

1                   **NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF BEHAVIOUR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE**  
2                   **STRUCTURES CONSIDERING CORROSION EFFECTS ON BONDING**

3  
4                   C.Q. Li<sup>1</sup>, S.T. Yang<sup>2</sup> and M. Saafi<sup>3</sup>  
5  
6

7   **ABSTRACT**

8   Corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete can alter the interface between the steel and concrete and  
9   thus affects the bond mechanism. This subsequently influences the behaviour of reinforced  
10   concrete structures in terms of their safety and serviceability. The present paper attempts to  
11   develop a numerical method that can simulate the behaviour of reinforced concrete walls subjected  
12   to steel corrosion in concrete as measured by their load-deflection relationship. The method  
13   accounts for the effects of corrosion on the stiffness, maximum strength, residual strength and  
14   failure mode of the bond between the steel and concrete. In the numerical method, the  
15   corrosion-affected stiffness and maximum strength of bond are explicitly expressed as a function  
16   of corrosion rate. It is found in this paper that the increase in the bond strength due to minor  
17   corrosion can increase the load-bearing capacity of the wall and the corrosion-affected reinforced  
18   concrete walls exhibit less ductile behaviour compared with the uncorroded ones. The paper  
19   concludes that the developed numerical method can predict the behaviour of corrosion-affected  
20   reinforced concrete sea walls with reasonable accuracy.

21  
22   **KEYWORDS**

23   Corrosion; Bond-slip; Reinforced concrete walls; Cracking; Finite element modelling.  
24

25   <sup>1</sup>Professor and Head of School, School of Civil, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, RMIT  
26   University, Melbourne 3001, Australia (corresponding author). Email: chungqing.li@rmit.edu.au

27   <sup>2</sup>Lecturer, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde,  
28   Glasgow, UK

29   <sup>3</sup>Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde,  
30   Glasgow, UK  
31

## 32 INTRODUCTION

33

34 Coastal structures, such as sea walls and breakwaters, are essential structural components of sea  
35 defences against flooding and erosion. These structures are subjected to increased magnitude and  
36 frequency of hydrodynamic actions, larger overtopping flows and increased stresses within the  
37 structures. The situation is exacerbated when reinforced concrete (RC) walls deteriorate due to  
38 steel corrosion of concrete, which significantly contributes to the premature failure of the walls.  
39 One of the significant examples of sea wall failures could be the catastrophic failure of levee system  
40 in New Orleans in 2005 which caused 80% of New Orleans flooded. The consequence of failures  
41 of sea walls is catastrophic and the impact on the economy is substantial. For example, in the UK at  
42 least 3 million people live below 5 meter contour whose life will be at risk if there is a coastal  
43 flooding (Purnell 1996).. It is estimated that the total loss in an event of tidal flood at the east coast  
44 of the UK could be 6-10 billion US dollars (Purnell 1996).

45

46 Corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete is one of the main causes of premature deterioration of  
47 RC structures (Chaker 1992), causing concrete cracking, delaminating and de-bonding. Extensive  
48 research has been carried out on the mechanism of steel corrosion and its effect on concrete  
49 cracking (Li et al. 2006; Liu and Weyers 1998; Pantazopoulou and Papoulia 2001). However, not  
50 many studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of corrosion on bond mechanism and the  
51 consequent effect of deteriorated bond on the structural performance (Amleh and Mirza 1999;  
52 Berto et al. 2008; Val and Chernin 2009). When steel bars are corroded in concrete, the chemical  
53 adhesion between the bar and concrete basically disappears and the bond is maintained only by  
54 mechanical interlock which also degrades. The effect of corrosion on bond strength has been  
55 reported to be very significant. For example, 2% loss in diameter of the steel bar can cause 80%  
56 reduction in bond strength (Auyeung et al 2000). A literature review (Amleh and Mirza 1999;  
57 Auyeung et al 2000; Maaddawy et al. 2003) suggests that most current studies on the effect of

58 corrosion on bond strength focus on experimental research and employ accelerated corrosion tests  
59 in an electrical field rather than a more realistic corrosion test produced in hazardous environment.  
60 Furthermore, in most research, the steel bar was corroded while the specimens were not loaded  
61 (Almusallam et al. 1996; Huang and Yang 1997). This does not represent the real situation of  
62 practical structures where both corrosion and applied loads are simultaneous.

63

64 To accurately predict the behaviour of RC sea walls, modelling of the bond mechanism, i.e., bond  
65 stress-slip relation, between the reinforcing steel and concrete is essential. The influence of bond  
66 on the performance of RC structural members has been studied by a number of researchers  
67 analytically (Maaddawy et al. 2005), numerically (Kwak and Hwang 2010; Lundgren and Gylltoft  
68 2000) and experimentally (Jung et al. 2002; Kankam 1997; Maaddawy and Soudki 2003). The  
69 bond behaviour is commonly modelled by inserting interface elements between the reinforcing  
70 steel bar and concrete. It is found (Berto et al. 2008; Coronelli and Gambarova 2004) that almost all  
71 the numerical research focuses on macro-scale modelling of the bond. This is because in most  
72 experiments the average stress and average slip were measured, and in numerical analysis, it  
73 requires substantial computational effort for micro-scale modelling. The simplest and most  
74 effective approach to define the interface is to use zero thickness spring-like elements which  
75 characterize one-dimensional stress-strain response (Filippou 1986). This means that the bond  
76 stress-slip response is considered only in the direction parallel to the reinforcing steel bar.

