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Abstract. We study the temperature dependence of the generation of Terahertz (THz) waves by photomixing in GaAs based antennas, grown at low-temperatures. For excitation with 780 nm light, the generated photocurrent increases by 15%, if the temperature is increased from 18°C to 60°C, whereas the generated THz power increases by 28%. The results show that the operation of THz antennas is robust with respect to changes in the ambient temperature and thus support the possibility of robust, potentially mobile devices without the need for a careful temperature control of the antenna structure.
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1. Introduction

Terahertz (THz) radiation is electromagnetic radiation in the frequency range of 0.3 - 3 THz, where many materials show spectroscopic fingerprints but packaging and cloths are transparent. Hence there are great efforts to realize systems for applications in medical diagnosis, material inspection, quality control, short-haul wireless communications and security. Scientifically, terahertz radiation is important for spectroscopic investigations and characterization of modern materials and in astronomy as local oscillator for Terahertz telescopes. Two popular methods for THz generation are based on photonic sources, in which infrared (IR) lasers generate photocurrents in semiconductor materials with a short lifetime (typically low-temperature (LT) grown GaAs, e.g. [1]). In photoconductive switching, ultra-short pulses from mode-locked lasers are used for excitation (see, e.g., [2] for a review). In photomixing, the THz wave is generated from the beating of two cw IR lasers [3, 4, 5, 6]. The THz radiation inherits the coherence properties of the sources and has hence the prospect for being narrow-band and tunable via a tuning of the frequency difference between the IR lasers. This is imperative for applications relying on high spectral purity such as high-resolution spectroscopy (the linewidth of THz transitions in low density gases without pressure broadening is less than 1 MHz) and local oscillators in astronomy. In addition, cw THz systems are highly attractive even for low-resolution spectroscopy and sensing, to avoid the large footprint and costs of mode-locked photo-switching systems. Systems based on thermally tuned distributed-feedback (DFB) lasers [7, 8, 9] are therefore very attractive for low-cost and mobile THz spectroscopy, sensing and imaging. Outside a research laboratory, temperature robustness is often an issue. First, the optical beams constitute a significant thermal load which will increase the temperature in the active area of the THz emitter [10, 6]. Indeed, thermal failure occurs if the temperature difference between the active layer and the substrate reaches about 100 K [10], which can be counteracted by passive heat sinking techniques [11, 12] or array technology [13, 14, 15]. Second, the ambient temperature will be necessarily variable and volatile, in particular in mobile and field applications. As the light-matter coupling in semiconductors decreases with increasing temperature due to broadening of the gain or absorption features, the performance of typical photonic devices degrades with temperature. This raises the question of the need for an expensive and power-hungry active temperature stabilization of the THz antenna.

We will show here that under typical operating conditions for THz antennas, there is actually a benefit of increasing the temperature. Though an increase of photocurrent with temperature due to band-gap shrinking was noted before in the literature [6], we are not aware of any systematic investigation. We investigated the temperature range up to 60-70 °C since it might be relevant in a device with a high-density of components. We demonstrate a photocurrent increase of about 15% between 18 °C and 60 °C and an increase of 28% in the emitted THz power. This indicates that the complexity and costs of an active temperature stabilization of the photomixing antennas can be avoided.
2. Methods

2.1. THz antennas

The THz emitters used in this work use standard growth and mounting technology as established as state-of-the-art of the field. The wafers were grown at the University of Manchester and are based on typical LT-GaAs as described, e.g., in [1]. LT-GaAs layers were grown in a RIBER V90H MBE system under excess arsenic conditions and at temperatures of approximately 250°C. The reproducibility of the growth temperature and hence the population of excess point defects was carefully controlled through monitoring the peak split between the GaAs substrate and the as-deposited strained LT-GaAs layer in double crystal X-Ray diffraction measurements. This method ensures that exact replica samples are produced each time as the low growth temperature is notoriously difficult to measure. The samples were then ex-situ annealed at 650°C for 10 minutes to ensure complete precipitation of the excess arsenic atoms in the GaAs lattice and hence achieve equilibrium defect concentrations. Absorption measurements indicate that the layer thickness is about 0.5 µm [16].

The wafers are processed into antenna array based on point dipoles with a 5 µm gap at a pitch of 30 µm with a total active area of about 1 × 1 mm². A microlens array ensures that the light from a broad beam is focused into the gaps of the individual emitters. An aplanatic high resistivity Si lens is mounted onto the back of the wafer to couple out the THz radiation.

