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Abstract

This paper reports for the first time the incorporation of in-situ reduced graphene oxide (rGO) into geopolymers. The resulting rGO-geopolymeric composites are easy to manufacture and exhibit excellent mechanical properties. Geopolymers with graphene oxide (GO) contents of 0.00, 0.10, 0.35 and 0.50\% by weight were fabricated. The functional groups, morphology, void filling mechanisms and mechanical properties of the composites were determined. The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra revealed that the alkaline solution reduced the hydroxyl/carbonyl groups of GO by deoxygenation and/or dehydration. Concomitantly, the spectral absorbance related to silica type cross-linking increased in the spectra. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs indicated that rGO altered the morphology of geopolymers from a porous nature to a substantially pore filled morphology with increased mechanical properties. The flexural tests showed that 0.35-wt\% rGO produced the highest flexural strength, Young’s modulus and flexural toughness and they were increased by 134\%, 376\% and 56\%, respectively.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, considerable research has been aimed at the development of fly ash based geopolymers. This is driven by the need to reduce or complement the ordinary Portland
cement (OPC) consumption in the construction industry. OPC is major contributor to greenhouse gases when compared to geopolymers. Geopolymers in general emit less greenhouse gases due to their lower calcium carbonate-based raw materials and production temperature. Geopolymers are inorganic polymers synthesized via a chemical reaction between a highly alkaline solution and the Si-Al minerals present in the fly ash. This results in a 3-D polymeric network consisting of Si-O-Al-O bonds with the formula of $M_n \left[ (SiO_2)_z - AlO_2 \right] wH_2O$ where $M$ is an alkaline element, $n$ is the degree of polymerization, $z$ is a value between 1 and 32, and $w$ is the hydration extent, which is a function of the type and amount of the alkaline solution used [1]. The most commonly used alkaline solution is a mixture of sodium silicate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) with a Na$_2$SiO$_3$/NaOH ratio between 1.5 and 3 [2]. The processed type F fly ash based geopolymers exhibit mechanical properties similar to those of OPC but with better performance under severe environmental conditions. For example, it has been reported that geopolymers exhibit excellent resistance to acid and sulfate attack when compared to OPC due to the lower calcium content of the fly ash [3-5]. Fly ash based geopolymers are also fire resistant binders. According to Pan el al. [6], geopolymers are inherently fire resistant due to their polymeric-silicon-oxygen-aluminum framework. Pan et al. [6] and Duxson et al. [7] have shown that geopolymers can sustain high temperatures (up to 800°C) with little gel structural degradation. OPC and geopolymers are typically brittle and characterized by low tensile strength and fracture toughness. To combat this, OPC and geopolymers are often reinforced with micro and nano fibers. For example, fibers such as steel, polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and basalt fibers have been found to be particularly effective in controlling crack propagation and enhancing the flexural strength and the fracture energy of geopolymers [8]. These enhanced properties were mainly attributed to the fiber bridging effect during both micro and macro cracking of the geopolymeric matrix [8]. The mechanical interlocking at the
fiber-matrix interface and chemical bonding between the fiber and the matrix both play a role in strengthening and toughening of the geopolymeric matrix [9].

Recently, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were adopted as a reinforcement for geopolymers. The unique properties such as high elastic modulus and tensile strength and high aspect ratio make CNTs an ideal candidate for reinforcement. Mackenzie and Bolton [10] investigated the tensile strength of potassium-based aluminosilicate (clay) geopolymers containing single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) at concentrations of 0.20, 0.25 and 0.35-wt%. The tensile strength results were inconsistent. The tensile strength decreased, and then increased before it began to decrease again. Saafi et al. [11] studied the multifunctional properties of geopolymers containing multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). Their experimental results indicated that the incorporation of MWCNTs up to 0.5-wt% enhanced the flexural strength, the Young’s modulus, the flexural toughness and the fracture energy of geopolymers. This improvement was due to a variety of CNT strengthening and toughening mechanisms, including high resistance to crack coalescence, crack deflection at the CNT/matrix interface, inducing and bridging of multiple cracks and CNT pullout on the fractured surfaces [12].

