Evaporation of a thin droplet on a thin substrate with a high thermal resistance

G. J. Dunn¹, S. K. Wilson^{1*}, B. R. Duffy¹ and K. Sefiane²

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Strathclyde, Livingstone Tower, 26 Richmond Street, Glasgow, G1 1XH ²School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, The King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JL

(Dated: 13th June 2008, revised 5th September 2008, 5th February and 26th March 2009)

Abstract

A mathematical model for the quasi-steady evaporation of a thin liquid droplet on a thin substrate that incorporates the dependence of the saturation concentration of vapour at the free surface of the droplet on temperature is used to examine an atypical situation in which the substrate has a high thermal resistance relative to the droplet (i.e. it is highly insulating and/or is thick relative to the droplet). In this situation diffusion of heat through the substrate is the rate-limiting evaporative process and at leading order the local mass flux is spatially uniform, the total evaporation rate is proportional to the surface area of the droplet, and the droplet is uniformly cooled. In particular, the qualitative differences between the predictions of the present model in this situation and those of the widely used "basic" model in which the saturation concentration is independent of temperature are highlighted.

^{*} Author for correspondence. Email: s.k.wilson@strath.ac.uk, Telephone: + 44 (0) 141 548 3820, Fax: + 44 (0) 141 548 3345.

I. INTRODUCTION

The evaporation of a liquid droplet on a substrate is a fundamental fluid mechanics problem arising in a wide variety of physical contexts ranging from the domestic to the industrial and the geophysical. In recent years new developments in a number of technological applications involving droplet evaporation, notably cooling, desalination, DNA mapping and gene-expression analysis, coating and patterning, have helped to inspire renewed interest in this fascinating problem.

In many physical contexts diffusion of liquid vapour in the atmosphere above the droplet is the rate-limiting evaporative process, and there is now a considerable body of literature concerned with both experimental investigations and theoretical analysis of this situation, including the work of Picknett and Bexon¹, Bourgès-Monnier and Shanahan², Deegan et al.^{3,4}, Hu and Larson^{5–8}, Poulard et al.^{9,10}, Popov¹¹, Sultan et al.¹², Grandas et al.¹³, Shahidzadeh-Bonn et al.¹⁴, Guéna et al.^{15–18}, Xu and Luo¹⁹, and Ristenpart et al.²⁰. Much of the previous theoretical work has focused on this situation, using what we refer to as the "basic" model in which the saturation concentration of vapour at the free surface of the droplet is independent of temperature. Recently David et al.²¹ and Dunn et al.^{22,23} conducted a series of physical experiments using a variety of liquids on a variety of substrates and showed that the thermal conductivity of the substrate has a strong influence on the total evaporation rate; moreover, Dunn et al.^{22,23} showed that this behaviour can be captured by an improved mathematical model that incorporates the dependence of the saturation concentration of vapour on temperature.

In the present paper we use this improved model to examine an atypical situation in which the substrate has a high thermal resistance relative to the droplet (i.e. it is highly insulating and/or is thick relative to the droplet) so that diffusion of heat through the substrate (rather than diffusion of vapour in the atmosphere) is the rate-limiting evaporative process. In particular, we highlight the qualitative differences between the predictions of the improved model in this situation and those of the basic model.

II. THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Adopting the mathematical model proposed by Dunn et al.^{22,23} (who also verified the model by comparison with the experimental results of David et al.²¹) we consider the quasisteady evaporation of a thin pinned axisymmetric sessile droplet with constant radius R of liquid with constant density ρ , surface tension σ , specific heat capacity c_p and thermal conductivity k on a thin horizontal substrate of constant thickness h^s with constant density ρ^s , specific heat capacity c_p^s and thermal conductivity k^s . The atmosphere surrounding the droplet and the substrate is assumed to be at constant atmospheric temperature T_a and pressure p_a . Referred to cylindrical polar coordinates (r, ϕ, z) with origin on the substrate at the centre of the droplet and with the z axis perpendicular to the substrate, the shape of the free surface of the droplet at time t is denoted by z = h(r, t), the upper surface of the substrate by z = 0, and the lower surface of the substrate by $z = -h^s$, as shown in Fig. 1. The volume of the droplet is denoted by V = V(t), the contact angle at the contact line r = R by $\theta = \theta(t)$, and the initial value of the contact angle at t = 0 by $\theta_0 = \theta(0)$.

