Conformations and effective interactions of polymer coated nanoparticles at liquid interfaces
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Abstract

We investigate conformations and effective interactions of polymer-coated nanoparticles adsorbed at a model liquid-liquid interface via molecular dynamics simulations. The polymer shells strongly deform at the interface, with the shape governed by a balance between maximising the decrease in interfacial area between the two solvent components, minimising unfavourable contact between polymer and solvent and maximising the conformational entropy of the polymers. Using potential of mean force calculations we compute the effective interaction between the nanoparticles at the liquid-liquid interface. We find that it differs quantitatively from the bulk and is significantly affected by the length of the polymer chains and by the solvent quality.
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Under good solvent conditions the effective interactions are always repulsive and soft for long chains. The repulsion range decreases as the solvent quality decreases. In particular, under poor solvent conditions, short chains may fail to induce steric repulsion, leading to a net attraction between the nanoparticles, whereas with long enough chains the effective interaction potential may feature an additional repulsive shoulder at intermediate distances.

**Introduction**

The self-assembly of nanoparticles (NPs) at liquid-liquid interfaces is a promising technology to create two-dimensional nano-structured materials.\(^1\)\(^2\) In order to harness the potential for applications, it is necessary to control the particle-particle interactions at the interface. For instance, in the specific case of new materials with prescribed optical properties, e.g. in plasmonic sensing applications\(^3\) or for the fabrication of liquid mirrors,\(^4\) exact control over the distances between nanoparticles is crucial. Several specific interactions are present at liquid interfaces,\(^5\) both attractive and repulsive, that can be controlled by tuning the nanoparticle surface properties. Unless necessary to produce highly elastic interfacial films, e.g. for food emulsion stabilization,\(^6\) agglomeration of the particles, mostly due to attractive Van-der-Waals forces, is undesirable for maintaining interface fluidity and allowing the evolution of the interface microstructure towards equilibrium. Particle stabilization is often achieved via steric repulsion achieved by grafting polymers onto a solid nanoparticle core. The presence of the asymmetric environment, often implies that the requirements for stabilization at an interface are more stringent than in the bulk.\(^7\) For instance, it has been shown that densely grafted poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) brushes of molecular weight 1.5kDa provide excellent stabilization in bulk, even at high temperature, but fail to prevent aggregation at a water/n-decane interface.\(^8\) Understanding the details of the interactions between polymer-stabilized nanoparticles at interfaces still presents many open questions and has direct practical relevance.
In most cases, NPs can be adsorbed at a liquid interface because the interfacial tension between the liquids is higher than between the NP and the liquids. Thus adsorption reduces the direct high energy contact area between the two solvents. The effective adsorption energy for hard, spherical colloids has a simple geometrical dependence on radius and contact angle and can range from $\sim 10^6 k_B T$ for micron-sized particles to 1-10 $k_B T$ for nanometer sized particles. Hence, the particles can be fairly irreversibly bound to the interface. A simple thermodynamic argument for the adsorption predicts a parabolic shape for the interaction potential of a spherical particle with a flat interface; molecular simulations have shown that such simple thermodynamic arguments approximately hold for nanoparticles although microscopic effects such as capillary waves can become important.

