Wireless recording of the calls of *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and their reproduction using electrostatic transducers
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**Abstract.** Bats are capable of imaging their surroundings in great detail using echolocation. To apply similar methods to human engineering systems requires the capability to measure and recreate the signals used, and to understand the processing applied to returning echoes. In this work, the emitted and reflected echolocation signals of *Rousettus aegyptiacus* are recorded while the bat is in flight, using a wireless sensor mounted on the bat. The sensor is designed to replicate the acoustic gain control which bats are known to use, applying a gain to returning echoes that is dependent on the incurred time delay. Employing this technique allows emitted and reflected echolocation calls, which have a wide dynamic range, to be recorded. The recorded echoes demonstrate the complexity of environment reconstruction using echolocation. The sensor is also used to make accurate recordings of the emitted calls, and these calls are recreated in the laboratory using custom-built wideband electrostatic transducers, allied with a spectral equalisation technique. This technique is further demonstrated by recreating multi-harmonic bioinspired FM chirps. The ability to record and accurately synthesize echolocation calls enables the exploitation of biological signals in human engineering systems for sonar, materials characterisation and imaging.
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1. **Introduction**

Since Donald Griffin and his colleagues (Griffin, 1944) first demonstrated that bats use echolocation for navigation and predation, this process has been the subject of much research. The ability of bats to discriminate the absolute range of an acoustic target (Simmons, 1973), range jitter (Simmons, 1979; Moss and Schnitzler, 1989) or angular direction (Simmons *et al.*, 1983; Lawrence and Simmons, 1982) has been well established. The methods bats use to resolve target range have been the subject of much research and discussion (e.g. Simmons, 1973; Simmons, 1979; Moss and Schnitzler, 1989). Jitter discrimination tests suggest that bats perform a correlation of emitted and reflected waveforms, and that they may be capable of using the fine detail of this correlation function in the time domain (Simmons *et al.*, 1990). Since this process requires the bat to store a representation of the emitted signal, a useful step in providing an improved understanding of bat echolocation is to obtain an accurate representation of this signal.

Conventional recording of bat calls has been performed using a static or hand-held microphone, coupled to a recording medium. This method suffers from inherent problems, summarized by Waters and Jones (1995), such as the frequency-dependence of both the attenuation in air and the emitted beam pattern. These effects make accurate recording of calls from a flying bat difficult to achieve, and a number of techniques have been employed to reduce their impact. Laboratory-based recordings have been performed either by holding the bat stationary (Hartley and Suthers, 1987), or in a pendulum (e.g. Henson *et al.*, 1987), to enable recordings to be made under known conditions. This can lead to uncharacteristic recordings, since flying bats energetically couple calls whilst in flight (Speakman and Racey, 1991; Lancaster *et al.*, 1995). Recently, both field and laboratory studies have used methods to locate a free-flying bat in space at the time of a call emission, in relation to a high-fidelity recording microphone, thereby allowing compensation for atmospheric attenuation. The methods employed use either an array of microphones (e.g. Surlykke and Kalko, 2008) or stereo videogrammetry (Ghose and Moss, 2003; Holderied *et al.*, 2005).
None of these techniques, however, provide any information on either the emitted signal as heard by the bat (which may provide a template for subsequent cross-correlation) or on the signal as received by the bat from a target; the difference between these signals being the basis for the echolocation process. One method which can give an insight into these signals uses a wireless microphone sensor mounted on a bat, to allow calls to be detected as it echolocates in flight in a captive environment. Studies using this method (e.g. Lancaster et al., 1992; Hiryu et al., 2005) have successfully demonstrated its usefulness in recording the calls of both CF (constant frequency) and FM (frequency modulated) bats in flight. Indeed, the system developed by Hiryu et al. (2007; 2008) was very lightweight (0.6g) and has been used successfully with a number of species flying in captivity. Given the fixed small distance between the bat’s mouth and microphone, this avoids many of the problems associated with atmospheric attenuation in recording an accurate echolocation call, in addition to providing a reference signal for cross-correlation.

