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Abstract

Background
A new sophisticated method that uses video analysis techniques together with a Maillon Rapide Delta to determine the tensile properties of the transverse carpal ligament–carpal tunnel complex has been developed.

Methods
Six embalmed cadaveric specimens amputated at the mid-forearm and aged (Mean (SD)): 82 (6.29) years were tested. The six hands were from three males (four hands) and one female (two hands). Using trigonometry and geometry the elongation and strain of the Transverse Carpal Ligament and carpal arch were calculated. The cross-sectional area of the Transverse Carpal Ligament was determined. Tensile properties of the Transverse Carpal Ligament–Carpal Tunnel Complex and Load–Displacement data were also obtained. Descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA together with a Post-hoc analysis (Tukey) and t-tests were incorporated.

Findings
A novel method to determine the tensile properties of the Transverse Carpal Ligament–Carpal Tunnel Complex has been developed. There were no significant differences between the original Transverse Carpal Ligament width and Transverse Carpal Ligament at peak elongation ($p = 0.108$). There were significant differences between the original Carpal Arch width and Carpal Arch width at peak elongation ($p = 0.002$). The Transverse Carpal Ligament failed either at the mid-substance or at their bony attachments. At maximum deformation the peak load and maximum Transverse Carpal Ligament displacements ranged from 285.74N to 1,369.66N and 7.09mm to 18.55mm respectively. The Transverse Carpal Ligament cross-sectional area mean (SD) was 27.21 (3.41)mm$^2$.

Interpretation
Using this method the results provide useful biomechanical information and data about the tensile properties of the Transverse Carpal Ligament–Carpal Tunnel Complex.
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1 Introduction

The clinical motivation for this study is carpal tunnel syndrome which is the most common compression and frequently diagnosed peripheral nerve disorder \(^{(Pfeffer \ et \ al., \ 1988; \ Sucher \ and \ Schreiber, \ 2014)}\). The carpal tunnel complex comprises bones, ligaments, muscles, tendons and nerves that are all enveloped under the skin. Within the carpal tunnel complex, the Transverse Carpal Ligament (TCL) along with the hypothenar and thenar muscles are important in the stability of the carpus and form the roof of the carpal tunnel. The volar boundary of the carpal tunnel commonly referred to as the flexor retinaculum comprises a strong band of connective tissue consisting of the proximal thin antebrachial fascia, the middle thick TCL and the most distal aponeurosis between the hypothenar and thenar musculature \(^{(Brooks \ et \ al., \ 2003; \ Cobb \ et \ al., \ 1993; \ Pacek \ et \ al., \ 2010b)}\). Anatomically, the TCL attaches to the carpal bones distally and proximally. In the distal row, the TCL attaches to the hook of hamate on the ulnar side and ridge of the trapezium on the radial side. In the proximal row, the TCL attaches to the pisiform bone on the ulnar side and tubercle of the scaphoid on the radial side. In addition, the tendons and median nerve together with the TCL form a pulley system \(^{(Brooks \ et \ al., \ 2003; \ Fuss \ and \ Wagner, \ 1996; \ Stecco \ et \ al., \ 2010)}\). During finger and hand movements the median nerve and tendons move in longitudinal, transverse, and volar / dorsal directions \(^{(Lopes \ et \ al., \ 2011; \ Ugbolue \ et \ al., \ 2005; \ Yoshii \ et \ al., \ 2008)}\). The median nerve becomes compressed as the carpal tunnel contents move within the carpal tunnel \(^{(Armstrong \ and \ Chaffin, \ 1979; \ Ugbolue \ et \ al., \ 2005)}\).