2.2. Experimental setup

Two grating-controlled external-cavity diode lasers (ECL) are used as the light sources and provide a tuning range of 8 nm around 780 nm. The output power is about 35 mW at an injection current of 100 mA. The two beams were coupled into polarization-maintaining single-mode fibres and combined in a 50/50 fibre coupler. Each output arm of the coupler produced a total of 20 mW with a 10 mW contribution from each beam. The output was then amplified using a Newport TA 7613 tapered semiconductor optical amplifier. A linear polarizer is used after the outcoupler to remove unwanted background of spontaneous emission and to obtain a well-defined polarization state. The output peaked at 500 mW at 2300 mA injection current. The output of the fibre was collimated to 1.3 mm (full width half maximum, FWHM). The beam was then modulated with a mechanical 50% duty cycle mechanical chopper at 1.3 kHz. A half-wave plate placed before the optical isolator was used to balance the fibre-coupled power of the two lasers to maximize the beating contrast. The total power of the beam on the sample was controlled with the amplifier current. Due to the microlens array no focusing is required and the beam width was designed to overfill the array to achieve approximately homogenous driving conditions across the array.

The antenna assembly is mounted onto a suitable sized heat sink to allow the heat generated to dissipate. As the emitter under study is a large-area array, the optical power density and hence the temperature increase due to the optical load is low. The temperature was controlled to an accuracy of 0.1°C by a thermo-electric cooler (TEC) feedback system using a thermistor as the sensor. Since the thermistor was not embedded in the antenna assembly itself, it is not possibly to give an accurate local absolute temperature at the antenna. However, the temperature increase of the antenna will be very similar to the temperature increase imposed onto the heat sink. We will refer to the heat sink temperature as ambient temperature in the following
and use it as a control parameter. The temperature range was between 7°C to 67°C, the limiting factors being the condensation of atmospheric water and thermal damage to the antenna respectively.

The generated THz radiation is collimated by a silicon lens situated at the back of the antenna which is then focussed using a Teflon lens into the Winston cone of a hot electron InSb bolometer (QMC Instruments Ltd QNI/2), which was used to detect the THz radiation. The signal was detected with a low-noise pre-amplifier and a lock-in detector. In the following, the values given for the optical input power and the generated photocurrent are averaged over the chopping cycle, i.e. the peak values are a factor of two higher. We will discuss some issues related to the thermal nature of the bolometer signal in the following section.

2.3. Thermal background

The bolometric detection method is not able to distinguish between the THz radiation coherent to the oscillating photocurrent and other thermal signals correlated with the chopper. These are the thermal load coming from the optical beam as well as ohmic heating by the photocurrent. Although the Teflon lens and the filters inside the bolometer (a high-density polyethylene (HDPE) entrance window and the low-temperature low-pass filters with a 50% point at 3 THz) cut out the mid-infrared (MIR) part of the thermal radiation, there is still a thermal tail extending into the THz region. This can be measured using one laser alone to drive the antenna. This contribution is linear with applied laser power and turns out to generate a lock-in signal of up to 17 µV at maximum power (200 mW average optical power, 1.35 mA
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photocurrent) at room temperature. At elevated temperatures (60°C) it is larger than 30 µV (200 mW average optical power, 1.58 mA photocurrent). The total maximum signal for radiation at 0.5 THz from two-wavelength excitation is about 300 µV, i.e. the thermal background is small at this frequency. This applies to all the range from microwave frequencies to slightly above 0.5 THz, in which the bolometer has a high and approximately constant responsivity.

However, the responsivity of the bolometer drops off rapidly in the range between 0.5 and 0.7 THz. At 1.0 THz it is only about 10% of its peak value. Hence, the signal coherent with the beat note decreases rapidly at larger frequencies whereas the thermal background stays the same, and a correction of the raw data is necessary. This could be achieved by measuring the thermal background with one laser for every temperature, power and voltage investigated but this was considered not to be worth the considerable effort needed. Instead we choose a simpler method based on a typical responsivity curve of the bolometer. We assume that the bolometer signal, y, consists of the thermal background b and the coherent power s multiplied by a sensitivity factor a, y = b + as. If we take y₁, a₁ as the values for 0.5 THz and y₂, a₂ as the values for 1 THz (at the same temperature, optical power and bias voltage), one can obtain the background as

\[
b = \frac{y_2 - a_2 y_1}{1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1}}.
\]

This can then be subtracted from the raw data. Afterwards, the data for 1 THz can be scaled accounting for the sensitivity drop by multiplying with \( \frac{a_1}{a_2} = \frac{1}{0.107} \).