These developments have shed much light on the signals used by bats during echolocation. However, the systems reported to date have limited dynamic range, and the lack of detailed calibration makes accurate signal quantification difficult. The work reported here has two specific aims: to provide tools to further investigate the echolocation process; and to enable the potential for biomimetic bat sonar to be employed in human engineering systems. A wireless sensor is described that was mounted on a bat whilst it flew in a captive environment. The sensor can be configured either to capture high-fidelity recordings of the emitted signal, or to emphasize recording of the returning echoes to mimic the natural acoustic gain control system of the bat. This is achieved by dynamically controlling the level of amplification through the sensor. The sensor was accurately calibrated to gain an insight into how measured signals were affected during the recording process.

A second aspect of the work involved the accurate replication of wideband bat calls within the laboratory environment. Calibration of the sensor system revealed severe limitations in current transducer technology with respect to sensitivity across the bandwidth of the bat spectral range (i.e. 15kHz – 200kHz). Through reciprocity, such difficulties would also be anticipated in transmission. Accurate replication of a wide range of bat echolocation signals will facilitate the study of such signals and the associated binaural processing for target classification and range discrimination. This in turn could pave the way for an extensive range of engineering applications, including distance measurement, robotic navigation, underwater navigation and materials characterization. Of particular interest to the authors is application of bat signals in ranging, to enable accurate location and positioning of robots (Friedrich et al., 2009).

2. Wireless sensor design

A central aim of the current work was to create a sensor system capable of detecting both the emitted signal and the echoes bats use in echolocation, providing an insight into the signals that bats decipher to form an image of their environment. This was a significant challenge, given the bandwidth and dynamic range of many echolocation signals. Emitted signals have been estimated with a peak pressure in excess of 140 dB peSPL in the field (Surlykke and Kalko, 2008), with audiograms of bats indicating a hearing threshold in the region of 0dB (e.g. Neuweiler et al., 1984; Koay et al., 2003). While this is a hearing threshold (i.e. the level at which a bat becomes aware of the sound) rather than the level at which that sound becomes useful for echolocation, bats are certainly capable of processing signals over a significant dynamic range.

The intended test subject for the wireless sensor was the fruit bat Rousettus aegyptiacus, a member of the only genus within the suborder megachiroptera that is known to use echolocation. The calls generally consist of pairs of ‘clicks’ produced with the tongue. While these signals may appear less complex than the signals produced by microchiropterans, one study on R. aegyptiacus (Waters and Vollrath, 2003) indicates that its performance in wire avoidance tests is comparable to such species. R. aegyptiacus is also fairly large and robust, which makes it an ideal candidate for flight experiments with a wireless microphone sensor. In terms of mass requirements, long-term studies have indicated load carrying should not impose more than a 5% increase in mass to avoid undue stress on the subject (Aldridge and Brigham, 1988). However, for short term laboratory based studies loads of up to 10% of the bat body mass should not impose any undue strain, since gravid bats often reach a 20%-30% increase in body mass in the latter stages of pregnancy (Korine et al., 2004). The target frequency range for the sensor was 20kHz-200kHz in order to allow future work with FM and CF bat species.
Wireless sensors reported in the literature consist of a microphone coupled via an amplifier to a suitable oscillator for radio frequency (RF) transmission. However, given that the target bat species was larger than the species used in previous studies, there was some latitude in size and weight constraints. Furthermore, a fixed-gain amplifier would not produce a sensor capable of operating across a wide enough dynamic range. Research has shown that some bats, such as *Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana* (Henson, 1965), *Myotis lucifugus* (Jen and Suga, 1975), and *Eptesicus fuscus* (Kick and Simmons, 1984), have evolved a mechanism that helps them to overcome the dynamic range between emitted and reflected signals. By contraction of the middle ear muscles just prior to emission of the echolocation call, a temporary reduction in hearing sensitivity is achieved for the period of call emission. This sensitivity is then gradually restored as the muscles relax post emission, causing sensitivity to increase as the propagation loss incurred by echoes increases (Kick and Simmons, 1984; Simmons et al., 1992). Hence, the bat employs gain control in order to regulate the perceived loudness of the returning echoes, thereby increasing the dynamic range of signals it can process. Through use of a Variable Gain Amplifier (VGA) and suitable control circuitry, this can be recreated electronically, allowing echoes to be subjected to an increasing level of amplification, based on echo delay.

