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Two Alternative Approaches to Access Mixed Hydride-Amido Zinc Complexes: Synthetic, Structural and Solution Implications†

Andrew J. Roberts,a William Clegg,b Alan R. Kennedy,a Michael R. Probert,b Stuart D. Robertson*a and Eva Hevia*a

Using bis(amide) Zn(HMDS)$_2$ (HMDS = 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazide) as a precursor, this study explores the synthesis of N-heterocyclic carbene stabilized mixed amido-hydride zinc complexes using two alternative hydride sources, namely dimethylamine borane (DMAB) and phenylsilane PhSiH$_3$. Hydride-rich zinc cluster Zn$_4$(HMDS)$_2$H$_6$2IPr (1) (IPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenylimidazol-2-ylidene), which can be envisaged as a co-complex of IPr·ZnH$_2$ and (HMDS)ZnH, is obtained when DMAB is employed, with the concomitant formation of heterotrileptic bis(amide)borane [HB(NMe$_2$)$_2$(HMDS)] and H$_2$ evolution. NMR studies in d$_8$-THF show that although the bulky carbene IPr does not bind to the zinc bis(amide), its presence in the reaction media is required in order to stabilise hemiamide (apropos zinc), 1. Reactions using the slightly less sterically demanding NHC IXy (IXy = 1,3-bis-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-imidazol-2-ylidene) led to the isolation and structural elucidation of the carbene adduct Zn(HMDS)$_2$·IXy (2).

Contrastingly, mixtures of equimolar amounts of PhSiH$_3$ and the bis(amide) (60°C, 3 h, hexane) afforded monomeric heteroleptic hydride (HMDS)ZnH·IPr (3). NMR studies, including DOSY experiments, revealed that while the integrity of 3 is retained in polar d$_8$-THF solutions, in lower polarity C$_6$D$_6$ it displays a much more complex solution behaviour, being in equilibrium with the homoleptic species ZnH$_2$·IPr, Zn(HMDS)$_2$ and IPr.

Introduction

Metal hydride species are currently at the forefront of metal research due to their widespread utility as reagents for chemical transformations such as deprotonation/metallation and reduction as well as their potential in other socio-economically important fields such as energy storage. Of particular importance for the latter application are lightweight, environmentally benign, high-abundance metals as these are more economically viable and have better gravimetric hydrogen storage capacity. Binary metal hydride species, however, have a number of drawbacks such as pyrophoricity and poor solubility (which in turn leads to low reactivity). Consequently, neutral or anionic co-ligands must be incorporated to lower the aggregation state, aiding solubility. Among the most studied of the early main group metal hydrides are those of magnesium and zinc, primarily using either bulky monoanionic ligands such as β-diketiminate (nacnac) and its derivatives, or neutral N-heterocyclic carbenes to sterically protect the metal–hydrogen bond (Figure 1). Bimetallic magnesium/alkali-metal hydride clusters have also been reported containing the secondary amido diisopropylamide or 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazide [HMDS, N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$] anion.

Running in parallel to this research has been that of the amine boranes (RNH$_2$·BH$_3$, R$_2$NH·BH$_3$), which contain protic and hydridic hydrogen atoms in close proximity to one another, making them primed for hydrogen release under the correct conditions, as well as precursors for the synthesis of B-N oligomers and polymers. Again, the use of main group metals in this area for environmental and economic reasons is pervasive with prominent contributions arising from the groups of Harder, Hill and Wright. While magnesium amidoboranes have been successfully prepared and extensively studied, zinc amidoboranes have never been reported,
although Harder has suggested that these are intermediate species on the way to the monomeric zinc hydride complex \([\text{CH(C(Me)N(Dipp))}_2\text{ZnH}]\) which can be obtained in good yields by salt metathesis of \([\text{CH(C(Me)N(Dipp))}_2\text{ZnCl}]\) and a potassium amidoborane.\(^4c\)

Our interest in this area was stimulated by the excellent recent work of Okuda\(^{5b-e}\) and Rivard,\(^{5g}\) who have reported both neutral and cationic NHC-stabilized zinc hydride species (specifically with a focus on alkyl zinc/zinc halide reagents), some of which are effective catalysts for hydrosilylation reactions (Figure 2). Diverging from Harder’s approach, these compounds can be prepared using phenylsilanes as hydride sources. Given the considerable carbophilicity of zinc, we surmised that zinc amides [specifically \(\text{Zn(HMDS)}\)] with their relatively weaker and kinetically activated Zn-N bonds (compared to Zn-C bonds),\(^{15}\) might provide a novel, more facile access point to zinc hydride complexes while also considering that NHCs might stabilize the as yet elusive zinc amido-boranes better than nacnac anions. We present our findings of these studies herein.