Both the droplet and the substrate are assumed to be thin relative to the radius of the droplet, i.e. $\theta_0 \ll 1$ and $h^s/R \ll 1$, but no assumption is made about their relative thicknesses, i.e. no assumption is made about the size of $h^s/\theta_0 R$. Since both the droplet and the substrate are thin, their temperatures, denoted by T = T(r, z, t) and $T^s = T^s(r, z, t)$, satisfy $\partial^2 T/\partial z^2 = 0$ and $\partial^2 T^s/\partial z^2 = 0$, and the local evaporative mass flux from the droplet, denoted by $J = J(r, t) (\geq 0)$, satisfies the local energy balance $\mathcal{L}J = -k\partial T/\partial z$ on z = hfor r < R, where \mathcal{L} is the latent heat of vaporisation. Hence, assuming that both the temperature and the heat flux are continuous between the droplet and the wetted part of the substrate, and that the lower surface of the substrate is at the atmospheric temperature T_a , we have simple explicit solutions for the temperature of the droplet and the substrate (in terms of the as yet unknown mass flux J), namely

$$T = T_{\rm a} - \mathcal{L}J\left(\frac{z}{k} + \frac{h^{\rm s}}{k^{\rm s}}\right), \quad T^{\rm s} = T_{\rm a} - \frac{\mathcal{L}J}{k^{\rm s}}(z+h^{\rm s}). \tag{1}$$

Assuming that the transport of vapour in the atmosphere is dominated by diffusion (see, for example, Popov¹¹), the concentration of vapour in the atmosphere above the droplet and the substrate, denoted by c = c(r, z, t), satisfies Laplace's equation, $\nabla^2 c = 0$. Since the droplet is thin we may impose the boundary conditions on the free surface of the droplet on z = 0 (rather than on z = h) and solve Laplace's equation in the half-space z > 0. At the free surface of the droplet we assume that the atmosphere is saturated with vapour so that $c = c_{\text{sat}}(T)$ on z = 0 for r < R, where the saturation concentration $c_{\text{sat}} = c_{\text{sat}}(T)$ is assumed to be a linearly increasing function of temperature given by

$$c_{\rm sat}(T) = c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) + c'_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})(T - T_{\rm a}),$$
 (2)

in which the dash denotes differentiation with respect to argument (i.e. $c'_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) = {\rm d}c_{\rm sat}/{\rm d}T$ evaluated at $T = T_{\rm a}$). On the dry part of the substrate there is no mass flux, i.e. $\partial c/\partial z = 0$ on z = 0 for r > R, and far from the droplet the concentration of vapour approaches its ambient value, i.e. $c \to Hc_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})$ as $\sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \to \infty$, where H ($0 \le H \le 1$) is the relative saturation of the atmosphere far from the droplet. Once c is known the mass flux from the droplet is given by $J = -D\partial c/\partial z$ on z = 0 for r < R, where D is the coefficient of diffusion of vapour in the atmosphere, and hence using (1) and (2) we find that c satisfies

$$c = c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) + \mathcal{L}Dc'_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})\left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{h^{\rm s}}{k^{\rm s}}\right)\frac{\partial c}{\partial z} \quad \text{on} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r < R.$$
(3)

A standard result from the theory of gases (see, for example, Reid et al.²⁴) is that D is inversely proportional to $p_{\rm a}$, i.e. $D = D_{\rm ref} p_{\rm ref}/p_{\rm a}$, where $D_{\rm ref}$ and $p_{\rm ref}$ are reference values of D and $p_{\rm a}$, respectively. Note that $p_{\rm a}$ enters the model only via this expression for D.

To simplify the subsequent presentation we non-dimensionalise and scale r with R, z in the droplet with $\theta_0 R$, z in the substrate with $h^{\rm s}$, z in the atmosphere above the droplet and the substrate with R, h with $\theta_0 R$, V with $\theta_0 R^3$, θ with θ_0 , T and $T^{\rm s}$ with $T_{\rm a}$, $c-Hc_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})$ with $(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})$, J with $D(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})/R$ and t with $\rho\theta_0 R^2/D(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})$. Hereafter all quantities will be non-dimensionalised and scaled appropriately unless stated otherwise.

