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Abstract

This work reports on the erosion performance of three particle reinforced metal matrix composite coatings, co-deposited with an aluminium binder via cold-gas dynamic spraying. The deposition of ceramic particles is difficult to achieve with typical cold spray techniques due to the absence of particle deformation. This issue has been overcome in the present study by simultaneously spraying the reinforcing particles with a ductile metallic binder which has led to an increased level of ceramic/cermet particles deposited on the substrate with thick (>400 µm) coatings produced. The aim of this investigation was to evaluate the erosion performance of the co-deposited coatings within a slurry environment. The study also incorporated standard metallographic characterisation techniques to evaluate the distribution of reinforcing particles within the aluminium matrix. All coatings exhibited poorer erosion performance than the uncoated material, both in terms of volume loss and mass loss. The Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} reinforced coating sustained the greatest amount of damage following exposure to the slurry and recorded the greatest volume loss (approx. 2.8 mm\textsuperscript{3}) out of all of the examined coatings. Despite the poor erosion performance, the WC-CoCr reinforced coating demonstrated a considerable hardness increase over the as-received AA5083 (approx. 400%) and also exhibited the smallest free space length between adjacent particles. The findings of this study reveal that the removal of the AA5083 matrix by the impinging silicon carbide particles acts as the primary wear mechanism leading to the degradation of the coating. Analysis of the wear scar has demonstrated that the damage to the soft matrix alloy takes the form of ploughing and scoring which subsequently exposes carbide/oxide particles to the impinging slurry.
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1. Introduction

Cold gas dynamic spraying (cold spray) is a low temperature surface engineering process that is used to deposit coatings on a variety of substrate materials through the acceleration and impingement of feedstock powder particles. The technology was first developed in the early 1980's [1] and has been the subject of extensive research, particularly in the area of metal matrix composite (MMC) coatings [2–9]. Cold spray makes use of a de-Laval [1] nozzle to accelerate powder feedstock material to supersonic velocities. High temperature spraying technologies such as high velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) [10] or plasma spray [10] expose feedstock powder to melting temperatures, hence causing a change to the constituent phases of the deposited coating. However, the temperature of cold sprayed powders never approaches their melting point [11]. As the particles impinge on the surface, the high kinetic energies cause them to plastically deform; this is commonly referred to as splat formation [12,13]. Multiple adjoining splats bond together to form the coating layer [12].

The primary advantage of cold spray over high temperature spraying processes is the ability to retain the constituent phases of the feedstock powder [11,14]. By maintaining the particle temperature below the solidus, any undesirable phase transformations within the powder particles are avoided. Coatings produced in this manner exhibit a work hardened structure [1] with existing research reporting the presence of compressive residual stresses [15,16] which lead to enhanced fatigue performance [17]. The temperatures present during cold spray allow the technique to be applied to materials with a low melting point such as aluminium or magnesium. This is of particular interest to the automotive and aerospace industries which strive to optimise components for minimal weight whilst maintaining strength and wear performance [18,19].

The use of cold spray to deposit wear resistant coatings has inherent complexities due to the difficulties in building up a sufficient coating thickness with wear resistant particles [20]. Deposition of wear resistant coatings, particularly ceramic materials such as tungsten carbide or alumina is difficult to achieve due to the limited deformability of ceramic particles while in a solid state. High temperature thermal spraying techniques overcome this problem by heating the particles above the solidus [21]. The negligible deformation of impinging carbide particles has been shown to produce a grit blasting effect on the surface of the substrate material [22].

By optimising the spraying parameters, Couto et al. [23] were able to deposit a coating comprising tungsten carbide particles. However, the limited deformation of the cermet upon
contact with the substrate restricted the build up of thick (>100 µm) coating layers. The addition of a ductile metallic binder has been shown to enable the deposition of ceramic particles [23], with the binder typically being added to the sprayed powder in one of two ways. One method involves the agglomerating-sintering of metallic binder and carbide [23]. Typical examples of this process include the production of WC-CoCr and Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr powders [24]. This is limited to certain material combinations and requires that powders are manufactured in advance of the spray process. Incorporation of a metallic binder can also be achieved by mixing powders to the required ratio prior to spraying. Irissou et al. [9] employed such a technique to deposit pre-mixed Al + Al$_2$O$_3$ on steel. This approach is comparatively less complicated than agglomeration and is applicable to a greater range of material combinations. However, varying densities of powder particles can cause settling within the powder feeder resulting in inconsistent quantities of ceramic and binder supplied to the nozzle. In contrast, co-deposition is seen as a potential technique to overcome the inherent difficulties in depositing ceramic powders using cold spray [23], by spraying a binding alloy on the substrate alongside the ceramic particles. It should be noted that only the ductile metallic alloy undergoes deformation during the cold spraying of cermet powders.

