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Peterson et al. (2009) reported figures on honey bee colony losses from a postal survey of beekeepers in Scotland carried out in early summer 2006 on behalf of the Executive of the Scottish Beekeepers’ Association (SBA). We now provide updated figures on Scottish colony losses and on the reasons for these losses, from a repeat survey in late spring 2008 and covering the period April 2006 to April 2008.

The two surveys covered similar and varied topics, although experience of colony losses and the extent and impact of Varroa destructor (Anderson and Trueman, 2000) were of particular interest. A modified questionnaire was used in the 2008 survey, more detailed information on colony losses sought, and new questions included about migratory beekeeping, and concerns of beekeepers regarding environmental factors. The design of the two surveys was also different.

The target population was the SBA members resident in Scotland, numbering just over 1,000. The 2008 survey selected a stratified random probability sample, to try to ensure adequate representation of all areas of Scotland. Questionnaires were posted by the SBA Membership Convenor to the 119 randomly selected participants, including nine people from the Hebrides, Orkney and Shetland (outlying areas not covered in the 2006 survey).

Despite careful survey planning and execution, the response rate was just over 40% (50 respondents out of 119, 44 of whom were active beekeepers), so the results may suffer from non-response bias. Whilst the sample is small, at the end of the survey period in April 2008, the median number of colonies kept was four (and the largest was 50), compared to a median of eight colonies in the 2006 survey, and the authors believe that the 2008 profile of size of beekeeping enterprises is much more typical of SBA members than in 2006.

Table 1 summarises overall losses reported for the different periods covered by this survey, for Scotland as a whole and for the main SBA areas (Aberdeen, East, North and West). Unfortunately only one beekeeper from the Aberdeen area responded, and provided no useful information on losses. Responses from the Outer Hebrides and Shetland have been included here with those from the North. A respondent from the Inner Hebrides was included in the West area. There were no participants from Orkney.

About 80% of respondents reported losses. The number of colonies kept by respondents in September 2007 was 365, and 294 in April 2008, an over-all decline of 19.5%, the same decline as over the whole survey period (April 2006 to April 2008). This compares to a 30.4% decline from the end of October 2007 to the end of March 2008 from a survey of colony losses in England (Aston, 2010).

The total number of colonies lost during winter 2006-7 was 70 out of 399 being kept in September 2006, an average winter loss rate of about 18%, whereas in winter 2007-8 79 were lost out of 365 kept in September 2007, an average loss rate of about 22%. Summer losses are more difficult to quantify, because of opportunities to create new colonies, as well as the risk of losing existing ones. However, during the summer of 2006, 4.9% of colonies kept at the start of the season were lost, compared to 9.4% during the summer of 2007.

Table 1 suggests that winter losses may be higher in the East and West areas, covering the parts of Scotland where V. destructor has been established longest (4 (36%) of respondents from the East and 4 (19%) from the West have had the mite for 5 years or more), compared to the North. However these differences are not statistically significant (p-values for a chi-squared test of lost and surviving colonies versus area are respectively 0.791 and 0.530 for the two winter periods, so may well be due to chance fluctuations). As expected, winter loss rates are higher than summer ones.

Clearly percentage losses experienced by individuals are much more variable than for whole areas. Within any one area, most respondents experienced a low loss rate, but a few experienced high and sometimes devastating losses. Extremely low or extremely high percentage loss rates were confined to smaller enterprises, as judged...
by number of colonies kept at the beginning of the period under investigation, and may be attributed to random fluctuations among small samples.

Respondents were asked to attribute causes to losses, as far as possible. Possible reasons suggested in the questionnaire were: starvation, queenlessness, *V. destructor*; the "Mary Celeste" (MC) type loss; changes in diet; and vandalism, with respondents able to suggest other possible causes not listed, as is standard practice in questionnaire design. Table 1 also shows percentages of losses attributed to these causes. The leading assigned cause of loss is queenlessness. The MC type loss, used to describe the situation in which an apparently flourishing hive is suddenly found abandoned with still ample stores in the combs, which shows some similarities with the symptoms of "Colony Collapse Disorder" (CCD: van Engelsdorp et al., 2009), ranks second, above starvation. In the North, the rather high percentage loss due to starvation was heavily influenced by the many colonies lost by one larger scale beekeeper in the inclement summer of 2007. *V. destructor* is also cited as an important cause of loss; only one respondent (9%) from the East area claimed not yet to have found the mite in their bees, compared to five (24%) from the West and four (36%) from the North. This sample includes beekeepers from very remote northern locations, so it does appear that some more remote parts of Scotland are still clear of *V. destructor*. Change of diet was not cited at all as a cause of loss. About 40% of losses have not been assigned to any of the causes suggested, but see Table 1 for other causes cited.

In 2006, information was sought on unexplained losses and numbers of such losses of MC type, whereas in 2008 it was thought more useful to ask about all losses, not just those thought to be unexplained. The overall proportions of unexplained losses reported in the 2006 survey were, for winter losses, 4.7% in 2004-5 and 7.3% in 2005-6, and in summer 2005, 2.2%. The 2008 survey figures most nearly corresponding to these are, for all losses, 18% for winter 2006-7, 22% for winter 2007-8, 4.9% for summer 2006 and 9.4% for summer 2007. The overall proportions of unexplained losses reported as MC type in the 2006 survey were high (about 45% for the first winter, about 42% for the second winter, and about 58% for summer 2005), but these cannot be compared directly to the 2008 figures.

Full survey results will be made available at the SBA Website (at http://www.scottishbeekeepers.org.uk/).
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