For polymer-coated nanoparticles the situation may be more complex. Polymers can also be adsorbed at the liquid interface, even in the absence of explicit amphiphilicity. In the case of stabilizers with a length scale comparable to the NP size, the simple definitions of the contact line or of the particle size at the interface become non-trivial. The paradigm of spherical particles no longer necessarily holds and the full details of the interactions of the polymers with the two solvents and with the interface may be crucial in determining the adsorption energy. Measurements of the latter without any assumption on particle size and interface microstructure become therefore necessary. In particular, recent measurements of PEG-grafted nanoparticles reported adsorption energies of the order of $1000k_B T$, indicating strong interfacial trapping. Recent simulations of dendrimers have also shown that these can have adsorption energies comparable to nanoparticles; indeed in many cases the adsorption strength is higher for dendrimers due to their ability to change conformation at the interface to maximise the decrease in interfacial free energy and the interactions between the monomers and their preferred solvent. In the case of core-shell nanoparticles the polymer shell around the NP core may similarly deform at the interface, depending on the solvation quality of the liquids with regard to the polymers. Conformations of polymer brushes at planar interfaces may switch between swollen chains for a good solvent to collapsed "dim-
ples” when the solvent quality decreases.\textsuperscript{22} Small-angle neutron scattering experiments can provide insights into the density profiles of spherical polymer brushes\textsuperscript{23} and light scattering can measure the hydrodynamic radius and hence the change in the shell thickness under different conditions,\textsuperscript{24} but accessing directly the conformations of the polymer shells around the particles at a liquid interface is experimentally very challenging. Molecular simulations that can gain new insight into conformational changes and interactions at the atomistic level are extremely promising to complement the experiments. It has been indeed shown in numerical studies that hydrophobic chains at an air-water interface may display lense-shaped conformations.\textsuperscript{19} For nanoparticles functionalized with short surfactants, numerical simulations have also indicated that the grafting density clearly affects their adsorption potential at an oil-water interface:\textsuperscript{20} increasing the grafting density leads to enhanced adsorption energy as well as decreased shell deformability. With respect to the self-assembly of NPs within the interface, the effective interactions between NPs play an important role. For bare nanoparticles, attractive interactions due to the depletion of the solvent have been computed,\textsuperscript{25–27} and recent works have also investigated forces between functionalized NPs in bulk water with explicit solvent.\textsuperscript{28–30} Systematic investigations of the effective interactions of particles with a polymer shell have been successfully used for bulk systems in a supercritical solvent\textsuperscript{31} or without explicit solvent,\textsuperscript{32–36} but a translation of these findings to liquid interfaces is still lacking.

In this work, we aim at filling this gap and study conformations and effective interactions of polymer-coated NPs at liquid interfaces using numerical simulations with an explicit solvent model. We focus on the effect of the polymer conformations at the interface and of the solvent quality on the effective NP interactions. The paper is organised as follows: We first introduce our model, before we describe the main results for single particles at the interface, in particular the influence of the interface on the polymer conformations. Then, the effective interactions for two solvents which are identical in regard to the polymers are discussed and we present our results for the effective interactions in systems with a wetting
angle $\Theta_0 \neq 90^\circ$. We close with a discussion of the results and concluding remarks.

**Model and numerical details**

We consider two immiscible liquids, with a diffuse interface between them, and core-shell nanoparticles which are adsorbed at the interface. The solvent particles are modelled as spheres interacting via a Lennard Jones potential

$$U(r) = 4\epsilon \left[ (\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^6 \right]$$

where $\sigma$ is the particle diameter and $r$ the inter-particle distance. The interaction is cut and shifted at a distance $r_c$ so that $U(r_c) \approx 0$. In the following we will use the usual reduced units with $\epsilon$ as unit energy scale, $\sigma$ as the unit length scale and all particles have unit mass $m$.

We consider two types of particles, $A$ and $B$, to model the two liquids. The combination of repulsive interactions between $A$ and $B$ and attractive interactions between particles of the same type leads to the development of a stable interface between the two liquids. The Lennard-Jones potential is used for both types of interactions, but choosing $r_c = 2^{1/6}\sigma$ leaves only the repulsive part of the potential while $r_c = 2.5\sigma$ is used to model attractive interactions. This simple model is able to reproduce interfacial effects like capillary waves and nanoparticle adsorption and it has been successfully used to investigate effects at liquid-liquid interfaces with and without additional components.\textsuperscript{14,18,37–39}

