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Abstract—DC line faults on HVDC systems utilising Voltage Source Converters (VSC) are a major issue for multi-terminal HVDC systems in which complete isolation of the faulted system is not a viable option. Of these faults single line-to-earth faults are the most common fault scenario. In order to better understand the system under such faults, this paper analyses the behaviour of HVDC systems based on both conventional two-level converter and multilevel modular converter technology, experiencing a permanent line-to-earth fault. Operation of the proposed system under two different earthing configurations of converter side AC transformer earthed with converter unearthed, and both converter and AC transformer unearthed, was analysed and simulated, with particular attention paid to the converter operation. It was observed that the development of potential earth loops within the system as a result of DC line-to-earth faults leads to substantial overcurrent and results in oscillations depending on the earthing configuration.

1.0 Introduction

Plans have been proposed for the interconnection of various offshore wind farms into a HVDC based European Supergrid with the clear aim of satisfying a large portion of the power demand throughout Europe with renewable generation [1–3]. Due to the numerous control and network support capabilities offered, Voltage Source Converter (VSC) based HVDC (VSC-HVDC) transmission has become a widely acknowledged technology for carrying out such a task. Thanks to the system control capabilities of VSC, there is the potential to interconnect numerous VSC-HVDC lines into a single multi-terminal DC (MTDC) network that can allow the efficient pooling and dispatch of energy over a vast geographical area [4–6].

However, before such a system can become a reality, various technical challenges need to be overcome to ensure safe and stable system operation on a large scale. One of these most important issues still to be addressed is the control and protection of the system under DC fault conditions [7]. Conventional thyristor based HVDC transmission systems do not experience high levels of overcurrent as a result of DC line faults [8]. However, when DC faults occur on VSC systems, due to the discharging of any capacitors on the DC side and the feeding of AC fault current to the DC system via the converters’ anti-parallel (freewheeling) diodes, very large overcurrent can be generated [9,10].

Current protection schemes for point-to-point VSC-HVDC systems involve disconnection of the faulted line via AC circuit breakers, isolating the DC system in its entirety. However, this is not a viable option for MTDC systems, due to the large transmission capacities involved, therefore it becomes necessary to quickly and reliably detect and isolate only the
Isolation of a faulted DC line has been proposed by utilisation of DC circuit breakers (DCCBs) [11, 12]. However, the development of such breakers for high voltage applications has presented a problem for years since, unlike AC systems, there is no natural current zero within DC systems, therefore such a breaker would have to force the current to zero and dissipate the energy stored in the systems inductance [13, 14]. Mechanical HVDC interrupters currently employed in existing DC systems are limited not only in terms of rating, but also operational speed, with an interruption time in the order of several tens of milliseconds, too slow to protect the sensitive IGBTs post fault [14]. Proposed fast acting DCCBs utilising semiconductor technology, which can operate within a few milliseconds, can eliminate some of the problems associated with DC fault protection. Various prototypes are currently under development [14, 15]; although it will likely be some years before commercially reliable and economical DCCBs are in operation. Even with the advent of DCCBs, fast and reliable DC fault detection will be essential to provide adequate protection, as well as speedy restoration of the system post-fault [13]. To this end, a thorough understanding of the converters behaviour under various fault conditions will be advantageous to ensuring that the most efficient fault detection and protection protocols can be developed.

A significant amount of work has been carried out on analysing the control and operation of MTDC systems, including its voltage control and power dispatch capabilities, as well as the resiliency of such systems to onshore AC grid disturbances [4, 16]. In terms of DC faults, most of the work focuses on fault detection and isolation, either; through the use of DCCBs[11] or via utilization of existing AC circuit breakers and fast acting switches [17, 18]. Analysis of the effects of line-to-line faults, and a brief analysis of line-to-earth faults on VSC systems was carried out in [8, 18,]. However, considering that a single line-to-earth fault is the most likely fault scenario, a further in-depth analysis into the converters behaviour during such an occurrence could prove advantageous in improving the understanding of system operations.