Examination of MMC coatings has gathered substantial interest in recent years due to the improvements to mechanical properties with the addition of certain reinforcing particles [25–31]. These improved properties derive from dispersion (Orowan) strengthening [32] in which dislocations in the matrix are pinned by the dispersed particles. Cold spraying adds further strengthening in the form of work hardening [33] and grain refinement of the binder material [34–36]. Severe plastic deformation produces a work hardened structure as the grains in the powder particles become elongated following impact. Grain refinement is common in particles that suffer particularly extensive plastic deformation such as those that directly impact the substrate surface. The substantial pressures upon impact cause recrystallization of the plastically deformed grains. Evidence of grain refinement has previously been reported for Zn, Cu and Ti cold sprayed powders [35,37,38].

The influence of each of these mechanisms on the strength of the MMC is dependent on the distribution and structure of reinforcing particles [32,33]. A study by Luo et al. [33] investigated the contribution of the various strengthening mechanisms to the measured hardness increase of a cold spray deposited pcBN/NiCrAl composite coating. The authors [33] characterised the dispersal of particles within the coating and calculated the theoretical hardness based on this distribution and the volume fraction of reinforcements. The experimentally measured hardness values closely reflected the calculated ones. Work hardening from the plastic deformation of deposited particles was identified as the most significant strengthening mechanism followed by
matrix grain refinement and dispersion strengthening [33]. The level of dispersion strengthening is directly linked to the size and distribution of reinforcing particles within the matrix; a decrease in sub-micron reinforcement particle size is linked to increased strengthening. Redsten et al. [39] found that reducing the reinforcement particle size to below 0.1 µm resulted in dispersion strengthening becoming the dominant strengthening mechanism within the MMC [39].

A number of studies [33,40,41] relate the hardness of MMCs to the dispersal of reinforcing particles in the matrix, with homogenous distribution of fine particles generating superior mechanical properties [42]. The erosion performance of MMCs has also been examined by several authors, with the findings highlighting a reduction in the erosion rate of alloys with the addition of particulate reinforcements [43,26,44]. However, the existing research does not extend to the examination of cold sprayed MMCs co-deposited with a metallic binder alloy under a solid liquid impingement testing regime.

In the present study, the micro-hardness and metallographic analysis of three MMC coatings produced by co-deposition cold spraying, prior to erosion testing, established the distribution and quantity of cermet within the aluminium matrix. The erosion performance of the MMC coatings was evaluated under flowing conditions using solid liquid impingement test apparatus. The material loss from the three particle reinforced MMC coatings was measured at 90° angle of attack, with the recorded data compared to the material loss from as-received AA5083. Post-erosion analysis of the wear scar region determined the mechanisms causing breakdown of the MMC coatings. This body of research has developed an enhanced understanding of the microstructure and erosion performance of co-deposited cold sprayed MMC coatings in flowing slurry conditions.

2. Methodology

2.1 Materials

The erosion performance of cold spray deposited tungsten carbide (WC-CoCr), chromium carbide (Cr3C2-NiCr) and aluminium oxide (Al2O3) reinforced MMC coatings has been evaluated under solid liquid impingement flowing conditions. AA5083 was selected as the substrate material due to its extensive use within the automotive and aerospace sectors [45]. While this material is appropriate for use in these sectors, enhanced tribological properties are required to extend operational life and reduce maintenance costs associated with components [46]. The chemical composition of AA5083 can be found in Table 1. The three powder types, which are commonly employed in thermal spraying techniques, were co-deposited with powdered AA5083 on 6 mm
thick AA5083 plates. Table 2 presents the composition of feedstock powders along with the associated particle size. Fig. 1 exhibits the distinctive morphologies of the respective powder particles.

