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ABSTRACT
Electromyography is the study of the voltage polarisation signals generated during body muscle contractions. Surface EMG is non-invasive and is ideal for applications such as training, rehabilitation and active prosthesis control. Despite the progress in technology, myoelectric prostheses currently on the market still adopt simple analog sensors. Digital EMG acquisition is now common practice in research and academic institutions, but it involves fairly expensive pieces of equipment. This paper describes the implementation of a low-cost wireless surface EMG digital sensor based on the MSP430 microcontroller from Texas Instruments. The proposed acquisition system fully exploits the capabilities of the eZ430-RF2500 Development Tool to digitise surface EMG signals, transmit them wirelessly between nodes and finally read them into a PC for further processing. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed system in acquiring sEMG signals for pattern recognition and real-time control.

1. INTRODUCTION
In physiology it is a well-known fact that body muscle contractions are associated with the generation of voltage polarisation signals, travelling along the muscle fibres, from the muscle belly towards the extremities. Electromyography (EMG) is the study of such signals, which are generally referred to as myoelectric or EMG signals [1].

There are two ways to record EMG signals from a muscle: one is to insert wired needles within the muscle (intramuscular EMG); the other is to apply surface electrodes to the skin above the muscle (surface EMG - sEMG). The first option is usually used in medical and scientific studies, where highly accurate details of the physiology of the muscle are required, at a fibre-level. The second one instead is usually used in all those applications where just the presence and basic characteristics of EMG signals (amplitude, shape) are of interest, as for example in sport training, rehabilitation and control.

Due to the non-invasive nature, surface EMG signals have been used to control active upper limb prostheses since 1960s [2, 3]. However the control strategy used was very basic: two analog electrodes were placed on a pair of antagonistic muscles on the residual limb, and when the amplitude recorded at one of the sensors was higher than the other, the myoelectric prosthesis would perform the function associated with the electrode, i.e. either open or close the fingers/gripper.

In the last decade, progress in mechanics and electronics has allowed the manufacturing of smaller, lighter, faster and more human-resembling myoelectric prostheses, wherein hand digits are individually powered. Nonetheless, the control mechanism in the majority of the commercially available myoelectric prostheses is still based on the amplitude of signals recorded from analog electrodes.

Only in more recent years digital acquisition of EMG signals has become common practice in the research community. However, this involves sophisticated and fairly expensive pieces of equipment, making practical social use difficult or prohibitive. To overcome this issue, researchers have started to build their own digital sensors for EMG acquisition [4, 5].

This paper describes the implementation of a low-cost wireless surface EMG digital sensor based on the MSP430 microcontroller from Texas Instruments [6]. Compared with similar work, the acquisition system described in this paper fully exploits the capabilities of the eZ430-RF2500 Development Tool [7] to first digitise the sensed analog sEMG signals, then to wirelessly transmit them to a central access point, and finally to easily read them into a PC for further processing. The only extra hardware needed consists of few inexpensive analog components for signal conditioning before digitisation.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In section 2 implementation details of the proposed system are described. Experimental results are reported in section 3, while section 4 concludes the paper.

2. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION
An illustration of the implemented acquisition system is shown in Figure 1. The sEMG sensor itself consists of a eZ430-RF2500 target board, a simple PCB, batteries and surface electrodes. Such a sensor wirelessly transmits the recorded samples to the MSP430 acting as an access point,
through the SimplicitTI protocol from TI [8]. The access point streams the received samples through the USB-based MSP430 Application UART to a PC, for further data processing.

2.1 Surface EMG acquisition

The sEMG sensors has two main parts, namely a custom-made PCB for signal conditioning, and the MSP430 target board, where the conditioned analog EMG is digitised and wirelessly transmitted. A close-up of the PCB is shown in Figure 2.

As a surface EMG signal usually has very low amplitude, it is easily influenced by external noise and other artifacts, as for example the 50Hz interference from the power line. To reduce external influences, the bipolar, or differential, configuration is usually used [1]. In this case two surface electrodes are placed on the muscle belly, while a reference electrode is placed on an EMG-inactive region, such as the elbow for example. This is the configuration used in the sEMG sensor described in this paper.

Concerning the common mode rejection, one could use the op-amp available on the MSP430 processor. However, as the ADC on the microcontroller can only digitise positive voltages, some signal conditioning is required before the ADC stage. For this reason a custom-made PCB has been developed. The PCB has a signal conditioning part, as illustrated in Figure 3, and a filtering part, as in Figure 4. The first block in Figure 3 is an INA126 differential amplifier from Texas Instruments, with a gain $G = 2000$. The two resistors $R_g$ are required to avoid floating offsets present at the inputs of the differential amplifier.

The positive offset required by the ADC is added to the EMG signal by the summing amplifier (OA2), while the voltage follower (OA1) permits to have an output voltage with minimum current. Here the voltage regulator is an L78L33 from ST Microelectronics. Finally the inverting amplifier (OA3) corrects the sign of the signal in output from OA2. All the op-amps in the PCB are TL072’s from Texas Instruments. The capacitor C3 is added to remove the unwanted offset in output from the differential amplifier.

