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Abstract—This Paper discusses Power Hardware-in-the-Loop simulations from an important point of view: an intrinsic and integral part of PHIL simulation— the power amplification. In various publications PHIL is discussed either in a very theoretical approach or it is briefly featured as the used method. In neither of these publication types the impact of the power amplification to the total PHIL simulation is discussed deeply. This paper extends this discussion into the comparison of three different power amplification units and their usability for PHIL simulations. Finally in the conclusion it is discussed which type of power amplification is best for which type of PHIL experiment.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Power Hardware-in-the-Loop (PHIL) is a simulation technology that has received a massive growth of interest in the last couple of years. PHIL is an extension to the commonly known Hardware-in-the-Loop (HIL) technology [1]. HIL simulations have proven to be a very useful tool that allows testing the device in a simulated environment. This testing can be done from very early stages of development up to the final product. Moreover the HIL approach inherently allows the examination of the transient response of a yet-to-be-built system and/or device. A classical HIL experiment typically consists at least out of a Real-Time-System (RTS) a D/A conversion, a Hardware under Test (HuT) and some measurement devices or A/D conversion to close the HIL loop [1][2][4]. However HIL simulations are limited to the power range of the D/A conversion modules used. If the HuT either consumes or produces power out of the range of the A/D conversion the classical HIL approach cannot be applied. In order to be able to carry out such a simulation with a "power

HuT" one has to follow the approach of PHIL simulation which introduces a Power Amplification (PA) between the RTS and the HuT. Figure 1 depicts an overview of the signal flow of a PHIL simulation through the involved components.

This introduction of a PA, enables crossing the barrier of power range that is represented in the A/D conversion modules used. However, this does not come without a drawback, as the introduction of the PA comes along with the introduction of an Additional Control Loop (APL). This APL introduced between the RTS and the HuT would not be existent in the real system and, in dependency of the simulated system, can cause the system simulated using PHIL to be unstable even if the real system would be stable [1][2][3].

Ideally the PA between the RTS and the HuT should have a unity gain with infinite bandwidth and zero time delay. Praxis, however, despite all dreams of ideality, proves that a PA has none of these three wishful attributes. Every real PA will introduce errors in dependency of its real dynamic behavior. The magnitude of these introduced errors massively affects the fidelity and the validity of the simulation [3][9].

The closed loop of a PHIL simulation consists out of at least the following elements: A time delay introduced by the discrete character of the RTS as every RTS typically has a discrete character. As discussed above no real PA will be ideal, the dynamic behavior of the HuT, being the element of testing-interest for most PHIL simulations. The measurement, which will be necessary in order to close the loop, the simulated system itself, which will have a behavior that is very well known as the corresponding models, were designed accordingly. The discussed ACL brings stability issues to a system that might be fully stable in a "non-simulation" environment such as a pure hardware test. The stability issues that come along with the ACL have been reported in various papers and the common sense that can be found in various publications is that the Interface Algorithm (IA) has to cope with this issue. [1][11][16]
amplifications are described and discussed individually, in the third section the applicability of each power amplification unit is discussed. In the conclusion a guideline which power amplification is best for which type of PHIL experiment is given.

II. POWER AMPLIFICATION

For PHIL simulation the stability evaluation before performing the experiment is an essential part at the current state of development for PHIL simulation. In order to be able to carry out a pre-experimental stability evaluation for the PHIL experiment it is necessary to have a system description of every component in the control loop available. The proposed method in this paper is to use Transfer functions which can be derived out of a step response that could be acquired using a test setup as depicted in Figure 2. Three different Power Amplifications are described subsequently.

A. Switched Mode Power Amplification

Switched-mode devices have been commonly used as power amplifiers for PHIL simulation even at the MW range [17]. An AC/DC/AC converter, which consists of a front-end rectifier and a back-end inverter, allows coupling to the utility grid, and voltage or current source operation. In this way power can be provided to the HuT from the utility grid, or can be absorbed from the HuT and injected to the grid. The Real-Time Simulator sends the reference low level signal to the inverter (voltage or current), which applies to the HuT by using suitable control algorithm. In addition, an operation as a DC amplifier is possible.

