical characteristics of an allicin nanoliposome and its release behavior*. LWT-Food Science and Technology, 2014. **57**(2): p. 686-695.
- 572 44. Sou, K., *Electrostatics of carboxylated anionic vesicles for improving entrapment capacity.*573 Chemistry and physics of lipids, 2011. **164**(3): p. 211-215.
- 45. Wu, L., J. Zhang, and W. Watanabe, *Physical and chemical stability of drug nanoparticles*.
  Advanced drug delivery reviews, 2011. 63(6): p. 456-469.
- 46. Heurtault, B., et al., *Physico-chemical stability of colloidal lipid particles*. Biomaterials, 2003.
  24(23): p. 4283-4300.
- 578 47. Collado-Fernandez, M., M. Gonzalez-Sanjosé, and R. Pino-Navarro, *Evaluation of turbidity:*579 *correlation between Kerstez turbidimeter and nephelometric turbidimeter*. Food chemistry,
  580 2000. **71**(4): p. 563-566.
- 58148.Cui, H., C. Zhao, and L. Lin, The specific antibacterial activity of liposome-encapsulated Clove582oil and its application in tofu. Food Control, 2015. 56: p. 128-134.
- 49. Gratton, S.E., et al., *The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways.*584 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2008. **105**(33): p. 11613-11618.
- 585 50. Nel, A.E., et al., Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface.
  586 Nature materials, 2009. 8(7): p. 543-557.