For a NP we use a large spherical particle as core onto which the first monomer of the polymer chains are grafted. The core and first monomers are treated as a rigid unit. We assume that, in absence of a strong anisotropy, the possibly irregular shape of the nanoparticle core can be neglected, especially when the polymer shell is dense enough that the chains are stretched and their length is on the order of, or larger than, the core size.\textsuperscript{33} This description
translates into a shifted Lennard-Jones potential to model size differences between particles:

\[ U(r) = 4\epsilon_{i,j} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r - \Delta} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r - \Delta} \right)^6 \right], \quad r < r_c + \Delta \] (2)

where \( \Delta = (\sigma_N - \sigma)/2 \) and \( \sigma_N = 8\sigma \) is the nanoparticle diameter. For \( \Delta = 0 \), Eq.(2) reduces to the usual Lennard-Jones potential. Bonded monomers interact via a harmonic potential

\[ U = K(r - r_0)^2 \]

with \( K = 125\epsilon/\sigma^2 \) and \( r_0 = 1\sigma \). All values of the parameters used for the interactions are given in table 1.

Changing the interaction strength \( \epsilon_{a,b} \) between monomers and solvent allows us to tune the effective solvent quality with respect to the polymers. A value of \( \epsilon_{a,b} = 1.0 \) corresponds to good solvent conditions. Reducing \( \epsilon_{a,b} \) changes the system towards the poor solvent case, and the polymers go from a swollen to a shrunk conformation. We will use \( \epsilon_{a,b} \) when both solvents are identical with respect to the monomers and distinguish \( \epsilon_a \) and \( \epsilon_b \) otherwise.

We performed molecular dynamics simulations using the LAMMPS simulation package\(^{40}\) in the NpT-ensemble, fixing the reduced temperature \( k_B T = 1.0\epsilon \) and the pressure \( p = 1.0\epsilon \sigma^{-3} \). Temperature and pressure were controlled using Nosé-Hoover thermostat and barostat respectively,\(^{41}\) with relaxation times of 0.5\( \tau \) (\( \tau = \sqrt{m \sigma^2 / \epsilon} \) being the unit time). In order to localise the interface near the centre of the simulation box, repulsive walls were placed in the \( z \)-direction (with the \( z \)-axis normal to the interface and \( z = 0 \) marking the center of the simulation box). Periodic boundaries were applied in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions.

If not stated otherwise, all data presented in the following are for particles with \( N = 100 \) chains grafted onto them and the number of monomers per chain \( L = 5 \) or 20. To simulate a system with two NPs, we used a cubic box of linear size \( l_z = 100\sigma \) and \( 250 \cdot 10^3 \) solvent molecules of each type, for the case in which the nanoparticles have chains made of \( L = 20 \) monomers. In the case of particles made with chains of \( L = 5 \) monomers, the box size is \( l_z = 50\sigma \) and we used \( 28 \cdot 10^3 \) solvent molecules of each type.

We have characterized the conformations of particles adsorbed at the liquid interface in
Table 1: Parameters used for the pair potentials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{ij}$</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$r_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solvent: AA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent: AB</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.12246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>core - core</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.12246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>core - solvent</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.12246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>core - monomer</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.12246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monomer - monomer</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.12246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monomer - solvent A</td>
<td>$\epsilon_a = 0.1 - 1.0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monomer - solvent B</td>
<td>$\epsilon_b = 0.1 - 1.0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulations of single nanoparticles in presence of the two solvents. From the simulations of pairs of NPs with the two solvents, we have computed the potential of mean force (PMF) and the distribution of solvent and monomers as a function of the distance $R_{2d}$ between the particle cores in the interface plane. To sample different nanoparticle separations, the distance between the two particles has been constrained by a spring potential $U_{spring} = 0.5k_0(R_{2d} - R_0)^2$. For each set of parameters (chain number $N$, chain length $L$, solvent quality $\epsilon_{a,b}$), we chose a set of NP-distances $R_0$ such that the sampled nanoparticle distances $R_{2d}$ overlap, and performed an independent simulation run for each $R_0$. For each set of values of the parameters we have equilibrated the related systems for $10^6$ MD steps. The production runs took between $10^6$ and $20 \cdot 10^6$ MD steps. The computation of the PMF as a function of the distance $R_{2d}$ between the particle cores in the interface plane has been done using umbrella sampling.\textsuperscript{14,42} The (biased) probability distribution $P_{R_0}(R_{2d})$ is determined from the data for runs with different $R_0$, and the final (unbiased) probability distribution $P(R_{2d})$ is determined using weighted histogram analysis.\textsuperscript{43} The free energy is then given by $F(R_{2d}) = -k_BT \ln[P(R_{2d})/R_{2d}]$. 