77

78 Consideration of bond deterioration and the degree of corrosion has been widely acknowledged as  
79 the most accurate approach to model the behaviour of corrosion-affected RC structures. Coronelli  
80 and Gambarova (2004) proposed a modelling procedure in predicting the response of simply  
81 supported RC beams subjected to steel corrosion. This procedure accounts for a variety of effects  
82 that corrosion may cause, e.g., material property change, bar section reduction, etc. However, it  
83 does not consider the change in stiffness of bond due to corrosion and the residual bond strength.

84 Val and Chernin (2009) numerically modelled the decrease of stiffness of RC beams due to bond  
85 loss but only considered the change of maximum bond strength as a result of corrosion. Berto et al.  
86 (2008) developed a frictional model and a damage model for bond and applied these two models in  
87 simulating a pull-out test of RC specimens. They introduced a scalar damage parameter to account  
88 for the loss of bond strength in the full development of slip; such an assumption neglects the fact  
89 that minor corrosion can enhance the mechanical performance of the bond and that at different  
90 stages of corrosion, the stiffness reduction of bond may be different. Although various models have  
91 been developed for different types of RC structures, few models have been proposed on either  
92 numerical or experimental simulation of mechanical behaviour of RC sea walls explicitly  
93 considering corrosion-induced bond deterioration. Even for simple RC beams, very few studies  
94 have been found in literature that contain a comprehensive and accurate consideration of the degree  
95 of corrosion on bond behaviour with verification from data produced from realistic corrosion  
96 experiment, e.g., natural wetting and drying with salt water.

97

98 This study attempts to develop a numerical method to simulate the mechanical behaviour of RC sea  
99 walls subjected to steel corrosion. Various effects of corrosion on the RC walls are considered,  
100 including reduction of cross-sectional area of the reinforcing steel bar and change in bond  
101 mechanism between the steel and concrete. The corrosion-induced change in bond mechanism is  
102 modelled in terms of corrosion rate of the steel. A two-node “spring”-like interface element is used  
103 to model the corrosion-affected bond mechanism which can account for the nonlinear bond stress  
104 softening. In the finite element analysis (FEA), the concrete is assumed as a quasi-brittle material  
105 and the cracks in concrete are assumed to be smeared. Whilst the developed method can simulate  
106 cracking of the concrete, the effect of cracking on the bond mechanism is not considered. An  
107 example is provided for illustration of the developed method and the results are compared  
108 favourably with those from the experiments. The derived numerical method can be used as a useful  
109 tool in predicting the mechanical behaviour of corrosion-affected RC walls and also other types of

110 RC structures. Accurate prediction of the performance of corrosion-affected RC structures can help  
111 engineers and asset managers ensure their safety and serviceability.

112

### 113 **MATERIAL MODEL**

114

115 The reinforcing steel bar in RC is normally modelled implicitly by adding its “tension stiffness” to  
116 the material property of concrete. However, when the bond mechanism between the steel and  
117 concrete needs to be modelled, it has to be represented explicitly since the nodes of the elements of  
118 bond must be physically attached to the nodes of the steel bar. In this study, RC is modelled as a  
119 three-phase material, i.e., concrete, reinforcing steel bar and the bond interface between the bar and  
120 concrete.

121

122 Since the mechanical behaviour of RC sea walls is predominantly controlled by tensile failure, the  
123 compressive property of the concrete is assumed to be elastic, i.e., without the descending part of  
124 the typical compressive stress-strain curve of concrete. The damage/cracking of concrete is  
125 modelled via a post-peak stress-displacement relation ( $\sigma - \delta$ ) as is shown in Figure 1. Due to the  
126 severe mesh sensitivity induced by strain-softening behaviour of concrete, its brittle behaviour is  
127 characterized by a stress-displacement relation rather than a stress-strain relation based on the  
128 concept of brittle fracture (Hillerborg et al. 1976). The crack is assumed to be smeared in concrete  
129 and to occur when the stress reaches the tensile strength  $f_t'$  as shown in Figure 1. Therefore, for  
130 every material/integration point, a crack/damage is initiated when the tensile strength is reached.  
131 However, the material point is not completely separated or damaged until the stress decreases to  
132 zero as illustrated in Figure 1.

133

134 There are two approaches to model the reinforcing steel in concrete in FEA, i.e., smeared approach  
135 and discrete approach. In order to capture the bond-slip behaviour of the interface between the steel

136 and concrete as well as the corrosion effect on it, the steel is explicitly modelled in this paper. For  
137 this purpose, a bilinear constitutive relationship is adopted for the steel bar as shown in Figure 2.

138

139 The effect of corrosion on reinforcing steel bar is considered by reducing its cross-sectional area.

140 The effect of corrosion on the strength of steel is negligibly small and hence not considered in this

141 paper. The reduction of the cross-sectional area of the steel bar can be calculated as follows

142 (Mangat and Molloy 1992)

$$143 \quad x = 11.6i_{corr} * t \quad (1)$$

144 where  $x$  is the metal loss in radial direction in  $\mu m$ ,  $i_{corr}$  is the corrosion current density in  $\mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$

145 and  $t$  is time in year.

