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Abstract

Understanding the load mechanics of orthopaedic implants is important to be able to predict their behaviour in-vivo. Much research, both mechanical and clinical, has been carried out on hip and knee implants, but less has been written about the mechanics of wrist implants. In this paper, the load mechanics of the Universal 2 wrist implant have been measured using two types of measuring techniques, strain gauges and Fibre Bragg Grating measurements to measure strains. The results were compared to a finite element model of the implant. The results showed that the computational results were in good agreement with the experimental results. Better understanding of the load mechanics of wrist implants, using models and experimental results can catalyse the development of future generation implants.
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Introduction

The design of wrist implants has varied greatly in the last decades. The Swanson wrist implant was one of the first implants to gain a commercial success in the US and was based on the concept of a silicone spacer aimed to increase stability in the radiocarpal joint [1]. Fixation was achieved through a proximal radial stem and a distal stem passing through the capitate and into the third metacarpal. With time, a number of fractures on the distal stem were reported [2], leading to a revision of the mechanical design. The next generation implant designs were the Volz [3], and the Meuli [4] implants which demonstrated considerable changes in the overall design compared to the Swanson and used a metal stem made from CoCr and a ball in socket articulations. Other designs followed such as the semi-constrained Trispherical, the Guépar and the biaxial prosthesis which all then were eventually removed from the market [5].

In 2005 Shepherd and Johnston [6] evaluated the design criteria for a total wrist prosthesis in terms of loading conditions, contact stresses, wear rate amongst others. The challenges that engineers face in terms of the overall design of a wrist implant are mainly the small area to fixate the implant components to the bone, in particular in the distal attachment, and the variability of the loads and range of motion. In lower limb implants, such as the knee and the hip, the loading conditions are well defined in terms of gait, ascending, descending stairs etc. The load cases on the upper limb are more ambiguous where gripping, lifting and pushing with the wrist in multiple different positions can occur during activities of daily living. It has been shown that during a key turn action in rheumatoid arthritic patients, the average resultant load on the index finger is 13.9 N [7]. Using a biomechanical model, Fowler and
Nicol [7], also calculated the joint contact forces on an MCP implant to find that during the
same key turn action, the contact force was on average 182.5 N, which represents the load of
a single digit, namely the index finger. Kanellopoulos [8] measured external forces on all
fingers during gripping with the wrist in various different positions for young healthy
subjects of both sexes and reported that the resultant force on the index finger was on average
23.1 N. It has also been reported [9] that the load distribution between the fingers was in the
ratios 35:30:21:14 between the index, long, ring and little finger respectively. Internal loads
acting over all five digits were calculated [9] with the wrist in neutral gripping position, using
the biomechanical model presented by Fowler and Nicol [7] to find an average resultant force
of 1472 N (standard deviation of 320 N) acting on the MCP joints. Chadwick and Nicol [10]
reported overall wrist joint reaction forces exceeding 2000 N, during the horizontal power
grip in healthy young subjects. Fok and Chou [11] concluded that the joint reaction forces on
the MCP joint could be up to 30 times higher than the external forces applied to the fingers.
Although a few studies of the biomechanical modelling of the hand exist, there are large
variations in the load application to the hand, but all indicate that during gripping the forces
through the wrist can be on the order of 1-2 times bodyweight which is a considerably high
load given the small size of the joints in the hand and wrist.

Given the success of the hip and knee implants over the years, patient expectations have
grown, to have a pain free and a stable joint after total joint arthroplasty. The design and
manufacturing process of a joint implant is subjected to vigorous standards from regulating
authorities [12]. Development of wrist implants is ongoing and since the introduction of the
Swanson implant, many designs have been marketed and some with limited success. Third
generation implants like the Universal, the ReMotion and the Maestro are now popular in
clinical practice. They all are designed based on using an elliptical contact area between the
proximal and the distal aspect which has been shown by Grosland et al [13] to have an
improved property compared to a toroidal shape in terms of stability. All are constructed with
a metal stem, a polyethylene spacer and a distal carpal metal plate with screws. Different
design aspects can be seen between various implants currently available. This difference can,
in particular, be seen between the Universal 2 and the ReMotion on one side and the Maestro
on the other. The Universal 2 and the ReMotion have the polyethylene component attached to
the distal component in a convex configuration, whereas the Maestro implant has the
polyethylene component attached to the proximal stem in a concave configuration, thus
resembling more the geometrical features seen in the hip and the knee.