Many future HVDC systems are likely to use multilevel modular converter (MMC) configurations [19-20] which have different characteristics compared to the conventional two-level VSC systems. In fact, some proposed MMC configurations have inherent DC fault blocking capabilities [20]. However, a thorough understanding of the conventional 2-level systems and the methodologies used can provide useful guidance for analysing systems with different converter topologies.

The objective of this paper is to provide further analysis of the behaviour of a VSC-HVDC converter during a DC line-to-earth fault for both two-level and MMC based systems. The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 illustrates the earthing configurations of the proposed VSC systems. Sections 3 and 4 analyse the system operation of two-level converters during line-to-earth faults for two different configurations: AC transformer earthed/DC link unearthed, and both AC transformer and DC link unearthed, respectively. The analysis for MMC systems with unearthed transformer and DC link is shown in Section 5 and, finally, Section 6 draws conclusions.

2. System Earthing Configurations
Due to the physical protection of a single HVDC cable (arming, insulation etc.), and the separation distances between the positive and negative cables, a potential fault to a single line as a result of cable aging or other physical damage is a more likely fault scenario than a line-to-line fault. Unlike DC line-to-line faults, which experience total discharging of the DC link capacitor [8, 11], for line-to-earth faults the system fault current is dependent on the formation of current loops created by shared earths within the system. Thus the earthing configuration is the main factor in analysing system operation [8].

Table 1. Possible Earthing Points of DC Converter System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solid Earthing</th>
<th>Unearthed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ/Y₀ (DC side)</td>
<td>Δ/Y₀ (DC side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₀/Δ (DC side)</td>
<td>VSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHERE: Green – Solid Red – Unearthed
Mixed – Impedance Dependant (From the Converters Point of View)

Numerous earthing configurations on the converter stations are possible. Traditionally, earthing of the converter systems occurs at a number of possible points, e.g. the AC transformer neutral point, DC link capacitor midpoint, and/or each cable end [8]. No formalised specification for the earthing of MTDC systems has of yet been outlined [7]. Although implantation of various earthing configurations is currently carried out, the vast majority of existing systems are point-to-point. With regards to MTDC systems there is little practical experience and, as such, an ideal earthing configuration is not well defined. This could prove particularly challenging with regards to the proposed Supergrid, which could potentially incorporate converters with varying earthing configurations based on the criteria of the local system operator.

Although earthing of the AC transformer is usually on the AC grid side (unearthed from a converter point of view), work has been proposed on utilising an earthed Y connection on the converter side of the AC transformer, with an aim to help limit the peak line-to-line DC overvoltage caused by single-phase-to-earth faults on the converter side and, also, to allow the rebalancing of the positive and negative lines post fault [21]. In this study, the earthing configurations are presented for their merits which could help practitioners to overcome the limitations of those with sufficient technical benefits.
Additionally, the type of earthing used also plays a key factor in the systems behaviour [22]. The two available options, each with their own benefits and shortcomings, are:

a) High Impedance – limits the fault current. If a high enough value of impedance is used, the system can behave as if unearth.

b) Low Impedance (Solid) – doubles the pole-to-earth voltage on the healthy line during fault [7].

The potential earthing points within the converter system are outlined in Table 1. Despite the number of potential earthing configurations achievable, in this paper, detailed analysis of two configuration schemes, where each DC cable is earthed, are considered, i.e.

S1: converter side AC transformer earthed and DC link unearthed.

S2: both converter side AC transformer and DC link unearthed.

To assist the analysis of converter behaviour under potential earthing schemes for meshed networks, this paper focuses on the behaviour of a single converter, thus the two-terminal HVDC systems shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), using 2-level converters with relatively large DC capacitance on each side, for the two different earthing configurations are represented.

