

# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

---

ChapterTitle	Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates	
Chapter Sub-Title		
Chapter CopyRight - Year	Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
Book Name	Materials with Complex Behaviour	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Manoach</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>E.</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Institute of Mechanics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
	Address	1113, Sofia, Bulgaria
	Email	

---

Author	Family Name	<b>Trendafilova</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>I.</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering
	Organization	University of Strathclyde
	Address	Glasgow, G1 1XJ, UK
	Email	

---

Abstract	In this work, geometrically nonlinear vibrations of fully clamped rectangular plates subjected to thermal changes are used to study the sensitivity of some vibration response parameters to the presence of damage and elevated temperature. The geometrically nonlinear version of the Mindlin plate theory is used to model the plate behaviour. Damage is represented as a stiffness reduction in a small area of the plate. The plates are subjected to harmonic loading leading to large amplitude vibrations and temperature changes. The plate vibration response is obtained by a pseudo-load mode superposition method. The main results are focussed on establishing the influence of damage on the vibration response of the heated and the unheated plates and the change in the time-history diagrams and the Poincaré maps caused by damage and elevated temperature. The damage criterion formulated earlier for non-heated plates, based on analyzing the points in the Poincaré sections of the damaged and healthy plate, is modified and tested for the case of plates additionally subjected to elevated temperatures. The importance of taking into account the actual temperature in the process of damage detection is shown.	
----------	---	--

---

Keywords (separated by '-')	Plates - Damage detection - Large amplitude vibrations - Poincaré maps - Thermal loads	
-----------------------------	--	--

---

# Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

E. Manoach and I. Trendafilova

## 1 Introduction

The main objective of structural health monitoring (SHM) is to ascertain whether damage is present or not in a structure. Most vibration-based structural health monitoring methods (VSHM) are based on the fact that damage will alter the stiffness, mass or energy dissipation properties of a structure which in turn will alter its measured vibration response.

These methods are widely used for structural health monitoring and damage assessment purposes. Their application is somewhat limited by the need of a precise enough model of the structural vibration response. If some nonlinearities or environmental conditions (like the elevated temperature, for example) are not taken into account in the model, then a model-based VSHM method could give a false alarm due to a discrepancy between the measured and the modelled response. Temperature changes can and do affect substantially the vibration response of a structure. Thermal loads introduce stresses due to thermal expansion, which lead to changes in the modal properties. Thermal loads can also cause buckling and in some cases even lead to chaotic behaviour [1–5].

Thus, on a lot of occasions the presence of a temperature field can either mask the effect of damage or increase it, which will render a VSHM method ineffective – it might give no alarm when a fault is present or give a false alarm. This is why it is vital to be able to take into account the temperature changes when developing VSHM procedures.

Most of the previous efforts of researchers in the area of VSHM were directed towards methods based on linear modal analysis [6–10]. One of the main problems with these methods comes from the fact that in general damage starts as a local phenomenon and does not necessarily affect significantly the modal characteristics of the structure. In many cases the lower order resonance frequencies and mode shapes are not very sensitive to damage, except in cases of very large damage

---

E. Manoach (✉)

Institute of Mechanics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

46 [6, 11]. Thus in reality it may be difficult to distinguish if damage is indeed the  
47 reason behind, e.g., a decrease in frequency or it is caused by environmental or  
48 operational conditions changes.

49 Many VSHM methods are inherently limited to linear systems – they use, for  
50 example, the superposition principle in the analysis – and cannot account for the  
51 effects of non-linearities. Another problem with a number of VSHM methods is  
52 that they rely on a linear model of the structure. As the theoretical model itself can  
53 only approximate the actual behaviour of the vibrating structure, it will introduce  
54 computational errors [6]. These errors will be greater if the non-linearities of the  
55 system are substantial. Since they are not taken into account in the model such  
56 methods might give false alarms due to a discrepancy between the measured and the  
57 modelled/expected response.

58 To address some of the above mentioned problems, new concepts in vibration-  
59 based monitoring have been emerging recently. These employ measured time series  
60 of the structural vibration response, or, often concomitantly, non-linear systems the-  
61 ory. Most of the studies in this field are devoted to the extraction of features from  
62 the structural vibration response, which can indicate the presence of damage and  
63 its location. In [12] the authors use the beating phenomenon for damage detection  
64 purposes. In [13] and [14] new attractor-based metrics are introduced as damage  
65 sensitive features. The results are promising. In [15] a panel forced by aerodynamic  
66 loads and undergoing limit-cycle oscillations and chaos is investigated. The von  
67 Kármán strain displacement relation is employed and a model of the system consti-  
68 tuted by ordinary differential equations of motion is achieved by employing finite  
69 differences. The upstream endpoint of the panel has been considered supported by a  
70 spring of variable stiffness. Changes in the stiffness of a spring have been detected  
71 by exploring the chaotic dynamics of the panel.

