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A longitudinal examination of the influence of maturation on physical self-perceptions and the relationship with physical activity in early adolescent girls
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Abstract

This longitudinal study investigated the influence of maturation on physical self-perceptions and the relationship with physical activity in early adolescent girls (N=150; mean age = 12.79 ± 0.31). Physical characteristics were measured and participants completed the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children, the Children and Youth Physical Self-Perception Profile and the Pubertal Development Scale on two occasions 12 months apart. The results demonstrated a decrease in overall physical activity levels over 12 months which was not influenced by maturational status or physical characteristics. Additional analysis indicated that physical self-perceptions partially accounted for the explained variance in physical activity change, with physical condition being an important individual predictor of physical activity. Further analysis indicated that body mass was an important individual predictor of changes in perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth. At this age maturation has a limited influence on the physical activity behaviours of early adolescent girls and although the variance in physical activity was partly accounted for by physical self-perceptions, this was a relatively small contribution and other factors related to this drop in physical activity needs to be considered longitudinally.
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Introduction

Physical activity participation has a range of health benefits for children and adolescents, including healthy musculoskeletal growth and development, maintenance of energy balance, psychological well-being and social interaction, as well as preventing future morbidity (Department of Health, 2004). Despite this, adolescence has been identified as a risk period for physical activity attrition, particularly among girls (Grunbaum et al., 2004). Numerous studies have highlighted this decrease in physical activity in adolescent girls in Western populations (Aaron, Storti, Robertson, Kriska & LaPorte, 2002; Kimm et al., 2002; Nelson, Neumark-Stzainer, Hannan, Sirard & Story, 2006) with findings from the Scottish Health Survey (Bromley et al., 2003) indicating that the percentage of girls meeting current physical activity guidelines declined from 58% at age 11–12 years of age to 41% at age 13–15 years.

This drop in physical activity in adolescent girls is coincident with maturation, which usually occurs around the age of 12–13 years, yet few studies have examined the direct influence of maturation on physical activity. Early research by Armstrong, Balding, Gentle and Kirby (1990) suggested that maturation assessed by tanner staging had no influence on sustained periods of physical activity in both boys and girls. Contradictory to these early findings, research by Thompson, Baxter-Jones, Mirwald and Bailey (2003) has provided preliminary evidence of a possible influence of pubertal development on physical activity behaviour. More recently, Baker, Birch, Trost and Davison (2007) identified that early-maturing girls, relative to their peers at age 11, had significantly lower physical activity levels at age 13 years compared to their later-maturing peers, suggesting that early maturation relative to peers may lead to a decline in physical activity among adolescent girls.

The physical changes that accompany maturation are characterised by an increase in fat mass by approximately 22% which is not matched by an increase in muscle mass or skeletal tissue (Malina, Bouchard & Bar-Or, 2004), along with changes in body shape and size that are generally opposed to physical activity participation. Therefore, these physical changes may directly impact on girls’ ability to participate in physical activity, for example breast development may directly reduce spontaneous physical activity because of the need for appropriate clothing (Baker et al., 2007). In addition, this natural increase in body fat can result in body changes that are opposed to competence in certain activities. For example individuals with excess body fat may find participation in gymnastics difficult. As a result, as girls mature they may self-select out of sport and physical activity because of competency-related issues (Malina et al., 2004).

Self-perceptions refer to all types of self-referent statements about the self, from those that are global to those that are specific in content (Fox, 1997) and a number of researchers have acknowledged developmental changes in adolescents’ self-perceptions as they mature (Harter, 1999; Horn, 2004). In addition, the physical changes during maturation can also lead to an increase in the salience of physique to adolescents’ self-perceptions (Smith, 2004), possibly resulting in less positive self-perceptions in the physical domain. Therefore, as girls mature they may perceive themselves to have less positive physical self-perceptions (Crocker, Eklund, & Kowalski, 2000; Crocker et al., 2003). Research examining the relationship between the physical characteristics of maturation and physical self-perceptions has consistently shown perceptions of body attractiveness to be negatively associated with both percentage body fat (Welk & Eklund, 2005) and Body
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Mass Index (BMI) (Crocker et al., 2003; Crocker, Sabiston, Kowalski, McDonough, & Kowalski, 2006; Raustorp, Stahle, Gudasic, Kinnunen, & Mattsson, 2005; Welk & Eklund, 2005). This tentatively suggests that the physical changes associated with maturation may influence physical self-perceptions. However, more recently, Davison, Werder, Trost, Baker and Birch (2007) examined the influence of early maturation on physical activity and psychological well-being in 11 year old girls over 2 years. Their findings suggested that maturation had a limited influence on aspects of the physical self in relation to body esteem and perceived athletic competence. These inconsistent findings from few studies highlight the need for further research examining the influence of maturation on physical self-perceptions.