**Results and discussion**

We commenced synthetic studies by reacting an equal stoichiometry of dimethylamine borane (DMAB) with \(\text{Zn(HMDS)}\) in THF solution. This mixture immediately precipitated an insoluble white product despite the presence of a vast excess of Lewis donating solvent. Addition of tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA), the potentially tetradentate donor \(\text{tris}[2-(\text{dimethylamino})\text{ethylamine (Me}_{3}\text{TREN})\)], or the unsaturated N-heterocyclic carbene IPr (IPr = 1,3-bis[2,6-disopropylphenyl]imidazol-2-ylidene) did not re-dissolve this precipitate. However, we did discover that adding a molar equivalent of IPr to the solution of \(\text{Zn(HMDS)}\) prior to the introduction of the amine borane, followed by removal of THF, addition of hexane and reintroduction of THF dropwise with stirring, resulted in a homogenous solution. Storage of this solution at -30°C deposited a small crop of colourless crystals which were revealed by synchrotron single-crystal X-ray diffraction to be the zinc mixed amido-hydrido cluster \(\text{Zn}_n(\text{HMDS})_2\text{ZnCl} \cdot 2\text{IPr} (1)\) (Scheme 1a and figure 3; note that a non-interacting molecule of THF is present in the crystal structure). This synthesis could be improved by using less polar cyclohexane as the reaction medium, with a larger crop of crystals being deposited upon standing at room temperature. Interestingly, the crystals grown from the cyclohexane solution (that is, in absence of THF) gave essentially the same unit cell parameters as those with the THF molecule of solvation, suggesting its presence is not influencing the crystal packing.

**Figure 2** Crystallographically characterized molecular NHC-stabilized neutral and cationic zinc hydride species. SIMes = 1,3-bis[2,4,6-trimethylphenyl]imidazol-2-ylidene, Cpt* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, IPr = 1,3-bis[2,6-disopropylphenyl]imidazol-2-ylidene, IMes = 1,3-bis(2,6-dihydro-2-ylidene, Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, IPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene, DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine, OTf = triflate.

**Figure 3** Molecular structure of complex \(\text{Zn}_n(\text{HMDS})_2\text{ZnCl} \cdot 2\text{IPr} (1)\) with 50% probability ellipsoids. All non-hydric hydrogen atoms and THF molecule of solvation have been removed for clarity. Peripheral carbon atoms have been made more transparent for clarity. Selected bond parameters (Å and °): \(\text{N1-H1} 1.82(3), \text{N1-H2} 1.82(3), \text{N1-H3} 1.81(3), \text{N1-H4} 1.82(3), \text{N2-H1} 1.82(3), \text{N2-H2} 1.83(3), \text{N2-H3} 1.83(3), \text{N2-H4} 1.82(3), \text{N2-H5} 1.83(3), \text{N3-H1} 1.83(3), \text{N3-H2} 1.83(3), \text{N3-H3} 1.83(3), \text{N4-H1} 1.81(3), \text{N4-H2} 1.82(3), \text{N4-H3} 1.83(3), \text{N5-H1} 1.80(8), \text{N6-H1} 2.07(8), \text{N7-H1} 2.03(8), \text{N8-H1} 2.07(8).