72 In [16] a possibility for representing, interpreting and visualising the vibration  
73 response of vibrating panels using time domain measurements is investigated. The  
74 panels are thin orthotropic plates and are modelled by finite elements. It was found  
75 that the first ten resonant frequencies show low sensitivity to damage. Then the sim-  
76 ulated vibration response of the panel is transformed and expanded in a new phase  
77 space. Preliminary results suggest that it should be possible to use the distribution  
78 of points on the attractor to extract damage sensitive features.

79 In our previous works [11] and [17] a numerical approach to study the geo-  
80 metrically non-linear vibrations of rectangular plates with and without damage is  
81 developed. A damage index and a method for damage detection and location, based  
82 on the Poincaré map of the response, have been proposed. The suggested damage  
83 assessment method shows good capability to detect and localize damage in plates.

84 Although the approach seems to hold a lot of potential, there is limited research  
85 addressing VSHM methods based on time series analysis and non-linear dynamics.

86 The main objectives of this study are twofold: (i) to study the influence of defects,  
87 elevated temperatures and their combination on the dynamic characteristics of the  
88 plate and on its geometrically nonlinear dynamic response; (ii) to test the criteria for  
89 identification of irregularities (defects) in structures proposed in [11, 17] taking into  
90


Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

91 account the elevated temperature by analyzing the Poincaré map of the structural  
 92 vibration response.

93 The application of the proposed approach is demonstrated on rectangular plates  
 94 with defects at elevated temperatures. The temperature is assumed uniformly dis-  
 95 tributed over the plate surface and thickness. The plates are subjected to a harmonic  
 96 loading which leads to large amplitude vibrations. The influence of damage on the  
 97 time-history diagrams of the plate, as well as on the geometry of its phase-space  
 98 is studied. A VSHM method is developed which applies a criterion based on fea-  
 99 tures sensitive to temperature changes and damage in the same time. These features  
 100 use the Poincaré maps of the structural vibration response. Taking into account the  
 101 temperature influence on the extracted features allows the detection of damage and  
 102 shows its location for structures subjected to temperature changes. The proposed  
 103 study demonstrates the importance of taking into account the correct/exploitation  
 104 temperature in a damage detection process. It is shown that in some cases of elevated  
 105 temperature the Poincaré maps based criterion may be unsuitable.

108 **2 Theoretical Model**

110 The object of the investigation is a rectangular plate with sides  $a$  and  $b$  and thickness  
 111  $h$ , subjected to temperature changes and a dynamic loading  $p(x,y,t)$  perpendicular  
 112 to the plate (Fig. 1a). The geometrically nonlinear version of the Mindlin plate  
 113 theory is used to model the plate behaviour, so that the shear deformation and  
 114 rotatory inertia are taken into account. At each point of the middle surface of the  
 115 plate, the displacements in the  $x, y, z$  directions are denoted by  $u, v, w$ , respectively,  
 116  $\psi_x(x, y, t)$  and  $\psi_y(x, y, t)$  are the angles of the rotation of the normal of the cross  
 117 section to the plate mid-plane (see Fig. 1b).



133 **Fig. 1** Plate geometry and coordinate system. (a) Plate dimensions and loading. (b) Mid-plane of  
 134 the plate and the components of the generalized displacement vector

The presence of a defect can be modelled as a reduction of the plate thickness or a stiffness reduction and therefore a variation of the flexural rigidity in the governing equations is used. The basic equations of the plate motion are described below.

## 2.1 Geometrical Relationships

The strain and curvature-displacements relationships associated with the mid-plane of the plate for large displacements and shear can be expressed as:

$$\varepsilon_x^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2, \varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2, \varepsilon_{xy}^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \quad (1a, h)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{xz}^0 &= \psi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{yz}^0 = \psi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \\ k_x^0 &= \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x}, k_y^0 = \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial y}, k_{xy}^0 = \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

and the strain vector is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \left\{ \varepsilon_x^0 + zk_x^0, \varepsilon_y^0 + zk_y^0, \varepsilon_{xy}^0 + zk_{xy}^0, f(z)\varepsilon_{xz}^0, f(z)\varepsilon_{yz}^0 \right\}^T \quad (2)$$

where  $f(z)$  is a function describing the distribution of the shear strain along the plate thickness.

## 2.2 Constitutive Equations

Assuming that the material of the plate is linear elastic and isotropic the relations for the stress and strain components are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \frac{E(x, y)}{1 - \nu^2} [\varepsilon_x + \nu\varepsilon_y] - \frac{E(x, y)}{1 - \nu} \alpha_T \Delta T, \\ \sigma_y &= \frac{E(x, y)}{1 - \nu^2} [\varepsilon_y + \nu\varepsilon_x] - \frac{E(x, y)}{1 - \nu} \alpha_T \Delta T, \\ \sigma_{xz} &= n^2 G \varepsilon_{xz}, \quad \sigma_{yz} = n^2 G \varepsilon_{yz} \end{aligned} \quad (3a-d)$$