Conformations of single particles at the interface and adsorption energies

For single particles at the liquid interface, the shape of the polymer shell is dictated by the competition between minimizing the monomer-solvent interface area, reducing the interface area between the two solvents and maximizing the conformational entropy of the polymers. Figure 1 displays the polymer density profiles computed by averaging over 150 conformations each separated by $10^4$ MD steps. The density is binned in cylindrical coordinates, averaging over the angle $\varphi$ since the polymers are grafted uniformly so that the system is symmetric with respect to rotations around the interface normal passing through the NP center. The right and the left half of the disks in Fig. 1 are mirror images and were combined for the visual effect. The data show that, at the interface, the shells deviate from a spherical conformation, with the polymers forming a denser layer at the liquid interface and the chains at the interface being extended compared to the chains oriented towards the bulk. For particles in the poor solvent (Fig. 1 (a)) we find a compact polymer shell which is smoothly deformed towards the interface. Upon increasing the solvent quality, the polymer shell around the particle begins to swell (Fig. 1(b)) as the energy penalty associated with contact between the polymer and solvent becomes smaller. When the polymer is at the interface between two good solvents ($\epsilon_{a,b} = 1$) the minimisation of polymer-monomers surface area no longer matters and the polymers at the interface form a dense disk. There is a clear separation between polymers either being at the interface or pointing towards the bulk of the solvent (Fig. 1 (c)).

The influence of the liquid-liquid interface on the polymer conformation can be quantified by computing the average end-to-end distance of the chains $R_e$ as a function of the angle $\alpha$ between the interface plane and the vector connecting their grafting point with the NP-center. The results plotted in Fig. 2 show the swelling of the chains with increasing solvent quality and the enhanced stretching of the chains closer to the interface. The data also indicate that the chains oriented toward the bulk are more stretched compared to free chains, an effect
that can be ascribed to the high grafting density of the polymer brush. The root mean square bond length in our model is $\sqrt{\langle b^2 \rangle} = 0.84\sigma$ and for a free self-avoiding chain\textsuperscript{44} we would expect $R_e \approx N^{0.588} \sqrt{\langle b^2 \rangle}$ so that $R_e(L = 5) \approx 1.9\sigma$ and $R_e(L = 20) \approx 4.7\sigma$, significantly smaller than what we see in Fig. 2.

![Figure 1: Density profiles of monomers around particles with polymer chain length $L = 20$ and monomer-solvent interaction strengths $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 0.1$ (a), $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 0.5$ (b), $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 1.0$ (c), and $\epsilon_a = 1.0$ and $\epsilon_b = 0.7$ (d). The color code indicated by the bar in the middle gives the average number of beads per $\sigma^3$. The monomer density was binned in cylindrical coordinates, with the cylinder axis normal to the interface.](image)

If the two solvents are of different quality, the particles will spend the majority of the time in the better solvent, and the overall shell conformation will be similar to the one in the better solvent, as can be seen in Fig. 1 (d). The particle core can be fully immersed in one phase, with only polymers being adsorbed at the interface, if the polymers are long enough compared to the core size. In our model, we indeed observe this for $L = 20$ but not for $L = 5$. Our findings are consistent with the results of numerical simulations of gold nanoparticles grafted with hydrophobic polymers at the air-water interface in Ref. 19. In particular, we notice a similarity between the density profiles obtained in Ref. 19 and the ones we find for the poor solvent case (Fig. 1 a)). Polymer orientation towards the liquid
interface has been reported in the simulations of polymer-covered nanoparticles in Ref. 20, although the polymer chains considered there are relatively short with respect to the linear size of the solvent molecules.