The main components of the sensor system were a microphone, instrumentation amplifier, VGA and voltage controlled oscillator, with the latter used to generate FM signals for wireless transmission. In addition, the system included timing circuitry for control of the VGA, a battery and antenna. The microphone selected for use was the FG3329 electret microphone (Knowles, IL, USA), the same model as used by Hiryu et al. (2005; 2007; 2008). Manufacturer data indicates that device sensitivity decreases beyond 20kHz, but should still be usable above 100kHz making it suitable for use in this system. In the course of the investigation, it was shown that the response of this microphone was seriously impaired at frequencies above 120kHz, as discussed in section 3. The microphone was coupled to an AD623 instrumentation amplifier (Analog Devices, MA, USA) to provide an initial gain stage and common-mode noise immunity. Variable gain was then provided by the AD8330 VGA (Analog Devices), with the amplified signal applied to a MAX2606 (Maxim, CA, USA) voltage controlled oscillator that can generate FM radio signals across a wide range of frequencies, including the commercial (88MHz – 108MHz) FM band. Control of the gain circuitry was provided by a timer circuit based on the TLC555 (Texas Instruments, TX, USA) device. Finally, power was provided by a 3.6V nickel-metal hydride (Ni-MH) rechargeable 3/V15H battery (Varta Microbattery, Ellwangen, Germany). This enabled the sensor to be powered for 20 minutes between charging, which was sufficient for bat flight tests in captivity. A wire of 19cm in length (approximately \( \lambda/16 \) for radio waves at a frequency of 100MHz) was attached to the sensor as a whip antenna. With this configuration, reliable reception of signals from the sensor was possible at distances up to 10m in cluttered laboratory space.

In variable-gain mode, the sensor was initially set to its lowest gain level. When an emitted echolocation call was detected, a charging circuit was configured to apply a rising voltage to the gain-control pins of the VGA. The time period over which this voltage rose was defined through selection of the appropriate resistor and capacitor combination. This allowed the sensor to be configured for operation over a given timespan, corresponding to a given range. After this time period, the sensor was reset to its lowest gain state in preparation for the next emitted call. In this way, echo signals were subjected to additional gain dependent on their time of arrival, as is the case with the gain control mechanism seen in the auditory pathway of bats. A measured gain variation of 67dB was achieved, that was shown to significantly improve the detection of echoes returned to the bat. It was also possible to operate the sensor in static gain mode, by fixing the voltage applied to the VGA.

The sensor weighed 6.8g, representing a maximum of 8% of the body mass of the bat, which ranged from 85g to 139g. The majority of the sensor mass was attributable to the battery (4.0g). This battery was necessary since the sensor required a current of approximately 25mA, mostly attributable to the variable gain circuitry. The prototype wireless sensor is pictured in Figure 1, attached to a *R. aegyptiacus* individual. The transmitted FM signal was received by a 70FT930 FM tuner (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) used in one of two ways: firstly without modification, but extracting the demodulated signal prior to baseband filtering, allowing reception of signals up to approximately 100kHz; and secondly by using a custom-built phase locked loop
(PLL) circuit to demodulate the 10.7MHz Intermediate Frequency (IF) signal generated by the mixer in the tuner. The PLL was required to facilitate demodulation of higher frequency signals at up to 200kHz.

Figure 1. *R. aegyptiacus* with wireless sensor mounted dorsally, between the shoulder blades. The microphone, battery and rear-mounted whip antenna can be seen.

### 3. System Calibration

To gain an understanding of the influence that the sensor system had on the signals it recorded, its frequency response was characterized using the standard substitution method. The transmission frequency response of a custom-built, 30mm diameter, wideband electrostatic transducer (described in section 6.1) was measured using a calibrated Type 4138 microphone coupled to a Nexus 2690 preamplifier (both Brüel & Kjær, Nærum, Denmark). This was performed at a distance of 200mm, in the far field of the transducer for all frequencies. The wireless sensor system was then substituted for the Brüel & Kjær (B&K) microphone, with the FG3329 microphone positioned in place of the B&K device, allowing the response of the sensor system to be calculated.