Physical self-perceptions have been linked to a number of motivation theories for being physically active. More specifically, Harter’s (1982) Competence Motivation theory suggests that individuals who feel competent in the physical domain will be more likely to participate in physical activity. Weiss and Horn (1990) and Weiss, Bredemeier and Shewchuck (1986) have advocated this theory as being particularly appropriate in the research of children and adolescents’ physical activity behaviour because it incorporates developmental changes in perceptions. Research has consistently shown a positive relationship between physical activity and physical self-perceptions (Crocker et al., 2000, 2006; Welk & Eklund, 2005) with the physical self-perception subscales of sport competence and physical condition being shown to be important positive correlates of physical activity in adolescent girls (Biddle, Whitehead, O’Donovan, & Nevill, 2005). However, the research examining physical self-perceptions and physical activity is predominantly cross-sectional in nature, therefore causality cannot be determined (Crocker et al., 2000, 2006; Biddle et al., 2005; Welk & Eklund, 2005).

Recent advancements in longitudinal research examining the physical self and physical activity have been made by Crocker et al. (2003, 2006). Longitudinal analysis identified that 12.9% of the decrease in physical activity over 3 years in adolescent girls aged 14–17 years was accounted for by the change in physical self-perceptions (Crocker et al., 2006). More specifically, perceptions of sport competence and physical condition were both cross-sectional correlates and longitudinal predictors of physical activity with physical condition being a significant individual predictor of physical activity. The co-variation between activity change and physical self-perceptions provides support for the contention that the physical self plays an important role in the adoption and maintenance of physical activity (Sonstroem, 1997). However, from their own research findings, Crocker et al. (2006) suggested that a focus on early adolescent girls may be more appropriate in understanding physical activity behaviour because physical self-perceptions became relatively stable during mid to late adolescence. Research examining physical self-perceptions during early adolescence is somewhat sparse and during this time there is also the documented maturity-related decrease in physical activity in adolescent girls.

Therefore, this study aimed to examine the changes in physical self-perceptions and physical activity in early adolescent girls over a 12-month period and identify how these changes were influenced by maturation and physical characteristics. Specifically the aims were (i) to examine the changes in physical activity, maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions over a 12-month period; (ii) to examine the influence of maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions on the change in physical activity and (iii) to examine the influence of maturation and physical characteristics on the change in physical self-perceptions.
Method

Participants

Phase 1 data (baseline) was collected from 204 adolescent girls (mean age $11.83 \pm 0.39$) from 17 Edinburgh primary schools, representing a range of socio-economic backgrounds. Twelve months later (phase 2), 150 participated in the data collection (mean age $12.79 \pm 0.31$) which took place in six Edinburgh Secondary Schools, therefore tracking the girls from primary school into secondary school. The notable high attrition rate was due to 30 participants moving onto secondary schools out with the present study; 21 participants being absent on the day of data collection and three participants withdrawing without reason from the study. There were no significant differences across any of the variables between those who participated and those who did not. Age was calculated from the date of birth to the day of the assessment. All girls and parents/guardians gave written informed consent and the project was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

Measures

Physical activity

Physical activity was assessed using the self-report Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C; Crocker, Bailey, Faulkner, Kowalski, & McGrath, 1997). The PAQ-C is a 7-day self-report recall instrument developed to assess general levels of physical activity during the school year. The PAQ-C provides a summary physical activity score derived from nine items, each scored on a five-point Likert scale where a score of 1 indicates low physical activity and a score of 5 indicates high physical activity. The PAQ-C has previously demonstrated acceptable validity and reliability in an early adolescent population (Welk & Eklund, 2005) and the average reliability for the PAQ-C in this study was $\alpha = 0.85$.