Assuming that the droplet is sufficiently small that surface-tension effects dominate gravitational effects then it has the simple quasi-static parabolic shape $h = \theta(1 - r^2)/2$ with volume $V = \pi \theta/4$, where $\theta(0) = 1$ and $V(0) = \pi/4$. The total evaporation rate is given by

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2\pi \int_0^1 J \, r \, \mathrm{d}r,\tag{4}$$

where J is given by

$$J = -\frac{\partial c}{\partial z}$$
 on $z = 0$ for $r < 1$. (5)

The concentration of vapour in the atmosphere c satisfies $\nabla^2 c = 0$ in z > 0 subject to the mixed boundary conditions

$$c = 1 + \Delta C(h+S) \frac{\partial c}{\partial z}$$
 on $z = 0$ for $r < 1$, (6)

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r > 1, \tag{7}$$

and $c \to 0$ as $\sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \to \infty$, where

$$\Delta C = \frac{\theta_0 \mathcal{L} D c'_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{a}})}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \frac{k h^{\text{s}}}{\theta_0 R k^{\text{s}}} \tag{8}$$

are non-dimensional measures of the variation of saturation concentration with temperature and of the relative thermal resistance of the droplet and the substrate, respectively. The boundary condition (6), the non-dimensional version of (3), which incorporates the variation of the saturation concentration with temperature given in (2) and hence couples the problem for the concentration of vapour to that for the temperature, is a key difference between the present model and the basic model used by several previous authors. Once c and hence Jare known, the temperature of the droplet and the substrate are given by (1) to be

$$T = 1 - EJ(z+S), \quad T^{s} = 1 - EJS(z+1),$$
(9)

where

$$E = \frac{\theta_0 \mathcal{L} D (1 - H) c_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{a}})}{k T_{\text{a}}}$$
(10)

is a non-dimensional measure of the evaporative cooling. In particular, (9) describes the evaporative cooling of the droplet and the substrate below the droplet.

Before investigating the behaviour of the model in the situation in which the substrate has a high thermal resistance relative to the droplet (corresponding to the limit $S \to \infty$) in Sec. III, in the following two subsections we briefly examine the behaviour of the model when S = O(1) in the two extreme cases in which the saturation concentration is independent of temperature (i.e. $\Delta C = 0$) and in which the saturation concentration is strongly dependent on temperature (i.e. $\Delta C \to \infty$).

A. The Special Case $\Delta C = 0$

In the special case in which the saturation concentration is independent of temperature, corresponding to $\Delta C = 0$, the present model reduces to a trivial generalisation (namely, to the case in which D is a known function of p_a) of the basic model. Specifically, the boundary condition (6) reduces to simply c = 1 on z = 0 for r < 1, so that the problem for the concentration of vapour is decoupled from that for the temperature. The solution for c = O(1) is well known and can be expressed in several equivalent forms including

$$c = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathcal{J}_0(\xi r) \sin(\xi R) \mathrm{e}^{-\xi z}}{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}\xi,\tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_n(\cdot)$ denotes a Bessel function of the first kind of order n, and hence from (5)

$$J = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{1 - r^2}}.$$
 (12)

From (4)

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = 4,\tag{13}$$

and hence $V = \pi/4 - 4t$ and $\theta = 1 - 16t/\pi$, and, in particular, the droplet completely disappears at $t = \pi/16$. From (9)

$$T = 1 - \frac{2E(z+S)}{\pi\sqrt{1-r^2}}, \quad T^{\rm s} = 1 - \frac{2ES(z+1)}{\pi\sqrt{1-r^2}}.$$
 (14)

In particular, the local mass flux and the temperatures in both the droplet and the substrate are all integrably singular at the edge of the droplet.

B. The Limit $\Delta C \to \infty$

In the opposite extreme in which the saturation concentration is strongly dependent on temperature, corresponding to the limit $\Delta C \rightarrow \infty$, the boundary condition (6) becomes $\partial c/\partial z = -2/((1-r^2+2S)\Delta C) + O(1/\Delta C^2)$ on z = 0 for r < 1. Although the leading order solution for $c = O(1/\Delta C)$ cannot readily be expressed in closed form, we can immediately deduce that

$$J = \frac{2}{(1 - r^2 + 2S)\Delta C} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right).$$
(15)

From (4)

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta C} \log\left(\frac{1+2S}{2S}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right),\tag{16}$$

showing that the first order total evaporation rate is a monotonically decreasing function of S, and hence

$$V = \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{2\pi}{\Delta C} \log\left(\frac{1+2S}{2S}\right) t + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right)$$
(17)

and

$$\theta = 1 - \frac{8}{\Delta C} \log\left(\frac{1+2S}{2S}\right) t + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right).$$
(18)

From (9)

$$T = 1 - \frac{2E(z+S)}{(1-r^2+2S)\Delta C} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right),$$
(19)

$$T^{\rm s} = 1 - \frac{2ES(z+1)}{(1-r^2+2S)\Delta C} + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta C^2}\right).$$
 (20)

In particular, it is interesting to note from (19) that the temperature of the free surface of the droplet is given by $T = 1 - E/\Delta C + O(1/\Delta C^2)$ which is, rather unexpectedly, spatially uniform and constant in time up to $O(1/\Delta C^2)$.