The response was measured using a series of Hanning-windowed 10-cycle toneburst signals, applied at 1kHz intervals across the frequency range of interest. The received signal was captured from a CS82G GaGe analogue to digital (A/D) converter card (Dynamic Signals, IL, USA). The process was automated using LabVIEW (National Instruments, TX, USA) to configure a 33120A (Agilent, CA, USA) Arbitrary Function Generator (AFG) and capture the received data from the A/D card. The sensor was configured in static gain mode, and transmitted signals were received using the FM tuner, both with and without the PLL demodulator as previously described. This resulted in the calculated frequency response shown in figure 2(a), with data being normalized. With the unmodified tuner, signals above 100kHz were not detectable, while the PLL demodulator extended this range up to approximately 150kHz. It can be seen that the system response is seriously impaired at higher frequencies, with a steep roll-off above 120kHz that limits its use to frequencies below this value. The reason for this response was investigated by measuring the frequency response of each of the component parts of the sensor. The general low-pass nature of the response is produced by a combination of the microphone, oscillator and receiver electronics. The significant reduction in sensitivity above 120kHz was caused solely by the microphone, with a distinct null in its response at approximately 150kHz. This response was not predicted by the manufacturer, and was investigated further. Finite-element analysis revealed that a resonant mode within the case of the microphone was responsible for the drop in sensitivity. This could not be removed without recourse to significant design modification, which was not straightforward due to the microphone design. To demonstrate that the remainder of the system was capable of operating over the target frequency range, an electronic test signal was injected into the instrumentation amplifier on the sensor, and the demodulated output from the tuner was measured. The response of this section is displayed in figure 2(b), where it can be seen that the PLL demodulator does indeed allow the system to operate over the intended frequency range.
4. System Testing

The calls of \textit{R. aegyptiacus} consist of pairs of “clicks” produced by the tongue. This typically creates pulses of a few cycles in length, with a duration of approximately 250\mu s and peak energy at approximately 19kHz (Waters and Vollrath, 2003 – includes averaged time-domain waveform, frequency spectrum and spectrogram). Most energy (-6dB) is concentrated between 15kHz and 25kHz, with little energy (-15dB) below 15kHz or above 40kHz. The peak pressure output is approximately 100dB SPL. For this reason, testing of the sensor was carried out with a range of tonebursts at frequencies between 10kHz and 50kHz at a peak pressure of 100dB SPL. A test was designed that enabled the fidelity of the sensor to be tested simultaneously with its variable gain response. This test used an air-coupled ultrasonic transducer to ensonify a steel target (a bar of cross section 20mm) at a distance of approximately 1.4m. The wireless sensor and B&K microphone (for comparison purposes) were placed directly in the path of the acoustic energy between the transducer and the target, approximately 0.2m from the transducer. In this way, the sensor and microphone were capable of detecting both the emitted signal, and the signal returning after reflection from the steel target. The signals detected by each system were captured using a digitizing oscilloscope.

Waveforms resulting from the test carried out with a 50kHz toneburst are displayed in figure 3. Figure 3(a) depicts the response from the B&K microphone and wireless sensor to both emitted and reflected signals; the wireless sensor output is magnified 30 times, and both signals dc level-shifted for clarity of display. The magnification was carried out digitally in post-processing, simply to allow visual comparison of the signals on the same scale. The variable gain performance is illustrated by the relative magnitudes of emitted and reflected signals from each detector. The drop in amplitude from transmitted to reflected signal is in the order of 30dB, as the B&K microphone output demonstrates; this reduction is more than offset by the increased gain of the wireless sensor when the echo arrives. In this case the sensor was configured to have a gain variation of approximately 45dB between its lower and upper limits, in order to preserve the clarity of the signals displayed in figure 3(a). Figure 3(b) shows the transmitted signal in more detail, illustrating the fidelity of the wireless sensor in comparison with the signal detected by the B&K microphone. The magnification required of the wireless sensor signal (\times180 in this case, again done in post processing for visual comparison) is due to the sensor being in its default, low-gain state at this point. Figure 3(c) illustrates a comparison of the reflected signal recorded with the wireless sensor and B&K microphone. It should be noted that no averaging of the resulting waveforms was performed.
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5. Capturing echolocation signals from *R. aegyptiacus* in flight