The TCL has been investigated morphologically \(^{(Pacek \ et \ al., \ 2010a)}\) and its collagen fiber orientation has been characterized \(^{(Prantil \ et \ al., \ 2012)}\). So far although these studies have provided an understanding of the TCL composition and behavior, more research is still necessary to fully understand the biomechanical properties of the TCL. In terms of biomechanical studies associated with TCL palmar-dorsal loading, the indentation method has been used by various scientific investigators \(^{(Chaise \ et \ al., \ 2003; \ Holmes \ et \ al., \ 2011; \ Ugbolue, \ 2012; \ Ugbolue \ et \ al., \ 2011)}\). Also previous studies have investigated the biomechanical properties of the TCL extracted under biaxial strain \(^{(Holmes \ et \ al., \ 2012)}\) and with the TCL intact using manipulation and load bearing techniques \(^{(Li \ et \ al., \ 2009; \ Sucher \ and \ Hinrichs, \ 1998; \ Xiu \ et \ al., \ 2010)}\). While these methods have involved either excising the TCL or determining the biomechanical
properties of the carpal tunnel with the TCL intact / transected, more research designs and testing procedures are necessary to evaluate the TCL and carpal tunnel complex as a whole.

Although dorsally and palmarly directed forces provide insight into the load induced changes to the carpal tunnel morphology, elongating the TCL to failure would inform the ‘safe’ range of loads that could be clinically applied during attempts to increase the carpal tunnel volume, and thus reduce the pressure within the carpal tunnel. The symptoms are alleviated once the pressure within the carpal tunnel subsides and / or the likely swelling of the carpal tunnel contents is reduced. Currently there are various treatment methods available to help alleviate Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) symptoms \cite{Zuo2015}. Percutaneous balloon carpal tunnel plasty, invented by Dr J Lee Berger is a novel treatment procedure that involves stretching the TCL. However, from a biomechanical research perspective more research designs and testing procedures potentially could help improve current treatment procedures associated with stretching the TCL and ultimately increasing the volume of the carpal tunnel. The primary weakness of previous methods is not the methodologies but the fact that no testing has been done in terms of elongating the ligament \textit{in situ} to failure.

Our method provides useful information that clearly shows the range of elongation of the TCL and associated changes to the carpal arch width. Also, it provides valuable data pertaining to what the ‘safe range’ of forces applied to the TCL should be, so as to prevent the likelihood of additional clinical problems such as ligament rupture. Indeed, the lack of a widely accepted method does not diminish the utility of the existing data, instead a standard methodology needs to be established that potentially could be referred to as an acceptable gold standard all researchers can associate with. Thus, despite the valuable input provided by previous studies, experimentally there is still no widely accepted testing method specifically designed to evaluate the tensile properties of the carpal arch (CA) and intact TCL. That is, to date there is no known method that tests the TCL to failure \textit{in situ}. Hence, due to the complexity of the hand and wrist the need has arisen to design and develop a sophisticated method to determine the tensile properties of the carpal tunnel complex. Therefore, this study describes a novel method to determine the tensile properties of the TCL \textit{in situ} using two dimensional video analyses together with a commercial Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK).
2 Method

The study was approved by the Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Strathclyde and the Laboratory of Human Anatomy, University of Glasgow departmental ethics committees. All specimens were free from any musculoskeletal and neurological disorders.

2.1 Tensile Testing Procedure

Six embalmed cadaveric specimens amputated at the mid forearm and aged 82 ± 6.29 years were tested. The six hands were from four individuals (two pairs and two individual hands), three males (four hands) and one female (two hands). The tensile properties of the TCL were determined using a commercial Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) fastened to a steel work piece (Figure 1). The Maillon Rapide Delta is similar to a Carabiner and is built to transfer forces.

Figure 1: Illustration of specimen setup on Instron E10000 (Instron, Bucks, UK) Materials Testing Machine. (A) Steel work piece, (B) Rectangular aluminium bar for securing specimen, (C) Maillon Rapide Delta, (D) Transverse Carpal Ligament

The Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) and steel work piece unit were attached to the upper hydraulic tensile grips connected to the 1000N load cell (Model: Instron E10000 (Instron, Bucks, UK)), of the Instron Materials Testing Machine. The testing machine was operated by a personal computer running the High Load Materials Testing Machine Instron E10000 Bluehill Software package.
Prior to testing, each specimen was prepared by exposing the TCL with carpal tunnel contents removed. The thenar and hypothenar muscles together with all other surrounding soft tissue such as fat and muscle fascia were removed. TCL anthropometric measurements of the specimens were obtained. The lower hydraulic tensile grip was disconnected and removed to provide room for the custom made aluminium specimen platform (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Custom made aluminium specimen platform apparatus (with three rectangular bars for securing the specimen). Dimensions: overall width: 250 mm; length: 400mm; depth: 15mm; bolt grooves: 25mm indent from side, 300mm length, 8mm width. Restraining bars (x3): width: 32mm; length: 233mm; depth: 10mm. Bolts: 8mm threaded bolts with wing nuts.