Table 1. Chemical composition of AA5083 [47]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Powder composition [48,49]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating Material</th>
<th>Powder Identification Code</th>
<th>Composition (wt.%)</th>
<th>Average Particle Size (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WC-CoCr</td>
<td>Woka 3652</td>
<td>W - 10Co - 5.5C - 4.4Cr</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₃C₂-NiCr</td>
<td>Woka 7202</td>
<td>Cr - 20Ni - 9.6C - 0.1Fe</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Al-1110-HP</td>
<td>100Al₂O₃</td>
<td>75.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WC-CoCr (Fig. 1a) particles are spherical in shape and are comprised of nano-scale tungsten carbides held together by a binding cobalt matrix [49]. The addition of chromium decreases the susceptibility to corrosion as demonstrated by Toma et al. [50]. The Cr₃C₂-NiCr particles are comparable in size and morphology to WC-CoCr. However, the primary carbides within the Cr₃C₂-NiCr powder are larger than those contained within the WC-CoCr [48,49]. Chromium carbide acts as the hard constituent with the nickel-chromium binder retaining the carbides in the observed (Fig. 1b) spheroidal particle profile. Incorporation of chromium improves the corrosion resistance over tungsten-based powders whilst also increasing the ability to withstand greater in-service temperatures [48]. Both powders are commonly used to enhance the erosion or tribo-corrosion performance of components that are exposed to highly aggressive working environments. A number of existing studies [51,52] have demonstrated the improved erosion performance of materials that have been coated with WC-CoCr and Cr₃C₂-NiCr containing surfaces. As indicated in Table 2, Al₂O₃ particles are comparably larger in size and possess no metallic binder, with Fig. 1c illustrating the faceted shape of the oxides. The larger Al₂O₃ (75.8 µm) particles have been selected based on an improved deposition rate when compared with smaller Al₂O₃ particles.
2.2 Cold spray parameter development

The aperture dimensions of the powder feeders were measured and the volumetric aperture ratio (AR) between the two feeders calculated. The determined values were used to define the volumetric feed rate necessary to achieve the desired deposition ratio between reinforcing particle and aluminium binder. When referring to the co-deposited aluminium particles, the term “binder” is used for pre-deposition, with “matrix” used for post-deposition. The required rotational velocity of the feeder was calculated using Eq. 1, where $\omega_r$ is the rotational velocity of the powder feeder containing the reinforcing particles and $\omega_m$ is the velocity of the feeder containing the aluminium powder that will constitute the coating matrix.

$$\omega_r = \omega_m \left( \frac{Vol.\%_r}{Vol.\%_m} \right) AR$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The spraying parameters identified as having the greatest influence on the quality of the deposited coating are gas temperature and gas pressure; the speed at which the nozzle traverses across the surface of the substrate and the nozzle standoff distance affect the quantity of material deposited [53]. An iterative approach was adopted to determine the optimal spraying conditions to achieve a dense, well adhered coating. The quantity of material deposited on the surface of the substrate was evaluated by measuring the coating thickness and mass gain following deposition on a series of test coupons. Table 3 presents the optimised spraying parameters.

Table 3. Optimised cold spray deposition parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Gas Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Scanning Speed (mm/s)</th>
<th>Stand-off Distance (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Coating deposition

Deposition of MMC coatings was carried out using a CGT GmbH Kinetiks 4000 cold spray system connected to two 4000 series powder feeders (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Image of the cold spray setup. (1) Powder feeder 1; (2) Powder feeder 2; (3) Robotic arm; (4) Cold spray gun; (5) Cold spray nozzle; (6) Specimen stage; (7) Gas heater.

The spray system incorporated a conventional de-Laval nozzle to accelerate powder particles to the necessary velocity. The nozzle was attached to a 6-axis robotic arm to improve the repeatability of the coating deposition. Following the initial parameter development work, as outlined in section 2.2, the three reinforcement powders were co-deposited on the substrate material in the ratio 40% aluminium powder to 60% reinforcing particle (vol.%). This ratio resulted in a coating thickness in the range 0.38 – 0.44 mm following the deposition of three layers (3 passes of the cold spray gun). The increased deposition rate of the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr resulted in a coating thickness approximately 0.2 mm greater than that of WC-CoCr and Al$_2$O$_3$. Consequently, only two passes of Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr were necessary to achieve a similar coating thickness. Further explanation as to the impact of the deposition efficiency on the MMC coatings is provided in section 3.1.1.

2.4 Experimental procedure

2.4.1 Coating characterisation

Specimens were cross-sectioned using a precision cutting wheel (Accutom 5) in order to minimise any damage to the coating layer and to limit the pull-out of reinforcement particles [54].
Standard metallographic techniques were employed to prepare the specimen surface to a 0.5 µm finish using SiC grinding pads and a series of polishing stages. Optical micrographs of the MMC coatings were captured using an Olympus G51X light optical microscope and illustrate the distribution of the reinforcing particles within the aluminium matrix. The ratio of binder to reinforcing particles was measured using suitable image analysis software (ImageJ). High resolution images showing the distribution of carbides/oxides within the aluminium matrix were obtained using a Hitachi S-3700 series SEM. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) software (Oxford Instruments INCA) mapped the distribution of the constituent elements within the aluminium matrix thereby highlighting the dispersion of reinforcing particles throughout the MMC. The average hardness of the MMC was established by taking 10 micro-hardness measurements within the coating and calculating a mean average value. A Mitutoyo MVK-G1 micro-hardness tester with applied load of 100 gf recorded the micro-hardness measurements. Assessment of reinforcement inter-particle spacing was carried out using a statistical analysis method developed by Khare et al. [55] that established the average free space length within a micrograph of the MMC coating on MATLAB.