146

147 To determine the constitutive relation of the bond, that is, the bond stress-slip curve, FIB (2010)

148 developed a nonlinear model as shown in Figure 3. The curve has a nonlinear increase of bond

149 stress until the maximum bond stress  $\tau_{max}$ . This stage refers to the mechanism of local crushing and

150 microcracking due to the penetration of the ribs of the steel bar. The nonlinearity with decreased

151 slope, i.e., stiffness, particularly implies the damage process. The bond stress then keeps constant

152 for certain range of slip normally under confined condition. This stage corresponds to the advanced

153 and continued crushing and tearing off of the concrete. If there is a lack of confinement or

154 subjected to deterioration, this horizontal line will become inclined indicating a splitting failure

155 rather than pull-out failure. After that, the bond stress decreases until a much lower constant level

156  $\tau_f$ . This is a typical mechanism for pull-out failure, i.e., the steel bar in concrete is well confined.

157 The splitting failure normally has lower bond strength. For many concrete structures, the

158 load-bearing reinforcing steel is well confined with stirrups and/or sufficient concrete cover.

159 Therefore, pull-out failure can be normally expected without consideration of material

160 deterioration, e.g., steel corrosion. The parameters in Figure 3 are defined in the Model Code of  
161 FIB (2010) and summarized in Table 1 where  $f_{ck}$  is the characteristic cylinder compressive  
162 strength of concrete and  $c_{clear}$  is the clear distance between ribs of the steel bar.

163

164 In Figure 3, the initial nonlinear increase in the bond stress-slip relationship can be expressed as  
165 follows (FIB 2010)

$$166 \quad \tau = \tau_{\max} (s / s_1)^\alpha \quad (2)$$

167 where  $s$  is the slip, and  $s_1$  are  $\alpha$  constants defined in Table 1.

168

## 169 **EFFECT OF CORROSION ON BOND**

170

171 The bond between the reinforcing steel and concrete plays a vital role in the mechanical behaviour  
172 of RC structures (Sanchez et al. 2010). In most of the structural design codes, the bond is assumed  
173 to be intact without consideration of any bond loss induced by corrosion and/or cracking. In  
174 practice, when a RC structure is subjected to steel corrosion, the corrosion products will normally  
175 alter the condition of the interface between the reinforcing steel and concrete and hence the  
176 frictional components of the bond. This can significantly reduce the bond strength at a later stage of  
177 corrosion even though some experimental results (FIB 2000) suggest that the bond strength can be  
178 enhanced slightly under minor corrosion – up to 4% degree of corrosion by mass loss (Almusallam  
179 et al. 1996; Rodriguez et al. 1994) – due to the increase of the friction between the steel bar and  
180 concrete. In addition to the bond strength, corrosion can affect all the other parameters that define  
181 the constitutive relationship of the bond, i.e., Figure 3, as discussed below.

182

183 **Maximum bond strength.** The bond stress reaches its maximum value  $\tau_{\max}$  usually at the point of  
 184 time that concrete cover is cracked (Almusallam et al. 1996; Val and Chernin 2009). In addition to  
 185 the subsequent “softening” behaviour, the maximum bond strength itself is subjected to change due  
 186 to steel corrosion. Based on the experimental results, Almusallam et al. (1996) proposed an  
 187 exponential deterioration of the maximum bond strength as shown in Figure 4. The normalized  
 188 bond strength refers to the ratio of the corrosion-affected bond strength  $\tau_{\max}^c$  to the bond strength  
 189 without corrosion, i.e.,  $\tau_{\max}^c / \tau_{\max}$ .

190  
 191 In Figure 4, the maximum bond strength is proposed to increase exponentially up to a degree of  
 192 corrosion of 4% (by mass loss) and decrease exponentially to a relatively constant value (about  
 193 10% of its original strength) after about 20% of corrosion. Based on the data produced in  
 194 Almusallam et al. (1996), an analytical form of the normalized bond strength can be expressed as  
 195 follows.

$$196 \quad \frac{\tau_{\max}^c}{\tau_{\max}} = 0.9959e^{0.0041w} + 0.0069e^{0.7858w} \quad \text{for } w \leq 4(\%) \quad (3a)$$

$$197 \quad \frac{\tau_{\max}^c}{\tau_{\max}} = 9.662e^{-0.5552w} + 0.1887e^{-0.0069w} \quad \text{for } 4(\%) < w \leq 80(\%) \quad (3b)$$

198 where  $w$  is the degree of corrosion by mass loss of the original steel.

199  
 200 The amount of corrosion products  $W_{rust}(t)$  can be related to the corrosion rate of the reinforcing  
 201 steel bar, i.e., (Liu and Weyers 1998)

$$202 \quad W_{rust}(t) = \sqrt{2 \int_0^t 0.105(1/\alpha_{rust})\pi Di_{corr}(t)dt} \quad (4)$$



203 where  $i_{corr}$  is the corrosion current density in  $\mu A/cm^2$ , which is widely used as a measure of  
 204 corrosion rate.  $\alpha_{rust}$  is the molecular weight of steel divided by the molecular weight of corrosion  
 205 products. It varies from 0.523 to 0.622 according to different types of corrosion products (Liu and  
 206 Weyers 1998). In Equation (4),  $D$  is the diameter of the steel bar in  $mm$  and  $t$  is time in  $year$ .