A non-aggregated dispersion of CNTs in aqueous liquid media is a prerequisite for their use as reinforcing fillers in cement and geopolymer based composites. However, dispersion of CNTs in water is highly challenging as van der Waals forces between the CNTs create bundles and agglomerates. For CNTs, this phenomenon reduces the workability and mechanical properties of composites [13, 14]. Graphene offers many benefits over CNTs, including higher surface area (due to its 2-dimensional structure) and strong mechanical interaction with the hosting matrix resulting from the wrinkling [15]. However, graphene sheets exhibit very low dispersibility in polar liquids due to their high surface area and surface
energy and, as a result, they agglomerate and stick to each other, thereby reducing their reinforcement effects when agglomerated [16].

Graphene oxide (GO), the oxidized form of graphite has been considered as a precursor for bulk-scale production of low-cost graphene-based materials [17]. GO sheets contain a large concentration of hydroxyl, epoxide, carboxyl and carbonyl functional groups [18]. These functional groups are compatible with water and therefore the GO is highly dispersible in polar liquids [19]. GO-based fillers were incorporated into various plastic and organic composites [20, 21]. The improved mechanical properties of these composites were attributed to the high specific surface area and excellent mechanical properties of GO sheets [21].

In view of these outstanding properties, the integration of graphene into geopolymers can significantly improve their properties and provide them with self-sensing capabilities. The objective of this paper is to investigate the mechanical properties, chemical functional group changes and morphological changes of geopolymers containing reduced GO (rGO) sheets at a variety of loadings. The properties discussed herein are the morphology characteristics, the flexural strength, the Young’s modulus, the flexural toughness and the void and pore filling mechanisms together with chemical changes associated with the alkaline reduction of GO.

2. Experimental program

2.1. Materials

Class F fly ash was used to process the rGO-geopolymeric composites. The chemical composition of the fly ash is given in Table 1. Based on the size distribution tests conducted by the supplier, 70% by weight of the total type F fly ash spherical particles were between 0.2 and 5µm in diameter.

Hydrophilic and oxygenated 1.1 nm thick pristine GO sheets (0.5 – 5µm with purity higher than 90%, supplied by Supermarket®) were employed in this study. The required GO content was dispersed in deionized water by using a 100W Cell Disruptor for 1.5 hours. The plain
geopolymeric matrix had a bulk of density of $2.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and composed of 72-wt% fly ash, 20-wt% of sodium silicate ($\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3$ with 29.4% $\text{SiO}_2$, 14.7% $\text{NaO}_2$ and 59.9% $\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and 8-wt% of 10M sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The ($\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 + \text{NaOH}$) to fly ash ratio was 0.39.

2.2. Fabrication of rGO-geopolymeric composites

To chemically reduce the GO sheets during mixing and curing, the dispersed pristine GO sheets were first added to 100g of NaOH solution (10M) and mildly sonicated for 1 hour. The stable and heterogeneous mixture was then mixed with the fly ash and the left over chemicals ($\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 + \text{NaOH}$) for 1 minute. Subsequently, the mix was subjected to sonication for 3 minutes prior to casting the beams. Geopolymeric beams (50 mm x 50 mm x 350 mm) containing different concentrations of rGO (0.00, 0.10, 0.35 and 0.50-wt%) were prepared. The molded geopolymeric beams were first cured at a room temperature of 25°C for 2 hours and then placed in an oven for 24 hours at constant temperature of 60°C.

2.3. Morphology and chemical characterization

The morphology of the rGO-geopolymeric composites and the rGO sheets were examined with a scanning electron microscope (SEM). SEM observations were also performed on the rGO-geopolymeric suspensions in order to identify their morphology during processing. An Agilent Technologies Exoscan 4100 Fourier transform mid-infrared spectrometer, with diffuse sample interface, was used to collect infrared diffuse spectra. The instrumental conditions for spectral collection were 128 scans at a resolution of 8 cm$^{-1}$. The spectral changes both in terms of size and position were used to identify the processes and chemical changes in the pristine GO sheets and geopolymeric composites. In addition, the geopolymeric gels and the rGO sheets were also studied with particular attention paid to the chemical bonding and functional groups present.
2.4. Mechanical characterization

In total, 10 geopolymeric beams per rGO content were prepared for the mechanical characterization. The beams had a clear span of 210 mm and a distance between the two loading contacts of 70 mm. The beams were subjected to four-point bending tests to determine the mechanical properties; namely, flexural strength, Young’s modulus and flexural toughness. The four-point bending tests were conducted under displacement control with a rate of 0.05 mm/min. During testing, load and deflection at the center were recorded continuously. The flexural strength ($\sigma_f$) and the Young’s modulus ($E$) were calculated as:

$$\sigma_f = \frac{3Pa}{b^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$E = m \frac{a(3l^2 - 4a^2)}{4b^4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $P$ is the maximum applied load, $l$ is the length of the beam between the supports, $a$ is the distance between the support and the loading point, $b$ is the width and thickness of the beam and $m$ is the slope of the tangent to the straight-line portion of the load-deflection curve.