The custom made aluminium specimen platform was fastened to the base of the Instron E10000 Materials Testing Machine. The specimen was placed on the aluminium platform. The Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) was looped around the TCL to enable the application of the palmarly directed forces without the TCL slipping free from the device. The Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) was then attached to the upper hydraulic tensile grips connected to the 1000 N load cell. The hand specimen was lying supinated with the wrist in the neutral position i.e. 0° flexion and 0° extension. The specimen was adjusted until the TCL was aligned and perpendicular to the Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK). Once the specimen was aligned, it was secured in position via three rectangular aluminium bars that were tightened using two M8 threaded bolts and wing nuts per bar. The placement of the three rectangular aluminium bars was standardised. The first bar was placed distal to the
palmar digital crease. The second bar was placed proximal to the distal palmar crease and holding down the phalanges of the thumb. The third bar was placed proximal to the wrist crease. The specimens were tightly fastened and secured to the custom made aluminium specimen platform apparatus. This was confirmed when no volar or side to side movements were observed prior to embarking on the pre-conditioning cycle.

The test protocol started with a preconditioning cycle where the specimen underwent 10 cycles of 0.5 N loading at a rate of 2 Hz. The experimental procedure entailed raising the Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) and steel work piece unit until contact was made with the inside surface of the TCL and a load of 0.5 N was recorded. The load cell was subsequently tared and a deformation rate of 20 mm/s was used to deform the TCL until a drop off load was detected which was categorized as failure of the ligament. Indeed, this testing procedure being unique had no reference point with regards to a choice of suggested deformation rates. A series of tests were performed in the laboratory prior to arriving at the 20mm/s deformation rate. A selection of deformation rates were chosen for the pilot test. Upon completion of the testing, a deformation rate of 5mm/s was too slow. The deformation rate was then doubled to 10mm/s which again was too slow. For both deformation rates (at 5mm/s and 10mm/s) the Maillon Rapide Delta kept on slipping away from the centre of the ligament which was set to be in line with the steel work piece unit attached to the upper hydraulic tensile grips connected to the 1000N load cell (Model: Instron E10000 (Instron, Bucks, UK)). Once the deformation rate was increased to 20mm/s the slipping motion of the Maillon Rapide Delta reduced considerably as the soft tissue underwent deformation. Initially, a customised D’Shackle was used to determine the tensile properties of the intact TCL. After a few trials the authors came to realise it was not a good fit for specimens with smaller sized carpal tunnels and TCL lengths greater than 20mm; hence the Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) was preferred. This unique approach uses a Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) attached to a steel work piece that is secured between jaws of the upper hydraulic tensile grips of the Instron E10000 (Instron, Bucks, UK) Materials Testing Machine. The preconditioning cycle loading conditions were low primarily to preserve the integrity of the TCL insertion sites prior to deformation. The TCL elongation and ligament strain were calculated. Stiffness was calculated as the slope of the linear portion of the Load – Displacement graph. Using the Excel software
tools (Microsoft Excel 2010) $R^2$ was $\geq 0.92$ for all trials. The cross-sectional area ($A$) was estimated as

$$A = ML \times \left( \frac{DT + PT}{2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $ML = $ TCL Mid Length, $DT = $ TCL Distal Thickness, $PT = $ TCL Proximal Thickness

2.2 Calculation of ligament elongation and strain

The ligament elongation and strain was calculated for the tensile test. A two dimensional model has been developed that incorporates prior knowledge from a simplified two dimensional model that assumes linear elastic TCL stretch. This simplified model uses calculations applicable to a flat head indenter [Holmes et al., 2011]. Diagrammatically the TCL is shown in Figure 3 prior to undergoing any deformation.

\[\text{Figure 3: Schematic of the intact TCL showing (a) Direction of stretch / elongation (tensile deformation), (b) Original width of the TCL ($b_{TCL}$) and (c) Original width of the CA ($b_{CA}$)}\]