207

208 The corrosion degree  $w$  can be related to the amount of corrosion products  $W_{rust}(t)$  (in  $mg/mm$ ). A  
 209 simplified form of this relationship can be expressed as follows,

$$210 \quad w = \frac{4 \times 10^5 \alpha_{rust} W_{rust}}{\pi \rho_{st} D^2} \quad (5)$$

211 where  $\rho_{st}$  is the density of steel.

212

213 Substituting  $w$  in Equation (3) and  $W_{rust}(t)$  in Equation (5), the corrosion-affected bond strength  
 214 becomes a function of the corrosion rate, as follows:

215

$$216 \quad \frac{\tau_{max}^c}{\tau_{max}} = 0.9959e^{\frac{0.75 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi \rho_{st} D^2}}} + 0.0069e^{\frac{144 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi \rho_{st} D^2}}} \quad \text{for } w \leq 4(\%) \quad (6a)$$

$$217 \quad \frac{\tau_{max}^c}{\tau_{max}} = 9.662e^{\frac{-0.25 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi \rho_{st} D^2}}} + 0.1887e^{\frac{-0.0032 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi \rho_{st} D^2}}} \quad \text{for } 4(\%) < w \leq 80(\%) \quad (6b)$$

218

219 **Stiffness of bond.** The stiffness of bond between corroding steel and concrete varies depending on  
 220 the degree of corrosion. Unfortunately almost all the current research focuses on the corrosion  
 221 effect on the bond strength (Auyeung et al 2000; ; Cabrera and Ghodussi 1992). A literature review  
 222 (Almusallam et al 1996; Auyeung et al 2000) suggests that there is no analytical model existing for

223 corrosion effect on the stiffness of bond. Some researchers believe that the expected possible  
 224 reduction of the bond stiffness due to corrosion leads to a small decrease of stiffness of the global  
 225 structure (Val and Chernin 2009). However, based on the test results (Almusallam et al. 1996), the  
 226 variation of stiffness for different degree of corrosion can be very large. For the sake of accurate  
 227 prediction of the bond behaviour, the corrosion-induced change in bond stiffness needs to be taken  
 228 into account. Based on Equation (2) for the case of no corrosion, the corrosion-affected bond stress  
 229  $\tau^c$  prior to its maximum value can be proposed as follows,

230  
 231 
$$\tau^c = \tau_{\max}^c s^{0.4} \quad (7)$$

232  
 233 The stiffness of the corrosion-affected bond is dependent on its maximum strength. By substituting  
 234  $\tau_{\max}^c$  of Equation (6a), Equation (7) can be rewritten as follows,

235  
 236 
$$\tau^c = \tau_{\max}^c s^{0.4} \left( 0.9959e^{\frac{0.75 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi} \rho_{st} D^2}} + 0.0069e^{\frac{144 \sqrt{\int_0^t \alpha_{rust} D i_{corr}(t) dt}}{\sqrt{\pi} \rho_{st} D^2}} \right) \quad \text{for } w \leq 4(\%) \quad (8)$$

237  
 238  $\tau^c$  for  $4(\%) < w \leq 80(\%)$  is similar. It can be seen that  $\tau^c$  has considered a number of factors,  
 239 including slip  $s$ , corrosion rate  $i_{corr}(t)$ , concrete compressive strength (in terms of  $\tau_{\max}^c$ ), diameter  
 240 of the bar, etc.

241  
 242 **Failure mode of bond.** Without steel corrosion, the bond can fail in a manner characterised by  
 243 splitting of cover concrete or pull-out, depending on the extent of confinement. Once the steel is  
 244 corroded, due to the fact that corrosion will mainly reduce the confinement to the steel, most of the  
 245 relevant research assumes that the corrosion-affected bond failure is caused by splitting mechanism

246 (Almusallam et al. 1996; Coronelli and Gambarova 2004). There might be an argument that minor  
247 corrosion may increase the confinement and the pull-out failure may still be likely. However, no  
248 experimental evidence has been found in the literature to support this argument. It is therefore  
249 reasonably accepted in this study that splitting failure is considered as the dominant mode for  
250 corrosion-affected bond failure.

251

252 **Residual bond strength.** With the existence of stirrups, bond strength does not normally reduce to  
253 zero but to certain constant level  $\tau_f$ . The corrosion can reduce its magnitude, e.g.,  $\tau_f^c$ . Based on  
254 very few experimental sources (Almusallam et al. 1996; Auyeung et al. 2000), it is assumed that  
255  $\tau_f$  will be degraded to 15% of the maximum bond strength (compared to 40% for uncorroded case  
256 (FIB 2010)) for all corrosion conditions.

257

258

## 259 **FORMULATION OF FINITE ELEMENT MODEL**

260

261 RC sea walls can be modelled as 2-D plane strain problem since the third dimension is sufficiently  
262 large compared to the other 2 dimensions. In the plane, two reinforcing steel bars (one in tension  
263 and the other in compression) are embedded in the concrete as shown in Figure 5 (a). Compressive  
264 reinforcing steel bar is assumed to have perfect bond with the concrete while the bond for the  
265 tensile steel bar degrades. Therefore, interface elements, which are able to implement the bond  
266 stress-slip behaviour, are adopted between the tensile steel bar and concrete only. As such, the  
267 geometry can be setup as shown in Figure 5 where (a) illustrates the sea wall in FE mesh and (b)  
268 shows the interface elements between the tensile steel bar and concrete. The load is applied to the  
269 top from left to right.