Finally, for different combinations of monomer-solvent interactions, we have computed the contour plot of the equilibrium distance $\langle |z| \rangle$ of NPs from the interface. In Fig. 3 the data for $L = 20$ are shown; not only the polymer conformation but also the exact particle position depends on the combination of solvents. The larger the discrepancy, the further away from the interface the particle resides. When the distance from the interface becomes greater than the core radius, this indicates that only the polymer shell is in contact with the interface. With decreasing solvent quality, nevertheless, the difference between $\epsilon_a$ and $\epsilon_b$ becomes less important.

From the equilibrated conformation of a NP at the interface in the different conditions just described, we can also get an estimate for the desorption energies of the NPs. This has been done by switching on a spring force which acts on the core-particle of the NP and pulls it towards a position $z_0$ in the bulk. The energy stored in the spring is $E =$
Figure 3: Contour plot of the equilibrium distance $\langle |z| \rangle$ from the interface for the NPs with $L = 20$ at different combinations of monomer-solvent interaction strength $\epsilon_a$ and $\epsilon_b$. The white dots mark the values which were used for the interpolation.

$0.5k(z_{\text{equilibrium}} - z_0)^2$. By varying the spring constant $k$ we can get a rough estimate of the energy needed to detach the particle from the interface. Specifically this gives an upper limit for the desorption energy $\Delta E$. The results in Table 2 indicate again that adsorption of the particles at the interface is essentially irreversible with $\Delta E \gg k_B T$. Moreover we find a decrease in $\Delta E$ with decreasing solvent quality and with decreasing chain length as theoretically expected.\textsuperscript{45} The limits of this approach beyond a qualitative comparison are shown by $\Delta E = 350k_B T$ for the bare NP. With an interfacial tension of $\gamma = 1.55\epsilon\sigma^{-2}$ in our model, the expected adsorption energy for a bare particle of diameter $8\sigma$ would only be $80k_B T$.\textsuperscript{9} Nevertheless, the fact that the values for the NPs with $L = 20$ are of the same order of magnitude as found experimentally for NPs with a PEG-shell\textsuperscript{17} supports the choice of our model parameters.
Table 2: Estimates for the upper limits of the NP desorption energies for particles with $N = 100$ and without a polymer shell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\epsilon_{a,b}$</th>
<th>$L = 20$</th>
<th>$L = 5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4500$k_B T$</td>
<td>850$k_B T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2000$k_B T$</td>
<td>750$k_B T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1800$k_B T$</td>
<td>700$k_B T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bare particle</td>
<td>350$k_B T$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Potential of mean force for the interaction of two NPs at the interface with $N = 100$ monomers in each polymer chain and chain length $L = 5$ with different strengths $\epsilon_{a,b}$ for the monomer-solvent interaction. For the interface systems, $R_{2d}$ is the distance in the 2d-interface plane. Top Inset: Detail of the PMF with $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 0.1$ and $N = 100$. Bottom Inset: PMF for a bare NP without polymer shell and NPs with $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 1$ and $N = 100$ and $N = 28$ chains grafted onto them.

Effective NP-interactions for equal solvent qualities

We consider two NPs at the interface connected by a spring force holding them within a given distance of each other and calculate the effective interaction potential between them via umbrella sampling. The time series from the simulations is divided in 4 equal parts and each part is analyzed independently to obtain a potential of mean force (PMF). The time series data are then averaged and the sample-to-sample fluctuations used to obtain the error bars. The interaction potential is computed as a function of the 2-dimensional distance $R_{2d}$ between the particle cores in the interface plane.
Figure 5: Potential of mean force for the interaction of two NPs at the interface with chain length $L = 20$ and different strength $\epsilon_{a,b}$ for the monomer-solvent interaction. The red lines are fits with the Gaussian potential $U(R) = \epsilon_g \exp(-R/\sigma_g^2)$. Inset: Detail of the PMF with $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 0.1$.