III. THE LIMIT $S \to \infty$

In general, the model described in Section II has to be solved numerically, as Dunn et al.^{22,23} did. However, in the situation in which the substrate has a high thermal resistance relative to the droplet (i.e. it is highly insulating and/or is thick relative to the droplet), corresponding to the limit $S \to \infty$, we can obtain the asymptotic solution to the problem by seeking an expansion for c in the form

$$c = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\Delta CS} + \frac{c_2}{\Delta C^2 S^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{S^3}\right),\tag{21}$$

where the factors of $\Delta C = O(1)$ have been included to simplify the subsequent presentation, with corresponding expansions for all the other dependent variables.

A. Zeroth Order

At zeroth order in 1/S we find immediately that $c_0 = 0$, $J_0 = 0$ and $dV_0/dt = 0$, and hence $V_0 = \pi/4$ and $\theta_0 = 1$, showing that according to the present model there is, as expected, no evaporation from a droplet on a perfectly thermally resisting substrate.

B. First Order

At first order in 1/S we find immediately that $J_1 = 1$ and

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2\pi \int_0^1 J_1 \, r \, \mathrm{d}r = \pi, \tag{22}$$

and hence $V_1 = -\pi t$ and $\theta_1 = -4t$, and from (9)

$$T_0 = 1 - \frac{E}{\Delta C}, \quad T^{s}_{\ 0} = 1 - \frac{E(z+1)}{\Delta C}.$$
 (23)

In other words, the first order mass flux is spatially uniform and constant in time and gives rise to linear decreases in both the volume and the contact angle in time at first order. Moreover, the leading order temperature in both the droplet and the substrate are constant in time, but whereas the temperature in the droplet is spatially uniform (i.e. the droplet is uniformly cooled), that in the substrate below the droplet decreases linearly with z from the atmospheric value of unity at z = -1 to the droplet value of $1 - E/\Delta C$ at z = 0.

The first order concentration, $c_1 = c_1(r, z)$, satisfies $\nabla^2 c_1 = 0$ subject to

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial z} = \begin{cases} -1 \text{ on } z = 0 \quad \text{for } r < 1, \\ 0 \quad \text{on } z = 0 \quad \text{for } r > 1, \end{cases}$$
(24)

and $c_1 \to 0$ as $\sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \to \infty$. Fortunately, this problem for c_1 can be solved explicitly (see, for example, Ockendon et al.²⁵) to yield

$$c_1(r,z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathcal{J}_0(\xi r)\mathcal{J}_1(\xi)\mathrm{e}^{-\xi z}}{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}\xi,\tag{25}$$

where again $\mathcal{J}_n(\cdot)$ denotes a Bessel function of the first kind of order n. Figure 2 shows contours of c_1 in the atmosphere above the droplet and the substrate, and, in particular, illustrates that $c_1 \sim 1/2\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}$ as $\sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \to \infty$. Evaluating c_1 on r = 0 yields $c_1(0, z) = \sqrt{1 + z^2} - z$, while evaluating c_1 on z = 0 yields

$$c_1(r,0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(r) & \text{for } r < 1, \\ r \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) - \frac{(r^2 - 1)}{r} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) & \text{for } r > 1, \end{cases}$$
(26)

where $\mathcal{K}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively, defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2 r^2} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}, \quad \mathcal{E}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2 r^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}} \,\mathrm{d}\xi. \tag{27}$$

In particular, we find that $c_1(r,0) = 1 - r^2/4 + O(r^4)$ as $r \to 0^+$,

$$c_1(r,0) = \frac{2}{\pi} + \frac{r-1}{\pi} \left[1 + \log \frac{|r-1|}{8} \right] + O\left((r-1)^2 \log |r-1| \right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1,$$
(28)

showing that $c_1(r, 0)$ is continuous with a logarithmic singularity in slope at the edge of the droplet, and

$$c_1(r,0) = \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{1}{16r^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^5}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$
 (29)

Figure 3 shows a plot of $c_1(r, 0)$ as a function of r.