Comprising an adamantyl-like \(\{\text{Zn-H}\}^{2+}\) core, this complex can be envisaged as a co-complex of IPr-ZnH\(_2\) and (HMDS)ZnH. Interestingly, although a handful of tetranuclear zinc hydride complexes have been reported,\(^{16}\) all of them contain terminal hydride ligands, whereas in 1 each hydride is acting as a bridge between two zinc centres such that the core is a tetrahedral \(\text{Zn}_2\) cluster with the six hydride ligands slightly displaced from the six edges of the tetrahedron. A similar bridging coordination mode has recently been found in the lower nuclearity NHC-stabilized cationic cluster \(\{\text{ZnHe}_2(\text{IMes})\}^+ (\text{THF})\} \cdot [\text{BF}_{6}]_2\) The hydride ligands in 1 were located and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters, with the only restraint applied on their positions being that the Zn-H bonds should be approximately equal in length (which resulted in Zn-H bond lengths of 1.82±0.01 Å; the length was not specified as part of the refinement input). This value is marginally longer than the Zn-H-Zn distances reported by Coles for a \(\{\text{ZnHe}\}^+\) cluster (mean 1.775 Å)\(^{16d}\) and of the bridging hydride-zinc distances in B (mean 1.712 Å), C
(1.759 Å), E (mean 1.775 Å), F (mean 1.78 Å) and H [1.65(3)-1.75(4) Å]. Zn-C(carbene) and Zn-N(HMDS) bond distances are consistent with other such crystallographically characterized bonds (vide infra).

We note at this juncture that a magnesium analogue of complex 1 has been reported previously by Hill and co-workers.54 The metal-hydride, metal-amide and metal-carbene bond lengths are understandably shorter in our zinc complex compared to the magnesium derivative due to zinc’s smaller size (covalent radius 1.22 Å for Zn vs 1.41 Å for Mg). This is most clearly seen in the Zn-carbene bonds, which have a mean value of 2.038 Å, almost 8% shorter than in the Mg complex [2.2063(19) Å]. The Zn-N (mean 1.966 Å) and Zn-H (mean 1.82 Å) are marginally shorter than the corresponding Mg-N and Mg-H bond distances [2.0049(17) and 1.871(mean) Å respectively]. It is of interest that the magnesium complex was prepared by reaction of Mg(HMDS)-IPr with phenylsilane at 60°C. However, when we attempted the preparation of 1 using this approach, we obtained instead a mononuclear mixed hydrido-amido complex (vide infra).

1H NMR spectra of I in C/Ds solution exhibit single sets of signals for the IPr, hydride and HMDS groups. DOSY NMR studies showed that all these different ligands present in I belong to the same sized species, as the cross points for all their resonances are aligned in the second dimension (Figure S1, average diffusion coefficient 1.19 x 10^{-9} m^2 s^{-1}). The hydride resonance appears as a broad singlet at 3.35 ppm, which is just slightly downfield of those recently reported for related dimeric mixed alkyl-hydride zinc species [((Mes)ZnMeH)2] (at 2.75 ppm).55 The most informative resonance in the 13C[1H] NMR spectrum is that for the carbenic carbon which is found at 181.0 ppm, consistent with the retention of the Zn-C(carbene) interaction in solution.17