In terms of generalized stresses the above equations take the form:

$$N_x = A(\varepsilon_x^0 + \nu\varepsilon_y^0) - A\alpha_T \gamma^T, N_y = A(\varepsilon_y^0 + \nu\varepsilon_x^0) - A\alpha_T \gamma^T, N_{xy} = \frac{1 - \nu}{2} A \varepsilon_{xy}^0$$

Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_x &= D(\kappa_x^o + \nu\kappa_y^o) - A\alpha_T\kappa^T, M_y = D(\kappa_y^o + \nu\kappa_x^o) - A\alpha_T\kappa^T, M_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu)D\kappa_{xy}^o, \\
 Q_x &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu)n^2A\varepsilon_{xz}^o, \quad Q_y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu)n^2A\varepsilon_{yz}^o.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4a-h}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma^T(x, y) &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Delta T(x, y, z)dz, \quad \kappa^T(x, y) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Delta T(x, y, z)zdz, \\
 A(x, y) &= \frac{E(x, y)h(x, y)}{1 - \nu^2}, \quad D(x, y) = \frac{A(x, y)h(x, y)^2}{12}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5a-d}$$

In Eqs. (3), (4) and (5)  $E$  is the Young modulus,  $\nu$  is the Poisson ratio,  $N_x$ ,  $N_y$  and  $N_{xy}$  are the stress resultants in the mid-plane of the plate,  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$  and  $M_{xy}$  are the stress couples and  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  are the transverse shear stress resultants,  $\alpha_T$  is the coefficient of thermal expansion and  $\Delta T$  (Kelvin) is the temperature variation (in general it can be assumed non-uniform along the plate length and thickness) with respect to a reference temperature.  $n^2$  is a shear correction factor which is assumed equal to 5/6 throughout the paper.

### 2.3 Equations of Motion

The equilibrium equations may be deducted by considering the conditions for translational equilibrium in the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  directions and for rotational equilibrium about  $x$  and  $y$ . They are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial y} + \rho h \ddot{u}_x &= 0 \\
 \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial x} + \rho h \ddot{u}_y &= 0 \\
 \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial y} - Q_x + c_2 \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} \ddot{\psi}_x &= 0 \\
 \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} - Q_y + c_2 \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} \ddot{\psi}_y &= 0 \\
 \frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} + N_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + N_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + 2N_{xy} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + c_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \rho h \ddot{w} &= -p
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6a-e}$$

Here and throughout in the paper dots over variables represents derivation with respect to time,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  denote the damping coefficients, and  $\rho$  is the density of the plate material.

## 2.4 Boundary and Initial Conditions

In the present work fully clamped plates, i.e. plates for which all their four edges are clamped and in-plane fixed, are considered. This means that all displacements  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  and angular rotations  $\psi_x$  and  $\psi_y$  are zero along the boundaries. The influence of the temperature variation is more essential for such plates due to the thermal expansion.

The initial conditions are accepted in the following general form:

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y, 0) &= w^0(x, y), \quad \dot{w}(x, y, 0) = \dot{w}^0(x, y), \\ \psi_x(x, y, 0) &= \psi_x^0(x, y), \quad \dot{\psi}_y(x, y, 0) = \dot{\psi}_y^0(x, y), \quad x \in [0, a], y \in [0, b] \end{aligned} \quad (7a-d)$$

## 3 Solution of the Problem

### 3.1 Reorganizing the Equations of the Plate Motion

The equation of motions (6) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{(1-\nu)A}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \rho h \ddot{u} &= G_u + G_u^T \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{A(1-\nu)}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \rho h \ddot{v} &= G_v + G_v^T \quad (8a-e) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D \left[ \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial y} \right] \right) + \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D \left[ \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial y} + \nu \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial x} \right] \right) \\ &- \frac{(1-\nu^2)n^2 A}{2} \left( \psi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) + c_2 \dot{\psi}_x + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} \ddot{\psi}_x = G_1^T \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D \left[ \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial y} + \nu \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial x} \right] \right) + \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D \left[ \frac{\partial \psi_y}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial y} \right] \right) \\ &- \frac{(1-\nu^2)n^2 A}{2} \left( \psi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) + c_2 \dot{\psi}_y + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} \ddot{\psi}_y = G_2^T \\ \frac{(1-\nu)n^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( A \left[ \psi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right] \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( A \left[ \psi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right] \right) \right\} + c_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \rho h \ddot{w} \\ &= -p + G^L + G_3^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_u = -0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ A \left[ \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] \right\} - 0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ A(1-\nu) \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) \right\}$$

Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

$$G_v = -0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ A \left[ \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] \right\} - 0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ A(1 - \nu) \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) \right\} \quad (9a-d)$$

$$G_1^T = A(1 + \nu)\alpha_T \frac{\partial \kappa_T}{\partial x}, \quad G_2^T = A(1 + \nu)\alpha_T \frac{\partial \kappa_T}{\partial y}, \quad G_3^T = A\alpha_T \gamma_T \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

$$G^L(x, y, t) = - \left( N_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + N_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + 2N_{xy} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \right)$$

In this work, only a uniformly distributed temperature field along the plate length and thickness will be considered. Also, it is assumed that the plate gets the elevated temperature instantly. This assumptions leads to settings  $G_1^T = 0, G_2^T = 0$ .