Several control approaches (PI, PID, predictive control etc) [18], [19] and configurations of the output filter (L-C or L-C-L) have been proposed according to the application. Different topologies of the semi-conductors are used for three phase amplifiers: 3-leg DC/AC inverters, but also 4-leg inverters to allow unbalanced operation. In addition, a high switching frequency of the semi-conductors is proposed in-order to enable tracking of the fast dynamics of the RTS [19].

1) Presentation and characterization

A PHIL simulation environment focusing on DER devices is operated in NTUA. The RealTime Simulator of NTUA is a rack of the RTDS® with a typical simulation time-step of 50 μsec [5] and the HuT comprises the laboratory microgrid [20].

The link between simulation and the external world i.e. the Power Interface consists of a Switched-mode converter with a powerful control unit provided by Triphase [7]. An unconventional single phase AC/DC/AC converter (back-to-back configuration) is operated, that consists of three instead of four half-bridges similar to the one proposed in [8]. In this configuration a common neutral is used both for coupling to the utility grid and for the voltage source operation (Figure 3). A common mode choke and a feedback path between the filter and the DC link are used to mitigate the common mode current [6]. The switching frequency of the converter is 8 kHz, which is equal to the sampling frequency of the control unit. The control algorithm is designed in Matlab/Simulink and can
be easily improved and fully modified by the user. Voltage and current measurements are available in the same Matlab/Simulink model, which are used to provide the feedback signal, that represents the response of the HuT, to the RTS. Several analog I/Os equipped with A/D and D/A converters are available which make possible the communication with the RTDS. Therefore the aforementioned AC/DC/AC converter is suitable for working as a Power Interface in PHIL simulation.

A key issue for the evaluation of both stability and accuracy of PHIL simulation is the derivation of the Transfer Function of the Power Interface and more specifically of the Power amplification. Typically for Switched-mode amplifiers the Transfer Function is derived from the introduced time-delay and output filter of the converter. Therefore the Transfer Function of the Amplifier is [2] [9]:

$$T_{AMP} = e^{-sT_d} T_f$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $T_d$ is the time-delay and $T_f$ is the Transfer Function of the output filter. The time-delay introduced by the power amplifier is measured in steady-state conditions (Figure 5). Improving the control algorithm of the amplifier can result in a reduction of the time-delay. The Transfer Function of the output filter is derived approximately by performing harmonic measurements on the PWM voltage before the output filter and the sinusoidal voltage after the filter. A second order filter is considered:

$$T_f = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{f_{res}}\right)s^2 + \frac{2\xi \omega_n}{\omega_n}s + 1} = \frac{\omega_n^2}{\left(2\pi \cdot 750\right)^2} s^2 + \frac{2\xi \omega_n}{\omega_n}s + \omega_n^2$$

where $\omega_n$ is the resonance angular frequency and $\xi$ the damping ratio.

2) Use Case

A low voltage distribution grid is simulated in the Real Time Simulator and the laboratory microgrid is the HuT. The low voltage microgrid comprises a PV generator, a small Wind Turbine, battery energy storage and controllable loads. The PV generators, the Wind Turbine and the battery unit are connected to the utility grid or to the power amplifier via fast-acting DC/AC power converters. Interactions between the micro-sources and the simulated distribution grid are performed (e.g. voltage variation due to PVs production) in order to study the integration of DER to distribution networks.

B. Generator Type Power Amplification

A further method for power amplification in a Real Time-PHIL environment is through the use of a three phase synchronous generator driven by a DC motor and separate rotating exciter system. In this set up a real-time simulator runs a simulation of a power system with a Point of Common Connection (PCC) with the output of the synchronous generator. The generator is controlled in torque mode. Full information on the discussed set-up can be found in [13].