Considering the heteroleptic constitution of I, we found that this compound could reproducibly be obtained in up to 46% crystalline yield when the reaction was carried out using a 3:4:2 ratio of DMAB, Zn(HMDS)2 and IPr respectively (see Figures S2 and S3 for 1H and 13C NMR spectra). In order to shed some light on the formation of I and the fate of the original amine borane, multinuclear (11B and 1H) NMR studies were carried out on the remaining filtrate from this reaction mixture. The 11B NMR spectrum (see Figure S4, ESI) revealed the formation of a single boron-containing species displaying a doublet at 33.9 ppm (J_{BH} = 133.8 Hz), consistent with a BH functionality.18 Careful inspection of the 1H NMR spectrum showed two informative singlets at 0.19 and 2.58 ppm along with a broad 1:1:1:1 quartet between 4 and 5 ppm (which reverts to a singlet in the boron-decoupled spectrum at 4.54 ppm) with a relative integration ratio of 18:6:1 (Figure S5), which allows the tentative assignment of this boron species as the hetero(bisamido)borane [HB(NMe2)(HMDS)]. Supporting this proposed interpretation, GC-MS analysis of the solid residue obtained after removal of volatiles in vacuo showed a series of peaks centred on m/z 216 with an intensity pattern consistent with that predicted for the molecular ion of this species. Interestingly, a closely related alkyl(amido)borane intermediate has been previously isolated from the thermal decomposition of alkylstrontium amidoborane [(RSr(NMe2BH)] (vide supra), initially transient zinc(amido)borane intermediate species (I) must be involved in the formation of I (scheme 2), which in our case can be obtained by direct deprotonation of DMAB by the zinc amide. This compound must undergo a fast beta-hydride elimination process to form unsaturated and highly reactive H3B=NMe2 along with a mixed amido(hydride)zinc (II). Assuming that cluster I is obtained as a result of the co-complexation of two different zinc-hydrido species, namely [IPr·ZnH2] and (HMDS)ZnH (vide supra), at this stage it is possible to envisage two alternative reaction pathways for the BH2=NMe2 species that, as shown in Scheme 2, would explain the formation of bis(amido)borane [HB(NMe2)(HMDS)] (III) as the only boron-containing species of this reaction. On one hand and similarly to Hill’s investigations on alkylstrontium amidoboranes, this unsaturated species can undergo insertion in the polarized Zn-N bond of the amido(hydride)zinc I (pathway a, Scheme 2).19 Subsequent boron hydride elimination may lead to the formation of bis(amido)borane III along with ZnH2, which in turn can be trapped by the NHC ligand IPr (as discussed below IPr does not coordinate to the precursor Zn(HMDS)2) affording homoleptic hydride B.5b On the other hand, and under the stoichiometric conditions studied, BH2=NMe2 can also react with the amine HMDS(H) produced in the initial step for the formation of I, affording III along with H2 elimination (Scheme 2, pathway b). Support for this second reaction pathway and the concomitant H2 evolution in the formation of I was obtained by monitoring the reaction of Zn(HMDS)2/IPr with DMAB in deuterated cyclohexane by 1H NMR spectroscopy, which showed a sharp singlet at 4.54 ppm which can be assigned to molecular hydrogen.20 It should also be noted that, when this reaction was carried out under harsher reaction conditions (3 hours at 60°C in hexane), the formation of I is inhibited, affording instead homoleptic dimer [(IPrZnH2)]2 (B), which suggests that under these conditions the insertion reaction of BH2=NMe2 in the Zn-N bond of II is favoured.
As mentioned above, an Mg-analogue of I has been previously prepared, using a Mg(HMDS)₂·IPr adduct as a precursor. In the absence of any characterized NHC adducts of zinc bisamides, we also focused on the initial step of the reaction, specifically the reaction of Zn(HMDS)₂ with IPr. However, we were unable to prepare an IPr-stabilized complex of Zn(HMDS)₂. A ¹H NMR spectroscopy study in d₆-THF showed that these two reagents do not interact, as shown in Figure S6. This suggests that pre-ordination of the carbene to the metal is not necessary, but rather the free carbene is available in solution to protect the sterically unencumbered metal once the reaction to produce the metal-hydride bond has occurred. This means that the synthesis of clusters such as I or its Mg derivative can potentially be carried out in a more atom-efficient manner, using only half a molar equivalent of NHC per metal (mirroring the stoichiometry of the product, see equation 1) without requiring the preformation of a coordination adduct between the carbene and the relevant metal bisamide. IPr would appear to be only slightly too sterically encumbered to access the Lewis acidic metal centre of Zn(HMDS)₂, as moving to the moderately less bulky carbene IXy [1,3-bis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene, which bears methyl rather than isopropyl arms at the ortho positions of the flanking aromatic group] resulted in NHC adduct 2 being formed after stirring the two together in hexane/THF at room temperature. Determined by X-ray diffraction studies, the molecular structure of 2 is shown in Figure 4. At 2.100(5) Å, the Zn-carbene distance is at the long end of reported three-coordinate zinc atoms coordinated by an NHC as might be expected given our observation that the carbene is only just sterically unencumbered enough to access the zinc centre. The Zn-N distances (av. 1.967 Å) are consistent with Zn(HMDS)₂·ItBu (av. 1.957 Å) and the zinc centre is distorted trigonal planar (Σζ = 360.0°). Compared to free Zn(HMDS)₂, the coordinating carbene understandably forces the N-Zn-N angle from 175.2° to 123.0(2)°, which is indeed tighter than that seen in the less bulky ItBu adduct [131.4(2)°]. Likewise, the Zn-N bonds are elongated on going from a two-coordinate (av. 1.833 Å) to a three-coordinate complex (av. 1.967 Å). The carbene is considerably rotated to minimize stereoclashing of the flanking aromatic groups with the silylamide ligands (the CNZ ring plane is oriented at 62.4° with respect to the N-Zn-N plane).