Three TCL anthropometric measurements of the specimens were obtained using an electronic 150mm LCD digital Vernier Caliper (Gizmo Deals Ltd, Northamptonshire, UK). The width of the TCL was calculated as the average of the dimensions of the TCL distal width, TCL proximal width and TCL mid width. Ligament strain was calculated as the increase in TCL width divided by the original width of the TCL. The term ‘Original’ refers to the relaxed or pre-loaded condition of the ligament. Both the TCL width and CA width are terms used to define the carpal tunnel complex. The original TCL width ($b_{TCL}$) represents the width of the external surface.
dimension of the TCL (volar side) at rest while the original CA width ($b_{CA}$) represents the internal dimension of the TCL (dorsal side) at rest parallel to its surface.

2.3 Tensile test using a commercial Maillon Rapide Delta

Video recordings of the tensile test were captured using a high speed video camera (EX-FH20 EXILIM, Casio, USA) mounted on a tripod. From the captured experiment video recordings the percentage of the Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) in contact with the TCL was consistent for all specimens. The Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK) was approximately 33% in contact with the TCL upon TCL elongation and tensile deformation. The term TCL elongation and tensile deformation refer to the elasto-plastic deformation the ligament undergoes during the tensile test. Using the commercially available ProTrainer system (Sports Motion Inc®, Cardiff, CA) software tools, a clock face the size of the circumference of the Maillon Rapide Delta located under the TCL was generated. Using the angle tool three points were marked on the generated circle (Figure 4). The marginal contact points occurring at the proximal end of the TCL were then manually digitized. Based on unpublished reliability studies performed within our Biomechanics Laboratory, the accuracy of the marginal contacts between the TCL and Maillon Rapide Delta were determined. The video camera was placed perpendicular to the TCL such that the vantage point focused on the sagittal place of the TCL. This camera setup procedure was standardised for all specimens to ensure the off-axis angle of the video in relation to the horizontal portion of the MRD was reduced.
Figure 4: Picture showing angle formed after digitisation. Point 1: Marginal TCL contact with Maillon Rapide Delta (Left Side), Point 2: Centre of the clock face, Point 3: Marginal TCL contact with Maillon Rapide Delta (Right Side). $\theta$ was defined as the angle formed with respect to the three points. $\theta$ in this case is $35^\circ$.

Based on a simplified model by Holmes et al, 2011 a novel method that applies mathematical principles has recently been developed to calculate the tensile ligament elongation and strain. From Figure 5, the following calculations were applied to determine the tensile ligament elongation and strain.
**Figure 5**: Illustration of the TCL undergoing elongation and tensile deformation. (c) Arc length, (d) Maillon Rapide Delta displacement, (e) TCL width on either side of Maillon Rapide Delta at peak ligament elongation, (f) Apothem, (g) Same as (e), (h) Maillon Rapide Delta displacement with respect to chord length, (i) Difference between the radius and apothem, (j) Horizontal ligament width with respect to TCL width (e), (k) Horizontal ligament width with respect to TCL width (g), and (l) Chord length.

The Maillon Rapide Delta used had a radius $r$ of 5mm. The angle $\theta$ was determined using the angle tool in the ProTrainer system (Sports Motion Inc®, Cardiff, CA) software. The arc length $c$ (from Figure 5) was calculated using the formula

$$c = r \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The apothem $f$ was determined by applying trigonometry, where

$$f = r \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
At peak ligament elongation \( d \),

\[ h = d - i \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From the experiments at peak ligament elongation

\[ b \neq (j + l + k) \]

From the video recordings the CA width at peak ligament elongation \( n \) can be extracted. Thus,

\[ n = j + l + k \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ n - l = j + k \]

The chord length \( l \) can be calculated as

\[ l = 2r \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Assuming \( j \) and \( k \) are symmetrical, then

\[ j = k \]

So that,

\[ n - l = 2j \]

\[ j = k = \frac{n - l}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

But

\[ e = g = \sqrt{h^2 + j^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

If \( e \) and \( g \) were asymmetrical then both lengths will have to be solved for independently.
Therefore, total ligament width due to elongation $P_{TCL-e}$ is given as:

$$P_{TCL-e} = e + c + g$$

(10)