Maturation

Maturation was self-assessed using the Pubertal Development Scale (PDS; (Petersen, Crockett, Richards & Boxer, 1988)). The PDS is a 5-item scale that assesses 5 physical changes in pubertal development. Pubertal development on each item is rated on a four-point scale. An overall pubertal score is calculated as a mean of the five items, to generate a four-stage score of development and was treated as a continuous variable.

Physical self-perceptions

Physical self-perceptions were assessed using the Children and Youth’s Physical Self-Perception Profile (CY-PSPP; (Whitehead, 1995)) which is designed to assess self-perceptions within sub domains of the physical self. These are sport competence (Sport), physical condition (Condition), body attractiveness (Body) and muscular strength and development (Strength) and a fifth subscale measures overall physical self-worth (PSW). The sport competence subscale is drawn from Harter’s (1982) Self-Perception Profile as this instrument had previously been validated with a similar age group (Whitehead, 1995). Each scale contains six items and the item score can range from 1 (low) to 4 (high) on a structured alternative scale, offering two opposing statements. The
participant is first asked which of two statements best describes them and then decides whether it is really true or somewhat true of them. The CY-PSPP subscales have demonstrated acceptable internal reliability with young children and adolescents (Crocker et al., 2000; Welk & Eklund, 2005). The average internal reliability estimates of the CY-PSPP subscales in this study were acceptable: Sport ($\alpha = 0.82$), Condition ($\alpha = 0.81$), Body ($\alpha = 0.87$), Strength ($\alpha = 0.82$) and PSW ($\alpha = 0.85$).

Physical data

Body mass was assessed using a Seca precision dial scale (Seca, Vogel and Halke, Hamburg, Germany). Waist circumference was assessed using a steel flexible anthropometric tape (Rosscraft Innovations Inc, UK). Skinfolds were assessed using Harpenden skinfold calipers (ASSIST creative resources LTD) at five sites on the right side of the body; the bicep, tricep, subscapular, iliac crest and medial calf. Assessments were carried out according to the procedures identified by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) and all intra-tester measurements reported had a Technical Error of Measurement (TEM) of less than 5%.

Procedures

At baseline the researchers met with the participants at least 2 weeks prior to data collection in order to introduce the research project and staff and to distribute both parent and child consent forms. All participants completed the questionnaire in a group classroom setting and the questionnaire was split into 3 sections to allow a comfort break and to ensure the girls’ attention was maintained. A full explanation for each section was provided and administration of the full questionnaire took an average of one hour. Following the questionnaire, the participants were taken in pairs to a private room to complete the physical measures where two researchers were always present with the girls. The measures took approximately 10–15 min per pair. Approximately 12 months later, data were collected using the same procedure.

Data analysis

All data were double imputed into SPSS version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, version 12). The data were subsequently screened and checked for inconsistencies between the two spreadsheets. Participants for whom there were any missing variables were excluded, reducing the sample for analysis to 150. Prior to further analyses, the data were tested for the assumptions of parametric tests. Although all of the variables did not meet these assumptions at either phase 1 or phase 2, subsequent log transformation of the data (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001) indicated no significant difference in the findings when using the log-transformed data or the original data. Therefore, to ease interpretation, parametric tests were used for subsequent data analysis on the original data (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001).

Paired sample t-tests were used to examine the changes in physical activity, maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions over the 12-month period. Cohen’s $d$ effect sizes (Cohen, 1969) were calculated to measure the magnitude of the change in variables from phase 1 to phase 2. Intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated using a two-way mixed effect model to examine stability over the two phases.
In order to determine whether (i) changes in maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions influenced change in physical activity and (ii) whether changes in maturation and physical characteristics influenced changes in physical self-perceptions, a two-step analytical strategy was used, consistent with Crocker et al. (2006). Firstly, the standardised residual or change score in all of the variables over the 12-months was determined using regression analysis (Field, 2005), with phase 1 being the independent variable and phase 2 being the dependent variable. The resulting residual change score reflects the degree of change from phase 1 to phase 2 (Sallis, Prochaska, Taylor, Hill, & Geraci, 1999). Correlations between maturation, physical characteristics, physical self-perceptions and physical activity were examined and those variables significantly related to physical activity were entered into the regression. The physical self-worth variable was not included in the regression analysis since the four CY-PSPP subscales represent specific dimensions of the physical self and are collectively strong predictors of overall physical self-worth. Therefore, subsequent multiple regression analysis involved entry of the standardised residuals of only the four CY-PSPP subscales at Step 1. This strategy allowed identification of key physical self-perception subscales that were associated with changes in physical activity over the 12-month period.