2.4.2 Solid-liquid impingement testing

The erosion performance of the reinforced MMC coatings was evaluated using a closed-loop solid liquid impingement test rig, as comparable systems have been used extensively by researches examining the erosion performance of materials within a flowing environment [26,56,57]. A schematic diagram of the test apparatus is depicted in Fig. 3. Test specimens were positioned directly under the jet nozzle and exposed to a slurry containing sand particles at 90° angle of attack. The slurry used throughout the study was comprised of a 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution containing FS9 grade angular silica sand with an average particle size of 0.355 mm. The specimens were fully immersed in the slurry solution for the duration of the test. The system was flushed with fresh water following the conclusion of each test to remove any trace particulates and maintain consistent test conditions.

A closed-loop system offers the ability to modify the quantity of erosive particles within the slurry and accurately control the flow velocity. These variables have been shown in previous studies to have a measurable influence on the rate of material loss [58,59]. Table 4 presents the experimental parameters maintained throughout the test regime.
Fig 3. Schematic diagram of recirculating liquid impingement test rig (not to scale). (1) Sample holder; (2) Jet impingement nozzle; (3) Specimen; (4) Slurry solution; (5) Slurry tank; (6) Drainage valve; (7) Drainage pump; (8) Recirculating pump.

Table 4. Liquid impingement test parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Sand Concentration (g/l)</th>
<th>Standoff Distance (mm)</th>
<th>Test Time (min)</th>
<th>Sample Diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior to erosion testing, the specimens were abraded using 500-grit SiC paper to produce a uniform surface finish and weighed using a mass balance to an accuracy of 0.1 mg. The mass of each specimen was established pre- and post-testing to determine the total mass loss. Three test replicates for each MMC coating were weighed to provide an average mass loss value. Volume loss in the directly impinged and turbulent zones was evaluated using an Alicona (Infinite Focus G4) non-contact optical surface characterisation system. The system captures a 3D image of the scar region and plots data points on the surface. A reference plane is then added to represent the top surface of the specimen with the calculated volume beneath this plane signifying the volume loss. The directly impinged zone is classified as the area on the specimen surface that is located beneath the 3 mm aperture of the jet nozzle and corresponds to a surface area of 7.07 mm². The material loss out with this region, identified as the turbulent zone, occurs as particles impinge and move outward from direct zone [60]. The volume of material removed from the turbulent zone is calculated by subtracting the volume loss in the directly impinged region.
from the total volume of the wear scar.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 MMC coating characterisation

3.1.1 Light optical microscopy

Particle dispersal within an MMC has been the subject of many studies, due to the significant impact it has on the mechanical properties of the material [27,61]. As such, it is important to establish the dispersal of the reinforcing particles within the MMC and the effect of powder particle composition on this dispersal (Table 2). Fig. 4a exhibits a magnified cross section view of the WC-CoCr reinforced coating. The micrograph illustrates a dense coating with the minimal observed porosity attributed to pull-out during the metallographic preparation process [54]. This porosity was measured in accordance with ASTM E2109 – 01 [62] with the results indicating an area percentage porosity less than 0.5%. As mentioned in the standard, a value less than 0.5% should be considered zero when calculating the average area percentage of porosity. Therefore, the cold spray co-deposited coatings can be considered dense. This dense microstructures presented in Fig. 4 are a result of the significant deformation of co-deposited metallic binder particles as they impinge on the substrate surface [12,23], due to the high particle velocities generated by the cold spray system. An important observation from Fig. 4a is the agglomeration of WC-CoCr particles. This phenomenon has resulted in areas comprising high densities of reinforcement particles and contrasting regions that are void of any reinforcement material. Analysis of the MMC reports the coating to be comprised of approx. 56% reinforcement particles and 44% binder (vol.%). This value reflects the deposition ratio specified using Equation 1.

The Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr reinforced coating (Fig. 4b) reveals less agglomeration of particles when compared with the WC-CoCr MMC. Image analysis measured approx. 48% cermet material within the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr coating. The corresponding increase in binder (52%) provides an explanation for the increase in coating thickness over WC-CoCr and Al$_2$O$_3$ reinforced coatings; equally, a study by Couto et al. [23] reported increased deposition efficiency with greater quantities of ductile binder. The reduction in particle agglomeration when compared with the WC-CoCr coating is attributed to the larger size of the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr primary carbides. Specifically, the presence of larger carbides reduces the deformation that the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr particles can undergo and as such reduces their ability to coalesce with the adjoining cermet particles.
Fig 4. Light optical micrographs showing the MMC coatings with the dark reinforcement particles dispersed throughout the light-shaded aluminium matrix [x500 unetched]. a) WC-CoCr, b) Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr, c) Al$_2$O$_3$

Examination of the Al$_2$O$_3$ coating (Fig. 4c) revealed that the MMC comprises approx. 60% binder to 40% oxide particles. With the quantity of binder greater than that of the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr reinforced coating it would be expected that deposition of the Al$_2$O$_3$ MMC would result in the greatest coating thickness. This was not the case, however, one study by Spencer et al. [5] attributed this to particles rebounding from the surface due to a lack of deformation upon contact. This study [5] is in agreement with the recorded results considering that both WC-CoCr and Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr contain inherent binder material in the form of cobalt and nickel, which as a result can plastically deform upon contact with the surface. Despite this, the Al$_2$O$_3$ reinforced coating comprises a homogenous distribution of particles. Fig. 4c does however depict a significant variation in the size of particles size within the aluminium matrix. This is attributed to the shattering of particles upon contact with the surface and is corroborated by evidence of cracking within the larger Al$_2$O$_3$ particles.

Based on the variation in the quantity of cermet material dispersed within the MMC matrix between the three reinforcing particles, it can be concluded that Equation 1 cannot be uniformly applied for every co-deposited powder material. The results indicate that the unique properties (morphology, density, shape) of the reinforcing particles impact the rate at which powder is supplied to the nozzle and must be accounted for when calculating the necessary rotational velocity of the powder feeder (section 2.2).

3.1.2 Scanning electron microscopy

EDS verified the presence of reinforcing particles within the MMC by determining the elemental composition of various regions within the coating. Furthermore, EDS elemental mapping evaluated the distribution of reinforcement particles within the aluminium matrix. The EDS map of the WC-CoCr reinforced coating is depicted in Fig. 5a. Fig. 5b is an optical micrograph of a corresponding region at an identical magnification to Fig. 5a. The locations of tungsten and cobalt align with the dark regions shown in the optical micrograph (Fig. 5b). Furthermore Fig. 6 also highlights the correlation between dark regions and reinforcing chromium/nickel elements in the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr reinforced MMC, thus it can be surmised that the dark regions in the optical micrographs depict the locations of reinforcement particles, as opposed to pull-out or contamination.
Fig. 5a also illustrates the agglomeration of reinforcement particles within the matrix. Fiedler et al. [15] noted that micro-reinforcement mechanisms occur as a direct result of improved dispersion and reduced agglomerations (dispersion strengthening) [32]. A study by Slipenyuk et al. [27] concluded that increasing the average particle size led to improvements in the homogeneity of particle distribution in a SiC reinforced aluminium matrix [27]. However, increasing the average particle size has a deleterious effect on the mechanical properties of the MMC [27], therefore optimisation is necessary to achieve a balance between the desired properties. It was not possible to generate an EDS image map of the Al$_2$O$_3$ reinforced coating due to both matrix and reinforcing particle being comprised of aluminium.

High magnification SEM micrographs (Fig. 7a) demonstrate that the WC-CoCr particles have broken down (carbides no longer retained by the cobalt binder) following co-deposition. This is attributed to the deformation of particles upon contact with the substrate and the inability of the cobalt to retain the pre-deposition structure of the particles. Breakdown of feedstock particles aids the distribution of tungsten carbides throughout the MMC and is therefore deemed to be beneficial in terms of coating mechanical properties [27]. The Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr has retained more of the original pre-deposition morphology with chromium carbides still surrounded by nickel binder (Fig. 7b). This indicates that the Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr particles possess enhanced ductility due to the increased binder content (Table 2) which results in greater deformation prior to breakdown of the feedstock powder morphology.

![Fig. 5. a) EDS elemental map showing distribution of tungsten and cobalt within the aluminium matrix, b) light optical micrograph of a corresponding region in the WC-CoCr coating [x500, unetched].](image-url)
Fig. 6. a) EDS elemental map showing distribution of chromium and nickel within the aluminium matrix, b) light optical micrograph of a corresponding region in the Cr₃C₂-NiCr coating [x500, unetched].