Hence ligament strain due to elongation $e_{TCL-e}$ can be calculated as

$$e_{TCL-e} = \frac{P_{TCL-e} - b_{TCL}}{b_{TCL}}$$

(11)

And carpal arch strain due to elongation $e_{CA-e}$ can be calculated as

$$e_{CA-e} = \frac{n - b_{CA}}{b_{CA}}$$

(12)

Descriptive statistics were incorporated into the study. TCL tensile properties were determined. Pearson correlation was applied to ascertain whether there was a relationship between the TCL cross-sectional area and the TCL and CA elongation and strain measurements. One-way ANOVA together with a Post-hoc analysis (Tukey) was applied to distinguish between the CA width different levels of deformation. T-tests were performed to compare the differences between elongation and strain measurements of the TCL and CA. P value was set to 0.05.

3 Results

A unique method that incorporates geometric and trigonometric applications has been developed to determine the elongation and strain of the CA and TCL. In terms of the level of deformation caused to the TCL, using the Maillon Rapide Delta (S3i Ltd, Bawtry, England, UK), there were no significant differences between the original TCL width and TCL at peak elongation ($p = 0.108$). The mean difference between the original TCL width and TCL at peak elongation was 5.09mm. The mean difference between the CA width at peak elongation and TCL at peak elongation was 14.18mm. The results can be seen in Table 1. Also, the mean difference between the CA strain and TCL peak ligament strain was 0.18. There was a significant difference at peak elongation between the CA width and TCL width ($p = 0.007$). The CA strain was statistically significantly different from the TCL peak ligament strain ($p = 0.006$). From the one-way Anova the main effect showed significant differences between the CA width, the CA width at peak
elongation and CA width after TCL break \((p < 0.0001)\). The post-hoc comparisons showed significant differences between the original CA width and CA width at peak elongation \((p = 0.002)\). Though there were significant differences between the original CA width and CA width after break \((p = 0.002)\), there were no significant differences between the CA width at peak elongation and CA width after break \((p = 0.29)\).

Table 1 Representation of TCL and Carpal Arch data under tension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>Original Width of the TCL (mm)</th>
<th>TCL Under Tension</th>
<th>Original Carpal Arch Width</th>
<th>Carpal Arch Width at Peak Elongation</th>
<th>Carpal Arch Width after TCL Break</th>
<th>Carpal Arch Strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peak Ligament Elongation (mm)</td>
<td>Peak Ligament Strain</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Lm</td>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Rm</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Lm</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Rf</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Lf</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Lm</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>40.14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>22.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L – Left Hand; R – Right Hand, m- male, f – female.

The TCL failed either at the mid-substance or at their bony attachments. Five specimens failed at their bone attachments of which four (Specimens 1, 2, 4 and 6) failed completely on the radial side of the TCL. One specimen (Specimen 5) had a combined failure that started at the hamate bony attachment point and continued at the mid-substance. The results further provide useful information and data about the variation of the TCL tensile properties when tested in the volar-dorsal direction (Figure 6). At maximum deformation the peak load and maximum TCL displacements ranged from 285.74N to 1,369.66N and 7.09mm to 18.55mm respectively. The load at tensile strength ranged from 272.09N to 1293.36N and the ultimate tensile strength mean (SD) was 23.99 (10.68)Nmm\(^2\). The TCL cross-sectional area mean (SD) was 27.21 (3.41)mm\(^2\) and TCL stiffness was 71.66 (17.31)Nmm\(^{-1}\). See Table 2. There was no correlation between the TCL cross-sectional area and the peak ligament elongation \((R^2 = 0.118)\), peak ligament strain \((R^2 = 0.245)\), carpal arch width at peak elongation \((R^2 = 0.153)\) and carpal arch strain \((R^2 = 0.024)\).
### Table 2 Data Summary of TCL Tensile Properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>TCL Cross-Sectional Area (mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Displacement at Peak Load (mm)</th>
<th>Peak Load at Maximum Deformation (N)</th>
<th>Load at Tensile Load (N)</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (Nmm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Lm</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>527.89</td>
<td>515.80</td>
<td>16.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Rm</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>684.09</td>
<td>632.37</td>
<td>23.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Lm</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>699.82</td>
<td>631.12</td>
<td>23.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Lf</td>
<td>21.62</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>607.88</td>
<td>600.16</td>
<td>27.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Lm</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>1369.66</td>
<td>1293.36</td>
<td>41.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L – Left Hand; R – Right Hand, m- male, f – female.
Figure 6: Load – Displacement curve for all six specimens.
4 Discussion