### 3.2 Numerical Approach

The pseudo-load mode superposition method (PLMS) [2, 11, 18–21] is applied to solve the problem for nonlinear vibration of plates. It will be only briefly presented here.

The widely accepted assumption for transversally loaded clamped plates that mid-plane inertia effects are negligible is assumed, i.e.  $\rho h \ddot{u}_x = \rho h \ddot{u}_y = 0$ . The finite element method is used to discretize the plate equations with respect to the space variables and by using the PLMS they are transformed in the frequency domain. Then an iterative procedure with respect to time is applied for the solution of the obtained system of ordinary differential equations. It is out of the scope of this paper to concentrate on the details of the solution method and the reader is referred to the above mentioned papers [2, 18–21] where the method is applied for undamaged plates and in [11] – for damaged ones. Thus the solution procedure will be presented only in brief:

Assuming  $G^u$  and  $G^v$  are known functions, Eq. (8a–b) form a linear system of PDEs which can be solved numerically. The left hand sides of Eq. (8c–e) contain only linear terms and therefore the mode superposition method can be used for their solution. Thus, the generalized displacements vector  $\mathbf{U} = \{\beta \psi_x, \beta \psi_y, w\}^T$  ( $\beta = h^2/12$ ) is expanded as a sum of the product of the vectors of the pseudo-normal modes  $\mathbf{U}_n$  and the time dependent functions  $q_n(t)$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{U} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} \mathbf{U}_n(x, y) q_n(t). \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (8c–e), multiplying by  $\mathbf{U}_m(x, y)$ , integrating the product over the plate surface, invoking the orthogonality condition, and assuming

316 “proportional damping” in the sense  $\iint (c_2 (\psi_{xn}^2 + \psi_{yn}^2) + c_1 w_n^2) dx dy = 2\xi_n \omega_n$ ,  
 317 the equations for  $q_n(t)$  will be “uncoupled” in the form:  
 318

$$319 \quad \ddot{q}_n(t) + 2\xi_n \omega_n \dot{q}_n + \omega_n^2 q_n(t) = F_n(t), \quad (11)$$

321 where  $\omega_n$  are the natural frequencies of the linear elastic (undamped) Mindlin plate,  
 322  $\xi_n$  are the modal damping parameters and  
 323

$$324 \quad F_n(t) = \iint \mathbf{U}_n^T [\mathbf{P}(x, y, t) + \mathbf{G}_L(x, y, t) + \mathbf{G}_T(x, y, t)] dx dy, \quad (12a-b)$$

$$325 \quad \mathbf{P}(x, y, t) = (0, 0, -p)^T, \quad \mathbf{G}_L(x, y, t) = (0, 0, G_3^L)^T, \quad \mathbf{G}_T(x, y, t) = (0, 0, G_3^T)^T.$$

328 The initial conditions defined by Eq. (7) are transformed also in terms of  $q_n(0)$   
 329 and  $\dot{q}_n(0)$ :  
 330

$$331 \quad q_n(0) = q_n^0, \quad \dot{q}_n(0) = \dot{q}_n^0, \quad (13a-d)$$

$$332 \quad q_n^0 = \iint (w^0 w_n + \beta \psi_x^0 \psi_{xn} + \beta \psi_y^0 \psi_{yn}) dx dy,$$

$$333 \quad \dot{q}_n^0 = \iint (\dot{w}^0 \dot{w}_n + \beta \dot{\psi}_x^0 \dot{\psi}_{xn} + \beta \dot{\psi}_y^0 \dot{\psi}_{yn}) dx dy$$

338 Using the methodology developed by Kukreti and Issa [18] the pseudo-load  
 339 vector  $\{\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{G}\}$  is interpolated by a quadratic time dependent polynomial, i.e.  
 340

$$341 \quad \mathbf{P}(x, y, \tau) + \mathbf{G}(x, y, \tau) = \mathbf{A}(x, y) + \mathbf{B}(x, y)\tau + \mathbf{C}(x, y)\tau^2, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq L_t \quad (14)$$

343 Where  $L_t = t_{i+1} - t_i$  represents the time increment, and  $\tau$  which is defined as  
 344  $\tau = t - t_i$ , identifies a new time origin for each time increment.  
 345

346 Denoting

$$347 \quad \mathbf{P}_0(x, y) = \mathbf{P}(x, y, 0), \mathbf{P}_1(x, y) = \mathbf{P}(x, y, mL_t), \mathbf{P}_2(x, y) = \mathbf{P}(x, y, L_t),$$

$$348 \quad \mathbf{G}_0(x, y) = \mathbf{G}(x, y, 0), \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = \mathbf{G}(x, y, mL_t), \mathbf{G}_2(x, y) = \mathbf{G}(x, y, L_t), \quad (15)$$

$$349 \quad 0 < m < 1, \quad 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b$$