![Diagram of Two-terminal VSC-HVDC system with DC cable line-to-earth Fault](image-url)
Analysis of a single VSC within the system is provided based on the equivalent circuit model shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b) for earthing schemes S1 and S2, respectively. In the subsequent simulation studies, a permanent single line-to-earth fault occurs at $t=0.5\text{s}$ on the positive DC line of the system between the sending and receiving end converters. The system is rated at ±250kV/500MW with an AC source voltage at the converter terminal of 260kV. A line inductance ($L_s$) of 40mH and DC capacitance ($C_{dc}$) of 25μF are used. Although a more detailed cable model would be required to analyse the cable current oscillation in greater detail, this paper focuses on providing a better understanding of the converters operation during single DC cable faults. With this in mind an RLC model of the cable based on [23] is used. Although this will not allow an exact analysis of the system response during a fault, it will allow understanding of its behaviour in more detail. The behaviour of the converter utilising the simplified cable model is comparable to its behaviour when a more detailed cable model is used, as will be illustrated in later sections. The lumped cable parameters are $L_c=215\text{mH}$, $R=5.80\Omega$, $C_{cab}=9.92\mu\text{F}$ [23]. Blocking of the IGBTs occurs at $t=0.502\text{s}$.

As previously stated, implementation of future MTDC system will likely be based on MMC technologies, which have many advantages over 2-level converters including the elimination of the common DC link capacitance which results in a significant reduction in the DC fault current produced [10]. Although there are a number of proposed topologies for multi-
level converters, to keep the system in line with existing MMC systems a Half-bridge topology will be used in this example [24].

Although the structure of 2-level VSCs and MMCs are completely different, blocking of the converter IGBTs post-fault is common to both. When this occurs, the sub-module capacitors in the MMC are quickly bypassed and the circuit can be effectively model using diodes, as shown in Fig. 2(c) which represents a single blocked sub-module. Thus the model of the MMC after the blocking of the IGBTs is comparable to the VSC model from Fig. 2(b), however with the inclusion of the additional arm inductance \( L_M = 27mH \), as shown in Fig. 2(d). It should be noted that although there is no additional DC link capacitors, the converter end cable capacitance values \( C_{cabp} \) and \( C_{caph} \) are now considered as part of the DC cable, which will remain earthed at each end, with \( C_{cabp} = C_{caph} = 9.92\mu F \) in this study. The cable capacitance now being analysed is the cable capacitance at the point of the fault \( C_{cabp} \) and \( C_{caph} \) as shown in Fig. 2(d).

3. S1: AC Transformer Earthed/DC Link Unearthed

For earthing configuration S1 the converter system is modelled as shown in Fig. 2(a). Fig. 3 shows the simulation results during a single line-to-earth fault occurring at \( t=0.5s \). The results shown in Fig. 3(I) were obtained using a simple one PI-section cable model whereas Fig. 3(II) are the results using a 20 PI-section cable model. As can be observed, although the detailed oscillations are different, the general trends for the two different cable models are comparable. Therefore the use of simple cable model can provide simple yet valid means for analysing the converter system behaviour. Thus the detailed analysis that will be carried out in the following sections uses the simple cable model.
3.1 Initial Operation

Initially, when the fault occurs, the positive DC line capacitance ($C_{cabc}$) is shorted to earth causing an immediate drop in its voltage ($v_{cabc}$) to zero as shown in Fig. 3(a). This causes the DC voltage ($V_{dc}$) of the DC link capacitor ($C_{dc}$) to drop quickly, resulting in a significant rise in DC fault current at the DC link capacitor ($i_c$) and DC cable ($i_{cabc}$), and hence, the AC current at the AC terminals ($i_{abc}$), as can be observed in Fig. 3(a) and (b) and Fig. 3(c) and (d), respectively. The IGBTs are quickly blocked but the AC fault current continues increasing through the converter freewheeling diodes (Fig. 3(b)).
resulting in the subsequent rise in the DC current ($i_{dc}$) (Fig. 3(d) and (e)). The negative DC cable capacitor ($C_{cabin}$), which was previously operating at -250 kV, begins to charge but becomes highly oscillative due to the oscillation of the AC fault current and the current loops formed by the shared earths within the system as can be observed in Fig. 3(a), where $v_{cabin}$ is the negative DC cable capacitance voltage.

The operation of the system under this scenario can be analysed in two operational modes i.e. positive diodes conducting via $D_1$, $D_3$, and $D_5$, and negative diode conducting via $D_2$, $D_4$, and $D_6$.

3.2 Positive Diode Conduction Operation

For the majority of the systems operation the freewheeling diodes $D_1$, $D_3$, and $D_5$ of the converter act like a half-wave bridge rectifier permitting the flow of AC current to the DC system, as shown in Fig. 3(b).