Six adult *R. aegyptiacus* were loaned from Tropical World, Leeds and maintained on a 12h light, 12h dark reversed photoperiod, in a cage continually provisioned with fruit and water. Experimental flights were conducted in a 14m × 2.5m × 1.5m corridor situated adjacent to the room containing the cage. A mesh suspended at one end of the corridor served as an artificial roost, while a 100mm-diameter disc suspended from the ceiling half-way along the corridor provided an acoustic target. The sensor was attached to the bat using self-adhesive Velcro (a hook and loop fastener), with one piece attached dorsally between the shoulders of the bat, while the mating piece of Velcro was attached to the underside of the sensor. The sensor mounted on the bat can be seen in figure 1. Each bat performed up to 16 flights, each providing 3s of acoustic data sampled at 400kHz, as well as 3s of high-speed video. In-depth analysis of these data will be the subject of further publications.

With *R. aegyptiacus* producing a double-click echolocation call on average every 86ms (Waters and Vollrath, 2003), there was the potential for gathering large amounts of data on each 3s flight recording. In practice, not all flights produced noise-free recordings – the corridor was not screened and as such was subject to varying electromagnetic interference – but well over 500 individual calls were captured, examples of which are presented in figures 4 and 5. Figure 4 depicts signals captured with the sensor configured to have fixed gain. This mode of operation is useful for capturing accurate representations of the emitted echolocation calls, with the best achievable signal to noise ratio (SNR), since the gain is set to an optimal level to capture these signals. The regular pattern of double clicks, characteristic of this species, can be clearly seen in figure 4(a), whilst figure 4(b) shows a representative example of a single echolocation call.
By using the sensor in variable gain mode, the data illustrated in figure 5 was obtained. Figure 5(a) is provided for direct comparison with figure 4(a). These figures are not the same data recorded using static and variable gain. They simply have the same timespan and so allow comparison of the types of signals recorded using the sensor with either configuration. This illustrates the quantity of reflected signals returning to the bat recordable through use of variable gain. Given the range of hearing of *R. aegyptiacus* extends down to approximately 0dB SPL (Koay *et al.*, 1998), these signals would certainly be audible. Figure 5(b) depicts a single echolocation call and its associated echoes as recorded using variable gain. This shows reflected signals originating from objects in excess of 4m from the bat – possibly from doorframes or light fittings. Also displayed in figure 5(b), on the same scale for comparison purposes, is a level-shifted trace captured using static gain. This illustrates the advantage of using variable gain to examine the reflected signals. It is immediately apparent, even in the relatively simple environment of a corridor, that the bat must decipher a complex combination of overlapping echoes from multiple objects and surfaces. Figure 5(c) displays a close-up version of the two furthest echoes detected by the sensor.

![Figure 5. Echolocation signals captured using the wireless sensor in variable gain mode on *R. aegyptiacus* whilst in flight. (a) Sequence of calls, and echoes. (b) Single call and associated echoes, with dc level-shifted version of a call recorded in static gain mode for comparison. (c) Close-up of echoes.](image)

6. Echolocation Call Replication

Given the capability of bats to perceive their environment in great detail using echolocation, the use of similar methods would be advantageous in ranging and positioning applications. For example, an application that is of considerable interest to the authors is positioning of autonomous robotic vehicles used for Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE). Enabling such a system to use biological, or biologically-inspired, acoustic signals could improve positional accuracy using correlation-based methods. In this respect, the wideband signals employed by *R. aegyptiacus* are attractive. Their relatively low frequency minimizes attenuation, while retaining sufficient bandwidth to utilize correlation and phase-detection techniques for accurate range discrimination.