The flexural toughness of the beams is the total area under the stress-strain curve obtained from Eqs. 1 and 3, where Eq. 3 is given by:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{12b\Delta}{3b^3 - 4a^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\varepsilon$ is the tensile strain and $\Delta$ is the displacement recorded by the LVDT.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. FTIR analysis and chemical characterization

Figure 1 depicts the FTIR spectrum of the fly ash, (black dashed line), pristine GO sheets (yellow solid line), plain geopolymers (red dash-dotted line) and rGO-geopolymers with 0.35-wt% (blue dotted line). The FTIR spectrum of the fly ash is dominated by two overlapping peaks corresponding to Si-O (doublet) and Al-O functional groups or bonds in the range of
800 to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\). These groups are clearly observed in the spectra as shown in Fig. 2. The Si-O and Al-O overlapping (negative) restrahlen peaks represent the main mineral content of the fly ash (see Table 1) and are much greater than the C-H stretching modes that are the two downward peaks in the spectral region of 3000-2850 cm\(^{-1}\). The organic content (C-H) of the fly ash is much smaller than the mineral content (Si-O and Al-O) in good agreement with Table 1. The restrahlen peak absorbances at ~1800-1700 cm\(^{-1}\) are the result of the presence of other organic functional groups in the fly ash, namely carbonyl type species. Finally, a variety of hydrogen bonded -OH functional groups derived from Al-OH, Si-OH and C-OH exist in the dried fly ash as evidenced by the complexity of the peak shape in –OH functional group (3000-3700 cm\(^{-1}\)).

From Fig. 1, it can be seen that the pristine GO sheets contain high concentrations of hydroxyl (at 3000-3700 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) and carbonyl (~1700 cm\(^{-1}\)) functional groups. The FTIR spectrum of the unreduced GO sheets also displays the existence of methyl/methylene (CH\(_2\)/CH\(_3\)) stretches between 3000 and 2800 cm\(^{-1}\). These groups are concentrated at the edges of the GO sheets.

For both geopolymers (red line or blue line in Fig. 1 – with or without GO), the alkaline activator (NaOH + Na\(_2\)SiO\(_3\)) changed the fly ash hydroxyl spectral absorbance peak shape from a complex distribution to a near homogeneous hydroxyl functional group. These chemical changes are due to the loss of the peak as a consequence of bond destruction. Figure 1 indicates a distinct shape change for the –OH from the base fly ash to the geopolymer. It also shows that the alkaline activator changed the distribution of the Al-O/Si-O regions at 800-1200 cm\(^{-1}\) for both geopolymers. The addition of sodium silicate increased the relative concentration of Si-O in the geopolymeric matrix. As expected due to the presence of rGO, the rGO geopolymers exhibited higher organic contents at ~1500 cm\(^{-1}\) as compared to the plain geopolymers. These negative/derivative peak shapes are caused by concomitant
changes in the refractive index with the absorbance changes as shown by the downward negative peaks in the indicated region in figure 1. This is very common in materials that contain high silicate content or similar and/or contain highly scattering components such as flyash or graphene oxide. From the FTIR spectrum of the rGO-geopolymetric matrix, it can be seen that the GO has undergone chemical reduction of the hydroxyl and carbonyl functional groups, whereas the unreactive C-H peaks at 1500 cm$^{-1}$ remained largely unchanged due to their inert nature.

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra between 2000-650 cm$^{-1}$ of both the plain and the rGO reinforced geopolymers. As shown in this figure, the presence of the rGO in the geopolymetric matrix increased the moderately absorbing band at ~1425 cm$^{-1}$, this can be assigned to a C-H vibration. In addition, the Si-O bonds increased the absorbance at 1000-1200 cm$^{-1}$ as a direct result of the chemical reaction of the sodium silicate with the matrix components creating new Si-O$_2$ based cross-linking with fly ash or rGO. The change in absorbance is marked large considering the rGO addition was a mere 0.35wt%.