Fig 7. Scanning electron micrographs showing the dispersal of carbides within the aluminium matrix [x4000]. a) WC-CoCr, b) Cr₃C₂-NiCr

3.1.3 Micro-hardness

To highlight the effect of the three types of reinforcing particles on the hardness of the MMCs, multiple micro-hardness measurements were taken within the coating layer with the average values presented in Fig. 8. The data shows the MMC with WC-CoCr reinforcing particles possess an average Vickers hardness of 389 HV. When compared with the unaltered AA5083, this value demonstrates a hardness increase of approx. 400%. Despite this increase, the
The presented data contains a wide scatter of micro-hardness values for each coating type (Fig. 7). The scattering of results further highlights the agglomeration of particles within the MMC, with regions of high carbide content revealing high hardness values, and those comprising comparably lower quantities resulting in reduced micro-hardness.

Fig. 8. Average micro-hardness of the co-deposited MMC coatings with the associated maximum and minimum recorded hardness values.

The average hardness of all three MMC coatings is greater than that of the as-received AA5083 material. This increase is attributed to the dispersion strengthening mechanism associated with particle reinforced MMCs. The presence of reinforcement particles restricts the movement of dislocations within the matrix and leads to improved hardness properties [32]. The level of dispersion strengthening is directly linked to the size and distribution of reinforcing particles, as demonstrated by Redsten et al. [39]. Work hardening and grain refinement from the plastic deformation of deposited binder particles has also contributed to the measured hardness increase of the MMC coatings [33]. Results from the hardness examination indicate that the presence of reinforcing particles increases the ability of the matrix to resist deformation under load [63], with the spread of micro-hardness values a direct consequence of the quantity of surrounding reinforcing particles limiting the plastic deformation of the MMC. Introduction of sub-
micron reinforcement particles will further increase the hardness of the MMC as a result of dispersion strengthening [32].

3.1.4 Inter-particle spacing

The distribution of reinforcing particles has been shown by many authors [18,64,65] to have a significant impact on the hardness and mechanical properties of the MMC. Moreover, the relationship between particle size and resulting distribution within the MMC has also been the subject of research [27,29]. The inter-particle spacing for the three coatings has been examined due to the reported influence of particle space on the yield strength of the MMC [64]. Khare and Barres's statistical method [55] measures the free space length which is defined as the width of the largest randomly placed square for which the mode average of intersecting particles is zero. This particular method was selected as it suitably accounts for the agglomeration of particles within the MMC [55]. Table 5 presents the measured inter-particle distance of the three coatings.

Table 5. Inter-particle distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>WC-CoCr</th>
<th>Cr₃C₂-NiCr</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-particle distance (µm)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results indicate that, despite the agglomeration of particles, the WC-CoCr reinforced MMC demonstrates the smallest inter-particle spacing. Such low inter-particle spacing is attributed to the greater quantity of reinforcing particles within the MMC (as discussed in section 3.1.1) and to the breakdown of particles as seen in Fig. 6a. Improved dispersion of fine reinforcing particles is directly related to an increase in dispersion strengthening as a result of dislocations in the aluminium matrix pinned by the reinforcement particles [32]. The size of the reinforcing particles has been shown in literature [27] to directly affect the distribution of particles within the MMC. Larger particles demonstrate improved dispersion within the matrix alloy [27], with fine particles showing a tendency to agglomerate as a result of inconsistent mixing during co-deposition spraying. The larger size of Al₂O₃ particles has improved the homogeneity of particle dispersion within the aluminium matrix, however, as discussed by Slipenyuk et al. [27] is to the detriment of mechanical properties (hardness, yield and tensile strength).

3.2 Solid-liquid impingement erosion study

3.2.1 Mass loss

The influence of reinforcement particles on the total mass and volume loss sustained by the MMC coatings following exposure to 20 min solid liquid impingement testing is presented in Fig. 9.
The results display a significant variation in the level of mass loss experienced by each coating, with uncoated AA5083 material recording a significantly lower mass loss than the MMC coatings. The WC-CoCr reinforced specimen has resulted in the greatest mass loss out of the examined coatings with a value of more than double that of the next poorest performing MMC (Cr$_3$C$_2$-NiCr). The mass loss is reported for comparative analysis of the three reinforced MMCs exposed to consistent erosive conditions. However, due to the variation in density of the respective coatings, mass loss does not provide a suitable metric with which to present an assessment of MMCs composed of different reinforcing particles. As a result, it was necessary to establish the quantity of material removed by the impinging slurry as volume loss. The measured mass loss for the uncoated specimen would indicate that it has performed more than two times better than the poorest performing MMC coated specimen. However, examination of volume loss indicates that the uncoated material has performed similarly to the MMC specimens. Therefore, volume loss provides a more appropriate metric with which to comparatively assess the specimens as it takes into consideration the respective densities of each material.
3.2.2 Volume loss

Volume loss in the directly impinged zone and the turbulent zone was characterised independently using the Alicona non-contact surface characterisation system and is presented in Fig. 10.