C. Second Order

At second order in 1/S we find that

$$J_2 = -\left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(r)}{\pi} + \frac{(1-r^2)\Delta C}{2}\right) \ (<0)$$
(30)

and

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2\pi \int_0^1 J_2 \, r \, \mathrm{d}r = -\left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{\pi\Delta C}{4}\right) \, (<0),\tag{31}$$

and hence

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{\pi\Delta C}{4}\right)t\tag{32}$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \left(\frac{32}{3\pi} + \Delta C\right)t. \tag{33}$$

In particular, from (30) we find that

$$J_2 = -\left(\frac{2+\Delta C}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1+2\Delta C}{4}\right)r^2 + O\left(r^4\right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 0^+, \tag{34}$$

and

$$J_2 = -\frac{2}{\pi} + \frac{1-r}{\pi} \left[\log \frac{1-r}{8} + 1 - \pi \Delta C \right] + O\left((1-r)^2 \log(1-r) \right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1^-, \quad (35)$$

showing that J_2 remains finite but has a logarithmic singularity in slope at the edge of the droplet. Figure 4 shows a plot of J_2 as a function of r for a range of values of ΔC . From (9)

$$T_1 = -E\left[z - \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(r)}{\pi\Delta C} + \frac{1 - r^2}{2}\right)\right],\tag{36}$$

$$T^{s}_{1} = E(z+1)\left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}(r)}{\pi\Delta C} + \frac{1-r^{2}}{2}\right).$$
 (37)

In other words, the second order mass flux is negative and spatially non-uniform but constant in time and gives rise to linear *increases* in both the volume and the contact angle in time at second order.

The second order concentration, $c_2 = c_2(r, z)$, satisfies $\nabla^2 c_2 = 0$ subject to

$$\frac{\partial c_2}{\partial z} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\mathcal{E}(r)}{\pi} + \frac{(1-r^2)\Delta C}{2} & \text{on } z = 0 \quad \text{for } r < 1, \\ 0 & \text{on } z = 0 \quad \text{for } r > 1, \end{cases}$$
(38)

and $c_2 \to 0$ as $\sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \to \infty$. This problem for c_2 cannot readily be solved in closed form, but fortunately, as we have already seen, we do not need to determine c_2 in order to obtain J and dV/dt to $O(1/S^2)$ and T and $T^{\rm s}$ to O(1/S).

D. Range of Validity of the Asymptotic Solution

In order to determine the range of validity of the present asymptotic solution Figure 5 shows a plot of -dV/dt as a function of S for a range of values of ΔC comparing the present asymptotic solution and the exact numerical solutions calculated using a finiteelement method implemented using the MATLAB-based numerical analysis package COM-SOL Multiphysics (formerly FEMLAB) as described by Dunn et al.^{22,23}. In particular, Figure 5 confirms that the present asymptotic solution is indeed in good agreement with the exact solution provided that S is sufficiently large, and that, as expected, what precisely "sufficiently large" means depends on the value of ΔC .

IV. DISCUSSION

While the present non-dimensional presentation is mathematically very convenient it obscures the way the variables depend on the original physical quantities, and so it is enlightening to write the asymptotic solution described in Section III in dimensional terms as follows:

$$c = Hc_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) + \frac{k^{\rm s}R(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{\mathcal{L}h^{\rm s}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathcal{J}_0(\xi r)\mathcal{J}_1(\xi R)\mathrm{e}^{-\xi z}}{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}\xi + O\left(\frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^2,\tag{39}$$

$$J = \frac{k^{\rm s}(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{\mathcal{L}h^{\rm s}c_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \left[1 - \left\{\frac{2k\mathcal{E}(r/R)}{\pi\theta_0\mathcal{L}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2R^2}\right\}\frac{\theta_0Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right] + O\left(\frac{\theta_0Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^3, \quad (40)$$

$$T = T_{\rm a} - \frac{(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{c_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \left[1 + \left(\frac{z}{\theta_0 R} - \left\{\frac{2k\mathcal{E}(r/R)}{\pi\theta_0\mathcal{L}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2R^2}\right\} \right) \frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}} \right] + O\left(\frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^2 \frac{41}{(41)}$$

$$T^{\rm s} = T_{\rm a} - \frac{(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{c_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \left(\frac{z}{h^{\rm s}} + 1\right) \left[1 - \left\{\frac{2k\mathcal{E}(r/R)}{\pi\theta_0\mathcal{L}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} + \frac{R^2 - r^2}{2R^2}\right\} \frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}} \right] + O\left(\frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^2 \frac{41}{(42)}$$

$$V = \frac{\pi\theta_0 R^3}{4} - \frac{\pi k^{\rm s} R^2 (1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{\rho\mathcal{L}h^{\rm s}c_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \left[1 - \left\{\frac{8k}{3\pi\theta_0\mathcal{L}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} + \frac{1}{4}\right\} \frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}} \right] t + O\left(\frac{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^3,$$

$$(43)$$

and

$$\theta = \theta_0 - \frac{4k^{\rm s}(1-H)c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{\rho\mathcal{L}h^{\rm s}Rc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} \left[1 - \left\{\frac{8k}{3\pi\theta_0\mathcal{L}Dc_{\rm sat}'(T_{\rm a})} + \frac{1}{4}\right\}\frac{\theta_0Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right]t + O\left(\frac{\theta_0Rk^{\rm s}}{kh^{\rm s}}\right)^3.$$
 (44)