The finite element method is a powerful tool to calculate in vivo stresses on the structural
aspect of the human body and has been used with much success to predict loading behaviour
on hip and knee implants [14, 15]. It is widely used during design processes of various
components and can be of great importance for orthopaedic implants where experimental
work can be difficult to carry out [16]. Little has been written about modelling of the wrist
implants. McCullough [17,18] studied the contact area of various wrist implants under
simulated muscle loading from the 3 extensor muscles (extensor carpi radialis longus/radialis
brevis/ulnaris) and the 2 flexor muscles (flextor carpi radialis/ulnaris) and demonstrated that
the Universal 2 implant had greater contact area than the Biax and the Universal total wrist
implant as well as lower maximum stress. Bajuri et al [19] published one of the first finite
element model of the implanted wrist, focussing on the ReMotion implant. Otherwise little
has been published on the mechanical properties of the total wrist implants where many
studies have looked at the mechanics of total hip and total knee implants.
The presented study demonstrates validation work carried out on the Universal 2 wrist implant and compares with a finite element model created of the implant. Two different types of strain measurements were carried out, firstly using strain gauges and secondly using Fibre Bragg Grating to measure the strain inside the prosthesis. Fibre Bragg grating is an established technique in determining strains in various applications, given its light weight, flexibility and resistance to corrosion to name a few [20] and has previously been used to measure the strains in bone tissue [21] and contact pressure in total knee arthroplasty [22].

Validation work is difficult to carry out on the wrist joint, as the joint is small and applying measuring devices requires a high degree of joint exposure which will destroy the stabilizing effects of the soft tissue around the joint, thus making it prone to buckling during a uniaxial compression test. In vivo, the load cases on the total wrist implant are more complex than simple uniaxial compression, but by carrying out measurements using a simplified loading scenario and compare to FE model predictions, it will give indications about the mechanical behaviour under more complex load cases. That would be the first step in validating the finite element models. In the presented study, the Universal 2 implant from Integra was used, as it is one of the leading implant used in the UK and the US.

Methods

Finite element model

A Universal 2 wrist implant in size large was obtained. It consisted of 3 components: a radial component, a carpal component and a polyethylene component. All three components were scanned using an industrial scanner at the Advanced Forming Research Centre at the University of Strathclyde in Glasgow where the geometry was reversed engineered into an
STL model. The STL geometry was imported into Mimics (Materialise) where the three components manually aligned with each other and virtually inserted into the radius bone. The components were surface meshed using a semi-automated mesher and imported into Abaqus (v.6.11). There the surface meshes were converted into 10 node tetrahedral elements, of type C3D10M. The total number of elements was 246,888 for the full model. The total volume was 10616 mm$^3$, resulting in element density of 23.3 elements/mm$^3$. Interaction between the components was defined either using a surface to surface contact formulation or tie constraints. The connections between the components are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Type of contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distal part – polyethylene</td>
<td>Tie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene – radial part</td>
<td>Surface to surface contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial part – radius bone</td>
<td>Tie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Interaction between the components

Loading was applied as uniaxial compressive load to the distal component, simulating compressive forces ranging between 0 and 2000 N [10], which can be expected during gripping motion. Figure 1 shows the finite element models.
The loading was applied as a pressure over the distal surface of the carpal component. Matlab procedure was written to estimate the surface area by summing up individual areas from each element located at the surface. The overall area was calculated as 349.6 \text{ mm}^2 and a pressure of 5.72 \text{ MPa} would represent a total load of 2000 N. No slip boundary conditions were applied to the proximal end of the implant.

The materials were obtained from the manufacturer. The radial component was made from a cast CoCr alloy (ASTM standard F75, ISO standard 5832-4), the carpal plate component was made from titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V ELI, ASTM standard F136, ISO 5832-3) and the polyethylene was made from UHMWPe (ASTM Standard 648, ISO Standard 5834-1 +2).