![Volume Loss Graph](image)

Fig. 10. Contribution of volume loss from the directly impinged and turbulent regions, to the total volume loss.

A number of conclusions can be drawn from the data presented in Fig. 10. The first is that the uncoated AA5083 has experienced greater volume loss in the turbulent zone than in the directly impinged zone. This outcome is attributed to the ductility of the as-received substrate material which typically exhibits greater erosion at acute angles of attack due to cutting and ploughing acting as the primary erosion mechanisms [66]. As the vol.% of reinforcing particles is increased (section 3.1.1), the quantity of material removed from the turbulent zone decreases whilst the amount removed from the directly impinged zone increases. This shift demonstrates a change in the mechanisms causing material removal from ploughing of the ductile substrate to the fracture and removal of reinforcing particles [66]. The Al$_2$O$_3$ reinforced coating has resulted in the greatest volume loss within the wear scar with the recorded volume loss approximately 30% greater than that of the uncoated substrate. This increase in volume loss over the uncoated
material is attributed to Al₂O₃ particles being plucked from the matrix and acting as a secondary erodent within the wear scar. The WC-CoCr reinforced MMC has performed poorly in comparison to the unaltered substrate and failed to provide increased erosion protection over the Cr₃C₂-NiCr reinforced coating. This is in contrast to many studies that state the substantial reduction in erosion rate with the addition on WC based cermets. While this outcome is contrary to the findings of many studies, the poor performance of the WC-CoCr reinforced MMC is a direct result of the highly aggressive environment in which specimens were tested. Furthermore, the agglomeration of WC-CoCr particles within the coating matrix has led to large agglomerates being plucked from the matrix due to a lack of supporting binder between the individual carbides.

### 3.2.3 Wear scar analysis

The reported mass and volume loss of the reinforced MMCs demonstrate that coatings fail to provide enhanced erosion protection over the as-received AA5083. SEM micrographs of the impinged region (Fig. 11) were examined to identify the cause of this unexpected outcome and evaluate the mechanisms resulting in this substantial level of material removal. The WC-CoCr reinforced coating (Fig. 11a) presents signs of damage to the aluminium matrix in the form of scoring and ploughing. This is consistent with ductile material removal and is frequently reported in the published literature [43,66,67]. Furthermore, Fig. 11a shows carbides that appear to protrude from the aluminium matrix. EDS analysis reveals low levels of aluminium in these regions thereby confirming a lack of supporting AA5083 required to retain the carbides within the aluminium matrix.

Figure 11b highlights the presence of ductile scoring within the aluminium matrix. Ramesh et al. [68] reported similar findings following examination of HVOF sprayed WC-Co based coatings exposed to dry jet erosion. Particle agglomeration further enhances the rate of material removal as clusters of carbides are removed at the same time due to the extrusion of the surrounding matrix alloy [69]. Consequently, the quantity of eroded material is high with the presence of reinforcement particles offering no measurable improvement to the erosion performance of the MMC.

The Cr₃C₂-NiCr reinforced coating recorded the lowest volume loss when exposed to solid-liquid impingement conditions. Despite this, the resulting volume loss failed to offer any improvement over the unaltered substrate (Fig. 10). The enhanced erosion performance of this MMC over the WC-CoCr coating is a result of increased quantities of AA5083, which, as shown by the volume loss data, offers comparatively improved erosion resistance at a 90° angle of attack [66]. Fig. 11c highlights the wear scar damage to the Cr₃C₂-NiCr MMC. The image reveals the removal of the AA5083 matrix and subsequent exposure of carbides. Hence this is recognised as
the primary wear mechanism acting on the surface. The Al₂O₃ reinforced MMC contains similar levels of matrix alloy to the Cr₃C₂-NiCr reinforced MMC, however, demonstrates a comparably high volume loss. This outcome is related to the difference in reinforcement particle size between Al₂O₃ and Cr₃C₂-NiCr. As shown in Table 2, the average size of Al₂O₃ particles is approx. 180% greater than that of Cr₃C₂-NiCr particles and far in excess of the maximum allowable particle size (<1 µm) necessary to result in dispersion strengthening [32]. Micrographs of the Al₂O₃ MMC (Fig. 4c) exhibit cracking of larger particles which results in an inability to contribute to load transfer strengthening, with the faceted particle shape resulting in lower ductility within the MMC [70]. Pull-out of the large Al₂O₃ particles is highlighted in Fig. 11d.

Numerous authors [27,29,64,69–71] have explored the properties of distributed reinforcing particles in steel and aluminium alloys. For the majority of studies, the addition of reinforcing particles is found to improve the erosion resistance of MMC coatings. However, the results presented in this study, contradict these findings thus it is necessary to elucidate this phenomenon.