351 the expressions for the constant vectors  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are derived in terms of  $\mathbf{P}_i$  and  
 352  $\mathbf{G}_i$  ( $i = 1$  to 3). The general solution of Eq. (11) is given by:  
 353

$$354 \quad q_n(\tau) = E_{1n} q_n^0 + E_{2n} \dot{q}_n^0 + F_{1n} a_n + F_{2n} b_n + F_{3n} c_n \quad (16)$$

356 where  $E_{1n}$ ,  $E_{2n}$ ,  $F_{1n}$ ,  $F_{2n}$ ,  $F_{3n}$  denote complicated mathematical expressions  
 357 containing  $\omega_n$ ,  $\xi_n$  and  $\tau$  (see [19]) and  
 358

$$359 \quad a_n = \iint \mathbf{U}_n^T \mathbf{A}_n dx dy, \quad b_n = \iint \mathbf{U}_n^T \mathbf{B}_n dx dy, \quad c_n = \iint \mathbf{U}_n^T \mathbf{C}_n dx dy \quad (17)$$

## Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

The iteration procedure applied to solve the above Eq. (11) is identical to the ones for circular plates and beams given in [21].

## 4 Damage Identification Technique

There are a lot of techniques to treat the nonlinear structural vibration response in the time domain. The state (phase)-space representation of the structural vibration response is a suitable and powerful tool for studying the dynamic behaviour of a structure. A standard technique for dealing with phase space  $(w, \dot{w}, t)$  of periodically driven oscillators is to study the projection of  $(w, \dot{w})$  at moments in time  $t$ , where  $t$  is a multiple of the period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ . Here  $\omega$  can be the frequency of the excitation of the mechanical system, an eigen frequency of the structure, or its multiple, and  $T$  is a period of the forcing function, an eigen period of the system, or its multiple. The result of inspecting the phase projection  $(w, \dot{w})$  only at specific times  $t = kT$  is a sequence of dots, representing the so-called Poincaré map. The steady-state converging trajectories, which represent the attractor, are usually formed in the phase space and in many cases of nonlinear systems they are very sensitive to any changes in the system.

In papers [11, 17] the following damage index based on the analysis of the Poincaré map was introduced:

$$I_i^d = \frac{S_i^u - S_i^d}{S_i^u}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^u &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_p-1} \sqrt{(w_{i,j+1}^u - w_{i,j}^u)^2 + (\dot{w}_{i,j+1}^u - \dot{w}_{i,j}^u)^2} \\ S_i^d &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_p-1} \sqrt{(w_{i,j+1}^d - w_{i,j}^d)^2 + (\dot{w}_{i,j+1}^d - \dot{w}_{i,j}^d)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (19a,b)$$

In these equations  $I = 1, 2, \dots, N_{\text{nodes}}$ ,  $N_{\text{node}}$  is the number of nodes,  $N_p$  is the number of points in the Poincaré map and  $(w_{ij}^u, \dot{w}_{ij}^u)$  and  $(w_{ij}^d, \dot{w}_{ij}^d)$  denote the  $j$ th point on the Poincaré maps of the undamaged and the damaged states, respectively.

A small (close to 0) damage index will indicate no damage, while a big damage index will indicate the presence of a fault at the corresponding location. The above damage index depends on the location of the point on the plate, and consequently it is a function of the plate coordinates  $x$  and  $y$ . One can expect that the maxima of the surface  $I^d(x_d, y_d)$  (18a) will represent the locations of the damage, i.e.  $I_{\max}^d(x_d, y_d) = \max_i \{I_i^d\}$ .

The damage criterion based on this index presumes setting a threshold value  $T^d$  for the damage index and if

$$I^d(x, y) > T^d \quad (20)$$

then one can conclude that the plate is damaged and the areas of points  $(x, y)$  for which Eq. (20) is fulfilled, form the damaged area (areas).


In the present work we shall use the same damage index and damage criterion but taking into account the temperature changes as well,  $I^d = I^d(x, y, \Delta T)$ . This suggestion presumes that the damage index defined by Eqs. (18) and (19) is calculated for equal values of  $\Delta T$  for the healthy and damaged plate.

## 5 Results and Discussions

Numerical calculation of the vibrational displacements of the healthy and the damaged rectangular plates subjected to mechanical and thermal loading were performed.


The damage was modelled as a reduction (up to 50%) of the plate thickness in small parts of the plate.

The first example concerns the same plate as the one considered in [1]. The plate has the following dimensions and material properties:  $a = 0.25$  m,  $b = 0.24$  m,  $h = 0.00027$  m,  $E = 198.10^9$  Pa,  $\rho = 7,850$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\nu = 0.3$  and  $\alpha_T = 17.3 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup>. This very thin plate is subjected to harmonic loading with frequency of excitation  $\omega_h = 172$  rad/s ( $0.7\omega_{1,1}$ ) and amplitude  $p = 0.3$  N. The time domain response of the plate center is shown in Fig. 2. The amplitudes of oscillations are very close to the ones shown in Fig. 9 in [1], so the verification of the present results is satisfactory.