Figure 4 displays the PMF as a function of the interparticle distance at the interface for $L = 5$ and for different strengths of the solvent-solvent interactions. In all cases discussed here we consider the same polymer solvation for the two solvents. The bare NPs, whose linear size is only a factor 8 larger than the solvent particles, experience an effective attraction due to depletion effects (top inset in Fig. 4). This result is consistent with the findings of other molecular simulations.\textsuperscript{25,27} Nevertheless we find that a polymer shell of short chains can screen the attractive interactions and induce a net steric repulsion. The pronounced differences in the shape of the polymer shell in Fig. 1 suggest that the effective interactions between the core-shell particles at the interface depend on the solvent quality. Indeed, we find that the range of the repulsive interaction is strongly affected by the swelling of the polymers. Finally the grafting density of the polymer brush also influences the range of the effective potential substantially via the effect on the chain conformations, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4, where the PMFs of particles with two different grafting densities are compared.

Similar features are present in the PMF for $L = 20$ for different solvent qualities, as shown in Fig. 5. In addition, the figure shows that the PMF can be well fitted over the
whole range by a Gaussian potential $U(r) = \epsilon_g \exp(-(r/\sigma_g)^2)$ (dashed lines), as predicted by Flory’s theory for dilute polymer solutions.\textsuperscript{46} Such finding is in good agreement with previous numerical results for interacting spherical polymer brushes.\textsuperscript{32,35} Despite the fact that the theory should describe only interactions between the outer regions of the polymer shell, we see that the Gaussian function fits the whole range of particle distances within the precision of our calculation. We also notice that the Gaussian fit does not work for the short chains of Fig. 4, most probably due to the cores whose linear size, in that case, is much larger than the polymer chain length: Fig. 4 shows that for chain length $L = 5$ the net effective interactions are more dominated by the cores, with a power law type of repulsive potential. The influence of the core at close distances\textsuperscript{35} is probably also the reason why we do not detect, at short distances, the logarithmic dependence typical of the interactions between two spherical polymer brushes.\textsuperscript{32,47} Finally we notice that, for long chains ($L = 20$) with the poor solvents, the effective interaction potential displays a shoulder as shown in the inset of Fig. 5. This feature in the effective interaction could favor the formation of stripes or dimer patterns in the NPs assembly at the liquid interface.\textsuperscript{48–50}

We investigate the change in the chain conformations corresponding to different inter-NP distances, by calculating the average end-to-end distance of the chains as a function of the NP distance $R_{2d}$. Since we are interested in the chains at the interface, residing between the NPs, we consider only polymers whose grafting point lies in the volume between the NP-cores. The results, plotted in Fig. 6, show that chains at the interface are at first compressed upon approach (roughly $12\sigma \lesssim R_{2d} \lesssim 16\sigma$ for $L = 5$ and $18\sigma \lesssim R_{2d} \lesssim 30\sigma$ for $L = 20$, respectively). Upon closer contact, the chains are stretched again when they are squeezed out from the volume between the NPs. The first compression is more pronounced due to the fact that chains are originally swollen at the interface, but this effect can also be observed in bulk systems\textsuperscript{35,51,52}.\textsuperscript{52}

In Fig. 7 we plot the average distance of the particle from the interface as a function of the NP distance $R_{2d}$ for $L = 20$: the data show that pushing the NPs together over distances
Figure 6: End-to-end distance of the polymers whose first monomer lies in the toroid volume between the two NPs and within ±1σ in z-direction from the NP-center, as function of the NP-distance $R_{2d}$ for different strengths $\epsilon_{a,b}$ of the monomer-solvent interaction for $L = 5$ (top) and $L = 20$ (bottom).
even shorter than the one explored in Fig. 6 moves them eventually away from the interface plane and partially detaches them from the interface. Since both solvents interact in the same way with the NPs, the particles are displaced symmetrically (see inset of Fig. 7).