![Figure 4 Molecular structure of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of complex Zn(HMDS)₂·IXy (2) with 50% probability ellipsoids. All hydrogen atoms and a disordered THF molecule of crystallisation have been removed for clarity. The second Zn(HMDS)₂·IXy molecule has disordered HMDS ligands. Selected bond parameters (Å and °): Zn1-C13, 2.100(5); Zn1-N1, 1.973(3); Zn1-N2, 1.961(4); N1-Zn1-N2, 123.0(2), N1-Zn1-C13, 119.9(1); N2-Zn2-C13, 117.1(2).](image-url)
to another mononuclear three-coordinate complex with a terminal Zn-H bond, namely Harder’s [CH(C(Me)N(Dipp))₂]ZnH [1.46(2) Å]. The presence of a bulky, labile neutral donor and a non-chelating HMDS ligand may well confer greater latent reactivity on 3 with respect to the latter whose chelating monoanionic β-diketiminate ligand is robust and generally non-reactive.

**Figure 5** Molecular structure of complex (HMDS)ZnH·IPr (3) with 50% probability ellipsoids. All hydrogen atoms other than zinc-bound hydride have been removed for clarity. Selected bond parameters (Å and °): Zn1-C1, 2.081(2); Zn1-H1A, 1.53(3); Zn1-N3, 1.924(2); C1-Zn1-N3, 125.9(1); C1-Zn1-H1A, 107.9(1); N3-Zn1-H1A, 126.2(1).

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3 in d₅-THF are consistent with the molecular structure. The terminal hydride resonance appears at 3.20 ppm; cf complex A, 3.73 ppm; complex I, 4.14 ppm in d₅-THF (figure S9 and S10). Interestingly, using the aren solvent Cd₆, which a priori could be considered a much more ‘innocent’ solvent due to its lesser coordinating ability, the solution behaviour of complex 3 is significantly less straightforward (see Figure 6). Focusing on the region around 6 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum (chosen as the carbene backbone resonance is found here as a clear singlet with no competing resonances nearby), it is clear that there are three distinct carbenes in solution (two of which appear to be coordinated to a metal, corroborated by the ¹³C NMR spectrum which shows resonances at 191.7 and 180.8 ppm, indicative of such coordination). By comparison with the spectra of some known species, we were able to identify two different known species, namely Zn(HMDS)₂, and free IPr (Figure 6a). The identity of the other two carbene-containing species was not instantly clear, although one set of carbene resonances was similar to those reported for [ZnH₂·IPr]₂. A ¹H DOSY NMR experiment (Figure 6b), which separates different components in solution according to their diffusion coefficient (and by extrapolation their molecular weight/volume) in a manner which can be considered as ‘NMR chromatography’, allowed us to definitively assign resonances to each of four components in the solution. A set of resonances corresponding to the molecular structure (HMDS)ZnH·IPr was identified as the final IPr-containing species, leading us to propose that the following equilibrium (equation 2) is occurring in solution.

\[
2 \text{(HMDS)ZnH·IPr} \rightleftharpoons \text{ZnH₂·IPr} + \text{Zn(HMDS)₂ + IPr}
\]  