270

271 Finite element package ABAQUS is employed to carry out the stress analysis. The interface  
 272 element used in FEA is a “line” element named “translator” in ABAQUS, as illustrated in Figure 6.  
 273 The element has 2 nodes **a** and **b**, and each of them has the normal degrees of freedom (DOF), i.e.,  
 274 3 DOF for 2D problem for each node. The local coordinates of nodes **a** and **b** are also shown in  
 275 Figure 6. These 2 nodes are connected to concrete and steel bar respectively and physically  
 276 represent the bond. The configuration of the interface element is set such that only the displacement  
 277 in x-axis is enabled while all the other degrees of freedom for these two nodes are relatively  
 278 restrained to each other. This means that only relative movement (slip) between nodes a and b is  
 279 allowed while all the other degrees of freedom of node **a** are equal to those of node **b**. The x-axis of  
 280 local coordinate system can be transformed to accommodate various needs for slip directions, e.g.,  
 281 slip expected in the vertical direction.

282  
 283  
 284 The advantage of the chosen element against other elements available in ABAQUS is its capability  
 285 of modelling the deterioration of bond. The damage of bond is modelled in the interface elements  
 286 in terms of the full corrosion-affected bond stress-slip relationship. Damage initiation marks the  
 287 beginning of degradation or the damage of bond at a point. The damage is assumed to initiate when  
 288 the bond stress reaches the maximum bond strength  $\tau_{\max}^c$ . After the damage is initiated, the bond  
 289 stress of the interface element immediately softens as for the splitting failure mode. The softening  
 290 curve follows a straight line to about 15% of its peak stress. To account for the residual bond stress  
 291  $\tau^c$  after its peak value, a damage parameter  $\beta$  (between 0 and 1) is introduced and defined as  
 292 follows (also shown in Figure 7):

$$293 \quad \tau^c = (1 - \beta)\tau_{\max}^c s \quad (0 \leq \beta \leq 1) \quad (9)$$

294 As discussed above concrete is considered to be cracked once the tensile stress in concrete  
 295 (maximum principal stress for current problem) reaches the tensile strength  $f_t'$  but does not lose all

296 of its strength since the concrete is assumed to be quasi-brittle. The tensile stress in concrete will  
 297 gradually decrease to zero. In this study, the cracks of concrete are assumed to be smeared in the  
 298 concrete, with reducing level of the tensile stress while the strain increases. The crack propagation  
 299 of concrete is controlled by energy dissipation, as shown in Figure 8. A scalar damage (cracking)  
 300 parameter  $\gamma$  is introduced as follows (Yang and Li 2011):

$$301 \quad \gamma = \frac{G_r}{G_f - G_e} = \frac{\int_0^{\delta_0} f(\delta) d\delta}{G_f - \frac{f'_r \delta_0}{2}} \quad (10)$$

302 where  $f(\delta)$  is the softening function,  $G_r$  is the energy release rate after peak stress, and  $G_e$  is the  
 303 elastic energy release rate prior to peak stress.

304

305 Four-node plane strain quadrilateral elements with four integration points are used for both  
 306 concrete and steel. Very fine mesh is adopted to capture the cracking/strain softening behaviour of  
 307 concrete. Some small value of viscous quantity is normally required for Newton-Raphson method  
 308 in FEA. Its determination is based on trial and error but normally should be between 1e-3 and 1e-5.  
 309 Values beyond this may incur risk of comprising results.

310

### 311 **WORKED EXAMPLE AND VERIFICATION**

312

313 To demonstrate the application of the derived FE model to simulating mechanical performance of  
 314 RC sea walls subjected to steel corrosion, an example is undertaken. The dimension of the example  
 315 sea wall is taken as 1000×2000×150 mm. The values of basic variables used in the example are  
 316 presented in Table 2. Load is applied at the top of the wall. With these values the load-deflection  
 317 relation of the walls without and with steel corrosion can be obtained from the FEA and shown in  
 318 Figure 9 with three degrees of corrosion, i.e., 0%, 4% and 8%. From Figure 9, it can be seen that at

319 about 50 mm deflection, the load for the walls with both degrees of corrosion (4% and 8%) starts to  
320 decrease whilst the load for the wall without corrosion continues to increase, suggesting that  
321 corrosion has caused bond deterioration. It can also be observed that after about 100 mm  
322 deflection, the load-bearing capacity of the wall with corrosion is smaller than that without  
323 corrosion, with that of 8% corrosion the lowest, suggesting the higher degree of corrosion, the  
324 greater the bond loss. It is clear that the corrosion with the degree greater than 4% can reduce the  
325 load-bearing capacity of RC walls. With minor corrosion (<4%), the load carrying capacity of the  
326 wall is more or less the same, regardless of the corrosion. However the load-bearing capacity starts  
327 to “degrade” at much smaller value of deflection compared to that of uncorroded sea wall. It is also  
328 clear that the behaviour of corroded walls (both 4% and 8% corrosion) is slightly less ductile than  
329 that of the uncorroded ones, with regards to the ability to soften. This can be attributed to the  
330 default failure modes in FEA, i.e., splitting failure mode is assumed for the corrosion-affected bond  
331 deterioration while pull-out failure mode is adopted for uncorroded bond.