**Fig. 2** Vibration response at the plate centre ( $\omega_h = 172$  rad/s,  $p = 0.3$  N)

## Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates



471 **Fig. 3** Finite element discretization and damaged area (white colour) of the plate


472  
473 Then the same plate but with increased thickness  $h = 0.0005$  m (case B from  
474 [1]) was subjected to thermal and dynamic loading. For this plate two cases were  
475 considered: (1) undamaged plate and (2) plate with reduced thickness in a small part  
476 of the plate – the white area from the plate shown in Fig. 3.

477 It was shown in [1] that the buckling temperature for this plate is  $\Delta T = 0.9$  K . It  
478 is clear that the attempt to inspect such a plate for damage without considering the  
479 temperature changes is condemned to fail.


480 In Fig. 4 the time-history diagrams of the healthy and the damaged plate sub-  
481 jected to a harmonic loading  $p = 0.9$  N applied in the plate centre with frequency of  
482 excitation  $\omega_h = 319$  rad/s. ( $\omega_{1,1} = 455.6$  rad/s) are shown. Inspecting the time his-  
483 tory it is visible that at the beginning the introduced small defect doesn't influence  
484 essentially the response of the plate but small changes in the eigen frequencies and  
485 modes lead to phase shift and the differences between the two responses increase  
486 with time. The phase shift can be clearly seen on the small figure in Fig. 4 where  
487 a short interval from the response is shown. The Poincaré maps of the responses  
488 of the healthy and the damaged plate in the plate centre (Fig. 5a) and in the centre  
489 of the defect are shown in (Fig. 5b), respectively. The Poincaré plots shown  
490 are obtained as a projection of  $(w, \dot{w})$  at moments  $t$ , where  $t$  is a multiple of the  
491 period  $T = 2\pi/\omega_h$  . The damage doesn't change essentially the form of the Poincaré  
492 plot. As can be expected the difference between the two responses is larger at the  
493 points with reduced thickness. A contour plot of the damage index obtained by using  
494 Eq. (18) is plotted in Fig. 6 where a threshold value  $T^d = 0.06$  is used. The contour  
495 plot is a graphical technique for representing a 3-dimensional surface by plotting

AQ2 496

**Fig. 4** Time history diagram of the plate centre response.  $\omega_h = 319$  rad/s,  $p = 0.9$  N. Undamaged plate (*black line*); damaged plate (*red line*)



This figure will be printed in b/w



This figure will be printed in b/w


**Fig. 5** (a) Poincaré map at the plate centre. Undamaged plate (*black dots*); damaged plate (*red dots*). (b) Poincaré map at the centre of the defect. Undamaged plate (*black dots*); damaged plate (*red dots*)

constant  $z$  slices, called contours, on a 2-dimensional plane. That is, for a given value of  $z$ , lines are drawn that connect the  $(x,y)$  coordinates which correspond to this particular value of  $z$ . The contour plot is compared to the FE model of the plate where the damaged area is coloured in white. As can be seen the damage criterion in this case works quite well and predicts rather precisely the damage location despite of the fact that the damage indexes have low values.

Then the same plates were considered at elevated temperature namely  $\Delta T = 0.7$  K. This temperature leads to increased amplitudes of vibrations of the plates

540

Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates




**Fig. 6** Contour map of the damage index (unheated plate) and comparison with the damage location

(see Fig. 7). Again, the differences in the plate history diagrams are visible but they are not very large in the beginning of the time histories. However the Poincaré plots for the damaged and the undamaged plate have very different shapes, as can be seen from Fig. 8. This phenomenon may indicate that for these loading parameters the dynamic system changes its position in the basin of attractions moving from one region to another. This observation agrees with the fact that the plate buckles at  $\Delta T = 0.9$  K [1]. The shapes of the Poincaré plots at the damaged nodes are similar. Obviously, in such case the damage criterion (20) is not appropriate and doesn't give satisfactory results for the damage location (not shown here). As can be expected neglecting the temperature influence is impossible for the damage detection purpose and leads to wrong results.

The second numerical example concerns a thicker rectangular plate with the following geometrical and material properties:  $a = 10$  m,  $b = 2.5$  m,  $h = 0.05$  m,

586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591

This figure will be printed in b/w




592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599

**Fig. 7** Time history of the thermally loaded plate. Undamaged plate (*black line*); damaged plate (*red line*).  $\Delta T = 0.7$  K

602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610

This figure will be printed in b/w



611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621


**Fig. 8** Poincaré map of the response of the plate centre of heated undamaged (*black dots*) and damaged (*red dots*) plates.  $\Delta T = 0.7$  K