This is a simple ligand redistribution from the heteroleptic zinc compound to give two homoletic compounds, albeit with the presence of four components rather than three since IPr does not coordinate to Zn(HMDS)₂ (vide supra). Curiously, in this particular equilibrium the dihydride species does not seem to dimerize to give B, perhaps because the dynamic equilibrium occurs on a faster timescale than the dimerization. This is supported by the DOSY spectrum which suggests that ZnH₂·IPr (diffusion coefficient = 8.038 x 10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹) weighs only marginally more than IPr itself (diffusion coefficient = 8.495 x 10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹), and much less than 3 (diffusion coefficient = 5.776 x 10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹). Furthermore, only one resonance at 5.06 ppm is noticed for the hydrides whereas Okuda’s dimer B displays clear resonances for bridging and terminal hydride ligands (at 2.23 and 3.57 ppm in Cd₆). This resonance is considerably removed from those in dimer B and perhaps reflects the change from a four-coordinate zinc in the dimer to a three-coordinate zinc in the monomer. Rivard noted a broad hydride resonance for complex D at room temperature although this resolved into two distinct resonances below 0°C. However, in both cases DOSY NMR spectroscopy showed no hint of monomeric species, suggesting that their fluxional processes go via retention of Zn-H-Zn bridges. A monomer-dimer equilibrium was, however, proposed for complex E on the basis of variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy. To further support our equilibrium hypothesis we recorded a ¹H NMR spectrum containing authentic [ZnH₂·IPr]₂, Zn(HMDS)₂ and IPr in Cd₆.
(that is, we approached the equilibrium from the other side), which revealed a similar spectrum to that previously seen for crystals of 3 (see figure S11 for details). The presence of Zn(HMDS)$_2$ is paramount to this deaggregation as it is not witnessed when only IPr is added to [ZnH$_2$:IPr]$_2$ (Fig. S11a) but rather only occurs once the Zn(HMDS)$_2$ is added, evidenced by the loss of the two hydride resonances of [ZnH$_2$:IPr]$_2$ and the concomitant development of the hydride resonance of ZnH$_2$:IPr (fig. S11b).

**Experimental**

**General experimental** All reactions and manipulations were performed under a protective argon atmosphere using either standard Schlenk techniques or a glove box. Hexane and THF were dried by heating to reflux over sodium benzophenone ketyl and then distilled under nitrogen prior to use. Cyclohexane was distilled over CaH$_2$ and stored under nitrogen prior to use. DMAB and PhSiH$_3$ were purchased commercially from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. (HMDS)$_2$Zn was purchased from commercial sources and used as received. All reactions were performed under a protective argon atmosphere using either standard Schlenk techniques or a glove box. Hexane and THF were dried by heating to reflux over sodium benzophenone ketyl and then distilled under nitrogen prior to use. Cyclohexane was distilled over CaH$_2$ and stored under nitrogen prior to use. DMAB and PhSiH$_3$ were purchased commercially from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. (HMDS)$_2$Zn was purchased from commercial sources and used as received.

**Crystallographic data and refinement parameters or complexes 1, 2 and 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{30}$H$</em>{52}$N$<em>{5}$O$</em>{5}$Si$<em>{2}$Zn$</em>{4}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{28}$H$</em>{52}$N$<em>{5}$Si$</em>{2}$Zn</td>
<td>C$<em>{20}$H$</em>{33}$N$<em>{5}$Si$</em>{2}$Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mol. mass</td>
<td>1437.57</td>
<td>698.58</td>
<td>615.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>Pna2,</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>22.153(7)</td>
<td>21.938(2)</td>
<td>11.9943(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>16.412(5)</td>
<td>18.8738(14)</td>
<td>13.8275(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>22.139(7)</td>
<td>21.985(4)</td>
<td>21.8642(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>119.304(15)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å$^3$</td>
<td>8049(4)</td>
<td>7938.4(14)</td>
<td>3626.2(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l/Å</td>
<td>0.6889</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>123(2)</td>
<td>123(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured reflections</td>
<td>53956</td>
<td>69144</td>
<td>13071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>15103</td>
<td>16583</td>
<td>7050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R$_{int}$</td>
<td>0.0611</td>
<td>0.1095</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed rflns [1 &gt; 2σ(1)]</td>
<td>12203</td>
<td>8990</td>
<td>5999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GooF</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>1.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R [on F, obs rflns only]</td>
<td>0.0629</td>
<td>0.0700</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR [on F$^2$, all data]</td>
<td>0.1767</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.0760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak/hole c/Å$^3$</td>
<td>2.74/-1.22</td>
<td>0.639/0.472</td>
<td>0.387/-0.386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synthesis of [ZnH$_2$HMDS]$_2$:2IPr**