Figure 3 further confirms that the pristine GO sheets have been reduced during the processing of the geopolymers. This figure compares the FTIR spectra of the isolated unreduced/pristine GO sheets with the difference spectra of the geopolymer with and without the incorporation of 0.35wt% GO. The dotted blue line is the difference between each single point of the spectra presented in Fig. 3 for the full spectral region. As can be seen from this figure, there is a clear attenuation of hydroxyl and carbonyl functionalities between 3000 and 3750 cm$^{-1}$ and, between 1650 and 1800 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. High attenuation of the hydroxyl and carbonyl groups indicating reduction of GO by the alkali NaOH [22, 23] as shown in the difference spectra. The non-reducible functional groups of the GO are still present in the difference spectra at 3000-2850 cm$^{-1}$ and at 1200-1500 cm$^{-1}$, these are the unreactive C-H type bonds. Previous studies have shown that during processing with NaOH or strong alkali,
the GO sheets undergo deoxygenation and the number of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups are then reduced. This leads to the formation of highly reduced GO sheets (rGO) with mechanical and electrical properties superior to those of pristine GO sheets [22, 23]. The authors suggest that the GO incorporated into the geopolymer actually contains the in-situ reduced-GO cross-linked graphene based material within the geopolymer matrix thereby increasing mechanical and electrical properties. Additionally greatly lowering porosity.

The mechanical properties of the rGO-geopolymeric composites are highly dependent on the physical and chemical interactions between the rGO and the matrix. These interactions include both mechanical and chemical covalent bonding. The mechanical interaction is due to the mechanical interlocking between the textured (wrinkled) morphology of the rGO sheets and the matrix. The Si-O covalent bond absorbance augmentation is likely to be the cross-linking bridging between the fly ash and the rGO sheets. The authors suggest that the in-situ cross-linked particles in the form of \([-\text{Si-O-}]_x[r\text{GO}]_y[-\text{O-Si-}]_z\) (with \(x \geq 1, y \geq 1, z \geq 0\)) is formed in the rGO-geopolymeric matrices. The silicon has the ability to further bond to the fly ash or rGO, this creates a diverse particle size, shape and morphologies enabling virtually any pore or void to be filled. Moreover unreacted hydroxyl groups on the GO or fly ash can undergo further cross-linking to become larger crack filling and bridging particles.

3.2. Morphology

The morphology of the fly ash is well known and is a wide distribution of mostly spherical particles that encompasses the submicron to the micron range. These particles are the dominant base for both types of geopolymers and are highly siliceous. Figure 4a shows the SEM micrograph of the rGO sheets, clearly there is a highly textured morphology enabling the rGO to morph around complex shapes and interact mechanically. The chemical alkaline reduction of GO to rGO (reduced form) removes the oxygen rich functional groups as well as causing the high degree of wrinkling and folding [24]. Wrinkles have a positive effect on the
mechanical properties of rGO reinforced geopolymers, as they tend to improve the interlocking mechanism within the matrix [25]. Figures 4b, 4c and 4d show the morphology of the geopolymeric suspensions containing 0.35-wt% rGO. In Fig. 4b, the sub-micron fly ash spheres are randomly deposited on the rGO sheets. The rGO sheets exhibited random holes and tearing. From Fig. 4b, it can be seen that the size the submicron fly ash spheres matches the size of the holes, suggesting that some of the particles pierced the rGO sheets during processing, resulting in random holes. Fig. 4c shows the fly ash particles covered with thin rGO sheets, forming hybrid clusters. This can be attributed to the effect of cross-linking and functionalization on the surface area of the rGO sheets and the fly ash particles [26, 27]. The exchange between the two materials typically occurs through electric induction (also known as polarization) causing the rGO sheets to adsorb onto the fly ash particles. The strain induced by the largest fly ash particles also caused the folding of the flexible rGO sheets around the largest fly ash particles, producing shapes like a “mushroom” as shown in Figs. 4c and 4d. One possible interpretation for this observation is that the rGO sheets inherently contain both crystalline and amorphous regions. The amorphous region is less stable, more soluble and relatively disordered thus becomes more amenable to deform when the fly ash particles push against it.