6.1. Air-coupled transducers

As reviewed elsewhere (e.g. Manthey *et al.*, 1992) there are a variety of transduction methods suitable for ultrasonic generation in air. However, current ultrasonic transducer technology has inherent limitations, both in terms of sensitivity and bandwidth. For example, piezoelectric devices are not well matched to the air environment and they are highly resonant with a narrow frequency bandwidth, especially when considered with a suitable matching layer (Kelly *et al.*, 2004). Electrostatic transducers, comprising a thin membrane suspended above an electrically conducting backplate are more wideband and better matched to the air propagation channel (Schindel *et al.*, 1995). However, whilst some of the more narrowband devices (Rafiq and Wykes, 1991) can operate in the frequency regime of *R. aegyptiacus*, wideband designs tend to struggle at these comparatively low frequencies, below 100kHz (Schindel *et al.*, 1995). In this work, wideband air-coupled ultrasonic transducers were designed, and allied to a spectral inversion technique, as detailed in the following sections. For accurate correlation, knowledge is required of the acoustic signal that is actually transmitted; this signal depends on the response of the transducer and so may be considerably different from the driving electrical signal. It is for this reason that the spectral inversion process has been used.

Although the calls that *R. aegyptiacus* produces are mainly limited to less than 40kHz in frequency, it was an aim of the work reported here to enable the reproduction of the echolocation call of any bat. This included the
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more complex coded FM and CF-FM waveforms, as well as the clicks that *R. aegyptiacus* produces. This covers a frequency range from the audible to in excess of 200kHz (Fenton and Bell, 1981), representing a centre frequency in the region of 100kHz and a fractional bandwidth approaching 200%. Bat call reproduction is a subject that is only sparsely and indirectly covered in the literature. This may be due to a lack of the hardware and tools to make it viable. Certainly the requirements and limitations are rigorous, since working with air as the load medium introduces a significant mechanical impedance mismatch with almost any wideband transduction material. Furthermore, in order to generate well-defined and predictable fields, piston-mode operation of the transducer is desirable across the frequency range of interest.

The electrostatic transducer designed and manufactured for this work consisted of a vitreous carbon backplate and 8µm Kapton transmitting membrane, with aluminum evaporated onto the front face, held in an aluminium case. The vitreous carbon was supplied polished smooth to within 50nm in 30mmØ×5mm pieces (HTW Hochtemperatur-Werkstoffe, Thierhaupten, Germany). The smooth finish of the backplate helps to promote a high-bandwidth response. The frequency response of this device was calculated from the impulse response of a pair of identical transducers arranged in a pitch-catch configuration. The transmitter was driven with a Panametrics 5052PR (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) pulser unit, with the receiving transducer coupled to a Cooknell CA7 charge amplifier (Cooknell Electronics Ltd., Weymouth, UK). The resulting received signal was captured using a digitizing oscilloscope and is displayed in figure 6(a); the magnitude of the FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) of this signal is displayed in figure 6(b). This illustrates its relatively wideband response, with a peak output at 425kHz and a 6dB pitch-catch bandwidth extending from 125kHz to 810kHz, representing a fractional bandwidth of some 160%. For recreating echolocation calls, however, the frequency range of interest extends to in excess of 200kHz, and so ideally the transducer response would be flat across this frequency range. This particular transducer was designed to cover a wide bandwidth, having a polished flat backplate with a thin and light membrane. Furthermore, this design of transducer operated with uniform phase displacement across the aperture, producing a well ordered and easily simulated acoustic field. It was possible to improve and flatten the response at the lower frequency range (such as that used by *R. aegyptiacus*) through the use of a randomly rough backplate. However, this was at the expense of both phase response and high-frequency output. The device characterized and used here, allied with a spectral compensation technique provided the capability to reproduce signals across the entire frequency range of interest. This process is detailed in the following section.

![Figure 6](image_url)

Figure 6. Transducer response measured in pitch-catch configuration at a separation of 100mm: (a) impulse response; (b) FFT of impulse response illustrating frequency response.

6.2. Recreating echolocation calls through use of a spectral processing technique

The echolocation calls of *R. aegyptiacus* as recorded with the wireless sensor were reproduced in the laboratory using the wideband electrostatic transducer. The signal to be reproduced was taken from those signals recorded with the sensor in fixed-gain mode, providing the best SNR performance, as displayed in figure 4. The transducer output was recorded using the B&K microphone, which has a flat response within ±1dB across the frequency range of the calls of *R. aegyptiacus*. The frequency response of the electrostatic transducer, however,
was not flat across this frequency range, having a high-pass characteristic as depicted in figure 6(b). A method to equalize this response is detailed here, that allowed the input signal to be pre-processed to compensate for the system response.