The material properties can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Modulus</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Tensile strength</th>
<th>Elongation [%]</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 1a – Finite element model of the Universal 2 implant

Figure 1b – Prosthesis inserted into the radius bone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>[GPa]</th>
<th>[MPa]</th>
<th>[MPa]</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoCr</td>
<td>207 (220-234)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellous bone</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Material properties

The polyethylene was modelled using the Bergstöm-Boyce model [23] and the material model was obtained from MCalibration (Veryst Engineering) and the model parameters fitted. The reported parameters for the polyethylene model can be seen in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Polyethylene material coefficients

The finite element model was solved using the implicit solver in Abaqus

**Mechanical testing**

The Universal2 implant was mechanical tested in two different ways. Firstly the external strains on the implant were measured using strain gauges and secondly the internal strain of the implant was measured using a Fiber Bragg Grating sensor.

**Strain gauges**
Strain gauges were placed on the radial stem of the implant and the polyethylene component. The polyethylene component and the carpal component were glued together so that no relative motion was allowed between those two components. The implant was placed in uniaxial compression. The experimental setup and location of strain gauges can be seen in Figures 2a and 2b.

Strain data were collected at 100 N intervals with the total force ranging from 0 to 2000 N.

**Fiber Bragg Grating**

The internal strain in the tested implant was additionally monitored using a Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG) sensor. An FBG being a periodic modulation of the refractive index within a section of an optical fibre, when illuminated by a broadband light, reflects a narrow set of wavelengths interfering with each other. The reflected spectrum can be approximated with a
Gaussian, and the wavelength at the maximum reflection is denoted as Bragg wavelength, $\lambda_B$.

An FBG responds to both strain and temperature, and the resultant Bragg wavelength shift can be described by the following equation:

$$\frac{\Delta \lambda_B}{\lambda_B} = (1 - p) \Delta \varepsilon + (\alpha + \xi) \Delta T$$

where $p$ is the photo-elastic coefficient, $\alpha$ and $\xi$ are the thermal expansion and thermo-optic coefficients, respectively. For a standard FBG having the Bragg wavelength at 1550 nm the strain and temperature response coefficients are approximately 1.2 pm/µε and 10 pm/°C, respectively.

A 1 mm diameter hole and 37 mm long was drilled into the proximal aspect of the stem of the radial component using an Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) where the removal of the metal was carried out by using electrical discharge between an electrode and the implant. This allowed for a long thin hole to be created having minimal effects on the structural integrity of the implant. The optical fibre was placed 37 mm into the stem from the proximal side. The fibre was cleaved so that the grating was located 2 mm away from the end, in order to obtain measurements as close as possible towards the end of the hole. The fibre was fixed using epoxy resin glue.

The prosthesis was implanted into a saw bone which was done by an orthopaedic surgeon allowing the optic fibre to run through the proximal aspect of the bone.

The experimental setup can be seen in Figure 3a and 3b. The implant was tested in a uniaxial compression with load increments of 100 N ranging from 0 to 800 N.
Results

The finite element model was run under the given loading conditions. The stress distribution was analysed and strain results were compared to the findings from the mechanical tests.

Finite element model

Figures 4a and 4b show the stress distribution on the whole implant under compressive loading of 2000N and the strain distribution on the polyethylene component respectively.
From Figure 4a and 4b, it can be seen how the load applied on the carpal component is transmitted through the radial component. The strains on the polyethylene component are highest around the surface of the holes in which the carpal component articulates.

**Strain measurements on implant using strain gauges.**

Strain gauge values were read as a function of the applied load on the prosthesis. The load was applied slowly and held for some time at each load interval. The results from the strain gauges were compared with the finite element model. The results from the strain measurements on the polyethylene and the computational predictions can be seen in Figure 5.
Figure 5: Strain as a function of load on the polyethylene component

Strain measurements were additionally obtained from the radial stem, with one strain gauge applied towards the distal aspect of both dorsal and volar aspect of the radial component. The results can be seen in Figure 6.
Figure 6: Strain measurements on the distal aspect of the radial component

Strain measurements on implant and saw bone using fibre Bragg grating

A node point was identified on the finite element part of the radial stem, corresponding to the position of the fibre Bragg sensor within the implant. The results of the fibre Bragg grating measurements were compared with the findings of the finite element model as can be seen in Figure 7.
Discussion

Measuring the load transfer through a wrist implant in vivo is a difficult task. Bergmann et al [24] demonstrated that by placing force transducers inside a prosthesis, it is possible to measure the joint contact forces in larger joints such as the hip and the knee. Such implementation is difficult for the wrist given its small nature. Apart from biomechanical models, few studies have looked at the wrist loading of cadaveric specimens [25,26], but none at the loading of the implanted wrist as it is prone to buckling under uniaxial load in cadaveric specimens.