Correlations between maturation, physical characteristics and physical self- were examined and those significantly related to the physical self-perception variables were entered into the regression. Therefore, subsequent multiple regression analysis involved entry of the standardised residuals of body mass and sum of skinfolds at Step 1 (Field, 2005). This strategy allowed identification of key physical characteristics that were associated with changes in physical self-perceptions over the 12-month period.

Results

Changes in physical activity, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions

Table 1 illustrates the means, standard deviations, effect sizes and intraclass correlation coefficients for physical activity, maturation, physical characteristics and the physical self-perceptions subscales for baseline (phase 1) and phase 2 data. The mean physical activity levels were significantly lower in phase 2 (2.78 ± 0.57) than in phase 1 (3.06 ± 0.71), (p < 0.01) and this effect size was medium. The participants increased significantly in all of the physical characteristics from phase 1 to phase 2 with varying effect sizes for group mean changes, with the largest effect size for body mass. There was a significant increase in their maturational status, with a large effect size. There were overall significant decreases from phase 1 to phase 2 on the CY-PSPP subscales of body attractiveness (p < 0.05) and physical self-worth (p < 0.01) and these effect sizes were relatively small.

Influence of maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions on the change in physical activity

The correlations among standardised residual change scores for physical activity, maturation, physical characteristics and physical self-perceptions are shown in Table 2. Change in maturation or physical characteristics was not associated with the change in physical activity. However correlations indicated that physical activity change was associated with change in all of the Children
and Youth’s Physical Self-Perception Profile (CY-PSPP) subscales, with sport competence being the dominant correlate ($r = 0.31$). Multiple regression analysis indicated that the physical self-perception subscales accounted for 9.9% of the explained variance in physical activity change. In the model, only the physical self-perception subscale of physical condition was a significant individual predictor of physical activity (Table 3).

**Influence of maturation and physical characteristics on the change in physical self-perceptions**

Correlations indicated that change in sum of skinfolds and body mass was negatively associated with change in the CY-PSPP subscales of body attractiveness and physical self-worth.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. PAQ-C score</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PDS score</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.42*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sum of skinfolds</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.46*</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sport competence</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Body attractiveness</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Physical condition</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Physical strength</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Physical self-worth</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.71*</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significance ($p < 0.05$).

PAQ-C: Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (max score = 5); PDS: Pubertal Development Scale (max = 4).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Phase 1 ($N = 150$)</th>
<th>Phase 2 ($N = 150$)</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAQ-C score</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.71</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDS score</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.69</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>42.9 ± 8.80</td>
<td>48.9 ± 9.9</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>63.9 ± 6.7</td>
<td>65.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>66.3 ± 24.6</td>
<td>77.2 ± 29.5</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport competence</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.61</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body attractiveness</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.66</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical condition</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical strength</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical self-worth</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.29**</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between phase 1 and phase 2, $p < 0.05$.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. PAQ-C score</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PDS score</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.42*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sum of skinfolds</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.46*</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sport competence</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Body attractiveness</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Physical condition</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Physical strength</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.53*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Physical self-worth</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.71*</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significance ($p < 0.05$).

PAQ-C: Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (max score = 5); PDS: Pubertal Development Scale (max = 4).

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Phase 1 ($N = 150$)</th>
<th>Phase 2 ($N = 150$)</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAQ-C score</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.71</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDS score</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.69</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>42.9 ± 8.80</td>
<td>48.9 ± 9.9</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>63.9 ± 6.7</td>
<td>65.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>66.3 ± 24.6</td>
<td>77.2 ± 29.5</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport competence</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.61</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body attractiveness</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.66</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical condition</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical strength</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical self-worth</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.29**</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between phase 1 and phase 2, $p < 0.05$.