A new sophisticated method has been developed that can be used to determine the tensile properties of the TCL in situ. This has all along been challenging to researchers investigating the biomechanical properties and role of the TCL from a computational \cite{Guo2009,Li2009,Main2012} and experimental \cite{Garcia-Elias1989,Tengrootenhuysen2009,Xiu2010} perspective. This paper describes the first approach taken towards determining tensile properties of the TCL using a commercial Maillon Rapide Delta. This methodology has been validated and is capable of generating highly reliable and repeatable data. \cite{Ugbolue2013}

Previous methods have investigated the expansion or lengthening of the TCL and carpal tunnel complex, however, none of these methods report the tensile properties of the TCL in situ. This method tests the TCL to failure and invariably provides data on the elongation and strain of the carpal arch and TCL. Although, standard bone-ligament-bone tests are well established methodologies, the introduction of this new method evaluates the TCL – carpal tunnel complex and adds useful information to the knowledge database pertaining to the biomechanical properties of the TCL and carpal tunnel complex. With precautionary measures observed during dissection, this new method involved carefully and meticulously exposing the TCL by removing all the soft tissues (fat, muscles, muscle fascia, etc) overlying the TCL.

Further care was taken to ensure the TCL insertion sites and integrity of its bony attachments remained intact. The constituent parts of the carpal tunnel complex were not isolated. As previous studies have assessed the TCL, either excised or as a bone-ligament-bone complex, making comparisons was somewhat difficult. The specimens were not young and were aged (mean (SD)): 82 (6.29) years. At present, we are unaware of any study that has investigated the differences in biomechanical properties of the TCL between young adults and elderly human subjects. Data on changes to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) material properties with respect to age is available. Woo and colleagues (1991) showed a considerable difference in ACL tensile strength with respect to age: 2160N for younger specimens (22-35 years) and 658N in older specimens (60-97 years) \cite{Woo1991}. In terms of the categories used by Woo et al (1991), all our specimens fall into the older specimen category. These findings may be applicable to the current study; however there is no direct evidence to suggest the TCL follows similar trends with age. Based on the current study results and sample size used, it is not enough to speculate that the onset of CTS and gender differences affect tensile strength and elongation.
measurements. Although the sites of failure for some of the specimens were comparable the
failure patterns produced were different. Figure 6 shows the variations in the Load –
Displacement curves across the six specimens. These variations could be attributed to the
subjective judgement involved during the dissection process while identifying the precise
margins of the TCL tissue. Furthermore other factors such as cross-sectional area, variations in
the sizes of the carpal tunnel and dimensions of the TCL and CA could also have influenced the
differences in the Load – Displacement curve profile between the specimens. Also since the
carpal bones were neither isolated nor monitored it is possible the displacement of the carpal
bones may have also contributed to the entire elongation of the TCL. Although, the
anthropometric measurements and cross-sectional area may have influenced the TCL under
tension outcome measures i.e. Load – Displacement curve profile between the specimens, there
was no correlation between the TCL cross-sectional area and TCL and CA elongation and strain
measurements ($R^2 \leq 0.245$). Also there was no trend in the data to suggest that specimens with
smaller cross-sectional areas produced smaller maximum displacements (at peak loads), smaller
peak loads at maximum deformation, smaller loads at tensile strength and smaller ultimate
tensile strength values. However, specimen 6 possessed the highest cross-sectional area with
responding high values for the maximum displacement (at peak load), peak load at maximum
deformation, load at tensile strength and ultimate tensile strength. Based on our results the peak
load at maximum deformation lies between 285.74N and 1369.66N. This load deformation range
suggests that forces applied outside of this representative ‘safe range’ may cause additional
problems or complications to the TCL and soft tissues of the hand. Also since the other
parameters do not have any outliers the mean could be considered as a ‘safe measurement’
representative of the tensile properties reported in Table 2.