With regard to filtering, the bandwidth of a surface EMG signal is between 15 – 20 and 500Hz. Frequencies lower than 15Hz relate to motion artifacts due to the motion of the electrodes on the skin and the muscle underneath. On the other side, frequencies above 500Hz must be deleted, to avoid aliasing. Therefore, assuming a maximum frequency of $f_{\text{max}} = 500Hz$, a sampling frequency of 1kHz is required.

To fulfill these bandwidth requirements, the PCB contains also high-pass (HP) and low-pass (LP) filters. The HP filter is represented by the capacitor C3 in Figure 3 and its value is such to have a cut-off at 15Hz. The LP filter is a 2nd order Butterworth filter implemented with an op-amp (OA4) and resistors and capacitors only. A diagram of such a filter is illustrated in Figure 4. Also in this case the values of R and C in the LP filter are such that the cut-off frequency is $f_c = 450Hz$.

Finally the filtered sEMG signal in input to the MSP430 target board is quantised into discrete levels by the ADC on the microcontroller. As the ADC uses 10 bits and its voltage references are $V_{R-} = 0V$ and $V_{R+} = 3.3V$, the quantisation levels for the input signal $V_{in}$ are calculated as in (1):

$$V_{\text{ADC}} = (2^{10} - 1) \times \frac{V_{in} - V_{R-}}{V_{R+} - V_{R-}}$$

(1)

On the MSP430, Timer A and the ADC are set to sample $V_{in}$ at $f_s = 2f_c = 900$. As a visual feedback to the user, the green LED on the target board lights up as soon as the sensor is switched on, while the red LED instead light up when the digitised signal is higher than 75% or lower than 25% of the ADC scale range.

2.2 Data communication

The communication between the sEMG sensor and the access point uses the built-in wireless capability of the e430-RF2500 Development Tool, which is equipped with a CC2500 wireless transceiver. This allows a maximum transmission rate of 500kBaud. At software level, the communication relies on the TI proprietary SimplicitTI protocol [8], which is a low-power RF protocol ideal for small networks, i.e. less than 100 nodes.

Once the communication between access point and end
device is established, the sEMG sensor starts transmitting the digitised samples into packets of 10 bytes. As the ADC on the MSP430 uses 10 bits, it would be inefficient to transmit two bytes (16 bits) for each digitised sample (10 bits). Therefore, to optimise the transmission rate and at the same time to avoid complex data packing operations, only the 8 left-most bits of each 10-bit sample are transmitted, therefore using just 1 byte per sample. This implies a double bit-wise right shift of each sample value. Although some precision is lost, calculations confirm that the maximum error introduced is 12.88mV, which is negligible compared to the output signal voltage (0 – 3.3V). On the PC, a simple multiplication by 4 of each received sample restores the data to its original scale.

The access point receives data packets via wireless and retransmit them over the USB-based serial port. For each byte the MSP430 Application UART adds 1 stop bit, so the total bit rate on the serial port is 8100bps, which is below the hardware limit of 9600bps of the ez430-RF2500 Development Tool. As results reported in section 3 demonstrate, this setup allows to transmit data from a wireless sEMG sensor to the access point and then to a PC-based application with a negligible loss of samples. This loss is caused by the single thread nature of the current implementation of the access point, as it can be proved that there is no packet loss in the wireless link between end device and access point.

2.3 Extension for multiple electrodes

In order to simultaneously record from multiple sEMG sensors, no changes are required in the end device described above. Instead, the implementation of the access point requires some modification, in order to correctly separate wireless packets coming from different sensors.

When a packet is received, the access point adds to it a 2-byte header, where the first byte is a simple ‘0’ character, acting as a delimiter to transmit the data to the PC over the serial port; instead the second byte is the ID of the sensor which transmitted the packet. However, to prevent confusion between the “0” in the header and possible “0” values in the data field of the packet, each “0” representing EMG data is replaced with a “1”. As “0” in the data is the negative saturation value of the recorded EMG signal, replacing “0” with “1” has the mere effect of slightly increasing the negative saturation threshold. Nonetheless, as a “0” represents data that has already been clipped, a further clipping of 1 step does not introduce significant new distortion in the EMG.

With respect to packet separation, there is no risk of losing or misinterpreting “0” delimiters, as transmission errors over the serial connection are highly unlikely. Indeed, if any problem occurs on the serial port, the serial communication is interrupted altogether.

At PC side, the serial data read from the USB port is divided into separate packets, based on “0” delimiters. Finally, packets are sorted upon their sensor ID, in order to correctly reassemble data streams coming from different sEMG sensors.

Some experiments have been carried out to test the correctness of the multi-sensor communication protocol, as reported in section 3. However, due to the hardware limitation of 9600bps in the ez430-RF2500 Development Tool, the sampling frequency in such experiments has been decreased to $f_s = 300$Hz, in order to have two sensors transmitting at the same time. The reported results again show that data is correctly sent from both end devices to the PC-based application, through the access point, with a sample loss of less than 1%. It is expected that an implementation of the access point using a different version of the MSP430 microcontroller, with higher UART bit rate allowance, would be able to record from multiple sEMG sensors simultaneously, at full sampling frequency ($f_s = 900$Hz).

