



McGarry, T. and McHugh, B.F. (2007) Comparison of the results of 4 users of a contemporary CADCAM system. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 31 (1). pp. 27-35. ISSN 0309-3646

<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/15048/>

This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 31 (1). pp. 27-35. ISSN 0309-3646. This version has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof corrections, published layout or pagination.

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk>) and the content of this paper for research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. You may freely distribute the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk>) of the Strathprints website.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to The Strathprints Administrator: eprints@cis.strath.ac.uk

Comparison of the results of 4 users of a contemporary CADCAM System

T. Mc GARRY, Dr B. Mc HUGH,

National Centre for Training and Education in Prosthetics and Orthotics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland, UK.

Abstract

The objective of this study was to investigate the variation of measurements recorded when four different users of the Tracer CAD system trace a model of known dimensions and volume.

This complements a previous study by the same authors Mc Garry and Mc Hugh, (2005) where the accuracy and consistency of a single user was measured.

Landmarks were added to indicate proximal, distal, anterior, medial and lateral regions of a specially manufactured cylindrical nylon 6.6 model. Four circumferential lines were added at regular intervals along the length of the cylinder with a view to calculating diameters and volumes relative to these landmarks.

The model was measured using a comparator with guaranteed accuracy to one hundredth of a millimetre, and was traced using the Tracer CAD system by four different users.

The difference in mean volume between measured results and Tracer CAD scans of differing users ranged to -3%. Individual trace volumes varied by up to -7.85%.

In all volumes measured, eleven out of twelve maximum volume percentage differences measured greater than 2 %, and of these, seven results showed maximum volume percentage difference to measure greater than 4%.