Two separate current loops form within the system, the first being between the AC source and the DC fault, created as a result of the shared earth between the two system components. The second current loop is created between the DC terminal and the DC cable and is the result of the charging/discharging of the DC link capacitors and the DC cable capacitance. After the initial fault, the half wave rectification of the AC current by the diodes results in current flowing through $D_1$ and $D_3$ in this particular example, thus the system resembles Fig. 4(a), where $L_s$, $L_c$ and $R$ are the AC source inductance, and cable inductance and resistance, respectively. The equivalent circuit for system operation during this initial positive phase, as shown in Fig. 4(a), can be represented in Fig. 4(b) and:

$$I_{s0} = I_{a0} + I_{b0} = I_{dc0}, \quad L_{st} = L_s/2$$
$$v_T = \left(\frac{v_a + v_b}{2}\right)$$

where $v_T$ and $L_{st}$ are the total AC source voltage and inductance, respectively, $I_{s0}$, $V_{dc0}$ and $V_{cabo}$ are the total initial AC source current, and the initial voltages at the DC link and DC cable capacitance, respectively.

Due to the current loop formed between $C_{dc}$ and $C_{cabin}$, both capacitors go through a sequence of recharging and discharging in an attempt to reach equilibrium, resulting in the subsequent oscillation of $i_c$, and $i_{cable}$, and continued oscillation of $V_{dc}$ and $V_{cab}$, as observed in Fig. 3(a) respectively. However, because $C_{dc}$ and $C_{cabin}$ are connected in series, the smaller of the two (i.e. $C_{cabin}$ by approximately 40% in this case) is the dominant capacitor, and as such the oscillation of $V_{cabin}$ is greater than that of $V_{dc}$. It should be noted that the direction of the voltage oscillations is the inverse of the other in each instance due to the different current directions relative to the capacitor voltages.
3.3 Negative Diode Conduction Operation

Due to the large AC network inductance \( L_s \) the voltage at the converter AC terminals \((v_a, v_b, v_c)\) is usually low. However, for the majority of the time this terminal voltage still exceeds \( V_{dc} \) as can be observed in Figs. 3(a) and (f), resulting in predominantly positive diode conduction occurring thus the current is rectified through at least one of the diodes \( D_1, D_3, \) or \( D_5 \).

However, due to the oscillation of \( V_{dc} \) and the increase of \( V_{cabs} \), due to the charging of \( C_{cabs} \), \( V_{dc} \) can occasionally become less than the AC terminal voltage as can be observed in Fig. 3(a) and (f), respectively. This creates a voltage potential between the AC and DC system and causes the flow of the AC current through one of the negative diodes (initially \( D_2 \)). This is shown by the smaller negative current in Fig. 3(b) at approximately \( t=0.507-0.508s \) and will be further addressed in the following sections.

This results in an alteration of the system configuration as the system now resembles Fig. 4(c) with:

\[
I_{D2} = I_{CN} + I_{CFN}, \quad I_{CN} \neq I_{CFN}
\]

Due to the alteration in system configuration caused by the current flowing through the negative diode \( D_2 \), the system under negative diode conduction operation can be represented by Fig. 4(d), where, \( V_{PT} \) and \( V_{RT} \), and \( L_{SP} \) and \( L_{SN} \), are the sum of the AC voltages and inductances connected to the positive and negative cables, respectively. Therefore, for the initial negative phase operation (3) is met.
\[ I_{SPQ} = I_{sb} + I_{sb'} \]
\[ L_{SP} = L_{s}/2, \quad L_{SN} = L_{s} \]
\[ V_{pT} = \left( v_a + v_b \right)/2 \]
\[ V_{NT} = v_c \]  

(3)

3.4 State Oscillation Analysis

Oscillation of the system current results in the conduction of different combinations of the freewheeling diodes, altering the configuration of the system model. The sequence of diode conduction, and hence the systems operation, is dependent on the AC and DC voltages.