As several previous authors have described, the widely used basic model (i.e. the special case $\Delta C = 0$) in which diffusion of vapour in the atmosphere is the rate-limiting evaporative

process predicts that the local mass flux (12) is integrably singular at the contact line r = R, and, from (13), gives rise to the well-known prediction for the total dimensional evaporation rate

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{4RD(1-H)c_{\mathrm{sat}}(T_{\mathrm{a}})}{\rho},\tag{45}$$

which is proportional to R (i.e. proportional to the circumference of the droplet). The present analysis reveals that the corresponding predictions in two rather different situations in which diffusion of heat through the substrate (rather than diffusion of vapour in the atmosphere) is the rate-limiting evaporative process are qualitatively different from that of the basic model. At leading order in the limit of strongly temperature-dependent saturation concentration (i.e. in the the limit $\Delta C \rightarrow \infty$ with S = O(1)) the local mass flux (15) is finite everywhere across the surface of the droplet and, from (16), gives rise to the total dimensional evaporation rate

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim \frac{2\pi kR(1-H)c_{\mathrm{sat}}(T_{\mathrm{a}})}{\rho\mathcal{L}\theta_{0}c_{\mathrm{sat}}'(T_{\mathrm{a}})}\log\left(\frac{\theta_{0}Rk^{\mathrm{s}}+2kh^{\mathrm{s}}}{2kh^{\mathrm{s}}}\right),\tag{46}$$

which has a more complicated " $R \log R$ " dependence on R. At leading order in the limit of a substrate with a high thermal resistance relative to the droplet (i.e. in the limit $S \to \infty$ with $\Delta C = O(1)$) the present asymptotic solution shows that the local mass flux (40) is spatially uniform, giving rise to the total dimensional evaporation rate

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim \frac{\pi k^{\mathrm{s}} R^2 (1-H) c_{\mathrm{sat}}(T_{\mathrm{a}})}{\rho \mathcal{L} h^{\mathrm{s}} c_{\mathrm{sat}}'(T_{\mathrm{a}})},\tag{47}$$

which is proportional to R^2 (i.e. proportional to the surface area of the droplet). In particular, the prediction of the basic model for dV/dt is independent of \mathcal{L} , k, k^s and h^s (i.e. independent of the thermal properties of both the droplet and the substrate and of the thickness of the substrate), whereas the leading order predictions for dV/dt in both the limit of strongly temperature-dependent saturation concentration and the limit of a substrate with a high thermal resistance are independent of D.

As with any mathematical model, there are a number of conditions restricting the validity of the present analysis. For the mathematical model of Dunn et al.^{22,23} used in the present work to hold we require that fluid inertia is negligible, i.e.

$$\theta_0^2 \frac{\rho U R}{\mu} \ll 1,\tag{48}$$

that thermal advection is negligible, i.e.

$$\theta_0^2 \frac{\rho c_{\rm p} U R}{k} \ll 1,\tag{49}$$

where U is a characteristic radial velocity, that the temperature in the droplet is quasi-steady, i.e.

$$\frac{\rho c_{\rm p}(\theta_0 R)^2}{kT} \ll 1,\tag{50}$$

that the temperature in the substrate is quasi-steady, i.e.

$$\frac{\rho^{\rm s} c_{\rm p}^{\rm s} h^{\rm s2}}{k^{\rm s} \mathcal{T}} \ll 1, \tag{51}$$

that the diffusion in the atmosphere is quasi-steady, i.e.

$$\frac{R^2}{D\mathcal{T}} \ll 1,\tag{52}$$

where \mathcal{T} is the characteristic lifetime of the droplet, that gravity effects are negligible in the droplet, i.e.

$$\frac{\rho g R^2}{\sigma} \ll 1,\tag{53}$$

and that the thermal conductivities of both the fluid and the substrate are greater than that of the surrounding air, denoted by k_{air} , i.e.

$$k, k^{\rm s} \gg k_{\rm air}.\tag{54}$$

In addition, for the simplified version of the model used in the present work to hold we require that both the droplet and the substrate are thin (i.e. that the thicknesses of both the droplet and the substrate are small relative to the radius of the droplet), i.e.