A Schlenk tube was charged with Zn(HMDS)$_2$ (193 mg, 0.5 mmol) and IPr (97 mg, 0.25 mmol) to which 5 mL cyclohexane was added. DMAB (22 mg, 0.37 mmol) was added to this mixture with stirring at room temperature, resulting in a brown homogeneous mixture within 5 minutes. After stirring for 30 minutes at room temperature the stirring was stopped. Crystals were visible within 5 hours. (79 mg, 46%). 1H NMR (400.13 MHz, 300 K, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ (ppm) = 0.13 (s, 36H, Si(CH$_3$)$_3$), 0.98 (d, 24H, CH$_3$, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz), 1.34 (d, 24H, CH$_3$, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz), 2.55 (sept, 8H, iPr-CH, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz), 3.35 (s, 6H, Zn-H), 6.33 (s, 4H, imidazole backbone CH), 7.14 (d, 8H, m-CH, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz).
Hz), 7.28 (t, 4H, p-CH, J_HH = 7.7 Hz). 13C(1H) NMR (100.62 MHz, 300 K, CD2C0) δ (ppm) = 6.5 (Si(CH3)3), 24.0 (iPr-CH3), 25.4 (iPr-CH3), 29.0 (iPr-CH), 124.8 (m-CH), 124.9 (NHC-CH), 131.2 (p-CH), 135.1 (i-C), 144.9 (o-CH), 181.0 (NHC-C). Elemental analysis (%) for C60H114N5Si2Zn2: calcd: C 58.05, H 8.42, N 6.15; found: C 58.74, H 8.36, N 5.81.

Synthesis of Zn(HMDS)2·IXy (2)
To Zn(HMDS)2 (193 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 5 mL of n-hexane was added IXy (138 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature, yielding a brown mixture. THF was added dropwise until a solution was obtained (approx. 0.3 mL). The solution was stirred for 15 minutes before cooling to -35 °C, giving orange crystals. (116 mg, 54 %).

A Schlenk tube was charged with Zn(HMDS)2·IXy (194 mg, 0.5 mmol) to which 15 mL n-hexane was added. PhSiH3 (0.06 ml, 0.5 mmol) was added to this mixture with stirring at room temperature. The mixture was heated to 60°C for 3 h, leading to a light brown homogeneous mixture. The reaction was slowly cooled to room temperature, producing a crop of light brown crystals. (116 mg, 54 %).

Synthesis of (HMDS)ZnH·IPr (3)
A Schlenk tube was charged with Zn(HMDS)2 (193 mg, 0.5 mmol) and IPr (194 mg, 0.5 mmol) to which 15 mL n-hexane was added. PhSiH3 (0.06 ml, 0.5 mmol) was added to this mixture with stirring at room temperature. The mixture was heated to 60°C for 3 h, leading to a light brown homogeneous mixture. The reaction was slowly cooled to room temperature, producing a crop of light brown crystals. (116 mg, 54 %).

1H NMR monitoring of the reaction revealed the formation of a single boron species, heteroleptic bis(amide) borane [HB(NMe2)(HMDS)] along with H2 evolution. Although the N-heterocyclic ligand IPr does not bind to Zn(HMDS)2, its presence appears to be crucial for the stabilization of 1. Contrastingly, using silane PhSiH3 under harsher reaction conditions, only one of the amido arms of the zinc precursor undergoes Si-H/Zn-HMDS metathesis to form (HMDS)ZnH·IPr (3), which exhibits a complex and intriguing behaviour in benzene solutions. These findings illustrate the structural diversity of this family of heteroleptic zinc hydride species as well as their intricate solution chemistry, which can play an important role when assessing their reactivity.

Conclusions
Access to two novel and distinct heteroleptic amido(hydride) Zn species has been gained by reacting a mixture of the zinc bis(amide) Zn(HMDS)2 and the unsaturated N-heterocyclic carbene IPr with two different hydride sources, namely dimethylamino borane (DMAB) and silane PhSiH3. The former affords tetranuclear cluster 1 which can be described as a co-complex of IPr·ZnH2 and (HMDS)ZnH and whose formation is likely to occur via a transient Zn amidoborane species, resulting from the deprotonation of DMAB by the zinc amide precursor.
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