Oscillation between the different system configurations forms a repeating sequence, as can be observed in Figs. 3(a)–(f). Therefore, analysis of the system over a brief time period (0.025s post-fault) is a good interpretation of the total system operation. To more easily show the flow of current through each freewheeling diode, Figs. 3(g) and (h) show the current flow through the top diodes \( i_{D_1}, i_{D_3}, \) and \( i_{D_5} \) and the bottom diodes \( i_{D_2}, i_{D_4}, \) and \( i_{D_6} \).

Initially, after the blocking of the IGBTs at \( t=0.505s \), the system operates as shown in Fig. 4(a) (positive diodes conducting), with \( D_1 \) and \( D_3 \) on as shown in Fig. 3(g), and (1a) is met for the equivalent circuit (Fig. 4(b)). At \( t=0.507s \), \( D_2 \) begins conducting as negative current flows through the converter, due to the voltage potential created, as shown in Fig. 3(h). The system operates as shown in Fig. 4(d) (negative diodes conducting) where (2) is met. The sequence of the diode conduction over the initial period of time (0.025s) is shown in Table 2.

As can be observed from Table 2, the system occupies a number of different states (A–E) throughout this time period. System analysis for each state is shown below. Notation (n) indicates that negative diodes conduction is occurring; otherwise only the positive diodes are conducting. System configuration corresponding to the equivalent positive (Fig. 4(b)) and negative conduction circuits (Fig. 4(d)) are shown in Tables 3 and 4 respectively.

**TABLE 2 DIODE SWITCHING SEQUENCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Phase Voltage</th>
<th>Phase Current</th>
<th>+Ve Diodes</th>
<th>-Ve Diodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5065</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>0.5065</td>
<td>0.5075</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5075</td>
<td>0.5115</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.5115</td>
<td>0.5125</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(n)</td>
<td>0.5125</td>
<td>0.5135</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.5135</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(n)</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.5195</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(n)</td>
<td>0.5195</td>
<td>0.5205</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.5205</td>
<td>0.5215</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(n)</td>
<td>0.5215</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where: \(\bigstar\) Diode On, \(\bigcirc\) Diode Off
As can be observed in Fig. 4(d), the complexity of the equivalent circuit for the system during negative operation is significantly increased when compared to the equivalent circuit for positive operation (Fig. 4(b)), due to the increased number of sources. However, as shown in Fig. 3(h), the short time period of negative conduction, as well as its small current magnitude, compared to the positive diode conduction cycle, means that this phenomenon can be neglected without causing significant errors. Further, when the detailed cable model is considered (Fig. 3(II)(h)), the negative diode conduction phenomenon is greatly reduced when compared to the simplified cable model, thus reinforcing the notion that neglecting the negative diode cycle incurs little error on the overall system analysis.
4 S2: Both DC Link and AC Transformer Unearthed

In this scenario we assume that the AC transformer is now unearthed on the converter side with the DC link capacitor remaining unearthed, thus the system is now as shown in Fig. 1(b). In this case, the DC cable ends are still earthed thus one terminal of the system is as shown in Fig. 2(b). The system behaviour for the entire fault timeline is shown in Fig. 5.

In this scenario the lack of an earthing point on the converter side isolates the AC and DC systems. As with the previous example, the fault results in the discharging of the DC link capacitors resulting in a reduction of the DC voltage (Fig. 5(a)) and the subsequent rise in the DC fault current as observed in Fig. 5(c)–(e). Initially, the system resembles Fig. 6(a).

However, because there is no common earth between the AC and DC systems, as $C_{caban}$ begins to recharge (as in the previous example) the DC voltage of the system is quickly restored to approximately 1.0pu by $t=0.51s$, resulting in an elimination of any voltage potential between the AC and DC systems, thus reducing the AC fault current and, hence, $i_{dc}$ reduces to zero as observed in Fig. 5 (b) and (e) with the equivalent system now resembling Fig. 6(b).

This elimination of the AC fault currents contribution to the system greatly reduces the DC fault current when compared to the previous example as observed in Fig. 3(c)–(e) and Fig. 5(c)–(e). However, due to the formation of current loops within the DC system $i_c$ and $i_{cable}$ continue to oscillation as a result of the oscillation of $V_{dc}$ and $v_{caban}$, due to the recharging and discharging of $C_{dc}$ and $C_{caban}$ as observed in Fig. 5(c) and (d) at $t=5.1s$. 