Due to the novelty of the methodology few comparisons are made with other studies
regarding the results. Comparing the anthropometric measurement average values to that
reported by Holmes et al, 2011, the TCL width at rest and peak loading had a mean difference of
4.04mm and 0.95mm respectively. These variations were small considering specimen variability
is an inherent factor considered and incorporated into cadaver related research designs. TCL
tensile testing has predominantly been performed in the radial / ulnar direction with very little
testing done until recently in the volar / dorsal direction [Main et al., 2012]. Previous studies
have investigated TCL tensile testing using manipulation and load bearing techniques [Sucher]
and Hinrichs, 1998; Xiu et al., 2010). Sucher and colleagues demonstrated a maximal TCL elongation of 3.7mm of the carpal arch in female cadavers using a 10N static load compared to a carpal arch width of 1.83mm in our study which used a combination of both genders. Xiu et al (2010) showed that an intact carpal arch width increased by about 1mm when the TCL was subjected to an applied force of 10N in the outward direction. Aside from load bearing and manipulation techniques, bone-ligament-bone tensile testing methods have also been adopted (Garcia-Elias et al., 1989). Lin and associates showed no significant differences in TCL tensile properties between TCL samples excised from CTS patients undergoing carpal tunnel release and those samples obtained from fresh control cadaveric specimens. (Lin et al., 1983) While these methods have been a valuable contribution to the tensile biomechanical assessment of the TCL and CA, our method provides an efficient method to specifically evaluate the tensile properties of the intact TCL in situ.

An earlier study by Li and associates investigated the expansion of the carpal tunnel by applying palmarly directed forces to the TCL from inside the carpal tunnel (Li et al., 2009). This model used a custom lever device to apply known forces that generated TCL arches. In the study by Li et al, 2009, a geometric model was also developed to clarify the relationships between the TCL width, CA width, CA height and CA area. The author’s model is different but provides a useful approach designed to determine the tensile properties of the intact TCL. Though their methodology is different, their study reported the TCL arch length (mean (SD)) as 2.8 (0.30)mm at 10N and 5.4 (0.40)mm at 200N compared to 0.54 (0.52)mm at 10N and 4.25 (1.54)mm at 200N. The differences in the results may be attributed to (a) the methodologies adopted or (b) the choice of specimens i.e. fresh-frozen versus embalmed specimens. Our study used embalmed specimens for the experimental tests. To date there are no ligament studies to suggest they are differences in the mechanical properties between fresh-frozen versus embalmed specimens. Studies on bone mechanics to determine differences between fresh-frozen and embalmed specimens have been done. These studies have shown that fresh-frozen and embalmed bones have similar characteristics with very low variation in mechanical strength between unpaired cadaveric specimens which suggests the use of paired specimens may be unnecessary. (Topp et al., 2012, Zech et al., 2006

Prior to testing, the Maillon Rapide Delta was centrally aligned which left little or no room for e and g to be asymmetrical. During the test care was taken to ensure the Maillon Rapide
Delta was not misaligned. Although four specimens failed completely on the radial side of the TCL, looking back at the videos there was no sudden shift of the Maillon Rapide Delta to the radial side to suggest why the failure occurred on the radial side. Since the TCL has a role to play biomechanically, the approach taken to determine the tensile properties of the TCL has clinical implications that may influence treatment methods that do not involve dissecting the TCL. The treatment method percutaneous balloon carpal tunnel plasty is unique and is gradually becoming an acceptable treatment option available to carpal tunnel syndrome patients where the integrity of the TCL is retained and the symptoms are alleviated through the stretching of the TCL. It is anticipated, clinicians can leverage the valuable contents presented in this study to make informed decisions regarding the treatment protocols designed to treat symptoms or help patients cope with symptoms associated with carpal tunnel syndrome.

5 Conclusion

Due to the complexity of the hand anatomy, a novel method and unique testing procedure that is uncomplicated and practical has been developed to determine the tensile properties of the TCL and carpal tunnel complex. We anticipate this unique testing approach could be adopted by researchers interested in determining the tensile properties of ligaments. Furthermore, using this method of determining the tensile properties of the TCL and carpal tunnel complex would provide biomechanical information computational modellers, biomechanists and clinical researchers can benefit from.
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