332

333 Based on the model of corrosion rate  $i_{corr}$  proposed in Li (2000) and shown in Table 2, the bond  
334 strength (normalised by the maximum value of bond strength) can be directly expressed as a  
335 function of time from Equation (6) which is shown in Figure 10. It can be seen that the  
336 corrosion-affected bond strength slightly increases to nearly 1.2 of its original bond strength,  
337 followed by a nonlinear decrease at about 40 years. This suggests that corrosion initially increases  
338 the bond strength but later reduces it, the extent of which largely depends on the corrosion rate.

339

340 To verify the above numerical results, data are obtained from experiments which were conducted  
341 on specimens with structurally significant size (i.e., a representative of real structures) to  
342 investigate steel corrosion in concrete and its effects on structural performance. The dimension of  
343 specimens was 1000×2000×150 mm. There were a total of 22 specimens loaded to failure at  
344 different degrees of corrosion. The typical cross-section of the specimen is shown in Figure 11.

345 Three concrete covers were used, i.e., 30 mm, 40 mm and 50 mm with the ratio of concrete cover to  
346 steel bar diameter being 3, 4 and 5 respectively. The material properties of concrete and  
347 reinforcing steel are listed in Table 3. To simulate the working conditions of sea walls, a large  
348 environmental chamber was constructed to house the specimens. As schematically shown in Figure  
349 12, the specimens were wet by salt water spray in the chamber and dried alternatively. A pair of test  
350 specimens was loaded through two rods at top of the wall so that the specimen walls were subjected  
351 to simultaneous corrosion and service loading. Service load applied to the wall is the design load  
352 calculated according to UK Code 8110. A detailed description of the test program can be found in  
353 Li et al (2005).

354

355 All specimens were under the accelerated conditions for corrosion process which was achieved by  
356 intensifying (and hence shortening) the drying period of wetting and drying cycles, and by spray of  
357 salt water directly to the walls, especially to the cracked surface of the walls. An intense drying  
358 period was imposed to let saturated concrete dry in time to take in oxygen more effectively.  
359 Otherwise the concrete would remain saturated before wetting again. A typical day of test  
360 conditions is shown in Table 4 (Li 2000). The specimens were placed in the environmental  
361 chamber for corrosion for 8, 16 and 24 months respectively at end of which, they were taken out of  
362 the chamber for ultimate load testing in order to obtain measurements over time.

363

364 The key measurement is corrosion rate which was measured by linear polarization resistance  
365 throughout the testing period. The results of  $i_{corr}$  for specimens of 30 mm, 40 mm and 50 mm  
366 concrete cover are shown in Figure 13. It should be noted that, in Figure 13, the testing time has  
367 been translated into the real natural time  $t$  by multiplying an acceleration factor proposed in Li  
368 (2000). The value of  $i_{corr}$  is the averaged results of about 10 measurements taken at the point of

369 time. Based on the data obtained, an expression of the corrosion rate ( $i_{corr}$ ) as a function of time can  
370 be determined as follows:

$$371 \quad i_{corr} = 0.0652 \times t + 1.0105 \quad \text{for cover of 30 mm} \quad (11a)$$

$$372 \quad i_{corr} = 0.0369 \times t + 0.9472 \quad \text{for cover of 40 mm} \quad (11b)$$

$$373 \quad i_{corr} = 0.0458 \times t + 1.8498 \quad \text{for cover of 50 mm} \quad (11c)$$

374 where  $t$  is (calibrated) time in year.

375

376 Equations (11) can be used in the numerical model to calculate the reduction of the cross sectional  
377 area and the change of constitutive relationship of the bond, from which the load-deflection  
378 relation for the sea wall can be obtained from the FEA for different concrete covers. The results are  
379 then compared with those from the experiments as shown in Figure 14. It can be seen that the  
380 numerical results of load-deflection relation of the specimen wall agree well with the experimental  
381 results, especially in the initial stage. It has been observed that the specimen of 50 mm cover can  
382 sustain highest load compared to those of 40 mm and 30 mm covers. This is probably because the  
383 extra thickness in covering the reinforcing steel provides additional confining pressure to the steel  
384 bar which increases the bond strength. The enhanced bond strength subsequently increases the  
385 global mechanical performance of the specimen wall.

386

387 The effects of the degree of corrosion on the load-deflection relation for test specimens are also  
388 examined. Based on the calibration method proposed in Li (2000), the accelerated time for  
389 corrosion can be translated to natural time for corrosion, using an accelerated factor of 50, i.e., 1  
390 cycle = 50 days. For example, 8 months accelerated corrosion (2 cycles per day) is equivalent to 34  
391 years in natural time, from which and according to Equation (11), the averaged corrosion rates at  
392 year 34 are  $2.12 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$  for 30 mm cover,  $1.57 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$  for 40 mm cover and  $2.63 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$  for 50



393 mm cover. Substituting these corrosion rates into Equation (1), the diameter losses of steel bar are  
394 calculated to be 0.84 mm for 30 mm cover, 0.62 mm for 40 mm cover and 1 mm for 50 mm cover  
395 respectively. These reduced diameters of the steel bars are used in the FEA. Four points in time,  
396 i.e., 20 years, 34 years, 50 years and 70 years are chosen to evaluate the corrosion effect. The  
397 results are presented in Table 5.