1) Characterisation

The closed loop transfer function for the motor torque input was derived in [10]. The derived control parameters for the PIDA (proportional-integral-differential-acceleration) controllers are shown below in equations. This type of control is unusual, however it was found that without the acceleration element the controller output was unstable.

$$T_f = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{f_{res}}\right)s^2 + \frac{2\xi \omega_n}{\omega_n}s + \omega_n^2}$$

where $\omega_n$ is the resonance angular frequency and $\xi$ the damping ratio.

2) Use Case

A low voltage distribution grid is simulated in the Real Time Simulator and the laboratory microgrid is the HuT. The low voltage microgrid comprises a PV generator, a small Wind Turbine, battery energy storage and controllable loads. The PV generators, the Wind Turbine and the battery unit are connected to the utility grid or to the power amplifier via fast-acting DC/AC power converters. Interactions between the
The right hand loop (shown in Figure 4(a) above) is a conventional frequency control loop enabling the frequency output of the generator to be matched with the target from the model, the left hand loop deals with locking the phase of the generator output with the simulation.

\[
\frac{C_r D_i (H_f (1+HL)))}{1+ C_r D_i (H_f (1+HL)))F} = \frac{1}{1+as}
\]

(3)

2) **Response of the Generator**

The phase tracking of the output of the prime mover has been shown to be between 10-15° with 5 Hz/s rate of change of frequency. Phase tracking is much better than this when considering less dynamic scenarios. In Figure 4 (b) it is possible to see the phase and frequency response of the generator controller at different frequencies.

The error response of the generator to load change steps of 0.5 p.u. has been shown in detail in [11][12] and a summary of the results are presented in TABLE I. below. These represent the minimum possible errors after performing the ‘lambda-tuning’ process (a variant of internal model control tuning [10]). Performing larger steps in resistive load, e.g. 0.8 or 1 p.u. steps are almost impossible to track with this type of system as the ROCOF would be very large. Further the larger the ROCOF the harder the generator is driven to change speed potentially risking damage to the generator. Therefore smaller changes in resistive load are easier and safer to track.

For load step changes with an inductive or capacitive element then it is the time delay in the automatic voltage regulator (AVR) that is dominant on the tracking of the real output to the simulated one. It is expected that smaller step changes in reactive power will be more easily tracked by the hardware than larger ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Voltage tracking and DC motor control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum voltage tracking error, pu</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum frequency-tracking error, Hz</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum phase tracking error, deg</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power flow tracking error, pu</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) **Use Case**

This system was developed initially to link a larger or more complicated power system simulation with a real hardware power system/microgrid see for examples [10][12][13]. The use of this is to study the effects that larger system events have on smaller local power systems and conversely to study the effects small power system events can have on the larger system (if a summation is used). These studies are necessary with the increased use of complicated power electronic connected generators and loads within the distribution system.

C. **Linear Power Amplification**

The PHIL simulation environment at the AIT focuses on the simulation of DER devices with a further specialization on photovoltaic inverters. The laboratory consists out of an OPAL RT Real Time Simulator [14] and of three Spitzenerberger & Spieß PAS 10000 Linear Power Amplifier [15] as well as of multiple measurement equipment.

1) **Description**

The topology of the linear amplification stage is consisting of multiple linear MOSFET’s controlled in parallel in such way that the characteristics are adequate to a discrete MOSFET operated in the linear region. Thus, the key characteristics of the used linear amplifier are defined and identified as followed. The slew rate is given to > 52 V / μs and the rise time at nominal voltage (230 Vrms) is less than 5 μs. The effective bandwidth is set to 0 - 15 kHz and the graph of a typical step response is highlighted in Figure 6. Furthermore, the total harmonic distortions at typical operation (nominal load, 270 V range and 0.5 – 2 kHz) is set to be typically 0.3% [15].

The control of the amplifier can be either executed with the help of 2 internal oscillators or via an external input signal. This external analogue voltage signal is used for the PHIL testing system having a dedicated input range of maximum 5 Vp (3.535 Vrms). The amplifier features 2 different output ranges (135 V and 270 V), which gives expedient usability for common low voltage experiments. Thus, having activated the 270 V range, which is most commonly used at PHIL tests, an input voltage signal of 3.011 Vrms results in a driven output voltage of 230 Vrms.