We will refer to the raw data as ‘lock-in signal’ and to the corrected data as ‘THz power (arb. units)’ (the numbers given are the bolometer signal in µV expected at the sensitivity for 0.5 THz without the thermal background). As indicated, these considerations are not central to the main findings of this article as the thermal background is negligible at 0.5 THz, but needs to be taken into account, if one wants to demonstrate an increase in THz power also at 1 THz in a semi-quantitative way.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Dark current

The dependence of the dark current of the antenna array on temperature for a bias voltage of 15 V is given in Fig. 2. It is 48 µA at 17°C, corresponding to a dark resistance of 0.31 MΩ. Note that this value corresponds to the resistance of all the individual antennas of the array in parallel. It translates to a very good value in the hundreds of MΩ range for the single antenna. The dark current increases for increasing temperature as expected for a semiconductor material. In LT-GaAs the main contribution is believed to be the activation of hopping conduction between As precipitates [17, 18]. Between 17°C and 60°C the increase is 150%.

3.2. Dependence on optical input power

Fig. 3 shows the generated photocurrent in dependence on the incident laser power for different temperatures at a bias of 15 V. The curves are approximately linear at larger photocurrents but show a non-ohmic behaviour at low currents, which is often observed for LT-GaAs antennas. The behaviour is quite similar for a frequency difference of 0.5 THz and 1 THz and the variations between the two cases are indicative
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Figure 2. Dark current of antenna array at 15 V bias. The two different symbols represent measurements taken about 10 months apart.

for the reproducibility of results between different runs and do not represent a genuine difference. There is a significant increase in photo current with increasing temperature. The photocurrent obtained for a frequency separation of 1 THz is very similar. The ratio between the photo current at 60°C and 18°C is about 15% at maximum power.

Figure 3. (Colour online) Photocurrent vs. incident laser power for three temperatures at a 15 V bias (black squares: 18°C, red circles: 40°C, blue triangles: 60°C). Solid symbols represent a frequency separation of 0.5 THz, open symbols of 1 THz. Integration time: 2 s, error bars for the photocurrent noise at constant parameters are negligible.

Fig. 4 shows the generated photocurrent as a function of bias voltage at maximum incident laser power for different temperatures. The curves display a non-ohmic characteristic at low voltage and are reasonably linear at high voltages. Again, there is a consistent and significant increase in photocurrent with temperature. The ratio between the photo current at 60°C and 18°C is again slightly above 15% at maximum voltage.

In view of the quite significant increase in photocurrent with temperature, the THz power emitted was also investigated. Fig. 5 shows the raw (i.e. uncorrected for background) signal of the lock-in detector in dependence on the incident laser power
for a difference frequency of the IR lasers of 0.5 THz and 1.0 THz. In both cases the signal increases superlinearly with power and is higher at higher temperatures for a given power. The absolute signal at 1.0 THz is considerably lower than at 0.5 THz, which reflects the reduced sensitivity of the bolometer at this frequency.

Since one expects that the radiated THz power is proportional to the square of the photocurrent (the field is linear with photocurrent), it makes sense to plot the lock-in signal against photocurrent. The result is shown in Fig. 6. The data for 0.5 THz lie on a single, common characteristic curve independent of temperature, whereas the signal for 1.0 THz increases for increasing temperature, in particular between 18°C and 40°C. Both the 0.5 and 1.0 THz signals increase superlinearly with photocurrent, but the parabolic component is stronger at 0.5 THz than at 1.0 THz. These observations indicate that an incoherent background might be important for the 1 THz data. The
increase in lock-in signal between 18° and 60° is about 37% for 0.5 THz and 59% for 1 THz for the maximum photocurrent.

Figure 6. (Colour online) Detected signal after lock-in amplifier vs. photocurrent for three temperatures at a 15 V bias (black squares: 18°C, red circles: 40°C, blue triangles: 60°C). The frequency separation is a) 0.5 THz, b) 1 THz.