622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630

Young modulus  $E = 7.10^{10}$  N/m<sup>2</sup>, Poisson ratio  $\nu = 0.34$ , density  $\rho = 2,778$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The damping coefficient  $c_1 = c_2 \frac{12}{h^2}$  in Eq. (8) was chosen to be  $0.00075 \frac{Ns}{m^3}$ . The finite element discretization and the damage area are shown in Fig. 9. Again, the damaged area has a thickness  $h_{\text{damaged}} = h/2$ . The plate is fully clamped and the applied harmonic load  $p = 500$  N is uniformly distributed over the whole plate


Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675



**Fig. 9** Finite element mesh of the plate with defect



surface. The time history diagrams of the plate centre of the plate with a defect and without defect are shown in Fig. 10. The same time history diagrams but in the case of elevated temperatures of the plates are shown in Fig. 11. The excitation frequency is 260 rad/s, which is only 7% less than the first eigen frequency of the healthy plate. A strong beating can be observed in the responses of the healthy and damaged plates. The phase of the response of the damaged plate shifts and the difference between the responses increases with the time. The same conclusion applies in the case of the rectangular plate at elevated temperature. The elevated temperature leads to larger values of the vibration amplitude. Again, the differences between the Poincaré plots of the heated and unheated plates are largest for the points from the damaged areas (see Fig. 12a-c). Accordingly, the damage indexes corresponding to the damaged area have the biggest values, which gives the possibility to locate the damage. The contour plots of  $I_i^d$  corresponding to three different temperatures are shown in Fig. 13. It can be seen that the damage location is predicted very precisely in the case of the unheated plate as well as in the



**Fig. 10** Time history diagram of the plate centre ,  $p = 500$  N,  $\omega_h = 260$  rad/s

This figure will be printed in b/w

676 **Fig. 11** Time history  
 677 diagram of the plate center of  
 678 heated plate,  $p = 500$  N,  
 679  $\omega_h = 260$  rad/s,  $\Delta T = 50$  K




706  
 707  
 708  
 709  
 710  
 711  
 712  
 713  
 714  
 715  
 716  
 717  
 718  
 719 **Fig. 12** Poincaré map at the centre of the defect for: (a) unheated plate, (b) heated plate  $\Delta T =$   
 720  $50$  K, (c) heated plate  $-\Delta T = 100$  K. Undamaged plate (black dots); damaged plate (red dots)

This figure will be printed in b/w

This figure will be printed in b/w

## Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates



**Fig. 13** Contour maps of the damage index for unheated and heated rectangular plate with damage

cases of the heated plate with two different temperatures  $\Delta T = 50 \text{ K}$  and  $\Delta T = 100 \text{ K}$ . The threshold value  $T^d$  is set to 0.28 for all cases and the maximal value of  $I^d$  is almost the same ( $I^d = 0.4$  for  $\Delta T = 0$ ,  $\Delta T = 50 \text{ K}$  and  $I^d = 0.42$  for  $\Delta T = 100 \text{ K}$ ).

If, however one calculates, for example the damage index of the healthy unheated plate and the one for the damaged but heated plate then the damage location cannot be predicted precisely. This is due to the temperature change which is not taken into account for the healthy plate. The vibration responses of the healthy and the damaged plates should be compared for the same temperatures.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper the computed time domain vibration responses are used to analyse the dynamic behaviour of plates in the intact condition and in the case when defects are present taking into account the temperature changes. A damage assessment method

766 is suggested which is based on the phase space representation of the time domain  
 767 nonlinear vibration response of the plate and uses the analysis of its Poincaré map.  
 768 It has been demonstrated that damage as well as elevated temperatures can influ-  
 769 ence substantially the time domain response of the plate and its Poincaré maps.  
 770 It can be concluded that: 1) The influence of the temperature changes is essential  
 771 and can change substantially the nonlinear dynamic response of the plate and this  
 772 is why temperature changes should be taken into account when developing a damage  
 773 assessment procedure; 2) Temperature loadings which lead to either buckling  
 774 or chaotic behaviour of the plate, might render the damage criterion suggested by  
 775 Eqs. (18), (19) and (20) inappropriate. This is because even small damage, resulting  
 776 in stiffness reduction of the plate, could lead to dramatic changes in the Poincaré  
 777 maps of the response and consequently to unreliable results.

778 The potential, the sensitivity and the applicability of the developed method still  
 779 have to be tested for real measurements and for more structures, defects and loading  
 780 conditions.

781 **Acknowledgments** The first author wishes to thank the Bulgarian Research Fund for the partial  
 782 support through grant TN-1518/2005.