PAQ-C: Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (max score = 5); PDS: Pubertal Development Scale (max = 4).

**CY-PSPP (Children and Youth’s Physical Self-Perception Profile) subscales (max score = 4).**
Multiple regression analysis indicated that the physical characteristics of sum of skinfolds and body mass accounted for 8.9% of the explained variance in the change of perceptions of body attractiveness and 6.9% of the explained variance in the change of overall physical self-worth. In the model, only body mass was a significant individual predictor of perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the influence of maturation on physical self-perceptions and the relationship with physical activity in adolescent girls over 12 months. Consistent with a number of studies that have reported a decline in physical activity during adolescence (Aaron et al., 2002; Bromley et al., 2003; Kimm et al., 2002; Nelson et al., 2006), there was a significant decrease in overall physical activity levels over the 12 months. Recently, Davison et al. (2007) found similar decreases in physical activity in adolescent girls of similar chronological age to the population in the present study. Additionally, this drop in physical activity is comparable to previous research using the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C) to examine change in adolescents’ physical activity levels (Crocker et al., 2003, 2006). This drop in physical activity levels over 12 months further emphasises the physical inactivity issue within this population and the need to develop appropriate interventions to prevent this decrease.

As expected, the participants advanced in maturational status and all of the physical characteristics significantly increased over the 12 months. These findings would suggest that the onset of maturation does lead to an increase in physical characteristics related to body size, as previously documented (Malina et al., 2004). Perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth were significantly less positive after the 12 months, indicating that aspects of the physical self become less positive with age in early adolescent girls. This finding is consistent with previous research highlighting a decrease in physical self-perceptions with age in early adolescents (Hagger, Biddle...
Previous research by Crocker et al. (2003, 2006) reported significant decreases in perceptions of sport competence, physical condition and physical strength over 24 months in adolescent girls aged 14–17 years. The differences in research findings could be explained by marked age differences in the participants in each study, possibly indicating that different aspects of the physical self change at different times during the transitional period of adolescence. Crocker et al. (2006) does suggest that a focus on examining the physical self-perceptions of early adolescent girls may be more appropriate in understanding changing physical activity behaviour. Therefore, monitoring physical self-perceptions longitudinally is worthwhile during adolescence, especially considering the important role physical self-perceptions have in understanding PA behaviour (Fox, 1997).

Despite an increase in physical characteristics, the decline in physical activity levels over 12 months was not influenced by maturational status or physical characteristics. These findings are in line with early research by Armstrong et al. (1990) whose findings suggested that maturation had no influence on sustained periods of physical activity in both boys and girls. However, our research findings are contrary to more recent research evidence (Baker et al., 2007; Thompson et al., 2003). In particular, Baker and colleagues (Baker et al.) identified that earlier timing of pubertal development, relative to peers, at age 11 years is associated with lower levels of physical activity at age 13 years, independent of pre-established PA levels or body fat. However, there are methodological differences between that and the present study which could to some extent explain the different research findings. Baker and colleagues used a combination of objective and subjective measures to classify maturational status compared to our sole use of the self-report Pubertal Development Scale (PDS). Furthermore, the participants were classified as early-maturers or late-maturers relative to their peers unlike this study which used a direct measure of maturation. This could suggest that maturation relative to peers is more influential on physical activity behaviour than actual maturational status, however further research is needed to explore this possibility.

Another probable explanation for the lack of maturational influence on the change in physical activity levels could be due to limited advancement through the maturational process over the 12 months. Although the effect of the changes in maturational status over 12 months was large, it remains questionable whether 12 months during early adolescence is a sufficient time-period for the physical characteristics accompanying maturation to develop and subsequently influence physical activity behaviour.