In order to unravel the physical mechanisms at the origin of the qualitative differences in the PMF, we have first monitored the concentrations $\rho_s$ and $\rho_m$ of, respectively, solvent
Figure 9: Radial density profiles of monomers (bold lines) and solvent (dashed lines) around the nanoparticle core, considering only monomers / solvent particles which are in the volume between the two NPs for $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 1.0$ (top) and $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = 0.1$ (bottom) for systems with $L = 20$. 
molecules and monomers between the NPs as a function of their distance $R_{2d}$. The results are plotted in Fig. 8 for different solvent qualities. The data show that the solvent initially filling the gap between the NPs is progressively expelled upon their approach. For $\epsilon_a = 1$, the solvent penetrates the polymer shell and $\rho_s \geq \rho_m$ for the whole range of $R_{2d}$ for which the NPs do not detach from the interface, as there is no preference for a monomer to be surrounded by solvent molecules or by other monomers. Upon decreasing $R_{2d}$, we observe a relative increase of $\rho_m$ with respect to $\rho_s$, indicating that the solvent is expelled from the region between the two NPs. At even smaller inter-particle distances, the data show that both the polymer chains and the solvent molecules are squeezed out, away from the interface.

For decreasing solvent quality, the data in Fig. 8 also show that solvent and monomers tend to segregate, so that for $\epsilon_{a,b} = 0.1$ hardly any solvent is left between NPs at close distances. To elucidate this point further, we compute the density profile of solvent and monomers in the volume between the NPs, shown in Fig. 9. Overall, close enough to the NP-core, the solvent density is essentially independent of $R_{2d}$. Nevertheless, whereas in the good solvent case the polymers are swollen, in the poor solvent case the polymers are in a melt state, with hardly any solvent left. As a consequence, in the poorest solvent investigated, the polymer shell compactifies so strongly, that for the NPs with short polymers ($L = 5$) interactions are similar to the ones between bare cores, only with a larger effective diameter given by the core and shell size (see the inset of Fig. 4 and Fig. 6).

By comparing the different regimes in the PMF with the concentration of solvent molecules between the NPs in Fig. 8 we gain some further understanding. At large distances, being unfavourable to expel solvent molecules from the low density interface region into the bulk, the PMF is essentially determined by the effective interaction in the polymer melt, which cancels out the attractive depletion interaction between cores. Hence at short distances, where the strength of the repulsion is around $15k_B T$, the chains at the interface are fairly compressed (see Fig. 6). At even shorter distances, the chains are squeezed out from the volume between the NPs and core-core interactions dominate, with the PMF increasing to
more than $100k_B T$.

**Polymers with different solvent affinities**

Figure 10: Potential of mean force for the interaction of two NPs at the interface with chain length $L = 20$ and different strengths $\epsilon_a$ and $\epsilon_b$ for the monomer-solvent interaction. Inset: Comparison of interaction in the bulk with $\epsilon_a = 1$ and for the system with $\epsilon_a = 1$ and $\epsilon_b = 0.7$.

The images of the mean monomer densities are for $\epsilon_a = 0.3$, $\epsilon_b = 0.1$ (top left) and $\epsilon_a = 1.0$, $\epsilon_b = 0.7$ (top right). The color code is the same as in Fig. 1.