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

As first experiment, a single sinusoid at $f_1 = 210$Hz from a signal generator is fed into the sEMG sensor through the PCB board. This analog signal is digitised in the end device (ED) and transmitted wirelessly to the access point (AP), which in turn streams the data to Matlab, through the USB. Time and frequency plots of the sinusoid are illustrated in Figure 5. Here it can be seen that no major distortion is introduced in the signal, as its frequency spectrum contains basically only one significant component, indeed located at 210Hz. The other components in Figure 5b are the 50Hz interference and its harmonics. These can easily be removed in software with a comb filter.

To try and quantify the loss of samples, if any, within the recording chain ED-AP-Matlab, an artificial sawtooth signal is generated within the ED, using a single byte counter, from 0 to 255 and wrapping around (Figure 6). This signal is again transmitted to the AP, and from there to Matlab. It has been found that no packets are lost over the wireless link, while a very small number of samples (< 0.1%) is lost in the serial port communication. Nonetheless, such a loss is so small that it can be comfortably considered as negligible.

After having tested that data can be reliably recorded with the described system, surface EMG signals are then acquired from the forearm of a volunteer, as illustrated in Figure 7. The time and frequency plots of one sEMG signal are shown in Figure 8. In Figure 8b in particular, it is evident the pres-
Figure 7: Surface electrodes on the muscle belly (a) and reference electrode on EMG-inactive region (b).

Figure 8: Recorded surface EMG signal (a) and its spectrum (b).

Figure 9: Recorded gestures: CLOSE (a), FLEXION (b), PINCH (c), PINKY (d).

tence of the 50Hz interference.

To demonstrate that the recorded EMG signals do carry useful information, pattern recognition has been used in order to classify four gestures performed by a volunteer. Such gestures are CLOSE, FLEXION, PINCH and PINKY, and they are illustrated in Figure 9. For this experiment, the part of the signal corresponding to each repeat (5 in this case) of a gesture is divided into blocks of 300ms, with 50% overlap. Then features are extracted from each block. Such features are Mean Absolute Value, Sign Slope Change, Waveform Length and Zero Crossings [9]. The extracted feature vectors are divided, gesture-wise, into training (80%) and testing (20%) data, and Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) is used as classifier. The selection of training and testing data is random and it is repeated 1000 times, so the average of the 1000 classification percentages obtained is the final score for the classification process. The value of 1000 has been chosen experimentally, as it has been noticed that the classification percentages tend to converge to the average score, between 500 and 1000 iterations. The confusion matrix for this experiment is reported in Figure 10, with an overall score of 80.7%. Although this value may seem low, it should be noted that only one channel, i.e. one sEMG sensor, has been used. It is expected that using two or more channels can considerably increase the classification score. Nonetheless, this experiment demonstrates that the sEMG signal recorded with the sensor described in this paper contain useful information, which can be used as control mechanism in human-machine interfaces.

Finally, the possibility of using two sEMG sensors as described in section 2.3 has been explored. For this, no modification is needed in the end device, apart from lowering the sampling to $f' = 300Hz$. Instead, the access point and the PC-based application have been modified to accommodate two sEMG sensors. In this experiment, the same sinusoid at 122Hz from a signal generator is fed to both sensors. Once again, time and frequency plots of the two recorded signals are shown in Figure 11. It can be seen that the signals in...
the time domain are not identical, obviously due to unsyn-
chronised sampling and to intrinsic differences in the PCB.
However, the frequency spectra are very similar, apart from
a negligible difference in the relative amplitude of the 122Hz
sinusoid compared to the other interference components in
the signals. This proves that the sEMG recording system de-
scribed in this paper is suitable also for multi-channel acquisi-
tion.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper a low-cost wireless sEMG digital sensor based
on ez430-RF2500 Development Tool version of the MSP430
microcontroller has been implemented and tested, to trans-
mit lower arm EMG signals for the purpose of performing a
pattern recognition. Using just one surface EMG sensor an
overall score of over 80% was recorded. The results illus-
trate that this low-cost wireless implementation of EMG sig-
nal acquisition and processing can provide substantially good
results. Despite EMG signals have been recorded from a sin-
gle channel, indications have been given on how to expand
the system to accommodate recording from multiple chan-
nels; experimental results concerning this aspect suggest the
feasibility of the proposed approach. Plans for future work
include the computation of EMG features within the end de-
vice, to avoid sending all the acquired sample and therefore
to save bandwidth on the wireless link and on the serial port.
Another future work direction is to replace the current im-
plementation of the access point based on the ez430-RF2500
Development Tool, with one using a device with less strin-
gent hardware limitation in terms of bit rate, as for example
the MSP430FG4618/F2013 Experimenter Board. This is to
allow simultaneous multi-channel sEMG recording.
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