The forward branch of the PHIL environment used at the AIT consists out of the analogue output of the OPAL RT RTS and the Voltage Amplification. In some cases an additional anti-aliasing filter is introduced to reduce the stepped shape of the RT signal due to the discrete character of the RTS.

The feedback branch of the PHIL environment consists out of a current measurement, and the analogue inputs of the OPAL RT RTS. For some applications an additional feedback filter is introduced in order to stabilize the PHIL experiment [16].

2) **Response of the Generator**

In order to be able to obtain the transfer function of the PA in use the PA was excited with a pulse function out of which a single step was evaluated. The single step response of the Spitzenerberger & Spieß PAS 10000 [15] can be seen in Figure 6. The result of this single step evaluation was then compared to other step responses out of the pulse function to verify the results.

The Transfer function could be identified as shown in Eq. (5).

\[
T_{ts}(s) = \frac{e^{-4 \times 10^{-5} s}}{1+(8 \times 10^{-5}) s + (2.64 \times 10^{-5}) s^2}
\]

(5)
The transfer function of the linear voltage amplifier basically resembles a PT2 system with a time delay of 4μs. The linear character of the used voltage amplification allows more of a straightforward implementation than it would be available with other power amplification units.

3) Use Case
At the AIT there is a high level of expertise regarding PV Inverters available. Thus a grid connected PV Inverter is a very promising use case for future PHIL applications. Figure 7 shows such a grid connected PV Inverter as possible PHIL use case. The advantage of the PHIL application in this particular use case is the high adaptability of the simulated grid. It is possible to test a single HuT in different low voltage grids as well as different grid condition of the same model. A more detailed description of this use case can be found in [21]. One has to differentiate between active HuT and passive HuT. This use case provides an active HuT as the dc coupling to the PV-Inverter is implemented using a PV array simulator which is not controlled by the RTS. The control of the active load is determining the AC current which then goes into the feedback loop of the simulated system. In high contrast to that, passive components - as e.g. protection devices - have to be run at certain defined operation conditions and thereby require an amplification of both voltage and current.

![Figure 6: Step response of the Spitzenberger & Spieß PAS 10000 Linear Power Amplifier](image)

![Figure 7: PHIL Use Case: Grid connected PV Inverter [21]](image)

III. APPLICABILITY OF THE POWER AMPLIFICATION UNITS

1) Switched mode amplifiers
Switched-mode amplifiers represent a higher level of time-delay and lower accuracy (e.g. introduction of noise) than linear amplifiers. On the other hand, they are less expensive and they can quite easily be constructed even at MW ranges and present greater flexibility. For example, the same amplifier can operate both as voltage and current amplifier by applying suitable control algorithm.

2) Synchronous generator amplifiers
The use of a synchronous generator as the power amplifier is relevant to performing research and testing where a balanced three phase supply is required. E.g., testing a three phase inverter or motor-drive, or studying the interaction between devices connected to different single phases.

3) Linear amplifier
The biggest advantage of a linear voltage amplifier is his very high dynamic performance. The short time delay, and comparable easy transfer function, introduced to a PHIL simulation enables the engineer to use a more straightforward interface topology with less stability issues.

IV. CONCLUSION
This paper presented three different types of voltage type power amplification units which are used for PHIL applications. The dynamic behavior of each power amplifier is discussed within the scope of PHIL. The presented comparison is not intended to rate which power amplifier is the best but to give an overview of what type of PHIL experiment can be achieved with which type of power amplifier.

For the proper characterization of amplification units it is mandatory to verify the hardware one has available. The characteristics presented in this paper are not necessarily representative for other power amplification units of the same type. The authors strongly recommend a individual characterization of the amplifier in use as the dynamic behavior of the amplifier is a crucial element of every PHIL application.

In this paper three different types of power amplification units have been discussed in detail. Their dynamic behavior was shown and a resulting statement was given about the benefits of each power amplification unit.
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