The presented work is the one of the first attempt to create a simplified finite element model of the implanted total wrist prosthesis in conjunction with experimental validation. The
experimental work was carried out using a simplified uniaxial loading and compared with the
findings from a finite element model. In-vivo loading conditions during gripping have been
shown [9] to be a combination of proximally, dorsally and ulnarly (radially for the thumb)
directed joint contact forces and therefore much more complex than the loads presented in
this paper. However the overall magnitude of in-vivo joint contact force acting on the wrist is
high and the mechanical trials aimed to simulate extreme loading conditions which are
unlikely that patients with total wrist arthroplasty are able to generate. It is however
important to understand how the implants behave under a simplified mechanical loading to
further understand how they are going to behave under the more complex in-vivo loading and
boundary conditions. For the stand alone experiment, the prosthesis was fixed on the
proximal aspect of the radial component, whereas for the fibre Bragg experiment the implant
was placed into a saw bone to try to mimic in-vivo fixation. From the experimental results it
can be seen that the dorsal aspect of the prosthesis experiences higher loading than the volar
aspect which partially can be explained by the volar offset of the geometry of the stem. From
Figure 6, it can be seen that the results from the finite element model are in good agreement
with the volar strain gauge, although the model over predicts the strain on the dorsal side. The
measured strain values from the polyethylene component also demonstrated a good
agreement with the experimental results. It can be argued that using the Bergström-Boyce
material model will give accurate results, when used to model polyethylene orthopaedic
materials.

From the strain gauge and the fibre Bragg grating measurements on the radial component, it
can be seen, by comparing the strain levels in Figure 6 to the ones in Figure 7, that the strain
decreases towards the centre of the radial stem. The total load for the implanted prosthesis
was 800 N compared to the 2000 N for the stand alone prosthesis, which was due to the
fragility of the optical fibre and the presence of bending loads in the experimental setup.
From the Fibre Bragg data, hysteresis in conjunction with a non-linear strain curve was seen in the experimental results which can be explained by the interaction between the optic fibre, the epoxy resin to which it was attached and the metal implant. However the overall trend is well in agreement with the results of the finite element model. Using the Fibre Bragg method gives experimental results in locations that otherwise would have been impossible to reach using strain gauges and therefore can give more in depth analysis of the three-dimensional strain field within the prosthesis.

From table 1, it can be estimated that the proportionality of the strain for the cobalt chrome is around 3100 µε but the maximum strain around the surface was measured and calculated to be around 380 µε under 2000 N compressive load. This demonstrates that the strains on the radial stem are substantially lower than the yield limit and that the stem would be unlikely to fracture in vivo, even though subjected to more complex multi-axial loading scenario. The model does though not take into account poor bone material quality as can be seen in some patients, making proximal and distal fixation a greater challenge. However more research is needed to understand the load mechanics of the prosthesis in-vivo and to quantify the overall loading the whole implant is exposed to during activities of daily living of total wrist implant patients. Future work will incorporate a full three dimensional modelling of the implanted wrist to obtain further information about the load transfer characteristics of the Universal 2 wrist implant subjected to multi-axial loading.

**Limitations**

There are many limitations to the presented study, in particular how the loading is applied as well as other boundary conditions. The modelling and testing does not incorporate the implant interaction with human bone. The bone quality will vary with each patient and poor
bone quality will difficulty in fixation which were not incorporated into the model. The model only looks at the loading in a steady state but doesn’t incorporate any time dependent loading behaviour. A full detailed convergence study was not performed on the number of elements, due to the fact that orphan meshes were used to construct the model and changing the element density would require a new model for each case. However a small comparison between a finer mesh model and a coarser mesh model was made and based on the results it was assumed that the element density presented in the paper was sufficient to obtain accurate results.
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