In most experimentally relevant situations, the two liquids forming the interface will interact differently with the polymer shell of the NPs. When the interaction strengths $\epsilon_a$ and $\epsilon_b$ differ for the two solvents, the NPs may still be adsorbed, but the majority of the particle will reside in the better solvent (see Fig. 3). In Fig. 10 we plot the PMF computed with solvent of different qualities: the data show that upon increasing the solvation disparity between the two solvents ($\epsilon_a = 1.0$ and $\epsilon_b = 0.7$), the PMF can develop a kink around $120k_B T$, where one of the two particles is desorbed and pushed into the bulk phase. Fig. 11 displays indeed the corresponding mean height difference between NPs indicating that, differently from the symmetric solvent case, for large solvation disparities only one of the particles detaches from the interface whereas the other one remains at the equilibrium position. The findings for this particular asymmetric enough good solvent case can be rationalised by considering that
the particles interact first through chains in the bulk. Because the NPs are submerged, the chains adsorbed at the interface won’t have the same extension as in the symmetric case (see Fig. 1). Therefore, the range of the NP interaction is lower compared to the symmetric case and the PMF is more similar to the interaction of NPs in the bulk, until one of the NPs eventually desorbs (Inset of Fig. 11). The picture changes for a combination of poor solvents ($\epsilon_a = 0.3$ and $\epsilon_b = 0.1$): also there the majority of the particle resides in the better solvent, but it remains closer to the interface and the chains at the interface are more extended than in the bulk. Hence, the behavior upon approach is more similar to the symmetric solvent systems, including the fact that the particles displace symmetrically with respect to their equilibrium position upon decreasing $R_{2d}$ (Inset of Fig. 11). In general, we expect that length, grafting density and surface activity of the polymer, in addition to the two solvent qualities, influence the interaction mechanism between the nanoparticles.
Conclusion

From simulations of isolated nanoparticles it can be seen that the polymer shell around the particle undergoes significant deformation at the interface. Overall the particle shell adopts a lense shape, with the chains expanding in the plane of the interface, which reduces the interfacial area between the two solvent components, decreasing the interfacial free energy, or the unfavourable polymer-solvent interfaces in the case of poor solvents. Increasing the solvent quality decreases the asphericity, due to the polymer chains swelling. When the polymer has a sufficiently distinct affinity for one of the solvent components, the particle can move away from the interface, although a region of high polymer concentration remains in the vicinity of the interface, acting as a surfactant to reduce the interfacial free energy. Hence changing the solvent affinity also provides a straight forward route to control the effective contact angle of the particle. We have also estimated the adsorption energy from the energy needed to remove a particle from the interface once it is adsorbed. The results are consistent with the high adsorption energy values measured in experiments on polymer shell nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{17,54}

Using potential of mean force calculations, we found that in the absence of any polymer chains the NP effective interaction is attractive.\textsuperscript{55} The polymer shell screens the attraction and the net interaction is repulsive, in a similar manner to sterically stabilised nanoparticles in bulk solution. The strength and range of this repulsion depends on the solvent quality; for nanoparticles at the interface between good solvents the range and strength increases because the polymer chains swell. Increasing the chain length of the polymer shell increases the interaction range. For long chains in poor solvent two distinct length scales may characterise the effective interactions, because a well defined separation may emerge between a solvent-poor region between the particles at intermediate distances and the region of high polymer density at much shorter distances, a type of interaction which might favour stripe patterns at the interface.\textsuperscript{56} Pushing together the particles causes the particles to detach from the interface, suggesting that at short ranges the repulsion strength becomes comparable to
the interfacial adsorption strength for the nanoparticles. When the interaction between the polymer chains and the solvents are the same for both components the change in position relative to the interface is roughly symmetrical. For large solvation disparity, instead, one particle is repelled into the bulk while the other remains in the vicinity of the interface.

As liquid-liquid interfaces are potentially convenient and elegant templates for the formation of nanoparticle structures, understanding the interactions between particles in these environments is a key step in material optimisation. Changing the lengths of attached polymer chains provides a chemically straightforward route to changing the interactions between particles and hence controlling the structure formation, as it has been already observed in experiments.\textsuperscript{45,57} Further work will involve investigations of the effect of polymer density and architecture on the interactions between nanoparticles at liquid interfaces and the use of the effective potentials determined in this work for numerical studies of nanoparticle self-assembly.
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(52) In Ref. 35, the radius of gyration of all chains was calculated as a function of NP-separation and so this effect could be easily overlooked, especially compared to the significant stretching of chains when they are squeezed out from the volume between the NPs.