## 786 References

- 788 1. M. Amabili, S. Carra, Thermal effects on geometrically nonlinear vibrations of rectangular  
 789 plates with fixed edges. *J. Sound Vib.* **321**, 936–954 (2009)
- 790 2. E. Manoach, Dynamic large deflection analysis of elastic-plastic Mindlin circular plates. *Int.*  
 791 *J. Nonlinear Mech.* **29**, 723–735 (1994)
- 792 3. E. Parloo, P. Verboven, P. Guillaume, M. van Overmeire, Autonomous structural health moni-  
 793 toring – Part II: Vibration-based in-operation damage assessment. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.*  
**16**, 659–675 (2002)
- 794 4. P.F. Rizoş, N. Aspragathos, A.D. Dimarogonas, Identification of crack location and magnitude in  
 795 a cantilevered beam from the vibration modes. *J. Sound Vib.* **138**, 381–388 (1990)
- 796 5. I. Trendafilova, E. Manoach, M.P. Cartmell, W. Ostachowicz, A. Zak, An investigation on  
 797 damage detection in aircrafts panels using nonlinear time series analysis. *Key Eng. Mater.*  
**347**, 213–218 (2007)
- 798 6. I. Trendafilova, E. Manoach, Vibration based damage detection in plates by using time series  
 799 analysis. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* **22**, 1092–1106 (2008)
- 800 7. P. Verboven, E. Parloo, P. Guillaume, M. van Overmeire, Autonomous structural health moni-  
 801 toring – Part I: Modal parameter estimation and tracking. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* **16**,  
 802 637–657 (2002)
- 803 8. Y. Zou, L. Tong, G.P. Steven, Vibration based model-dependent damage (delamination) iden-  
 804 tification and health monitoring for composite structures – A review. *J. Sound Vib.* **230**,  
 357–378 (2000)
- 805 9. H.T. Banks, D.J. Inman, D.J. Leo, Y. Wang, An experimentally validated damage detection  
 806 theory in smart structures. *J. Sound Vib.* **191**, 859–880 (1996)
- 807 10. L. Moniz, J.M. Nichols, C.J. Nichols, M. Seaver, S.T. Trickey, M.D. Todd, L.M. Pecora,  
 808 L.N. Virgin, A multivariate, attractor-based approach to structural health monitoring. *J. Sound*  
*Vib.* **283**, 295–310 (2005)
- 809 11. P. Ribeiro, E. Manoach, The effect of temperature on the large amplitude vibrations of curved  
 810 beams. *J. Sound Vib.* **285**, 1093–1107 (2005)

## Damage Detections in Nonlinear Vibrating Thermally Loaded Plates

- 811 12. E. Manoach, in *Dynamic Large Deflection Analysis of Elastic-Plastic Beams and Plates*, ed.  
812 by N.S. Ferguson, H.F. Wolfe, M.A. Ferman, S.A. Rizzi. Proceedings of 7th International  
813 Conference Recent Advances on Structural Dynamics, vol. 1 (The Institute of Sound and  
814 Vibration Research University, Southampton, 2000), pp. 389–400
- 815 13. J. Cattarius, D.J. Inman, Time domain analysis for damage detection in smart structures.  
816 Mech. Syst. Signal Process. **11**, 409–423 (1997)
- 817 14. B.I. Epureanu, L.S. Tang, M.P. Păidoussis, Exploiting chaotic dynamics for detecting  
818 parametric variations in aeroelastic systems. AIAA J. **42**, 728–735 (2004)
- 819 15. E. Manoach, in *Coupled, Large Amplitude Vibrations of Circular Plates Subjected to*  
820 *Mechanical and Thermal Loading*, ed. by D.H. van Campen, M.D. Lazurko, W.P.J.M. van  
821 den Oever. Proceedings of ENOC-2005, Fifth EUROMECH Nonlinear Dynamic Conference,  
822 Eindhoven, The Netherlands (2005), pp. 2548–2557
- 823 16. A.R. Kukreti, H.I. Issa, Dynamic analysis of nonlinear structures by pseudo-normal mode  
824 superposition method. Comput. Struct. **19**, 653–663 (1984)
- 825 17. P. Ribeiro, Thermally induced transitions to chaos in plate vibrations. J. Sound Vib. **299**,  
826 314–330 (2007)
- 827 18. Thorton, E.A. *Thermal Structures for Aerospace Applications*, AIAA Education Series  
828 (AIAA: Washington, DC, 1996)
- 829 19. M. Todd, J.M. Nichols, L.M. Pecora, L. Virgin, Vibration-based damage assessment utiliz-  
830 ing state space geometry changes: Local attractor variance ratio. Smart Mater. Struct. **10**,  
831 1000–1008 (2001)
- 832 20. E. Manoach, P. Ribeiro, Coupled, thermoelastic, large amplitude vibrations of Timoshenko  
833 beams. Int. J. Mech. Sci. **46**, 1589–1606 (2004)
- 834 21. E. Manoach, I. Trendafilova, Large amplitude vibrations and damage detection of rectangular  
835 plates. J. Sound Vib. **315**, 591–606 (2008)
- 836
- 837
- 838
- 839
- 840
- 841
- 842
- 843
- 844
- 845
- 846
- 847
- 848
- 849
- 850
- 851
- 852
- 853
- 854
- 855

## Chapter 14

856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900

---

Q. No.

Query

---

AQ1 Please check and clarify equation numbers in this chapter.

AQ2 Figures 4, 5, 7, 8 and 12 will be produced in grey scale. Please differentiate color in caption.

---

UNCORRECTED PROOF