Through laboratory measurements, it was shown that the response of the transducer was linear across the frequency range. Given this linearity, the response of the system is fully described by its impulse response, such that the output, y(t), is defined as the convolution of the input, x(t), with the impulse response, h(t):

\[ y(t) = h(t) \ast x(t) \]  

This calculation can be simplified by transforming into the frequency domain using a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), where convolution of two signals is calculated by multiplication. Hence the output, Y(f), is the product of the input, X(f), and the impulse response, H(f), where all terms are complex:

\[ Y(f) = H(f)X(f) \]  

If a desired output signal is defined and the impulse response of the system is measured, then the input signal necessary to generate the desired output can be calculated, by rearrangement of (2):

\[ X(f) = \frac{Y(f)}{H(f)} \]  

Now, by transforming back to the time domain using the inverse FFT, the input signal required to generate the desired output can be found:

\[ x(t) = iFFT \left( \frac{Y(f)}{H(f)} \right) \]  

Hence, by measuring the impulse response of the system used to produce a signal, the input signal required to generate a defined output signal from the system can be calculated. This method produces a pre-distorted version of the required signal that, when used as the input signal, compensates for the impulse response of the system and hence allows accurate reproduction of a given signal.

The impulse response of the system was found by driving the electrostatic transducer with the Panametrics 5052 pulser unit, and capturing the output from the B&K microphone. The desired output was defined as the \( R. \text{aegyptiacus} \) call recorded from the wireless sensor system. Using a Matlab (The Mathworks, MA, USA) script, the required drive signal was calculated from (4) and downloaded to the function generator to drive the transducer. The resulting transmitted signal is depicted in figure 7(a) where it can be seen that the signal reproduced using the inversion process shows good correlation with the original recording in the time domain. The need for the inversion process is demonstrated by making a comparison with the signal transmitted by the transducer using an unmodified input signal. This result is illustrated in figure 7(b), in the frequency domain for clarity. It can be seen that, as expected, the high-pass nature of the transducer response promotes the higher frequency components, which is compensated by the inversion process.
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The same procedure can be used to generate the more complex coded forms of bat call, such as FM calls, or those that contain a mixture of both FM and CF components (FM/CF). In this case, a biologically inspired, multi-harmonic FM chirp was created using Matlab. The signal consisted of a fundamental component (a quadratic chirp varying from 60kHz to 30kHz over 2.5ms) with four additional harmonics at amplitudes of 0.85, 0.7, 0.55 and 0.4 relative to the fundamental component. This signal was designed to cover the entire frequency range of known bat echolocation calls. Its spectrogram is shown in figure 8(a) with the amplitude scale in dB. Figure 8(b) shows the signal reproduced in the laboratory, using the same method as described previously and recorded using the B&K microphone. It can be seen that agreement between the recorded and reproduced signal is again excellent. Figure 8(c) is displayed to illustrate the signal produced without using the inversion process. With this wideband signal, the inversion process compensated for the response of both the transducer and the microphone. In figure 8(c) it can be seen that the low-frequency roll-off of the transducer is responsible for a reduction in the relative amplitude of the fundamental component, while the reduction in sensitivity of the microphone above 100kHz causes the higher frequency components to be reduced in amplitude. Both these effects are effectively compensated.

Figure 7. Reproduction of *R. aegyptiacus* echolocation call, comparing the original with a reproduction based on the inversion process in the time domain (a) and frequency domain (b). Also included in (b) is the frequency spectrum of a signal reproduced without use of the inversion process, applying the recorded echolocation call directly to the transducer.

Figure 8. Reproduction of an artificial multi-harmonic FM chirp, shown in time-frequency (spectrogram) format. The original signal (a) and the signal reproduced using spectral inversion (b) show a high level of correlation. Also shown, is the signal reproduced without use of the inversion process (c). The scale is in dB.