Fig. 5 Simulated waveforms during line-to-earth fault: both DC link AC transformer unearthed
Fig. 6 (a) Initial System configuration during line-to-earth fault with converter and AC transformer unearthed; (b) Equivalent circuit on the DC side; and (c) Simplified circuit for initial AC feeding Stage

4.1 State Oscillation Analysis

As in the previous example, the system configuration changes as the sequence of diode conduction changes dependant on the AC current. Unlike the previous example, this does not form a repeating sequence as the AC overcurrent is reduced to zero by \( t=0.5178 \)s due to the lack of a shared earth (Fig. 5(b)). The sequence of diode conduction for the initial AC current feeding stage is as given in Table 5, with the system configuration at each stage corresponding to the equivalent circuit for the initial feeding phase (Fig. 6(c)) is as shown in Table 6.

**Table 5 Diode Switching Sequence: AC Transformer Unearthed/DC Link Unearthed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Phase Voltage</th>
<th>Phase Current</th>
<th>+Ve Diodes</th>
<th>-Ve Diodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
<td>1 3 5 2 4 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5 0.507</td>
<td>+  +  + - 0  - X  - X  - X  -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.507 0.509</td>
<td>0  +  - +  + - X  X  - X  -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.509 0.511</td>
<td>-  +  + 0  - - X  - X  - X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.511 0.513</td>
<td>-  - 0  -  + - X  - X  - X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.513 0.514</td>
<td>-  +  + 0  - + X  - X  - X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.514 0.516</td>
<td>-  0  + -  + - X  - X  - X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G*</td>
<td>0.516 0.5162</td>
<td>-  + 0 0 0 - - - - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.5162 0.5178</td>
<td>0  +  - -  + + - X  - X  X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G*</td>
<td>0.5178</td>
<td>-  -  + 0 0 0 - - - - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*where: [\(\checkmark\)] Diode ‘On’ ; [\(\bigcirc\)] Diode ‘Off’*
For state G* (Table 6), the AC overcurrent has extinguished, due to the restoration of $V_{dc}$ to 1pu as can be observed in Figs. 5(a) and (b). This system at this time is as represented in Fig. 6(b).

In such a case, detection of the fault could potentially be hindered due to no significant AC fault current and, subsequently, significantly lower DC fault current being produced. Fault detection in this case would need to rely on other measurements, e.g., detection of the DC pole under/over voltage.

4.2 Discussion of Differing Earthing Configurations

As can be observed in Sections 3 and 4, the systems behaviour during line-to-earth faults is dependent on the earthing configuration employed. Both systems S1 and S2 experience substantial overcurrent due to the discharging of the DC link capacitors as can be observed in Figs. 3 and 5. However, behaviour of the converter is noticeably different in each case.

S1 - Substantial AC overcurrent occurs in a characteristic half-wave rectification pattern and continues to feed the DC system for the duration of the fault timeline (until isolation of the converter via DC or AC CBs), due to shared earthing between the converter side AC transformer and DC system. Also, the DC voltage is not restored to normal levels for the duration of the fault (Fig. 3).

S2 – AC and DC overcurrent is not as pronounced as S1 (although still substantial during the initial fault stage), and AC overcurrent is quickly extinguished due to the lack of a shared earth between the converter side AC transformer and DC system, thus the DC fault current is effectively reduced to zero within a short period, e.g., 20 ms in the study. Even without isolation of the faulted line, the DC voltage is restored to approx. 1pu (Fig. 5).