Figures 4c and 4d also show that the pores and voids were substantially filled and bridged at 0.35-wt%, partially due to the formation of the cross-linked particles. The pore filling with the malleable rGO sheets also reduced the porosity and presence of voids. Additionally, the small scraps of rGO sheets that were moved by the fly ash particles also filled and bridged the voids and hollow spaces in the matrix as depicted in Fig. 5.

3.3. Mechanical characterization

Figure 6 shows the load-deflection responses of the geopolymeric beams. The beams were initially preloaded up to a deflection of 0.2 mm to ensure good contact with the load and
support points. The load-deflections results indicated the significant increase in stiffness and load-carrying capacity of the beams due to the addition of rGO sheets. This is attributed to the stiffness and surface area of the rGO sheets. The wrinkled texture of the rGO sheets also played a positive role in the load transfer between the rGO sheets and the geopolymeric matrix, as it tends to enhance the mechanical interlocking coupled with chemical cross-linking type bonding.

The deflection at failure decreased as the rGO sheet content increased. This can be explained as follows (see Fig. 7): at low rGO sheet contents, the sheets are generally separated and randomly dispersed within the matrix with a slight negative effect on the mechanical deformation. At medium rGO contents, the sheets are joined together with some overlapping each other, producing stiff plates rigidly bonded to the matrix. In this case, the matrix is restrained from movement and as a result the deflection is reduced. Severe restacking of sheets occurs at high rGO sheet contents due to van-der-Waals forces (van-der-Waals forces from NaOH-induced attenuation of hydroxyl groups) where the sheets are stacked on top of each other to form rigid laminates. This further restricts the movement of the matrix, causing the beams to fail in a brittle manner. This behavior has also been found in other graphene-based polymer composites [28, 29].

The average mechanical properties are given in Fig. 8. A noticeable flexural strength gain of 49%, 130% and 134% was achieved for beams with rGO sheet concentrations of 0.10, 0.35 and 0.50-wt%, respectively. A significant increase in stiffness was also obtained. The increase was about 107%, 365% and 376% for rGO contents of 0.10, 0.35 and 0.50-wt%, respectively. The flexural toughness was improved by 12%, 56% and 48% for rGO contents of 0.10, 0.35 and 50-wt%, respectively. The geopolymers with 0.35 and 0.5-wt% rGO exhibited somewhat similar mechanical properties, indicating a mechanical percolation threshold was achieved at 0.35-wt%. These results are in line with previous studies on
graphene composites [30, 31]. These studies have shown that graphene sheets can enhance
the mechanical properties of composites at significantly lower graphene concentrations in
comparison to CNTs. For example, 0.125-wt% of graphene increased the tensile strength and
the Young’s modulus of polymers by 45% and 50%, respectively, whereas, 1-wt% of CNTs
increased the tensile strength and the Young’s modulus of polymers by 15% and 30%,
respectively [30].

3.4. Toughening and load transfer mechanisms

The rGO morphology and the shear lag model can be used to quantify the load transfer
mechanism in the rGO-geopolymeric composites. The relationship between the strain $\varepsilon_p$ in
the rGO sheet and the geopolymeric matrix strain $\varepsilon_m$, can be written as [32]:

$$\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_m \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh \left( n s \frac{x}{l} \right)}{\cosh (n s/l)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{2G_m t}{E_p T}}$$

where $G_m$ is the shear modulus of the matrix, $E_p$ is the Young’s modulus of the rGO sheet, $l$ is
the length of the rGO sheet in the $x$ direction, $t$ is the thickness of the rGO sheet, $T$ is the total
thickness of the matrix and $s$ is the aspect ratio of the rGO sheet ($l/t$) in the $x$ direction. In
Eq. (4), the parameter $n$ is an effective measure of the interfacial stress transfer efficiency and
the product $ns$ depending on both the morphology of the rGO sheet and the degree of
interaction with the hosting geopolymeric matrix [32].

The morphology of the wrinkled rGO sheet shown in Fig. 6a can be characterized by the
wavelength $\lambda$ and the amplitude $A$ of the wrinkles as [33]:

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{4\pi^2 v(t)^3}{3(1-v^2)c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
\[ A^2 = \left[ \frac{16\varepsilon\nu}{3\pi^2(1-\nu^2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} tl \]  

where \( \nu \) is the Poisson’s ratio of the rGO sheet and \( \varepsilon \) is the compressive strain in the rGO sheet from the exfoliation process.