Hence we apply the correction procedure explained in Sect. 2.3 and Eq. (1). The offset \( b \) obtained increases with laser power and temperature as expected and has also the order of magnitude expected from comparison with the available single-frequency measurements. The resulting data are presented in Fig. 7. The reduction in amplitude for the data obtained at 0.5 THz (Fig. 7a) is noticeable but not large because the bolometer has nearly full sensitivity there. Fig. 7a clearly demonstrates that there is a strong increase of coherent THz power with photocurrent, and hence with temperature at constant optical power. This increase equates to about 28% at maximum power, if temperature is raised from 18°C to 60°C. If one divides the increase of the THz signal by the square of the increase in photocurrent, one obtains values between 0.9 and 1 consistent with the expected quadratic increase of THz power with photocurrent.

For the data obtained at 1 THz, the relative importance of the correction is larger, the peak lock-in signal amplitude drops from 75 µV (see Fig. 5b) to about 30 µV after subtraction of the offset. We do not present these intermediate data but show in Fig. 7b directly the final result, where also the correction \( a_1/a_2 \) for the sensitivity of the bolometer was applied. It is evident that the three characteristics fall on one universal curve. Furthermore, the resulting data have approximately the same amplitude and shape as the data for 0.5 THz in Fig. 7a. There might be a small tendency that the high temperature data (60°C) are slightly lower than the rest, a tendency already apparent in the uncorrected (see Fig. 5a) as well as the corrected (Fig. 7a) data for 0.5 THz. The THz signal increases by 26% between 60°C and 18°C at maximum power.

Fig. 8 shows the same data in a double logarithmic plot. The approximately linear dependence indicates polynomial scaling, which motivates fitting to a trial function \( P_{\text{THz}}(I) = A(I - I_0)^s + b \), where the offsets \( I_0 \) and \( b \) are found to be necessary to account for the non-ohmic part of the characteristic (see also [12]). \( I_0 \) is found from a fit of the linear part of the characteristics in Fig. 3 (giving here \( I_0 = 0.462 \) mA, 0.506 mA and 0.560 mA for 18°, 40°, and 60°, respectively). \( s, A \) and \( b \) are free
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Figure 7. (Colour online) Corrected lock-in signal (signal in $\mu$V expected for bolometer sensitivity at 0.5 THz without background, see text for details) proportional to THz power vs. photocurrent for three temperatures at a 15 V bias (black squares: 18°C, red circles: 40°C, blue triangles: 60°C). The frequency separation is a) 0.5 THz, b) 1 THz. The figure demonstrates that the THz power coherent with the beating does not depend on temperature at a fixed photocurrent. The THz power at maximum optical power (or in general at a given optical power) increases with temperature as the characteristics extend to higher photocurrents.

parameters. The scaling exponent is found to be close to 2 (scattered between 1.8 - 2.0 for the different temperatures and frequency separations) as expected for quadratic scaling. As an example, the solid lines in Figs. 8a and b depict fits of the 40° data with $s = 1.96 \pm 0.08$ for the 0.5 THz data, and $s = 1.96 \pm 0.1$ for the 1.0 THz data. This supports that the detected signal corresponds to coherent THz radiation and indicates that the correction procedure makes sense.

Figure 8. (Colour online) Double logarithmic plot of the corrected (see text) lock-in signal proportional to THz power (at 0.5 THz) vs. incident photocurrent for three temperatures at 15 V bias (black squares: 18°C, red circles: 40°C, blue triangles: 60°C). The thick red line is a fit to the data at 40°C (details in text). The frequency separation is a) 0.5 THz, b) 1 THz.
3.3. Temperature scan

The data in the previous subsection established a strong temperature dependence, but only three different temperatures were investigated. Hence we performed a more detailed investigation of the dependence of the THz output on temperature, fixing the bias voltage at 15 V and the optical power at the maximal level of 247 mW. Fig. 9a shows the change of photocurrent with temperature for both 0.5 and 1.0 THz. It is again apparent that the photocurrent increases with increasing temperature. This increase is approximately linear and about 24-25% over the range investigated. The variations between the data for the two frequencies are not significant and indicative of the reproducibility of the results regarding the accuracy of temperature control and readout, beam pointing and power fluctuations.

Fig. 9b shows the corresponding increase in THz power. We are only presenting corrected data. It is superlinear and about 60% for 0.5 THz and 67% for 1 THz in the range investigated. Again, in view of the uncertainties of the beam pointing and data treatment the small variations between the data for 0.5 THz and 1.0 THz are not considered to be significant.