398

399 With the modified bond mechanism and the reduction of the cross sectional area of steel bars, the  
400 load-deflection relationship of the specimens with corrosion at 8 months (testing time) is  
401 re-determined numerically and shown in Figure 15. Also shown is the comparison with the  
402 experimental results. Again very reasonably good agreement has been achieved. The difference in  
403 load-deflection curves might be related to the slightly different boundary conditions for the  
404 numerical model and the experiment. For example, the numerical model assumes that the sea wall  
405 is fixed at the bottom, while in the experiment the sea wall can slightly rotate which caused an  
406 additional very small deflection under given load. Due to the numerical difficulties in obtaining  
407 converged results of FEA that involves excessive materials damage, the numerical simulation does  
408 not normally go as far as the experiments, i.e., the wall fails at smaller deflection for the numerical  
409 modelling compared to that for the experiments. Also from Table 5, the degree of corrosion for  
410 specimens 30 mm, 40 mm and 50 mm concrete cover is 2.67%, 2.30% and 2.97% respectively (all  
411 < 4%). The nominalized bond strength therefore has increased 1.06, 1.05 and 1.08 respectively.  
412 This partially explains why the specimens with 50 mm concrete cover have the highest  
413 load-bearing capacity, followed by specimens with concrete covers of 30 mm and 40 mm. It is of  
414 interest to note that the load-bearing capacity depends more on bond strength than on concrete  
415 covers which makes sense according to RC theory.

416

417

418

## 419 **CONCLUSIONS**

420

421 In this paper a numerical method to simulate the mechanical behaviour of corrosion-affected  
422 reinforced concrete sea walls has been proposed. Both slips between the reinforcing steel and  
423 concrete for cracked concrete and the effects of steel corrosion on the bond-slip relation have been  
424 considered explicitly. Models for corrosion-affected maximum bond strength and bond stiffness  
425 have been developed as a function of corrosion rate. In the numerical method, the bond failure  
426 strength and failure mode can be modified based on the degree of steel corrosion. It has been found  
427 that the load-deflection relationship predicted by the proposed numerical method is in good  
428 agreement with that from the experiments. It has been also found that the increase in the bond  
429 strength due to minor corrosion can increase the load-bearing capacity of the wall, and that the steel  
430 corrosion can alter the flexural stiffness of sea walls depending on the degree of corrosion.  
431 Moreover, the corrosion-affected concrete walls have been found to exhibit less ductile behaviour  
432 compared with uncorroded concrete walls. It can be concluded that the proposed numerical method  
433 can predict the mechanical behaviour of corrosion-affected reinforced concrete sea walls with  
434 reasonable accuracy. Accurate prediction of the performance of corrosion-affected reinforced  
435 concrete structures can help engineers and asset managers ensure their safety and serviceability.

436

## 437 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

438

439 The experiment from which the data are obtained for this study was carried out in the University of  
440 Dundee, UK with which the first author used to work. Contributions from all project participants to  
441 the experiment, in particular, Prof R Jones, Dr M McCarthy and Dr S Aguiar are gratefully  
442 acknowledged.

443

444 **REFERENCES**

- 445 Almusallam, A. A., Al-gahtani, A. S., Aziz, A. R., and Rasheeduzzafar (1996). "Effect of  
 446 reinforcement corrosion on bond strength " *Construction and Building Materials*, 10(2), 123-129.  
 447
- 448 Amleh, L., and Mirza, S. (1999). "Corrosion influence on bond between steel and concrete." *ACI*  
 449 *Structural Journal*, 96(3), 415-423.  
 450
- 451 Auyeung, Y., Balaguru, P., and Chung, L. (2000). "Bond behavior of corroded reinforcement  
 452 bars." *ACI Materials Journal*, 97(2), 214-221.  
 453
- 454 Berto, L., Simioni, P., and Saetta, A. (2008). "Numerical modelling of bond behaviour in RC  
 455 structures affected by reinforcement corrosion." *Engineering Structures*, 30, 1375-1385.  
 456
- 457 Cabrera, J. G., and Ghodussi, P. "Effect of reinforcement corrosion on the strength of steel concrete  
 458 bond." *Proc., International Conference on Bond in Concrete - From Research to Practice*,  
 459 10.11-10.24.  
 460
- 461 Chaker, V. (1992). "Corrosion forms and control for infrastructure." *ASTM Speical Technical*  
 462 *Publication*, 1137.  
 463
- 464 Coronelli, D., and Gambarova, P. (2004). "Structural assessment of corroded reinforced concrete  
 465 beams: modeling guidelines." *ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering*, 130(8), 1214-1224.  
 466
- 467 FIB (2000). "Bond of reinforcement in concrete." *State-of-Art Reprot, Bulletin No. 10*Switzerland.  
 468
- 469 FIB (2010). "Model Code." International Federation For Structural Concrete, Switzerland.  
 470
- 471 Filippou, F. C. (1986). "A simple model for reinforcing bar anchorages under cyclic excitations."  
 472 *Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE*, 112(7), 1605-1622.  
 473
- 474 Hillerborg, A., Modeer, M., and Petersson, P. E. (1976). "Analysis of crack formation and crack  
 475 growth in concrete by means of fracture mechanics and finite elements." *Cement and Concrete*  
 476 *Research*, 6(6), 773-781.  
 477
- 478 Huang, R., and Yang, C. C. (1997). "Condition assessment of reinforced concrete beams relative to  
 479 reinforcement corrosion." *Cement and Concrete Composite*, 19, 131-139.  
 480
- 481 Jung, W. Y., Yoon, Y. S., and Sohn, Y. M. (2002). "Predicting the remaining service life of land  
 482 concrete by steel corrosion." *Cement and Concrete Research*, 33, 663-667.  
 483
- 484 Kankam, C. K. (1997). "Relationship of bond stress, steel stress and slip in reinforced concrete."  
 485 *ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering*, 123(1), 79-85.  
 486
- 487 Kwak, H., and Hwang, J. (2010). "FE model to simulate bond-slip behaviour in composite concrete  
 488 beam bridges." *Computers and Structures*, 88, 937-984.  
 489
- 490 Li, C.Q. (2000), "Corrosion Initiation of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete under Natural Salt Spray  
 491 and Service Loading – Results and Analysis", *ACI Materials Journal*, **97**, (6), 690 – 697.  
 492
- 493 Li, C. Q. (2003). "Life cycle modelling of corrosion affected concrete structures - propagation."  
 494 *Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE*, 129(6), 753-761.  
 495
- 496 Li, C. Q., Aguiar, S. and Melchers, R. E. (2005). "Maintenance strategy for coastal structures", *Proc.*  
 497 *9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Structural Safety and Reliability*, 19-22 June, Rome.  
 498
- 499 Li, C. Q., Melchers, R. E., and Zheng, J. J. (2006). "Analytical model for corrosion-induced crack  
 500 width in reinforced concrete structures." *ACI Structural Journal*, 103(4), 479-487.