$$\theta_0 \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h^{\text{s}}}{R} \ll 1.$$
(55)

Finally, for the large-S asymptotic analysis described in Sec. III to hold we require that

$$S = \frac{kh^{\rm s}}{\theta_0 Rk^{\rm s}} \gg 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta C = \frac{\theta_0 \mathcal{L} Dc'_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a})}{k} = O(1).$$
(56)

In practice, in typical experimental situations not all of these conditions will, in general, be satisfied. However, it is possible to imagine atypical (but still physically realisable) situations in which all of the conditions are reasonably well satisfied. For example, consider a thin droplet of water with radius $R = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ m and contact angle $\theta_0 = 0.1$ on a thin substrate of a poor conductor such as polypropylene of thickness $h^{\rm s} = 10^{-4}$ m evaporating into an atmosphere of air with H = 0.4 at $T_{\rm a} = 295$ K and reduced pressure $p_{\rm a} = 9.98$ kPa. Using typical parameter values taken from Dunn et al.^{22,23} and the references therein, namely $\rho = 998$ kg m⁻³, $\mathcal{L} = 2.45 \times 10^6$ m² s⁻², $\mu = 9.62 \times 10^{-4}$ kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹, $c_{\rm p} = 4.18 \times 10^3$ m² s⁻² K⁻¹, k = 0.604 kg m s⁻³ K⁻¹, $\sigma = 7.25 \times 10^{-2}$ kg s⁻², $c_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) = 1.94 \times 10^{-2}$ kg m⁻³, $c'_{\rm sat}(T_{\rm a}) = 1.11 \times 10^{-3}$ kg m⁻³ K⁻¹, $D = 2.44 \times 10^{-4}$ m² s⁻¹, together with typical parameter values for polypropylene, namely $\rho^{\rm s} = 910$ kg m⁻³, $c_{\rm p}^{\rm s} = 1.9 \times 10^3$ m² s⁻² K⁻¹, $k^{\rm s} = 0.12$ kg m s⁻³ K⁻¹, the characteristic lifetime of the droplet in the large-*S* asymptotic limit is

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\rho \theta_0 R^2}{D(1-H)c_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{a}})} \times S\Delta C = \frac{\rho \mathcal{L}h^{\text{s}} c_{\text{sat}}'(T_{\text{a}})\theta_0 R}{k^{\text{s}}(1-H)c_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{a}})} \simeq 10 \,\text{s},\tag{57}$$

and hence a characteristic radial velocity is $U = R/T \simeq 5 \times 10^{-5}$ m s⁻¹. Using these parameter values the left hand sides of the conditions (48) – (53) are small, specifically 3×10^{-4} , 2×10^{-3} , 2×10^{-3} , 0.01, 1×10^{-4} and 0.03, respectively. Furthermore, condition (54) holds because the conductivities of both the droplet and the substrate are significantly greater than that of air (typically 0.02 kg m s⁻³ K⁻¹). In addition, condition (55) holds because both $\theta_0 = 0.1$ and $h^s/R = 0.2$ are small, while (56) yields $S \simeq 10$ and $\Delta C \simeq 0.1$, which Figure 5 indicates is just in the asymptotic regime. Clearly it is also possible to imagine other situations with somewhat larger values of S, but the foregoing suggests that the present asymptotic analysis is relevant to a physically realistic situation that could be realised in the laboratory using the approach and techniques used by, for example, David et al.²¹.

Acknowledgments

All four authors acknowledge valuable discussions with Dr Samuel David (formerly at the School of Engineering and Electronics, University of Edinburgh) during the course of the present work, which is part of a larger project in droplet evaporation supported by the United Kingdom Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council via joint grants GR/S59444 (Edinburgh) and GR/S59451 (Strathclyde).