The results examining the influence of physical self-perceptions on the change in physical activity emphasise the role the physical self has to play in the adoption and maintenance of physical activity behaviour. In the present study, the resulting change in perceptions of sport competence, physical condition and physical strength over the 12 months were shown to be significant positive correlates of the change in physical activity. Additionally, the explained variance in
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Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body mass</td>
<td>−0.230</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>−0.228*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum of skinfolds</td>
<td>−0.091</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>−0.089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $R^2 = 0.082; R^2Δ = 0.069 (p < 0.05)$. *$p < 0.05$. 
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physical activity change was partially accounted for by physical self-perceptions whilst physical condition was shown to be a significant individual predictor of physical activity change. Physical condition consists of perceptions of condition, stamina, fitness, ability to maintain exercise and confidence in an exercise setting. This is contrary to Sport competence which consists of perceptions of confidence in sport and the ability to learn sport (Fox, 1997). Therefore, it could be argued that aspects of the physical self which are most influential on the decrease in physical activity in early adolescent girls are related to perceptions of condition, fitness and confidence in exercise settings rather than sport settings. Therefore suggesting that interventions aimed at increasing physical activity in early adolescent girls should focus on increasing perceptions of condition, fitness and confidence in exercise settings.

These findings are consistent with recent longitudinal research by Crocker et al. (2003, 2006) who assessed the relationship between the physical self-concept and physical activity in adolescent girls with 12.9% of the explained variance in physical activity change accounted for by physical self-perceptions. In addition, physical condition was the only significant individual predictor of PA change over 3 years. However, it is important to note that in both the present study and findings by Crocker et al. (2003, 2006), the variance in physical activity accounted for by physical self-perceptions was relatively small and other factors related to this drop in physical activity need to be considered longitudinally.

The process of maturation is important in the formation of the physical self during adolescence, with the physical changes that accompany maturation possibly leading to an increase in body dissatisfaction as girls move away from the cultural female ideal of a thin and slender body (Rodin, Silberstein, & Striegal-Moore, 1985). Despite results indicating that maturation had no direct influence on the change in physical self-perceptions, the change in the physical characteristics of body mass and fat mass, as assessed by sum of skinfolds, were shown to be significant negative correlates of the change in perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth. In addition, body mass was shown to be a significant individual predictor of the change in perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth. Therefore, it could be argued that although maturation may not have a direct influence on physical self-perceptions, the increase in body mass normally associated with maturation could be associated with aspects of the physical self becoming less positive in adolescent girls. However, these aspects of the physical self that were influenced by changes in physical characteristics were not the same aspects of the physical self which were most influential on the decrease in physical activity. Therefore, our findings suggest that in early adolescent girls, there is limited evidence of a direct link between the physical changes associated with maturation, changes in physical self-perceptions and the decrease in physical activity. However this may change as girls progress through adolescence and the maturational process, and further longitudinal research would be of value.

The strength of this study is that it used a longitudinal design to examine the influence of maturation on physical self-perceptions and the relationship with physical activity in an early adolescent population where physical self-perceptions are relatively unstable (Crocker et al., 2006). One limitation of the study is the reliance on self-report data to measure both physical activity and maturation, which could be influenced by accuracy of recall of physical activity information and social desirability influences. Additionally, a greater number of data time points should be considered for future research as the majority of the girls in the study were only partially through the maturational process.
In conclusion, this study highlights that in early adolescent girls, maturation has a limited influence on the physical activity behaviours of early adolescent girls. However, there was evidence that the increase in body mass associated with maturation was related to perceptions of body attractiveness and physical self-worth becoming less positive. In addition, decreases in physical self-perceptions partially accounted for the decrease in physical activity over the 12 months. Recent research by Davison et al. (2007) examined possible psychological mechanisms to explain the association between early pubertal development and declines in physical activity among adolescent girls. However, from the findings of the present study, it is difficult to establish a link to understand the coincidental decrease in physical activity levels and advancement in maturational status during adolescence. The finding that physical activity levels declined considerably over 12 months in early adolescent girls in itself warrants considerable attention and although this variance in physical activity was partly accounted for by physical self-perceptions, this was a relatively small contribution and other factors related to this drop in physical activity needs to be considered longitudinally. For example, the influence of social and cultural variables, physical environment variables and behavioural variables could be considered in order to understand physical activity behaviour in this population and furthermore, to inform appropriate and effective intervention practices.
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