501  
502 Liu, Y., and Weyers, R. E. (1998). "Modelling the time-to-corrosion cracking in chloride  
503 contaminated reinforced concrete structures." *ACI Materials Journal*, 95(6), 675-681.  
504  
505 Lundgren, K., and Gylltoft, K. (2000). "A model for the bond between concrete and reinforcement  
506 " *Magazine of Concrete Research*, 52(1), 53-63.  
507  
508 Maaddawy, T. A., and Soudki, K. A. (2003). "Effectiveness of impressed current technique to  
509 simulate corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete " *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*,  
510 15(1), 41-47.  
511  
512 Maaddawy, T. A., Soudki, K. A., and Topper, T. (2005). "Analytical model to predict nonlinear  
513 flexural behaviour of corroded reinforced concrete beams." *ACI Structural Journal*, 102(4),  
514 550-559.  
515  
516 Mangat, P. S., and Molloy, B. T. (1992), "Factors influencing chloride-induced corrosion of  
517 reinforcement in concrete", *Material and Structures*, 25, 404-411.  
518  
519 Pantazopoulou, S. J., and Papoulia, K. D. (2001). "Modeling cover cracking due to reinforcement  
520 corrosion in RC structures." *Journal of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE*, 127(4), 342-351.  
521  
522 Purnell, R. G. "Keynote address." *Proc., Advances in coastal structures and breakwaters*, Thomas  
523 Telford.  
524  
525 Rodriguez, J., Ontega, L. M., and Garcia, A. M. "Assessment of structural elements with corroded  
526 reinforcement." *Proc., International Conference on Corrosion and Corrosion Protection of Steel*  
527 *in Concrete*, Sheffield Academic Press, 171-185.  
528  
529 Sanchez, I., Albertos, T. S., Ortega, J. M., and Climent, M. A. "Influence of environmental  
530 conditions on durability, properties of fly ash mortars." *Proc., 2nd International Conference on*  
531 *Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies*.  
532  
533 Val, D. V., and Chernin, L. (2009). "Serviceability reliability of reinforced concrete beams with  
534 corroded reinforcement." *Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE*, 135(8), 896-905.  
535  
536 Yang, S., and Li, C. Q. (2011). "Numerical Modelling of Corrosion-Induced Concrete Crack  
537 Width." *ICE Proceedings of Construction Materials*, 164(6), 293-303.  
538

539	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>
540	
541	1. Values of parameters for bond stress-slip relation
542	2. Values of basic variables used in example
543	3. Material properties for concrete and steel as inputs for FEA
544	4. A typical day of accelerated test conditions
545	5. Input values of corrosion related parameters
546	

547	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>
548	
549	
550	1. Constitutive relationship of concrete in tension
551	2. Stress-strain relationship of steel
552	3. Typical bond stress-slip relationship
553	4. Deterioration of maximum bond strength as a function of corrosion
554	5. Sea wall simulation: (a) mesh of FE and (b) close-up of connection of translators
555	6. Illustration of interface element for bond
556	7. Numerical determination of residual bond stress
557	8. Numerical determination of residual tensile stress of concrete
558	9. Load-deflection relation for walls with various corrosion degrees
559	10. Normalized bond strength as a function of time
560	11. Cross section of test specimens
561	12. Schematic of large corrosive environmental chamber (a) and test set-up (b)
562	13. Test results on $i_{corr}$ as a function of time
563	14. Load-deflection relation for walls without corrosion
564	15. Load-deflection relation for walls with corrosion (8 months testing time)