- R. G. Picknett and R. Bexon, "The evaporation of sessile or pendant drops in still air," J. Coll. Int. Sci. 61, 336 (1977).
- ² C. Bourgès-Monnier and M. E. R. Shanahan, "Influence of evaporation on contact angle," Langmuir **11**, 2820 (1995).
- ³ R. D. Deegan, O. Bakajin, T. F. Dupont, G. Huber, S. R. Nagel, and T. A. Witten, "Capillary flow as the cause of ring stains from dried liquid drops," Nature **389**, 827 (1997).
- ⁴ R. D. Deegan, O. Bakajin, T. F. Dupont, G. Huber, S. R. Nagel, and T. A. Witten, "Contact line deposits in an evaporating drop," Phys. Rev. E 62, 756 (2000).
- ⁵ H. Hu and R. G. Larson, "Evaporation of a sessile droplet on a substrate," J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 1334 (2002).
- ⁶ H. Hu and R. G. Larson, "Analysis of the microfluid flow in an evaporating sessile droplet," Langmuir **21**, 3963 (2005).
- ⁷ H. Hu and R. G. Larson, "Analysis of the effects of Marangoni stresses on the microflow in an evaporating sessile droplet," Langmuir **21**, 3972 (2005).
- ⁸ H. Hu and R. G. Larson, "Marangoni effect reverses coffee-ring depositions," J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 7090 (2006).
- ⁹ C. Poulard, G. Guéna, A. M. Cazabat, A. Boudaoud, and M. Ben Amar, "Rescaling the dynamics of evaporating drops," Langmuir **21**, 8226 (2005).
- ¹⁰ C. Poulard, G. Guéna, and A. M. Cazabat, "Diffusion-driven evaporation of sessile drops," J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **17**, S4213 (2005).
- ¹¹ Y. O. Popov, "Evaporative deposition patterns: spatial dimensions of the deposit," Phys. Rev. E 71, 036313 (2005).
- ¹² E. Sultan, A. Boudaoud, and M. Ben Amar, "Evaporation of a thin film: diffusion of the vapour and Marangoni instabilities," J. Fluid Mech. 543, 183 (2005).
- ¹³ L. Grandas, C. Reynard, R. Santini, and L. Tadrist, "Experimental study of the evaporation of a sessile drop on a heated wall. Wetting influence," Int. J. Therm. Sci. 44, 137 (2005).
- ¹⁴ N. Shahidzadeh-Bonn, S. Rafaï, S., A. Azouni, and D. Bonn, "Evaporating droplets," J. Fluid Mech. **549**, 307 (2006).

- ¹⁵ G. Guéna, C. Poulard, M. Voué, J. De Coninck, and A. M. Cazabat, "Evaporation of sessile liquid droplets," Colloids and Surfaces A: Physiochem. Eng. Aspects **291**, 191 (2006).
- ¹⁶ G. Guéna, C. Poulard, and A. M. Cazabat, "The leading edge of evaporating droplets," J. Coll. Int. Sci. **312**, 164 (2007).
- ¹⁷ G. Guéna, C. Poulard, and A. M. Cazabat, "Evaporating drops of alkane mixtures," Colloids and Surfaces A: Physiochem. Eng. Aspects **298**, 2 (2007).
- ¹⁸ G. Guéna, P. Allançon, and A. M. Cazabat, "Receding contact angle in the situation of complete wetting: experimental check of a model used for evaporating droplets," Colloids and Surfaces A: Physiochem. Eng. Aspects **300**, 307 (2007).
- ¹⁹ X. Xu and J. Luo, "Marangoni flow in an evaporating water droplet," Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 124102 (2007).
- ²⁰ W. D. Ristenpart, P. G. Kim, C. Domingues, J. Wan, and H. A. Stone, "Influence of substrate conductivity on circulation reversal in evaporating drops," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 234504 (2007).
- ²¹ S. David, K. Sefiane, and L. Tadrist, "Experimental investigation of the effect of thermal properties of the substrate in the wetting and evaporation of sessile drops," Colloids and Surfaces A: Physiochem. Eng. Aspects **298**, 108 (2007).
- ²² G. J. Dunn, S. K. Wilson, B. R. Duffy, S. David, and K. Sefiane, "A mathematical model for the evaporation of a thin sessile liquid droplet: comparison between experiment and theory," Colloids and Surfaces A: Physiochem. Eng. Aspects **323**, 50 (2008).
- ²³ G. J. Dunn, S. K. Wilson, B. R. Duffy, S. David, and K. Sefiane, "The strong influence of substrate conductivity on droplet evaporation," J. Fluid Mech. **623**, 329 (2009).
- ²⁴ R. C Reid, J. M. Prausnitz, and B. E. Poling, *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*, 4th edn (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987).
- ²⁵ J. R. Ockendon, S. D. Howison, A. A. Lacey, and A. B. Movchan, *Applied Partial Differential Equations* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999) pp. 179–181.



FIG. 1: Geometry of the problem.



FIG. 2: Plot of the contours of $c_1(r, z)$ in the atmosphere above the droplet and the substrate.



FIG. 3: Plot of $c_1(r, 0)$ as a function of r.



FIG. 4: Plot of J_2 as a function of r for $\Delta C = 0.1, 1$ and 10.



FIG. 5: Plot of -dV/dt as a function of S for $\Delta C = 0.1$, 1 and 10 comparing the present asymptotic solution and the exact numerical solutions.