The differing behaviour of the converter for each earthing configuration is important, particularly the levels of overcurrent involved and their duration. This may need to be taken into account with regards to fault detection and protection protocols, especially for S2 since the overcurrent is relatively small (compared to S1) and quickly extinguished; also, the DC voltage within the system is effectively restored to normal levels within a short period (Fig. 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$I_{so}$</th>
<th>$I_{sr}$</th>
<th>$V_T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$I_{so} + I_{bo} = I_{co}$</td>
<td>$2L_s$</td>
<td>$v_a - v_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$I_{so} + I_{bo} = I_{co}$</td>
<td>$3L_s/2$</td>
<td>$(v_b + v_c)/2 - v_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$I_{bo} = I_{co}$</td>
<td>$2L_s$</td>
<td>$v_b - v_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>$I_{so} + I_{co} = I_{so}$</td>
<td>$3L_s/2$</td>
<td>$v_a - (v_b + v_c)/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>$I_{so} = I_{bo}$</td>
<td>$2L_s$</td>
<td>$v_b - v_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>$I_{bo} + I_{co} = I_{so}$</td>
<td>$3L_s/2$</td>
<td>$(v_b + v_c)/2 - v_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G*</td>
<td>$I_{so} = I_{bo} = I_{co}$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\begin{align*}
G^* & = 0 \\
H & = 3L_s/2 \\
G^* & = 0
\end{align*}$
5 DC Line-to-Earth fault: Half-Bridge MMC

The equivalent circuit for initial MMC operation during a line-to-earth fault can be derived in a similar way as for 2-level converters and it is now shown in Fig. 7 where the MMC arm and cable inductances are lumped into a single parameter as

\[
L_{MMC} = 2L_M + 2L_{st} \quad (9a)
\]

\[
I_{MMC0} = I_{M0} + I_{so} \quad (9b)
\]

where, \(L_M\) and \(I_{M0}\) are the MMC arm inductance and its initial current respectively.

Only the configuration of the AC transformer secondary side unearthed (similar to the case in Section 4) is considered due to space constraints. Full analysis of the systems response can be achieved by utilising the analytical method from Sections 3 and 4. Due to the space limitation it is not provided here. Simulation results for the systems behaviour is shown in Fig. 8.

In such a scenario the earthing of the DC cable ends provides a pathway for the DC overcurrent after the initial fault. As with the previous example the discharging of \(C_{dc1}\) results in a drop in \(V_{dc}\) to 0.5pu (Fig. 8(a)), causing the AC and DC fault current to increase substantially (Figs. 8(b)–(d)). However, similar to the previous analysis for 2-level converters, the negative DC cable is quickly charged and the total DC voltage recovered, causing \(i_{dc}\) to fall to zero within 0.02s (Fig. 8(e)). Consequently, the AC current is also reduced to zero, as shown in Fig. 8(b) by \(t=0.52s\).

After the initial overcurrent the converter itself would experience little fault current. Due to the formation of an earth loop between the two ends of the DC cable and the fault, current still flows within the cable as can be observed by the fluctuation of \(i_c\) and \(i_{cable}\) as shown in Figs. 8(c) and (d), at \(t=0.525s\).
As can be observed from Figs. 5 and 8, behaviour of the VSC and MMC system with DC link unearthed/AC transformer unearthed are comparable post fault, with similar AC and DC overcurrent, and AC current oscillation due to the formation of similar earth loops within the system. However, the DC overcurrent levels are noticeable smaller for the MMC system due to the elimination of the large DC link capacitors.

6 Conclusions

This paper analyses the behaviour of a VSC-HVDC system under a DC line-to-earth fault, outlining system behaviour dependent on the earthing configuration. A detailed analysis of a VSC-HVDC system utilising two different earthing configurations i.e. unearthed DC converter with earthed AC transformer, and unearthed DC converter and AC transformer are provided. System operation at each stage of the fault was analysed during these fault scenarios and behaviour of the system during the entire fault timeline was also outlined. It was observed that the formation of earth loops within the system leads to substantial overcurrent through the converter and causes the system to oscillate between various different states. System behaviour is dependent on earthing scheme implemented and needs to be taken into account when developing operational procedures and fault detection and protection strategies.

DC fault behaviour of modular multilevel converter based HVDC system was also studied and found to be comparable to a conventional VSC system after the blocking of the converters in both cases. Despite the advantages offered, modular multilevel converters are still susceptible to faults in a similar manner to conventional VSC systems, however the reduced size of the DC link capacitance minimises the levels of fault current produced. Proposed development of multilevel converters with inherent fault blocking abilities and/or the development of commercial DCCBs is still a necessary requirement to allow the implementation of large scale MTDC systems based on this technology.
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