7. Discussion and applications

*R. aegyptiacus* is a member of the only megachiropteran genus of bat that echolocates. In this study a wireless sensor was designed to enable both emitted and reflected echolocation calls to be recorded whilst the bat was in flight. The emitted signals were then recreated in the laboratory using wideband custom-built electrostatic transducers, allied with a spectral equalization technique. This process was also demonstrated to be capable of reproducing a wideband FM signal, with frequency components ranging from 30kHz – 300kHz.
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By taking inspiration from the way in which bats perform gain control on their calls, the sensor was capable of operating over a dynamic range of greater than 70dB, including the static dynamic range of the system. This allowed both emitted and reflected echolocation calls to be recorded with the sensor in variable gain mode. In static gain mode, accurate recording of the emitted signal was promoted, whilst variable gain mode was used to obtain recordings of the echo signals returning to the bat. Through accurate calibration of the sensor system, its effect on the recorded signals can also be understood. With the signals emitted by R. aegyptiacus having a peak frequency of approximately 20kHz, and little energy above 40kHz, the sensor contributes an error of less than ±5dB across the range of these signals, demonstrating the importance of accurate calibration. The reflected signals detected by the variable gain sensor give some understanding of how complex the task of signal interpretation is, even in the relatively simple environment of a corridor. The images displayed here were specifically selected to contain distinct echoes; many of the sequences recorded had few, if any, distinct echoes. Rather, they generally contained a complex combination of overlapping reflected signals, which the bat must be able to decipher to obtain an image of the environment in which it is flying. It should be pointed out however, that while these signals were recorded returning to the bat, it does not necessarily hear them all. This is because, unlike the sensor, the bat has pinnae that it is known to actively scan (Holland and Waters, 2005) whilst echolocating. This may provide directional filtering of the returning echoes not available to the sensor microphone. Furthermore, directionality may be encoded into the echoes via the binaural head related transfer function (HRTF) of the bat, as is the case with other species (e.g. Aytekin et al., 2004). Techniques such as these would certainly appear to be required in order for the bat to obtain accurate spatial information from the plethora of reflected signals that are potentially available.

Reproduction of the echolocation calls of R. aegyptiacus was achieved using a purpose-built wideband electrostatic transducer allied to a spectral signal processing technique that compensated for the impulse response of the transducer system. The original aim of this work was to enable the generation of the complex, and often multiharmonic, calls of FM and CF-FM bats across a wide frequency range extending from 20kHz to in excess of 200kHz. As such, the replication of R. aegyptiacus calls demonstrated the system working at the limit of its capabilities in terms of low frequency operation. Further testing was completed to show that the system and procedure was indeed capable of accurate reproduction of multiharmonic FM chirps, with frequency components up to 300kHz. The success of this technique was further validation of the linearity of the transducer over a wide frequency range, and the flexibility of the spectral inversion technique. It should be noted that successful use of correlation-based methods requires that the received signal is an accurate reproduction of the transmitted signal. This constraint can be met through use of the spectral inversion process, since it takes the response of the entire system into account.

Accurate replication of bat calls opens up a variety of opportunities for future work, including validation of air propagation models with real echolocation signals or measurement of the target strength of prey insects (again with real echolocation signals). Biologically inspired signals could also be applied to engineering applications in different propagation media, such as improved target ranging and resolution in the underwater sonar environment and improved resolution for materials characterisation in solids and aggregate materials. A specific application of improved ranging through such wideband techniques is in robotic vehicle positioning systems for both air and underwater applications. In contrast to previous work employing single pulse excitation (e.g. Kuc, 1997) the application of wideband correlation-based detection offers improved resolution, but demands higher fidelity of signal generation. This is made possible through application of the spectral inversion process.

Finally, both sections of the paper have highlighted deficiencies in state of the art transducer technology for transmission or reception of airborne ultrasound over the frequency range of interest. The distinct null in the response of the Knowles microphone is problematic for accurate signal quantification across the range of frequencies used by bats, while the lower-frequency limitations of wideband electrostatic transducers required the use of pre-filtering for replication of calls covering this frequency range. In both cases, wider bandwidth transducers with greater sensitivity are required. This is the subject of current research by the authors and will be reported at a later date.
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