The shear stress between the rGO sheet and the geopolymeric matrix is given by [32]:

\[ \tau = nE_p\varepsilon_m \frac{\sinh(ns \frac{x}{l})}{\cosh(ns/2)} \]  

As can be seen from Eqs. (4), (5), (6) and (7), the high aspect ratio \( s = l/t \), the Young’s modulus \( E_p \) and the morphology of the rGO sheet are the main key factors that significantly contributed to the improved mechanical properties of rGO reinforced geopolymers composites.

The toughening mechanism in graphene composites is not well understood and research on this topic is limited. This can be attributed to the difficulty of identifying crack pinning or crack bridging by the graphene sheets using traditional analysis tools such as SEM [34]. Rafiee et al. [31] have shown that the toughening mechanism in composites reinforced with graphene is different from that reinforced with CNTs. In CNTs, the toughness is dominated by the crack-bridging mechanism where the energy is dissipated by the frictional pullout of the bridging CNTs from the matrix. However, in graphene, the toughening mechanism is crack deflection. In this case, microcracks are deflected and twisted when they run into a rigid graphene sheet. Rafiee et al. [31] reported that this mechanism increases the total fracture surface leading to greater energy absorption. Although further validation studies are needed to identify the toughening mechanism, the experimental results presented herein suggest that crack deflection may have occurred in the rGO-geopolymeric composites and it is reflected in their high Young’s modulus and flexural toughness (see Fig. 8).

4. Conclusions
New rGO-geopolymeric composites with enhanced properties were successfully produced using the same mixing method used to make OPC. The interaction of the GO sheets with the alkaline solution used to process the geopolymeric composites yielded highly reduced and cross-linked GO sheets. The addition of these rGO sheets into geopolymers at very low contents simultaneously improved the mechanical properties and reduced the overall porosity of geopolymers. The malleable rGO sheets and the small scraps of rGO sheets that were moved by the fly ash particles filled the voids and hollow spaces in the matrix. The incorporation of rGO sheets improved the mechanical properties of the geopolymeric composites as a result of their 2-dimensional structure and good chemical bonding with the matrix. The rGO concentration of 0.35-wt% yielded the highest mechanical properties. At this concentration, the flexural strength and Young’s modulus increased by 134% and 376%, respectively. A moderate increase in toughness (as much as 56%) was obtained due to the restacking of the rGO sheets and the formation of stiff hybrid of rGO-fly ash fillers within the matrix. The rGO-geopolymeric composites can be an environmental friendly and economical alternative to OPC due to their low green-house gas emissions and improved mechanical properties. The \textit{in-situ} reduction of GO makes geopolymers ideal candidates for high performance and (potentially) self-sensing structural materials for various applications such as bridges, roadways and smart structures with inherent increased durability due their near pore-free morphology.
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Fig. 1 – Diffuse FTIR spectra of fly ash (black line), un-reduced GO sheets (orange line), plain geopolymeric composites (red line) and rGO incorporated into the geopolymeric composites (blue line).

Fig.2 - Diffuse FTIR spectra between 2000 and 650 1/cm of plain geopolymeric composites (red line) and rGO incorporated into the geopolymeric composites (blue line).
Fig. 3- Diffuse FTIR spectra of un-reduced GO (red line) in comparison to the difference spectra (blue line) of the geopolymer with and without rGO.
Fig. 4 - SEM micrographs (a) morphology of rGO sheets (b) 0.35-wt% GO sheets interaction with submicron fly ash, (c) 0.35-wt% GO sheets covering submicron fly ash particles, (d) 0.35-wt% GO sheets interaction with larger fly ash particles.
Fig. 5- SEM micrograph showing 0.5-wt% rGO sheets filling pores and voids.

Fig. 6- Load-deflection response of the rGO-geopolymeric composite beams.
Fig. 7-Effect of rGO content on the brittleness of rGO-geopolymeric composites
Fig.8- Mechanical properties of rGO-geopolymeric composites. a) flexural strength, b) Young’s modulus, c) flexural toughness.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon dioxide, SiO₂</td>
<td>53.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium oxide, Al₂O₃</td>
<td>34.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron oxide, Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium oxide, CaO</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of Ignition</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Main chemical composition of fly ash (wt%)  
(as provided by the supplier)