Ninham et al. [69] evaluated the erosion performance of reinforced carbon steel with varying quantities of WC cermet particles under dry jet erosion conditions. The authors discovered that, for WC content below 30%, coatings exhibit a ductile degradation mechanism with the retaining matrix removed by the erodent and the carbides subsequently pulled from the matrix [69]. For WC content greater than 70%, breakdown of the MMC occurs through the brittle fracture of the carbide particles [69]. The erosion resistance over uncoated substrate was improved for both of these respective WC quantities. However, the authors discovered that for MMCs alloys containing approx. 60% of reinforcing particles, the erosion rate was greater than MMCs containing high (>70%) and low (<30%) quantities of WC. The authors attribute this observation to the displacement of the matrix alloy and subsequent removal of carbides. Considering that the quantity of reinforcing particles evaluated by Ninham et al. [69] is approximately equivalent to the quantity of reinforcing particle examined in the present study, the same mechanism is responsible for the poor erosion performance of MMC coatings recorded. By increasing the quantity of reinforcing particle to a value around 80% (vol.%), the authors [69] discovered that the MMC demonstrates superior resistance to the erosive medium. Moreover, Singh et al. [18] discuss the importance of homogenous particle distribution on the mechanical properties of MMCs. Specifically, the authors reported improved tribological performance with the homogenous spatial distribution of reinforcing particles. Similar findings are reported by Machio et al. [72] under slurry erosive conditions. The authors [72] reported that a lower volume fraction of matrix and thus lower free space between adjacent reinforcement particles within the matrix resulted in improved
erosion resistance. Based on this work, a reduction in the mean free path of reinforcement particles whilst increasing the vol.% of reinforcement within the matrix would lead to improvement in the erosion performance of the MMC.
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(a) Ductile scoring, Exposed carbides
(b) Ductile scoring
(c) Exposed carbides
(d) Pull-out

**Fig. 11.** Micrographs showing damage within the wear scar following solid-liquid impingement testing a) WC-CoCr [x8000], b) WC-CoCr [x20000], c) Cr₃C₂-NiCr [x2500], d) Al₂O₃ [x7000]

### 4. Conclusions

This study has successfully co-deposited a high ratio of WC-CoCr, Cr₃C₂-NiCr and Al₂O₃ reinforcing particles with a ductile binder via cold spray to produce MMC coatings on AA5083 plates. The development of suitable deposition parameters has been discussed in addition to the effects of particle morphology and distribution on the resulting erosion performance of the coatings. The effect of reinforcement particles on the manufactured coatings was characterised...
using a variety of metallographic characterisation techniques including light optical and scanning electron microscopy, image analysis and micro-hardness measurements. The erosion mechanisms responsible for the breakdown of coatings have been identified through assessment of the wear scars resulting from solid liquid impingement testing. The following conclusions can be drawn from this body of work.

- By co-depositing reinforcing particles with a ductile metallic binder, a thick, dense coating has been achieved. The build-up of coatings is attributed to the substantial deformation of the binding alloy that improves the contact area of adjacent particles.
- The findings of this study highlight that the specific properties (mass, density, morphology) of the feedstock material must be taken into consideration when co-depositing powder particles, to ensure the required ratio of binder to reinforcing particle is achieved.
- The hardness of deposited MMC coatings is highly dependent on the quantity of reinforcing particles in the surrounding region that limit the plastic deformation of the matrix alloy. The measured inter-particle spacing of the three coatings correlates well with the measured hardness values, with the highest hardness obtained in the WC-CoCr coating which also demonstrates the smallest inter-particle distance.
- Volumetric analysis of coatings following solid-liquid impingement testing has highlighted the poor performance of the MMC coatings under flowing erosion conditions. The findings of this study attribute the poor performance to agglomeration of reinforcing particles within the matrix. In the case of Al₂O₃, the use of large oxide particles fails to enhance the strength of the matrix through dispersion strengthening. Cracks present within the large oxide particles further reduce the strength of the MMC and enhance the level of erosion.
- The primary wear mechanisms operating within the MMC coatings have been evaluated through wear scar examination of the impinged regions. Findings highlight damage to the soft matrix alloy in the form of cutting and scoring which subsequently exposes carbide/oxide particles to the impinging slurry. The rate of material removal is further increased due to poor particle dispersal and the presence of large oxide particles (in the case of Al₂O₃).
- The incorporation of a secondary process to decrease average particle size and the average inter-particle spacing would lead to improved erosion performance based on the findings of this study and corresponding literature.
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