In order to support the notion that the detected THz signal is dominated by the coherent part due to the oscillating photocurrent, it is useful again to plot the THz power against photocurrent. Fig. 10a shows a plot in linear scale, Fig. 10b in double logarithmic scale. Due to the relatively small changes and large scatter it is difficult to make a quantitative statement but the plot in linear scale shows some curvature in the data, whereas the double logarithmic plot is reasonably straight. The scaling exponents are $s = 1.64 \pm 0.1$ for 0.5 THz and $s = 1.85 \pm 0.2$ for 1.0 THz. It needs to be noted that only a rough (i.e. average) allowance for the non-ohmic parts can be made for these data, since the data are obtained at different temperatures possessing different intercepts $I_0$. Overall the data confirm the notion of a significant benefit in THz power from increasing the ambient temperature.
Figure 10. (Colour online) a) Corrected lock-in signal proportional to THz power vs. photocurrent in linear scale and b) in double logarithmic scale. Bias voltage 15 V, optical power 247 mW, scanned temperature range as in Fig. 9. The squares denote data obtained at 0.5 THz frequency separation, the circles data at 1 THz. The lines in b) are fits to the curve $y = A(x - x_0)^s + b$ with $A, s$ being free fitting parameters as described in the text. 0.5 THz: solid black line, $x_0 = 0.509$ mA, $b = 6.5$; 1 THz, dashed red line, $x_0 = 0.509$ mA, $b = 7.4$.

4. Discussion

We had a few antenna arrays at our disposal and all showed an increase of photocurrent between 3% and 15% for a temperature increase of 40°. Of these antennas, two showed significant THz output. Both of these displayed a corresponding increase in THz output power with the increase in photocurrent. We concentrated in this article on presenting a systematic investigation of one antenna, for the other THz active antenna the increase in photocurrent is 7% from 20° to 60° and 21% from 6° to 80°. The increase in lock-in signal is 15% from 20° to 60° and 46% from 6° to 80° (at 0.68 THz), in good agreement with the expectation from quadratic scaling.

This increase in THz generation is directly evident for the data obtained at 0.5 THz, but can be demonstrated for data at 1 THz after data correction, accounting for the sensitivity changes in the bolometric detector. This difficulty is only an artefact of the bolometric detection method (in particular at the high frequency), a coherent detection setup, which is the preferred solution for applications anyway, will be much less susceptible to the incoherent background. In coherent detection, the THz radiation under investigation is mixed with the infrared beams generating it in a second antenna structure (e.g. [5]), thus avoiding the need for cryogenic systems.

The increase in photocurrent independent of the frequency separation indicates that the absorption increases for increasing temperature. One possible mechanism noted in the literature [10, 6] is the thermal shift of the bandgap leading to a higher absorption coefficient at a given wavelength. Absorption data for LT-GaAs over a wide energy range were measured in [19]. From these data a value of 17000/cm for the absorption coefficient of annealed LT-GaAs at 780 nm is obtained and the expected absorption is 57% in a nominally 0.5 µm thick layer. The change in absorption is about 70000/cm/eV (linear fit to the data in [19]). Taking a common red-shift of the bandgap of GaAs of 0.3 nm/K, an enhancement of absorption by 4.5% would be expected from this argument. This is in the lower range what we observe...
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experimentally for the photocurrent.

However, the photocurrent does not only depend on the number of generated carriers, but also on the collection efficiency or photoconductive gain (e.g. [20]). Since the electric field in the gap is highly non-uniform and in particular decays with depth, carriers generated close to the surface contribute to the THz signal more strongly than those generated further down in the material. This is the reason that recessed electrodes provide typically better THz performance than the standard geometry [21, 22]. The increased absorption coefficient due to the shift of bandgap will lead to a localization of carrier generation closer to the surface and the resulting increase in collection efficiency might provide the leverage for a superlinear increase in photocurrent with absorption coefficient.

In addition, it seems possible that the enhancement of hopping conductance at elevated temperatures demonstrated for the dark current [17, 18] affects also the transport processes under illumination.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we demonstrate a robust increase in photocurrent as well as emitted THz power for cw photomixing in LT-GaAs for excitation at 780 nm. Independent of a detailed understanding of the mechanisms, this is an interesting result as it demonstrates that working at moderately elevated temperatures does not provide an obstacle for the operation of THz emitters. Thus no expensive temperature control is needed facilitating widespread use and potentially mobile, even hand-held devices. Using lower wavelength lasers at 760 nm should enhance performance as well as enabling a cross-check of the mechanisms involved. Pump-probe measurements of the temperature dependence of the carrier lifetime, preferentially under biased conditions, would be another way to investigate mechanisms involved.
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